Mark breck Hasip

GEO CHEMICAL SURVEY

OF PART OF

BEAR NO. 7, TIGER NO. 7, OAK NO. 7,

FIR NO. 7, TIGER NO. 8, OAK NO. 8,

MINERAL CLAIMS

BEING PART OF

MARK CREEK GROUP

We 46'LAPITUDE, 115° 59'LONGPTUDE 49° 16° MW

DI

ROY A. ANDERSON

826/13W

00355

FOR

REEVES MACDONALD MINES LIMITED

FIELD WORK JULY 20th to AUGUST 22, 1961 REPORT COMPLETED SEPTEMBER 1, 1961

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE 1 - REPORT OF AREA SAMPLED

PAGE 2 - PERSONNEL

PAGE 3 - STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURE

IN POCKET

Figure 1 - PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF SURVEY IN RELATION TO LOCATED AND CROWN GRANTED CLAIMS

Figure 2 - PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF SAMPLES WITH VALUES OBTAINED



GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY OF WESTERN EXPLORATION CO. LTD. PROPERTY KIMBERLEY, B.C.

A geochemical survey was conducted on the Western Exploration Co. property by the Reeves MacDonald Mining Company from July 20th to August 22nd, 1961 under the supervision of the writer during which time 441 samples were taken and analyzed in the field. The results of this survey are plotted on the accompanying plan.

Location control of the sampled lines was by compass and tape. Each sample was taken of the A_1 soil horizon consisting of both organic and mineral constituents directly beneath the surface layer of organic litter and above the A_2 horizon of gray impoverished soil.

Each sample of soil consisting of approximately 1/10 gram was dissolved in 1 cubic centimeter of a solution consisting of ammonium citrate buffer and hydroxalimine hydrochloride. This solution was then treated with 1 to 3 cubic centimeters of dithizone and Xylene and the color change of the dithizone solution was noted indicating the heavy metals equivalent to parts per million zinc in the soil. These heavy metals may be copper, lead, or zinc; the latter being more likely due to the relative abundance of zinc in the Kimberley district.

The anamoly indicated by the geochemical survey is a valuable guide to future exploration in the area and will be considered in conjunction with magnetic anamolies previously determined by both aerial and ground surveys.

AREA SAMPLED:

An area of 1400 by 1400 feet was sampled on the Oak No. 7 and Fir No. 7 claims. The samples were taken 100 feet apart on East-West lines and 50 feet apart on North-South lines. Also sampled at 100 foot intervals was the North-South claim line between the Tiger No. 7 and 8 and the Oak No. 7 and 8 claims as well as the East-West claim line between Bear No. 6 and 7, Tiger No. 6 and 7, and Fir No. 6 and 7.

PERSONNEL:

This survey was conducted by Mr. Malcolm McLeod with the assistance of Garry McCrea under the supervision of Roy A. Anderson, a graduate geologist who has been active as a Mining Geologist since 1935 and since 1956 is Chief Geologist with the Pend Oreille Mines and Metals Co., in Metaline Falls, Washington. Mr. Anderson is a registered professional engineer in the states of Colorado and Washington.

Anderson Sept. 1, 1961

STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES

(To Accompany Report of Mr. Roy A. Anderson of Metaline Falls, Wash.)

The Geochemical Survey was conducted on claims held by location by Reeves MacDonald Mines Limited. These claims adjoin the Western Exploration property currently under option to Reeves MacDonald Mines Limited.

M. McLeod -\$600/mo. July 20 to Aug 22nd incl.	676.74
G. McCrea - 1.73/hr " 20 " " 22 "	235,69
Roy A. Anderson - Fee - 3 days @ \$40 (Jul 24, Aug 22, Sept 1st)	120,00
Total	1,032.43

REEVES MACDONALD MINES LIMITED

enk

F.R. Thompson P.Eng.



		····· ································		·	
		50			
	TIGER No.8	25	OAK No 8		
		50 50		· : ·	•
		50			
	1!	50		À	
		co		Ē.	
		50			
	i C I	00		HA I	·
	(0,0			
		50 50			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(
BEAR No.7	TIGER No.7	50	OAK Nº 7	FIR N	o í
	IC	0,0	50 150 300 000 100 100 100 000 50 150 000 300 300 100 50 150 150 100 50 100 000 450 450 100 400 100 100 150 100 200	0 100 100 300 100 000 250 0 150 000 150 150 150 150 0 150 150 200 150 150	
		50 - 50	000 400 450 000 50 100 100 100 300 600 150 50 150 200 150 150 250 100 150 100 150 250 150 100 300 300	0 300 200 000 100 100 0 100 150 900 150 100 0 300 100 350 000 200 150	
	1!	50	50 100 150 400 150 150 300 100 50 100 200 100 200 100 250 150 000 000 250 150 150 150 100 150	0 200 150 000 200 150 50 0 150 100 250 000 100 200 0 100 100 150 100 100	
		00 00	150 250 150 150 150 100 50 150 50 150 400 200 000 450 250 150 200 150 100 150 150 150 150 750	0 100 100 000 900 125 0 150 100 000 150 300 125 0 150 100 100 000 150 450	
		50	100 200 100 500 200 100 600 750 150 100 300 150 50 100 300 300 000 150 000 100 000 150 000 400	0 100 150 150 50 150 50 100 150 100 300 150 100 150 100 000 300 200 100	
		50	000 150 000 150 150 450 50 150 000 150 150 150 150 150 50 900 100 200 100 150 600 50 250 200 000 150 150 100 000 350 500 250	0 150 300 150 100 150 0 100 150 000 150 100 0 100 100 50 450 100 100	· ·
	12	25	000 150 150 100 000 350 500 250 50 150 000 100 150 150 300 200 000 150 300 250 150 600 150 750 000 150 150 400 150 300 750 400	0 150 150 200 250 000 0 250 200 000 100 150 100 0 100 150 150 100 300	·
	21	00	000 100 100 100 100 100 300 400 000 200 300 150 000 100 300 250 000 200 200 150 400 200 50 900 000 100 150 300 000 150 750 750	400 150 100 150 150 100	
50 400 000 000 000 100 450 000 125 200 50 000 600 100 150 BEAR No. 6	<u>100 000 000 100 150 150 150 100 100 150 15</u>	do	200 150 00000 50 50 150 50 000 000 5002		0 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 450
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				n an	
					Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources ASSESSMENT REPORT
BEAR No.5	TIGER N. 5		OAK No.5	FIR N	NO. 355 MAP 2
	TICEN No. C				

