

GEOCHEMICAL REPORT ON WHIT 10,  
21-22, 24-25, 31-40, 51-60, 1 FR.

Mineral Claims

(Work done between

July 21 & August 31, 1972)

Located on Whiting Creek

OMINECA MINING DIVISION, B.C.

53° 127° NE

by

93E/11E, 14E

J.H. Montgomery, Ph.D., P.Eng.

and

G.H. Giroux, B.A.Sc.

September 15, 1972

3961

# 3961

GEOCHEMICAL REPORT

ON

WHIT 10, 21-22, 24-25, 31-40, 51-60, 1FR. MINERAL CLAIMS

(Work done between July 21 and August 31, 1972)

Located on Whiting Creek  
OMINECA MINING DIVISION, B.C.

53° 127° NE

by

J.H. Montgomery, Ph.D., P.Eng.

and

G.H. Giroux, B.A. Sc.

September 15, 1972





TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
LOCATION	1
CLAIMS AND OWNERSHIP	5
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	25

FIGURES

#1	1. Location Map	2
#2	2. Claim Map	4
	3. Sample Locations	1
#3	4. Cumulative Percent - Copper	11
#4	4A. Percent Frequency Distribution - Copper	12
#9	5. Cumulative Percent - Molybdenum	13
#10	5A. Percent Frequency Distribution - Molybdenum	14
#15	6. Cumulative Percent - Lead	15
#16	6A. Percent Frequency Distribution - Lead	16
#17	7. Cumulative Percent - Zinc	17
#18	7A. Percent Frequency Distribution - Zinc	18
#19	8. Cumulative Percent - Silver	19
#20	8A. Percent Frequency Distribution - Silver	20
#21	9. Cumulative Percent - Mercury	21
#22	9A. Percent Frequency Distribution - Mercury	22
#23	10. Cumulative Percent - Arsenic	23
#24	10A. Percent Frequency Distribution - Arsenic	24
#25	11. Geochemical Plan - Copper	pocket
#26	12. Geochemical Plan - Molybdenum	pocket
#27	13. Geochemical Plan - Lead	pocket
#28	14. Geochemical Plan - Zinc	pocket
#29	15. Geochemical Plan - Silver	pocket
#5	4B. Cumulative Percent - copper in andesite	
#6	4C. Frequency Distribution - " " "	
#7	4D. Cumulative Percent - copper in quartz monzonite	
#8	4E. Frequency Distribution - " " " "	
#11	5B. Cumulative Percent - molybdenum in andesite	
#12	5C. Frequency Distribution - " " "	
#13	5D. Cumulative Percent - molybdenum in quartz monzonite	
#14	5E. Frequency Distribution - " " "	

FIGURES (continued)

#30	16.	Geochemical Plan-Mercury	pocket
#31	17.	Geochemical Plan - Arsenic	pocket

APPENDICES

1	STATEMENT OF COST
11	PERSONNEL
111	GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSES

# GEOCHEMICAL REPORT

ON

WHIT 10, 21-22, 24-25, 31-40, 51-60

1 FR. MINERAL CLAIMS

September 15, 1972

## INTRODUCTION

The following report is a complete record of the work done on the WHIT Claims during the period July 21 to August 31, 1972.

A rock geochemistry survey was conducted over the entire claim group. A statistical study of the geochemical data is included in the report.

## LOCATION AND ACCESS

The claims are located on Whiting Creek about two miles north of Sweeney Lake. See Figure 1. The property may be reached by gravel and dirt road running southwesterly from Houston, B.C., a distance of 70 miles to Sweeney Lake and from that point by FWD road north to the claim area. A number of FWD roads are present on the property, some of which are inaccessible except by trail bike. (N.T.S. Ref. 93E; Lat.  $53^{\circ} 44'N$ , Long.  $127^{\circ} 15'W$ ).




CLAIMS AND OWNERSHIP

The claim group is comprised of 54 full-sized contiguous mineral claims and one fractional claim located in the Omineca Mining Division of British Columbia. The property is owned by Kennco Explorations (Western) Limited of Vancouver, B.C. See Figure 2.

Claim information is listed in the following table:

CLAIM		RECORD NO.	EXPIRY DATE
WHIT 1	(1)	22581	Sept. 6, 1975
WHIT 2	(1)	22582	Sept. 6, 1974
WHIT 3-8	(6)	22583-588	Sept. 6, 1975
WHIT 9-12	(4)	22589-592	Sept. 6, 1974
WHIT 13-16	(4)	22593-596	Sept. 6, 1975
WHIT 17-18	(2)	22597-598	Sept. 6, 1974
WHIT 19-20	(2)	22599-600	Sept. 6, 1975
WHIT 21-30	(10)	22601-610	Sept. 6, 1974
WHIT 31-40	(10)	23247-256	Oct. 4, 1974
WHIT 41-44	(4)	25403-406	June 29, 1975
WHIT 51-54	(4)	26694-697	Sept. 2, 1974
WHIT 55-56	(2)	26871-872	Sept. 16, 1974
WHIT 57-60	(4)	27155-158	Oct. 1, 1974
WHIT 1 FR.	(1)	26873	Sept. 16, 1974
total		55 claims	



WHIT CLAIMS 1- 60 & Fr. 1

Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961 MAP #2

WHITING CREEK  
CLAIM MAP

FIG. 2

SEPTEMBER 15, 1972



## GEOCHEMISTRY

A rock geochemical survey was conducted over the entire claim group. A total of 144 rock samples were taken from the claim area.

### Field Procedure

At each sample site, several rock chips totalling about a quarter of a pound were taken from several places within a radius of 10 feet. The samples were identified as to rock type and placed in specially prepared kraft envelopes for shipment to the laboratory. In addition, reference specimens were taken from each sample site.

### Laboratory Procedure

The samples were prepared and analyzed by Min-En Laboratories of North Vancouver, B.C. The rock samples were crushed, pulverized and sieved to pass an 80 mesh screen. Seven elements, Cu, Mo, Pb, Zn, Ag, Hg, and As were determined. A perchloric acid-nitric acid digestion was used for Cu, Mo, Zn and Ag and a sulphuric acid-nitric acid digestion was used for Hg and As. The metal contents of the extracted solutions were all determined by Atomic Absorption methods except for As which was determined colorimetrically.

A statistical study of all geochemical data was made, the results of which are shown in Figures 4 to 17, Appendix III and in the following pages.



COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION

Cu/Mo	(all rocks)	0.13
As/Zn	(all rocks)	0.11
Ag/Hg	(all rocks)	- 0.11
Cu/Mo	(andesite)	- 0.01
Cu/Mo	(Quartz Monzonite)	0.09

Copper (Figures 4, 4A and 11)

Cumulative percent and percent frequency distribution plots of copper values indicate a threshold value of 300 ppm for all rocks, 400 ppm for andesite and 500 ppm for quartz monzonite. The mean and threshold values for copper are relatively high because most of the samples were taken within the large mineralized zone which constitutes the Whiting Creek property.

The mean copper values for all rocks, andesite and quartz monzonite are of similar magnitude.

Coefficients of correlation were calculated for Cu/Mo for all rocks, for andesite and for quartz monzonite. The values obtained indicate that virtually no correlation exists between copper and molybdenum.

Figure 11 shows a geochemical plan with copper values greater than 500 ppm outlined in color.

MOLYBDENUM (Figures 5, 5A and 12)

Cumulative percent and percent frequency distribution plots of molybdenum values indicate a threshold value of 100 ppm for all rocks, 60 ppm for andesite and 30 ppm for quartz monzonite. The means and threshold values for andesite and quartz are lower than all rocks because most of the high molybdenum values are from the quartz-feldspar porphyry intrusion. A separate statistical study of the samples from this rock type was not done because of the small number of samples involved.

Figure 12 shows a geochemical plan with molybdenum values greater than 30 ppm. outlined in color. LEAD (Figures 6, 6A and 13)

Cumulative percent and percent frequency distribution plots of lead values indicate a threshold value of 27 ppm. for all rocks.

Figure 13 shows a geochemical plan with lead values greater than 27 ppm. outlined in color. A few scattered anomalous values are indicated, most of which are peripheral to the zone of mineralization.

ZINC (Figures 7, 7A and 14)

Cumulative percent and percent frequency distribution plots of Zinc values indicate a threshold value of 100 ppm. for all rocks.

Figure 14 shows a geochemical plan with Zinc values greater than 100 ppm. outlined in color. A well defined zone of anomalous values occurs along the north end of the mineralized zone. Much of this area, particularly along the northwest end, is heavily pyritized.

SILVER (Figures 8, 8A and 15)

Cumulative percent and percent frequency distribution plots of silver values indicate a threshold value of 1.25 ppm. for all rocks.

Figure 15 shows a geochemical plan with silver values greater than 1.25 ppm. outlined in color. A well-defined zone of anomalous values occurs along the north end of the mineralized zone. The zone of anomalous silver is partly coincident with that of Zinc in the heavily pyritized zone.

APPENDIX 1

STATEMENT OF COST

A. PERSONNEL

<u>Name</u>	<u>Dates Worked</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Cost</u>	
G. Giroux	July 21-Aug. 31/72	\$1200/mo.	\$1625.76	
J.H. Montgomery	July 21-Aug. 31/72	\$3360/mp.	<u>\$4552.13</u>	
			6177.89	6,177.89

B. TRANSPORTATION

(a) Truck Rental	541.92		
(b) Trail Bikes	<u>240.00</u>		
	781.92		781.92

C. CAMP COSTS 840.00

D. GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSES 1,264.75

E. DRAFTING, ETC. 242.02

\$ 9,306.58

Declared before me at the City  
of Vancouver, in the  
Province of British Columbia, this 23  
of Oct. 1972, A.D.

*J.H. Montgomery*

*Julie Jensen*  
A Commissioner for taking Affidavits within British Columbia or  
A Notary Public in and for the Province of British Columbia.

SUB-MINING RECORDER

MERCURY (Figures 9, 9A and 16)

Cumulative percent and percent frequency distribution plots of mercury values indicate a threshold value of 140 ppb for all rocks.

Figure 16 shows a geochemical plan with mercury values greater than 140 ppb outlined in color. A small zone of anomalous values is indicated along the west contact of the quartz-feldspar porphyry.


ARSENIC (Figures 10, 10A and 17)

Cumulative percent and percent frequency distribution plots of arsenic values indicate a threshold value of 6 ppm for all rocks.

Figure 17 shows a geochemical plan with arsenic values greater than 6 ppm outlined in color. Only a few samples from the north end of the mineralized zone are anomalous.

99.99 99.9 99.8 99.5 99 98 95 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 5 2 1 0.5 0.2 0.1 0.05 0.01

COPPER (p.p.m.)



Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
No. 3961  
MAP #3



Threshold Value - 500 p.p.m.

FIG. 4  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
CUMULATIVE PERCENT  
COPPER IN ROCK

0.01 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 95 98 99 99.5 99.8 99.9 99.99


CUMULATIVE PERCENT





Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961  
MAP #4

WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION  
COPPER IN ROCK









FIG. 5C  
 WRITING CREEK PROJECT  
 FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION  
 COPPER IN ANDESITE





10

9

8

7

6

5


4

3

2

1


FIG. 4E  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION  
COPPER  
IN QUARTZ MONZONITE



Department of  
Mines and Technical Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961 MAP #18


— COPPER (p.p.m.) —

— FREQUENCY PERCENT —



Department of  
 Mines and Petroleum Resources  
 ASSESSMENT REPORT  
 No. 3961  
 MAP #9

FIG. 5  
 WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
 CUMULATIVE PERCENT  
 MOLYBDENUM IN ROCK



Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961 MAP #10

FIG. 5A  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION  
MOLYBDENUM IN ROCK



99.99 99.9 99.8 99.5 99 98 95 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 5 2 1 0.5 0.2 0.1 0.05 0.01

— (p.p.m.) —  
M O L Y B D E N U M  
—

Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961  
MAP #11

Threshold Value — 60 p.p.m.





FIG. 5B  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
CUMULATIVE PERCENT  
MOLYBDENUM IN ANDESITE

0.01 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 95 98 99 99.5 99.8 99.9 99.99

— CUMULATIVE PERCENT —





Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO 3961 M.P. #112

FIG 5C  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION  
MOLYBDENUM IN ANDESITE


99.99 99.9 99.8 99.5 99 98 95 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 5 2 1 0.5 0.2 0.1 0.05 0.01

— (p.p.m.) —  
M O L Y B D E N U M  
—

Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961 MAP #13


Threshold Value — 30 p.p.m.

FIG. 50  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
CUMULATIVE PERCENT  
MOLYBDENUM IN QTZ. MONZONITE




0.01 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 95 98 99 99.5 99.8 99.9 99.99

— CUMULATIVE PERCENT —



99.99 99.9 99.8 99.5 99 98 95 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 5 2 1 0.5 0.2 0.1 0.05 0.01

LEAD (p.p.m.)




Department of  
 Mines and Petroleum Resources  
 ASSESSMENT REPORT  
 NO. 3961  
 MAP #15

FIG. 6  
 WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
 CUMULATIVE PERCENT  
 LEAD IN ROCK

0.01 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 95 98 99 99.5 99.8 99.9 99.99


CUMULATIVE PERCENT



Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961 MAP #16


FIG. 04  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION  
LEAD IN ROCK

— LEAD (p.p.m.) —



Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961 MAP #17

FIG. 7  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
CUMULATIVE PERCENT  
ZINC IN ROCK




Department of  
Mines and Geophysical Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961 MAP #18

FIG 7A  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION  
ZINC IN ROCK

— ZINC (p.p.m.) —





99.99 99.9 99.8 99.5 99 98 95 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 5 2 1 0.5 0.2 0.1 0.05 0.01





FIG. 8  
WHITING CR. PROJECT  
CUMULATIVE PER CENT  
SILVER IN ROCK

0.01 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 95 98 99 99.5 99.8 99.9 99.99

CUMULATIVE PER CENT






Department of  
Mines and Geophysical Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
No. 3961  
MAP #20

FIG. 8A  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION  
SILVER IN ROCK

99.99 99.9 99.8 99.5 99 98 95 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 5 2 1 0.5 0.2 0.1 0.05 0.01

MERCURY (p.p.b.)





Threshold Value — 1.40 p.p.b.

Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961  
MAP #21

FIG. 9  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
CUMULATIVE PERCENT  
MERCURY IN ROCK


0.01 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 95 98 99 99.5 99.8 99.9 99.99

CUMULATIVE PERCENT



Department of  
Mines and Geology Resources  
ANNUAL REPORT  
NO. 3921 MAP #222

FIG. 9A  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION  
MERCURY IN ROCK







FIG. 10A  
WHITING CREEK PROJECT  
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION  
ARSENIC IN ROCK

— ARSENIC ( p.p.m. ) —

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A zone of copper-anomalous rock (See Figure 11) extends, in a northwest trend, across the quartz monzonite (southeast) and Hazelton andesite (northwest) contact. A number of holes have already been drilled within this area. The quartz-monzonite appears to be uniformly low in copper content but some of the Hazelton rocks on the northwest end of the copper-anomalous zone approach ore-grade material.

The peripheral nature of the Zinc and silver-anomalous rock suggests a centre of mineralization for copper close to 11,000N/6,000E.

A zone of molybdenum-anomalous rock (See Figure 12) is mainly coincident with the quartz-feldspar porphyry. The area of higher molybdenum values within the anomalous zone lie along the eastern contact of the porphyry intrusion. A limited amount of drilling has been done in this area with encouraging results.

It is recommended that additional drilling be done in two areas.

A. In the molybdenum-anomalous area along the eastern contact of the quartz-feldspar porphyry.



B. In the apparent centre of copper mineralization to the northwest and northeast of D.D.H #13.


Two other areas of possible interest are:

1. At 8,000N/5,000E is a large area of no rock exposure between points which are copper-anomalous. In addition, the area lies along the projected western contact of the quartz monzonite intrusion; it has both copper-anomalous soil geochemistry and induced polarization anomalies.

- 2 At 6,400N/8,200E in an area of strongly brecciated feldspar porphyry within the quartz monzonite intrusion.

Respectfully submitted,

  
J.H. Montgomery, P. Eng. 

  
G.H. Giroux, B.A.Sc

September 15, 1972

APPENDIX 11

PERSONNEL

G.H. Giroux, B.A. Sc. - graduate in Geological Engineering from the University of British Columbia (1970). Fiver years experience in all phases of Mineral exploration.

J.H. Montgomery, Ph.D., P.Eng. - graduate in Geology from the University of British Columbia, B.Sc. (1959), M.Sc. (1960), Ph.D. (1967), Member of British Columbia Association of Professional Engineers since 1967.



APPENDIX 111

GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSES

Sample Number	As ppm	Cu ppm	Pb ppm	Zn ppm	Ni ppm	Co ppm	Ag ppm	Fe ppm	Hg ppb	As ppm	Mn ppm	Au ppm				
81	85	90	95	100	105	110	115	120	125	130	135	140	145	150	155	160
Q1021372	3.7	78	11	28			0.6		41.0	2						
Q10280	1600	40.0	16	31			2.0		11.0	0						
82	6.4	24	18	78			0.8		55	6.7						
83	3.2	31	8	27			0.3		20	2						
84	0.7	48	18	74			0.7		55	0						
85	0.2	6	9	16			0.4		120	2						
86	0.3	12.70	1.6	10.6			2.1		25	0						
87	1.7	33	3.1	68			0.6		60	1.2						
88	2.4	1.0	5	19			0.4		30	4						
89	0.4	40.0	3.4	180			1.7		45	2						
90	3.1	16.0	18	170			0.8		130	0						
91	1.5	14	12	17			0.3		100	0						
92	1.1	320	21	190			1.2		140	5						
93	3.3	46	8	13			0.6		65	2						
94	25	150	1.6	22			0.9		80	0						
95	2.9	41.0	18	120			1.0		95	2						
96	3.4	29.0	2.4	54			1.5		35	2						
97	1.6	185	20	87			1.4		115	0						
98	2.3	230	30	420			2.2		120	0						
99	2.0	10	6	10			0.3		60	3						
300	2.4	43	7	23			0.4		230	2						
01	98	8	3	4			0.3		265	2						
02	295	1.7	17	4			0.1		39.0	4						
03	9.2	9.8	18	27			1.0		120	4						
04	0.6	22	1.3	32			0.6		20.0	2						
05	150	1.1	3	4			0.2		15	0						
06	1.1	1.8	6	18			0.4		13.0	0						
07	30.0	250	25	78			1.7		60	2						
08	2.8	1.65	18	72			0.8		30	2						
Q10309	4.1	33	6	7			0.3		9.0	3						



PROJECT No.: Whiting Creek

MIN - EN Laboratories Ltd.

DATE: Sept 1,  
1972.

Sample Number	Mo ppm	Cu ppm	Pb ppm	Zn ppm	Ni ppm	Co ppm	Ag ppm	Fe ppm	Hg ppb	As ppm	Mn ppm	Au ppm			
61	90	95	100	105	110	115	120	125	130	135	140	145	150	155	160
Q10328	3.0	4.9	4	1.0			0.4		120	0					
29	2.4	5.6	2	1.7			0.2		82	0					
30	2.35	10.6	10	5			0.3		55	0					
31	6.4	3.7	2.6	6			0.1		70	0					
32	1.2	1.9	3.0	4			0.1		55	0					
33	8	2.8	6.2	5			0.1		90	0					
34	2.7	2.5	8	2.4			0.6		75	0					
35	1.8	6.8	9	2.5			0.6		110	0					
36	3.6	7.0	4	1.6			0.3		75	0					
37	1.4	6.6	4	2.1			0.2		70	0					
38	1.9	8.0	6	3.0			0.1		80	0					
39	1.5	7.2	4	2.1			0.4		80	0					
40	40.0	3.35	1	9			0.1		75	0					
41	0.5	2.8	1	2.6			0.1		100	0					
42	1.4	3.8	7	1.7			0.1		90	0					
43	2.8	4.5	6	3.9			0.3		120	0					
44	1.8	7.6	4	2.9			0.3		145	0					
45	2.0	5.25	5	2.8			0.4		140	0					
46	4.4	17.0	4	1.4			0.4		85	0					
47	5.6	12.25	10	1.2			1.0		120	0					
48	10.9	17.5	5	4.6			0.3		60	0					
49	3.4	2.4	16	1.92			0.5		55	0					
50	6.2	7.7	14	1.15			0.4		60	0					
51	1.9	1.52	7	5.6			0.2		45	6					
52	1.68	5.4	2	2.2			0.3		80	0					
53	1.0	1.54	10	2.2			0.3		60	0					
54	3.8	2.6	12	4.2			0.5		50	0					
55	0.5	5.05	6	2.0			0.1		45	0					
56	9.3	9.4	6	1.8			0.4		60	0					
Q10357	0.9	10.0	7	3.2			0.6		45	0					

CERTIFIED BY

*Gilbert V. Henriolle*

PROJECT No.: Whiting Creek

MIN - EN Laboratories Ltd.


DATE: Sept 1,  
1972.

Sample Number	Mo ppm	Cu ppm	Pb ppm	Zn ppm	Ni ppm	Co ppm	Ag ppm	Fe ppm	Hg ppb	As ppm	Mn ppm	Au ppm				
81	85	90	95	100	105	110	115	120	125	130	135	140	145	150	155	160
Q10358	17	500	7	17				0.4		60	0					
59	0.4	520	16	50				0.8		75	0					
60	200	26	1	6				0.1		55	0					
61	0.9	350	18	88				0.7		80	0					
62	30	1100	10	69				0.5		50	0					
63	52	500	14	78				0.4		80	0					
64	1.2	270	2	20				0.3		70	0					
65	0.4	66	6	26				0.1		75	0					
66	1.6	51	5	16				0.6		65	0					
67	0.1	14	9	20				1.4		70	0					
68	8	76	20	172				2.9		80	0					
69	0.1	20	10	300				0.9		100	0					
70	0.1	12	8	275				1.2		90	0					
71	0.1	6	20	26				0.8		55	0					
72	0.1	370	30	350				2.5		60	2					
73	0.1	14	16	15				0.3		55	0					
74	0.4	53	15	240				1.2		100	16					
75	0.6	69	12	16				3.1		75	12					
76	0.5	36	8	50				1.3		100	8					
77	3.3	22	4	7				1.1		55	1					
78	0.6	140	14	84				2.8		50	0					
79	0.6	52	7	67				1.1		80	0					
80	0.7	325	24	97				3.6		55	0					
81	48	880	6	65				2.3		80	0					
82	2.1	58	7	48				1.0		50	0					
83	0.4	118	8	43				1.1		55	0					
84	9.4	190	19	44				2.8		70	0					
85	0.5	153	6	53				1.7		80	0					
86	1.4	24	1	16				1.0		100	0					
Q10387	1.2	300	15	78				2.2		55	0					

CERTIFIED BY Silbert V. Hemmille







LEGEND  
Arsenic Geochem  
● > 6 ppm


Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO 3961 MAP #31



Scale 1" = 500'  
The accompanying Report by Dr. Montgomery  
September 19, 1958



27th Parallel N  
101



LEGEND

- Molybdenum Geochem
- > 100 ppm
- > 60 ppm
- > 30 ppm


Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
Rock ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO 3961 MAP #26

Scale 1"=800'

To accompany Report by J.J. Montgomery  
September 18, 1956



Scale 1" = 500'



LEGEND  
Lead Geochem Rock  
● > 27 ppm

Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961 MAP #27


Scale 1" = 500'

The accompanying Report by Dr. Montgomery  
is dated September 14, 1955





100



LEGEND

- Zinc Geochem Rock
- > 200 ppm
- > 100 ppm

Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961 MAP #28


Scale 1" = 800'

To accompany Report by De Montigny  
September 12, 1958

Fig. 14








LEGEND  
Mercury Geochem Rock  
● > 140 ppb

Department of  
Mines and Petroleum Resources  
ASSESSMENT REPORT  
NO. 3961 MAP #30



Scale 1" = 500'  
Preparatory Report by P. Murphy  
September 19, 1966





LEGEND

- Copper Geochem. Rocks
- > 500 ppm
- > 300 ppm

Department of  
 Mines and Petroleum Resources  
 ASSESSMENT REPORT  
 NO. 3961 MAP #25

Scale: 1" = 800'

To accompany Report to the Honorable  
 Minister of 1968

Fig. 11



3961

M-25