GEOPHYSICAL REPORT

ON A

MAGNETIC SURVEY

COPPER CLAIM GROUP

CRATER LAKE AREA, OMINECA M.D., B.C.

COPPER CLAIMS

: 1 km E of Crater Lake and 32 km SSE of Smithers, B.C.

80-#701 - #8624

: 54[°] 127[°] NE

: N.T.S. 93L/11E

WRITTEN FOR

: Mecca Minerals Ltd. 1102-207 West Hastings Street Vancouver, B.C., V6C 1H7

BY

DATE

: Dece



: David G. Mark Geophysi GEOTR 403-Vanc





2

3

| PROPERTY AND OWNERSHIP | • | 2 |
|----------------------------|---|-------|
| LOCATION AND ACCESS | •••••• | 2 |
| PHYIOGRAPHY | •••••• | 2 |
| HISTORY OF PREVIOUS WORK | • | 3 |
| GEOLOGY | • | 3 |
| INSTRUMENTATION AND THEORY | | 5 |
| SURVEY PROCEDURE | • | 6 |
| COMPILATION OF DATA | • | 6 |
| DISCUSSION OF RESULTS | • | 7 |
| SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY | • | 10 |
| GEOPHYSICIST'S CERTIFICATE | • | 11 |
| | | |
| Map – at end of report | | Sheet |
| Property Map | 1:20,000 | 1 |
| Maps – in pocket | | |
| | | |

Magnetic Survey Magnetometer Data & Contours Magnetic Survey Dip Needle

Data & Contours

SUMMARY

CONCLUSIONS

RECOMMENDATIONS

1:2,500

1:2,500

SUMMARY

During the first part of the summer of 1980, a combined magnetometer and dip needle survey was carried out on the Copper Claims. The legal post of these claims is located 1 km east of Crater Lake and about 32 km SSE of Smithers. Access is most easily gained by helicopter. The terrain consists of moderate to steep slopes covered with trees, scrub bushes, and talus. The purpose of the surveys was to extend the known zones of copper and silver mineralization as well as mapping the structure and rocktypes.

i

Previous work on the property consists of a rock sampling program as well as diamond drilling.

The property is mainly underlain by Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous Hazelton Group volcanics. The rock types are green agglomerate, green andesite, red andesite, and basalts. Intruding into these rocks are acidic dykes and sills. Several prospects of copper and silver mineralization occur on the property.

The magnetometer and dip needle readings were taken every 30 meters on 30-meter separated east-west lines. The readings were then diurnally corrected, statistically analyzed, plotted and contoured.

CONCLUSIONS

- The magnetic survey has revealed several small lineal magnetic highs that could be reflecting sulphide mineralization containing magnetite.
- The survey revealed 3 different phases or flows of the basalts: - noisy magnetic high, noisy magnetic low, and

quiet magnetic background.

3. The red andesite and green agglomerate were reflected by a relatively quiet magnetic low.

RECOMMENDATIONS

No recommendations are felt necessary since this is part of a continuing program as recommended in the engineerng reports of Lorimer and Taylor. Of course the magnetic highs as well as the lows should be checked in the field through geological mapping and/or prospecting.

GEOPHYSICAL REPORT

ON A

MAGNETIC SURVEY

COPPER CLAIM GROUP

CRATER LAKE AREA, OMINECA M.D., B.C.

INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL REMARKS

This report discusses the survey method, compilation of data and the interpretation of results of magnetometer and dip needle surveys carried out over the Copper Claim Group near Crater Lake within the Omineca M.D., B.C. All of the above work was carried out from June 24th to July 14th, 1980 by T. Higginson and J. Parker, as well as James Rutherford for part of the time. Higginson and Parker were employees of Strato Geological Services of Vancouver, B.C. The number of line km of the 2 surveys was 22.

The purpose of the magnetometer and dip needle surveys was to locate areas of copper sulphide mineralization. Several occurrences of copper-silver mineralization occur within the Copper Claims. A secondary purpose was to aid in the geological knowledge of the property through the mapping of lithology and structure.

PROPERTY AND OWNERSHIP

The property consists of 4 contiguous claims containing a total of 32 units as shown on Figure 2 and as described below:

| <u>Claim Name</u> | <u>No. Units</u> | Record No. | Expiry Date |
|-------------------|------------------|------------|----------------|
| Copper 1 | 8 (2 x 4) | 1338 | August 9, 1981 |
| Copper 2 | 8 (2 x 4) | 1339 | August 9, 1981 |
| Copper 3 | 8 (2 x 4) | 1340 | August 9, 1981 |
| Copper 4 | 8 (2 x 4) | 1341 | August 9, 1981 |

The property is wholly owned by Mecca Minerals Ltd. of Vancouver, British Columbia. If the work as described in this report is accepted for assessment work, then the expiry date will become August 9, 1981.

LOCATION AND ACCESS

The legal post of the Copper Claims is found about one km south of Crater Lake and 20 km SSW of Telkwa, B.C.

The geographical location of the post is 54° 31'N latitude, and 127° 07'W longitude.

Access to the property is most conveniently reached by a 10 minute helicopter flight from Smithers. The property is accessable by foot, but at the present time the poor condition of access roads makes a walk into the property very long and difficult.

PHYSIOGRAPHY

The property is found in the physiographic division known as the Nechako Plateau which is part of the Interior Plateau System. It covers a northerly trending mountain spur bounded by Webster Creek and Loring Creek. A tarn, Crater Lake, lies in a cirque in the spur. The area which the present survey covers lies to the south of Crater Lake, above both the cirque and the tree-line. The terrain is a moderately steep slope, with cliffs bounding the east and west sides of the survey grid. Elevation varies from 1250 meters to 2075 meters above m.s.l. to give a range of 825 meters.

3

Water above the elevation of Crater Lake is scarce in the full summer season except for small seasonal ponds.

South of Crater Lake vegetation is almost non-existent, while north of the lake small trees and bushes are thick and numerous. The area which the present survey covers lies above the lake and only a few small bushes where encountered.

HISTORY OF PREVIOUS WORK

Copper was discovered near Crater Lake in 1903. The copper showings at Crater Lake are believed to have been discovered the following year. Intermittent exploration has been carried out ever since. Numerous abandoned claim posts, some from the 1920's can still be found on the property. In 1968-69 Crater Lake and the surrounding area was explored by Falconbridge Nickel Mines. In 1973 Maharaja Minerals carried out a geologicalgeochemical rock-sampling program on the Crater Lake area.

On the property itself a diamond drill program (two holes) was carried out in 1975. Further drilling and some trenching was carried out in 1978 and 1979.

GEOLOGY

The following is quoted from Taylor's report on the property.

"The property is underlain by Lower and Middle Jurassic rocks, - part of the Hazelton Group, - according to the B.C. Department of Mines geological compilation map 69-1. The rocks are essentially volcanic flows, pyroclastics and epiclastic sediments of volcanic material, and andesite to rhyolite in composition within the property boundaries. They are exposed as shallow dipping bands of grey, green, red and purple. A granodiorite plug lies to the south-west of the claim block, while lesser dykes and sills cut the volcanics in diverse directions.

"Structurally the rocks form a broad anticline striking northnorthwest and plunging northerly, with its axis passing through Crater Lake. Faults and shear zones are prominent in the cliffs above the lake and Webster Creek. Block faults with variable displacements are common, north side down for the most part, and with little horizontal displacement.

"Metallic minerals occur in narrow veins in the transverse faults and shear zones, and surface exposures show secondary enrichment. (See geologic map). The vein deposits exhibit hematite, bornite, malachite, azurite, chalcopyrite, chalcocite and tetrahedrite. The best exposure of this type is known as the "chimney" zone.

"Samples taken along this "chimney", and reported by McAndrew (1974) ranges from 0.76% to 15.6% copper, and from 0.15 oz/ton to 12.4 oz/ton silver."

"Copper staining occurs on bands in the cirque walls south-west of the tarn. McAndrew (1974) examined two of these showings. The lower one he refers to as the "chalcocite mineral horizon". The chalcocite is very finely disseminated in a band of green andesite and has been designated the C2 horizon by the owners.

Magnetite is also present. Sampling of this horizon was done under hazardous conditions for a total length of 161 meters and returned 0.47% copper over a width of two meters.

"A second showing on the cirque wall, but at a higher elevation average 0.13% copper and 0.11 oz/ton silver also over a two meter width, for a length of 21 meters. It is not clear whether this sampling is part of a vein, or if the metal is contained in a specific stratigraphic horizon."

INSTRUMENTATION AND THEORY

The magnetometer survey was carried out using a portable vertical component, Model G-110 fluxgate magnetometer manufactured by Sabre Electronic Instruments Ltd. of Burnaby, B.C. This is a visual-null type instrument using a digital dial read-out with a range of 100,000 gammas and a reading accuracy of 10 gammas. The G-110 has a temperature co-efficient of 2 gammas per degree centigrade.

The Dip needle survey was carried out using a Darley Dip needle, manufactured by W.S. Darley & Co., Chicago. The needle has a positive scale which extends from 0 to positive 60, and a negative scale which extends from 0 to negative 40.

Only two commonly occurring minerals are strongly magnetic; magnetite and pyrrhotite. Hence, magnetic surveys are used to detect the presence of these minerals in varying concentrations. Magnetic data are also useful as a reconnaissance tool for mapping geologic lithology and structure since different rock types have different background amounts of magnetite and/or pyrrhotite.

SURVEY PROCEDURE

A grid was put in with stations every 30 meters on east-west lines 30 meters apart. The stations were marked with small rock cairns. Both magnetometer and dip needle readings were taken at these stations. The diurnal change of the magnetometer readings was monitored in the field by the closed loop method using a series of base stations.

COMPILATION OF DATA

The magnetic data were plotted on Sheet 2 at a scale of 1:2,500 (1 cm = 25 meters). For ease of plotting and discussion, 50,000 gammas was subtracted from all values and contours.

The magnetic values were grouped into equal arithmetic intervals. The cumulative frequency for each interval was then calculated and then plotted against the correlating interval to obtain an arithmetic cumulative frequency graph.

The statistical parameters taken from the graph are as follows:

| Anomalous low threshold | (97½% level) | 2400 | gammas |
|------------------------------|--------------|------|--------|
| Sub-anomalous low threshold | (84% level | 2700 | gammas |
| Mean background | (50% level) | 3000 | gammas |
| Sub-anomalous high threshold | (16% level) | 3300 | gammas |
| Anomalous high threshold | (2½% level) | 3600 | gammas |

The sub-anomalous and anomalous levels are 1 and 2 standard deviations away from the mean background level, respectively.

From this, the contour interval was then chosen to be 300 gammas which is that ofone standard deviation. The contours below the mean background level, 2700 gammas and lower, were dashed in,

and the contours above, 3300 gammas and higher, were drawn in solid.

The dip angle data was plotted on Sheet 3 at the same scale (1:2,500) and the statistical analysis was handled in the same manner.

| Anomalous low threshold | - | 11 ⁰ |
|------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Sub-anomalous low threshold | _ | 6 ⁰ |
| Mean background | | 0 ⁰ |
| Sub-anomalous high threshold | + | 5 ⁰ |
| Anomalous high threshold | + | 10 ⁰ |

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The magnetic relief as is shown on Sheet 2 is quite high. The values vary from as low as 480 gammas to as high as 5560 gammas which gives a relief of 5080 gammas. This is quite indicative of basalt volcanics.

The magnetic field over different parts of the survey area each have their characteristics. This is undoubtedly due to a reflection in varying rock-types.

The geology printed on the background of the two maps show most of the survey area to be underlain by basalt. Yet the magnetic field can be divided into 3 different areas as follows:

| 1. | Southwest corner | A magnetic high that is only |
|----|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | moderately noisy. The dip needle |
| | | data in this area is only slightly |
| | | above that of the rest of the area. |
| | | |
| 2. | West central section | A magnetic low that is very noisy |

containing the lowest and highest values within the survey area. The dip needle data is extremely low in this area.

 Central section trending north across the whole survey area

A magnetic field that is very quiet and contains by and large only background values.

If the above 3 areas are underlaiin by basalt as is shown, then the 3 different types of magnetic fields are reflecting different phases or flows of the basalt.

The southwest corner and east central section is underlain by red andesite and green agglomerates. The magnetic field over this rock type is characterized by a moderate magnetic low that is fairly quiet (relatively speaking for the survey area).

The writer does not know if any mineralized showings occur within the survey area and therefore can not correlate the magnetic survey accordingly. However, knowing that some of the occurrences contain magnetite suggests a possible explanation of the small magnetic highs, especially those that are more lineal in shape, to be sulphide veins containing magnetite. Some of the more prominent of these anomalies are centered at: -

| 1. | 5N, 27W | 5. | 24S, 36W (3 small anomalies |
|----|----------|----|---|
| 2. | 1S, 33W | | in this area) |
| 3. | 12S, 36W | 6. | 24S, 0 |
| 4. | 12S, 51W | 7. | Possibly 2 anomalies in the extreme southwest corner. |

The contouring along the north-south line 0 is very lineal and very suspiciously appears that the diurnal change was not corrected in this area. Very possibly a magnetic storm could have occurred in between the checks at a base station. However, the lithological contacts in this area trend north-south as well.

The dip needle data over most of the survey area except for the 2 areas as mentioned above, varies little. The data may become more useful as sulphide occurrences become known.

December 12, 1980

Respectfully submitted, GEOTRONICS SURVEYS LTD.

David G. Mark, Geophysicist

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Armstrong, J.E., <u>Smithers, Coast District</u> Geol., Surv. of Canada, Map 44-23, 1944
- B.C. Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources Map 69-1 Geological Compilation Map of the Smithers, Hazelton and Terrace areas.
- Jones, H.M., <u>Geological Report on the AL 4 Claim</u>, Hunter Basin Area, B.C., 1976
- Jones, J.H., <u>Report on the Crater Lake Property of Mecca Mines</u> (N.P.L.), 1977

McAndrew, J.M., <u>1973-74 Field Exploration Report</u>, <u>Maharaja</u> Minerals Limited (N.P.L.) 1974

McAndrew, J.M., <u>Memorandum</u>, diamond drilling, Old Tom, Crater "Chimney" area, Winn Group, Dominion Basin Group., 1976

Taylor, B., <u>Report on the Copper 1-4 Mineral Claims</u>, <u>Omineca</u> <u>M.D., B.C.</u> for Mecca Minerals Ltd., G.A. Noel & Assoc., 1980

Woodsworth, G.J., <u>Petrographic and mineralogic report on Crater</u> Laker specimens., 1976

Lorimer, M.K., Report on the Copper 1-4 Claim, 1978

GEOPHYSICIST'S CERTIFICATE

I, David G. Mark, of the City of Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, do hereby certify:

That I am a Consulting Geophysicist of Geotronics Surveys Ltd., with offices at #403-750 West Pender Street, Vancouver, British Columbia.

I further certify:

- 1. I am a graduate of the University of British Columbia (1968) and hold a B.Sc., degree in Geophysics.
- 2. I have been practising my profession for the past 12 years and have been active in the mining industry for the past 15 years.
- 3. That I am an active member of the Society of Exploration Geophysicists and a member of the European Association of Exploration Geophysicists.
- 4. This report is compiled from data obtained from magnetometer and dip needle surveys carried out by Strato Geological Services during the period of June 24 to July 14, 1980.
- 5. I do not hold any interest in the Copper Claims nor Mecca Minerals Ltd. nor do I expect to receive any interest as a result of writing this report.

David G. Mark, Geophysicist

December 12, 1980

AFFIDAVIT OF EXPENSES

The magnetic survey carried out on the Copper Claims, Crater Lake Area, Omineca M.D., B.C. June 24th to July 14, 1980 was done to the value of the following:

FIELD:

| 2-man crew, 21 days @ \$225/day | \$ 4,725.00 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 1-man crew, 1 day @ \$100/day | 100.00 |
| Supervision | 1,000.00 |
| Instrument rental | 270.00 |
| Board and room | 1,275.00 |
| Survey supplies | 25.00 |
| Mobilization and demobilization | 1,000.00 |
| | \$ 8,395.00 |

REPORT:

| Geophysicist, 6 hours @ \$37.50/hour | \$ | 225.00 |
|---|------|---------|
| Geophysical technician, 30 hours @ \$20/hour | | 600.00 |
| Drafting and printing | | 422.00 |
| Report typing and compilation | | 150.00 |
| | \$ 1 | ,397.00 |

Grand Total

\$ 9,792.00

Respectfully submitted, Mecca Minerals Ltd.,

151 ic. James A. Rutherford President

December 16, 1980





2800 7 2790 2370 ́ 5**56**0 ј. 2960 2770 310 2820 3050 3120 2370 3560 3150 2750 3100 (2940 3120 3180 2940 3080 2350 3000 26,50 26,50 26,70 28,70 29,90 29,90 29,90 29,90 29,90 29,90 26.30 0 3370 5020 1910 2400 2470 2330 25,00 25,00 /// 180 2410 32,30 23,00 27,00 32,70 33,00 30,00 /25,00 29,90 30,00 29,50 2500 2920 2710 2830 2900 2730 2620 26 2740 3000 3030 2730 3340 3250 2990 2470 3050 25,10 27,60 2270/ 2640 3110 2650 2890 280 3400 2820 3230 2960 2970 2930 2930 2930 2930 2950 3450 2600 290 290 2750 2740 2650 2870 2240 3350 29,00 30,00 24,00 24,00 3240 3230 3250 2300 2300 2300 3000 Jon 3260 3190 2910 2830 2570 2590 2540 3180 3190 3260 3150 2910 3170 3200 3730 3250 2830 2530 2740 2710 2430 2430 2430 3420 3120 3030 3050 2370 3100 3020 3100 3000 3260 27 0 / 2750 2440 2730 2430 3940 3100 3150 3040 2950 2950 3110 3070 3000 25,70 2400 2300 3000 3000 2300 (3010 3100 3020 (2350) 3010 302 6300 ____ 2370 2470 2490 3000 3160 3160 3030) 2950 3050 3050 3240 3060 3250 (2560 3000 6500 -2440 2460 2970 3000 3010 (2940 2960 3000 3070 3030 3120 2900)) 2970 2970 3230 (3330) 3040 30,10 30,50 31,50 (3500) 32,50 3250 2630 3300 3300 3030 3030 2340 3010 3150 3200 2850 3240 3300 3280 3480 340 2390 2950 (3300) 3150 310 3400 2310 3340 3280 3440 3530 AQL 28+00S-3070 3110 3100 3300-3600 3900 3900-In N 32,20 25.10 3210 3020 2750 35,30 3260 3,00 30,20 30,20 31,30 2,350 30,50 (29,90) 30,00 28,10 30,40 2740 290 2740 2430 2740 32+005-31,90 370 3320 2000 2960 5130 3130 3190 2930 2670 2600 2310 2300 24:00 32:00 3790 3750

0

GEOLOGICAL LEGEND

Rhyodacite

 \checkmark Red Andesite and Green Agglomerates

Green Andesite

Basalt (Flow and Dikes)

Quartz Porphyry Felsite (Dikes and Sills)

++++ Diorite

 \sim \sim Fault

🔳 🛛 Claim Post

Contour Interval : 100 Feet

ASSAY RESULTS

Cu %

1.550

.010

1.120

.760

3.825

15.600

5.220

3.775 *

2.190

1.620

1.024

6.750

2.475

2.050

1.650

2.220

4.650

3.950

.760

Ag oz/t

2.97

.09

.35

.87

4.02

12.40

3.75

3.06

.74

.15

1.17

.32

.63

1.51

6.70

.90

.89

1.11

1.30

Au oz/t

.004

.002

.001

.001

.001

.002

.001

.001

< .00i

<.001

<.001

<.001

/.001

.001

.005

.00**6**

.011

.002

.002

Thickness Feet

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10.

10

5

5

5

2

5

5

5

5

5

Sample N

2051

2052

2053

2054

2055

2056

2057

2058

2059

2060

2061

2062

2063

2064

1

2

2 A

3

4

-

CONTOURS





270

5⁴⁰⁰

 \sim

24

Э,

6000 -

୍ଚ

ુ

esc osc 59⁰⁰

58⁰⁰⁻



- ---

55000 GAMMAS SUBTRACTED FROM EACH VALUE

i.e. 3750 READS 58750 GAMMAS

,





0

6300

وحر

୍ଦ

| GEOLOGICAL LEGEND | | |
|--|-------------|---|
| Rhyodacite | Sample N | Ţ |
| | 2051 | T |
| \sim Red Andesite and Green Agglomerates | 2052 | |
| | 2053 | Τ |
| Green Andesite | 2054 | |
| | 2055 | Τ |
| | 2056 | Τ |
| Basalt (Flow and Dikes) | 2057 | |
| | 2058 | |
| Quartz Porphyry Felsite (Dikes and Sills) | 2059 | Τ |
| | 2060 | |
| | 2061 | |
| + + + + Diorite | 2062 | |
| | 2063 | |
| \sim \sim Fault | 2064 | |
| | · 1 | |
| Claim Post | 2 | |
| | 2A | |
| Contour Interval : 100 Feet | 3 | |
| | | |

.

• ASSAY RESULTS

•

20000

-6° and lower 5° and higher INSTRUMENT : DARLEY DIP NEEDLE • PARAMETERS -11 Anomalous Low Threshold Value

•



59⁰⁰

58⁰⁰⁻

53⁰

6⁰⁰⁰ -