

**E & B Explorations Ltd.**


PROSPECTING REPORT  
SULPHURETS CLAIM - BOWSER-UNUK PROJECT  
NORTHERN BRITISH COLUMBIA  
SKEENA MINING DIVISION

NTS 104B/8W 56° 29' N 130° 26' W  
'80 - #1051 - #8769

FOR

E & B EXPLORATIONS LTD.

Owners: E & B Explorations Ltd.  
Contractor: Can-Lake Explorations Ltd  
Author: E.R. Kruchkowski  
Geologist  
Date: April, 1981



**E & B Explorations Ltd.**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	2
Location and Access	2
Physiography and Topography	2
Personnel and Operation	4
Property Ownership	5
Previous Work	5
GEOLOGY	7
Regional Geology	7
Local Geology	9
PROSPECTING	10
CONCLUSIONS	11
RECOMMENDATIONS	12
REFERENCES	13
CERTIFICATE	14
STATEMENT OF EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT	

LIST OF FIGURES

		<u>Page</u>
Figure 1	Location Map	3
Figure 2	Mineral Disposition Map	6
Figure 3	Prospecting Traverse Map	in back pocket

## SUMMARY

The Sulphurets claim is located approximately 79 kilometers north-northwest of Stewart, British Columbia and 35 kilometers northwest of the Granduc Millsite, British Columbia in the Skeena Mining Division. The claim owned by E & B Explorations Ltd. is located to encompass the Cumberland group of crown grants located over silver bearing quartz veins.

During the period July 27 to August 18, 1980 a prospecting program was conducted over the southern portion of the claim block to evaluate the gold-silver potential. The work was contracted to Can-Lake Explorations Ltd.

Prospecting conducted along interpreted fault zones failed to locate any mineral showings. Overburden or gravels along the fault traces obscure most of the bedrock.

Geochemical sampling along these fault traces may lead to the discovery of possible extensions of mineralization located on the Cumberland group. Geological mapping should also be conducted to determine the structural patterns and rock types present.

INTRODUCTION

The gold-silver potential of the area surrounding the Cumberland group of reverted crown grants was evaluated during July 27 to August 18, 1980. The exploration program consisted of prospecting by Can-Lake prospectors. Work was conducted from a fly camp located above tree line immediately southwest of the claim area. The prospecting on the claims was part of a larger program conducted in the Mount Madge vicinity.


Location and Access

The Sulphurets property is located at 56°28' latitude and 130°29' longitude approximately 79 kilometers north-northwest of Stewart, British Columbia and 35 kilometers northwest of the Granduc Millsite. The claim is located approximately 4 kilometers west of the confluence of Mitchell and Sulphurets Creek and 4 kilometers north of Mount Madge. Figure 1 shows the location of the mineral property.

Access to the claim is by Bell 206 helicopter based in Stewart, British Columbia.

Physiography and Topography

The Sulphurets claim lies within the Boundary Ranges of the northern Coast Mountains along the northern slope of Mount Madge. The region is one of complex mountainous topography at a stage of early maturity with steep mountain peaks and glacier filled valleys. The topography in the vicinity has considerable relief with steep and precipitous slopes above the Sulphurets Creek



**FIG 1 LOCATION MAP  
BRITISH COLUMBIA**

canyon. Elevations in the area vary from 240 meters along Sulphurets Creek to 1120 meters on the slopes of Mount Madge.

Most of the claim is heavily forested with spruce and pines, alders and willows while above the timberline the country is parklike with alpine vegetation.

Short creeks draining the property flow north into Sulphurets Creek and west into the South Unuk River both of which drain into the Unuk River.

#### Personnel and Operations

Can-Lake Explorations personnel present during the field program in the area are as follows:

R. Rintamaki, prospector	July 27 to August 18, 1980
N. Boa, prospector	July 27 to August 14, 1980.

Can-Lake Explorations Ltd. mobilized the personnel out of Calgary, Alberta via scheduled air flight by C.P. Air to Prince Rupert and by T.P.A. to Stewart. Camp equipment and gear was mobilized out of Calgary, via rented truck. All equipment, supplies and personnel were transported to the job site by a Vancouver Island helicopter Bell 206 based in Stewart.

Communications with other Can-Lake camps in the area, Stewart and other southern centers was maintained utilizing Marconi CH100 radios with company and B.C. Telephone frequencies.

Supplies and materials for the job were purchased in Stewart and ferried to the job via the Bell 206 helicopter.

### Property Ownership

The property consists of one modified grid staking claim composed of 20 units. The claim record No. 2005A is 100 percent owned by E & B Explorations Ltd. The claim was staked December 17, 1979 and recorded January 9, 1980. Figure 2 shows the location of the mineral disposition.

### Previous Work

The first recorded work on the Cumberland group appears in the 1902 B.C. Mines Minister Report. By this time two 500 foot tunnels had been driven, 30 tons of ore stoped for shipment and 35 miles of trail had been completed.

Subsequent work completed during the early 1900's consisted of:

- a) 200 tons of shipping ore mined;
- b) Several tunnels up to 200 feet long on one vein and a short 25 foot adit on a second vein.

An attempt to raft approximately 50 tons of shipping ore down the Unuk River to Ketchikan, Alaska was unsuccessful due to waterfalls near the International Boundary.

Due to the inaccessible location, the property was not worked since the initial mining. During the early 1960's, Newmont explored the area on behalf of Granduc Mines Ltd. but failed to locate any mineral showings in the area. By this period, all the tunnels had collapsed, the ore chutes had rotted and most of the mined material had sloughed down the steep hillside.



M 104B/8W





Figure 2. Mineral Disposition Map.

GEOLOGYREGIONAL GEOLOGY

The Unuk River district lies between two of the main geological elements of northwestern British Columbia: it is east of the main Coast Crystalline Complex and is on the western edge of the Bowser Basin. Apart from gneissic rocks of undetermined age exposed near Mitchell Glacier and recent basalt flows in King Creek, Unuk River and Iskut River, all rocks are apparently of Mesozoic age. Paleozoic rocks are exposed further to the east in the Oweege Range.

Intrusive rocks of the Unuk River area include the full spectrum from granite, syenite, granodiorite and diorite to gabbro. The syenitic rocks, of uncertain age, appear to be related to tectonically active zones in the upper South Unuk River and in the Sulphurets Creek area. Foliated diorite-granodiorite, thought to be older than the Coast Intrusions, is prominent at McQuillan Ridge and at Granduc Mountain. Unfoliated granite and granodiorite dominate the Coast Crystalline Complex and form several satellite plutons including those at Summit Lake and LeBrant Creek. Gabbro forms the monolithic mass of John Peaks and is also present west of Tom Mackay and at Snippaker Creek.

Stratified rocks are of sedimentary, volcanic and epiclastic origin. With the exception of pillow lava units few purely volcanic rocks have been recognized in the area.

In the immediate vicinity of the Sulphurets and Mitchell Glaciers a regional elongated dome has been unroofed by weathering and glacial processes. The strike of the long axis of the dome is approximately north 20° W. The south part of the dome structure is largely obscured by glacial cover and permanent snow but it is assumed

that the local structure has a length of several miles. The core portion of the dome includes all the rocks of economic interest in the Sulphurets Creek area. Immediately adjoining these rocks to the west is a thick sequence of black and dark brown clastic sediments which vary from argillites to conglomerates. This same formation is exposed in a broad regional fold north of the Sulphurets Creek area and northeasterly in the Treaty Creek area. One and possibly two pillow lava horizons recognized in the area immediately west of John Peaks have been correlated with a regional pillow lava formation which is known to extend at least as far south as the Anyox area (Grove, 1968). Similarly, limestone formations mapped in the south Unuk River, notably at the mouth of Gracey Creek, and near the confluence of Unuk River and South Unuk Rivers are correlated with limestone formations in the vicinity of Granduc Mine. At the latter location, the limestone has been assigned a Triassic age. The area lying between the South Unuk River and the Coast Intrusions consists of a variety of sedimentary and epiclastic-sedimentary rocks. Close to the Coast Intrusions minor thermal metamorphism has been imposed.

A number of regional fault structures have been postulated in the Unuk River area. The strongest of these structures is thought to occupy the Unuk River Valley from the vicinity of the International Boundary to Harrymel Creek and then may be diverted or cut off by a strong northerly striking structure along the latter creek. A similarly strong linear feature in Gracey Creek Valley also strikes northeasterly but its character is unknown. Offsets, if any, are relatively minor. A large number of linear zones of alteration, primarily silicification and/or carbonatization, have been recognized in the Unuk River and Sulphurets Creek areas. A very strong northeasterly striking linear alteration zone about  $1\frac{1}{2}$  miles southeast of Tom McKay Lake is typical of

these structures. It is probable that the alteration zones represent ancient areas of faulting or at least fracturing.

### Local Geology

During the course of prospecting, the prospectors were to note only any significant mineral showings. A 1:5000 enlargement of NTS 104B/8 Frank Mackie Glacier topographic map was used for ground control. .

The main rock types noted were sedimentary rocks including argillites, limestone with some conglomerate cut by minor basic dykes probably satellitic bodies to the Mount Madge dioritic intrusive.

Notes on the geology were kept in field note books but not plotted.

Fault interpretation on the property are shown on Figure 3 labelled prospecting traverses.

PROSPECTING

Prospecting was oriented in order to locate any fault controlled mineralization. The area of previous work on the Cumberland was outlined but due to the precipitous nature of the bluffs no attempt was made to examine the showings.

A description of the showings on the Cumberland are included as follows:

"One of the veins outcrop along a narrow gulch and has been traced about one thousand feet up the gulch. It strikes usually N25°W, dips 30-60°NE and varies in width from 2 to 8 inches. The vein minerals are chiefly tetrahedrite (gray copper) pyrite, sphalerite, galena and native silver; near the surface they are usually altered and enveloped in a soft ferruginous matrix of weathering products. The native silver is a product of the superficial alteration of gray copper. About 100 tons of ore are reported to have been taken from this vein and to have given high assay returns, particularly in silver. The country rock consists of altered limestone and breccia with some quartzite and slate, cut by intrusives of several types. The second vein outcrops a short distance south of the first vein, and is exposed along the face of a steep cliff where it is easily recognized by its brown oxidized coating. At the surface it appears to be 20 to 30 feet wide and is heavily mineralized in spots with pyrite, fine galena (steel galena) and occasional sphalerite and chalcopyrite. Native gold is said to have been observed in the oxidized portions of this vein which has been prospected by a short tunnel 25 feet long at 1,400 feet elevation above sea-level. The vein shows distinct banding and strikes N5°W with dip 80° to 85°E. A fine-grained basic dyke is exposed along the west side of the tunnel."

CONCLUSIONS

Although the prospecting failed to locate any mineral showings on the Sulphurets claim, further evaluation will be required to fully define the gold-silver potential. Work should concentrate along the gulleys and draws which represent surface expressions of fault zones.

Further programs should include soil sampling and/or silt sampling along the draws and gulleys. As well a brief geological examination is required to more fully identify the rock types and faults. Also the exploration potential of the conglomerates along the eastern edge of the claim block should be evaluated. Tournigan Mining is presently exploring these for the gold potential northeast of the property area.

12.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Geological mapping	2 days @ \$300/day	\$600.00
2. Geochem. sampling	2 days @ \$300/day	600.00
3. Geological assistant	4 days @ \$100/day	400.00
4. Helicopter	8 hours @ \$400/hour	3,200.00
5. Assaying and analyses		400.00
6. Camp rental of 4 days	@ \$50/day	200.00
7. Consumables, food		200.00
8. Report, compilation		500.00
		<hr/>
		\$6,100.00

REFERENCES

British Columbia Minister of Mines Reports:

- Annual Reports - 1902, p. 994
- 1904, H54
- 1907, H72-73




CERTIFICATE

I, EDWARD R. KRUCHKOWSKI, GEOLOGIST, residing at 23 Templeside Bay, North East, in the City of Calgary, in the Province of Alberta, hereby certify that:

1. I received a Bachelor of Sciences Degree in Geology from the University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta in 1972.
2. I have been practising my profession as an Exploration Geologist since 1972.
3. I am employed by E & B Explorations Ltd., at 2900 Cascade Building, 300 - 5th Avenue S.W., in the City of Calgary, in the Province of Alberta.
4. The work described in this report was undertaken under my direct supervision.

DATED at the City of Calgary, in the Province of Alberta  
This 27 day of *April*, A.D., 1981.

  
E.R. Kruchkowski, B. Sc.  
Geologist



**C. DRILLING** (Details in report submitted as per section 8 of regulations.)  
 (The itemized cost statement must be part of the report.)

		COST
<b>D. GEOLOGICAL, GEOPHYSICAL, GEOCHEMICAL</b> (Details in report submitted as per section 5, 6, or 7 of regulations.) (The itemized cost statement must be part of the report.) (State type of work in space below.)		
Geological/geochemical survey (please refer to report for details)		804.00
<b>TOTAL OF C AND D</b>		<b>804.00</b>

Who paid for the above-described work? Name E&B Explorations Ltd.  
 Address 2900, 300-5th Ave. S.W.  
Calgary, Alberta

<i>Portable Assessment Credits (PAC) Withdrawal Request</i>		AMOUNT
Amount to be withdrawn from owner(s) account(s):		
Name of Owner		
(May be no more than 30 per cent of value of the approved work submitted as assessment work in C and (or) D.)	1. _____	
	2. _____	
	3. _____	
	4. _____	
<b>TOTAL WITHDRAWAL</b>		
<b>TOTAL OF C AND (OR) D PLUS PAC WITHDRAWAL</b>		

I wish to apply \$ \_\_\_\_\_ of this work to the claims listed below.  
 (State number of years to be applied to each claim and its month of record.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Value of work to be credited to portable assessment credit (PAC) account(s).  
 (May only be credited from the approved value of C and (or) D not applied to claims.)

Name		AMOUNT
In owner(s) name.	1. <u>E&amp;B Explorations Ltd.</u>	<u>\$3,081.00</u>
	2. _____	
	3. _____	
In operator(s) name (person paying for the work).	1. _____	
	2. _____	
	3. _____	

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Signature of Applicant)  
 E. Kruchkowski - Project Geologist  
 E&B Explorations Ltd.


E&B PROJECT: Bowser-Unuk

DURATION OF TOTAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM: May 25 - August 26, 1980

SULPHURETS CLAIM, Record #2005

Total 42 field days

Geology (field personnel), rock geochemistry	\$ 804.00
Mob/Demob (field personnel)	1,670.00
Prospecting (field personnel)	8,394.00
Equipment Rental	250.00
Subsistence & Camp Maintenance	1,576.00
Assaying	218.00
Mob/Demob - Transportation, Travel, Freight & Fuel	3,371.00
Miscellaneous - Office Costs	59.00
Office Costs - Report Compilation/Drafting	739.00
	<hr/>
	\$17,081.00



GEOLOGY - (field personnel) for Catspaw, Treaty and Sulphurets Claims

<u>Invoice #</u>	<u>Date</u>		
1006/1098	May, 1980	Field Geologist, 4 field days @ \$176/day	\$ 704.00
1006/1098	May, 1980	Junior Assistant, 4 field days @ \$113.33/day	453.32
1190/1072	August, 1980	Field Geologist, 12 field days @ \$176/day	2,112.00
1190/1072	August, 1980	Junior Assistant, 11 field days @ \$113.33/day	1,246.63
			<hr/>
			\$ 4,515.95

Allocation:

Treaty Claim	-	30.5%	-	\$1,377.36
Sulphurets	-	17.8%	-	\$ 803.84
Catspaw	-	51.7%	-	\$2,334.75

MOB/DEMOB - (field personnel) for Catspaw, Treaty and Sulphurets Claims

<u>Invoice #</u>	<u>Date</u>		
1006/1098	May, 1980	Field Geologist, 7 field days @ \$176/day	\$ 1,232.00
1006/1098	May, 1980	Junior Assistant, 7 field days @ \$113.33/day	793.31
1065/1076	June, 1980	Junior Assistant, 12 field days @ \$113.33/day	1,359.96
1065/1076	June, 1980	Field Geologist, 12 field days @ \$176/day	2,112.00
1190/1072	August, 1980	Field Geologist, 13 field days @ \$176/day	2,288.00
1190/1072	August, 1980	Junior Assistant, 14 field days @ \$114/day	1,596.00
			<hr/>
			\$ 9,381.27

Allocation:

Treaty Claim	-	30.5%	-	\$2,861.29
Sulphurets	-	17.8%	-	\$1,461.07
Catspaw	-	51.7%	-	\$5,058.91

PROSPECTING - (field personnel) for Catspaw, Treaty and Sulphurets Claims

<u>Invoice #</u>	<u>Date</u>		
1006/1098	May, 1980	Junior Prospector, 7 field days @ \$130/day	\$ 910.00
1006/1098	May, 1980	Senior Prospector, 16 field days @ \$156.67/day	2,506.72
1065/1076	June, 1980	Junior Assistant, 9 field days @ \$113.33/day	1,019.97
1065/1076	June, 1980	Field Geologist, 9 field days @ \$176/day	1,584.00
1121/1090	July, 1980	Field Geologist, 19 field days @ \$176/day	3,344.00
1121/1090	July, 1980	Junior Assistant, 19 field days @ \$109.68/day	2,083.92
1121/1090	July, 1980	Senior Prospector, 5 field days @ \$151.61/day	758.05
1121/1090	July, 1980	Junior Prospector, 5 field days @ \$125.81/day	629.05
1156/1087	July, 1980	A&V Harris	4,179.04
1156/1087	July, 1980	A&V Harris	8,938.36
1156/1087	July, 1980	A&V Harris	4,400.00
1156/1087	July, 1980	A&V Harris	7,854.77
1190/1072	August, 1980	Junior Assistant, 16 field days @ \$114/day	1,824.00
1190/1072	August, 1980	Field Geologist, 2 field days @ \$176/day	352.00
1190/1072	August, 1980	Senior Prospector, 32 field days @ \$156.67/day	5,013.44
1190/1072	August, 1980	Junior Prospector, 14 field days @ \$125.81/day	1,761.34
			<hr/>
			\$47,158.66

Allocation:

Treaty Claim	- 30.5%	- \$14,383.39
Sulphurets	- 17.8%	- \$ 8,394.24
Catspaw	- 51.7%	- \$24,381.02

EQUIPMENT - Rental for total area explored

<u>Invoice #</u>	<u>Date</u>		
1006/1098	May, 1980	1-1200 Watt Generator, 12 days @ \$195/mo	\$ 78.00
1006/1098	May, 1980	1-3 Way Fridge, 12 days @ \$60/mo	24.00
1102/1085	June, 1980	Canadian Marconi	903.50
1065/1076	June, 1980	1-1200 Watt Generator, 30 days @ \$195/mo	195.00
1065/1076	June, 1980	1-CP 34 Radio, 24 days @ \$102/mo	82.00
1121/1090	July, 1980	1-1200 Watt Generator, 31 days @ \$195/mo	195.00
1121/1090	July, 1980	1-CP 34 Radio, 24 days @ \$102/mo	82.00
1156/1087	July, 1980	Canadian Marconi	374.10
1190/1072	August, 1980	1-1200 Watt Generator, 31 days @ \$195/mo	195.00
1190/1072	August, 1980	1-CP 34 Radio, 31 days @ \$102/mo	102.00
1210/1102	August, 1980	Canadian Marconi	374.70
			<hr/>
			\$ 2,604.70

Allocation:

Treaty Claim	- 16.5%	- \$429.78
Sulphurets	- 9.6%	- \$250.05
Catspaw	- 27.9%	- \$726.71

SUBSISTENCE & Camp Maintenance for Catspaw, Treaty & Sulphurets Claims

<u>Invoice #</u>	<u>Date</u>		
1006/1098	May, 1980	Subsistence, 45 days @ \$35/day	\$ 1,575.00
1065/1076	June, 1980	Subsistence, 42 days @ \$35/day	1,470.00
1121/1090	July, 1980	Subsistence, 48 days @ \$35/day	1,680.00
1156/1087	July, 1980	Scotty's Holdings Repair	136.26
1190/1072	August, 1980	Subsistence, 114 days @ \$35/day	3,990.00
			<hr/>
			\$ 8,851.26

Allocation:

Treaty Claim	- 30.5%	- \$2,699.63
Sulphurets	- 17.8%	- \$1,575.52
Catspaw	- 51.7%	- \$4,576.10

ASSAYING - for samples collected in total exploration area

<u>Invoice #</u>	<u>Date</u>		
Computer Print-Outs	September, 1980		\$ 1,947.50
Computer Print-Outs	October, 1980		325.55
			<hr/>
			\$ 2,273.05

Allocation:

Treaty Claim	- 16.5%	- \$375.05
Sulphurets	- 9.6%	- \$218.21
Catspaw	- 27.9%	- \$634.18



MOB/DEMOB - Transportation, Travel, Freight & Fuel for total area explored

<u>Invoice #</u>	<u>Date</u>		
1034/1098	May, 1980	R.Rentamaki Expenses	\$ 118.66
1034/1098	May, 1980	M.Balog Expenses	376.30
1034/1098	May, 1980	H.Meyers Expenses	4.00
1034/1098	May, 1980	N.Boa Expenses	360.50
1034/1098	May, 1980	Port O Call Inn	290.63
1034/1098	May, 1980	Avis	652.23
1102/1085	June, 1980	Nolan Boa Expenses	420.00
1074	June, 1980	Vancouver Island Helicopter	461.50
1026	July, 1980	Vancouver Island Helicopter	1,944.00
1156/1087	July, 1980	Air Canada	978.00
1156/1087	July, 1980	R.Rentamaki	420.00
1156/1087	July, 1980	Pacific Western	43.12
1156/1087	July, 1980	C.P. Air	28.75
1156/1087	July, 1980	King Edward Hotel	3,095.60
1081	July, 1980	Vancouver Island Helicopter	2,957.80
2089	August, 1980	Provincial Airlines	43.40
1210/1102	August, 1980	R.Rentamaki	3.00
1210/1102	August, 1980	King Edward Hotel	600.50
1210/1102	August, 1980	R.Arnold	1,297.10
Computer Print-Out	September, 1980	Vancouver Island Helicopter	15,580.10
1027	October, 1980	Vancouver Island Helicopter	5,246.50
1336/1077	October, 1980	Transprovincial Airlines	197.45
			<hr/>
			\$35,119.14

Allocation:

Treaty Claim	- 16.5%	- \$5,794.66
Sulphurets	- 9.6%	- \$3,371.44
Catspaw	- 27.9%	- \$9,798.24

MISCELLANEOUS - Office Costs for Catspaw, Treaty & Sulphurets Claims

<u>Invoice #</u>	<u>Date</u>		
983/1104	April, 1980	Energy, Mines & Resources	\$ 24.00
1102/1085	June, 1980	Bellaire Blue	283.33
1156/1087	July, 1980	Bellaire Blue	9.33
1210/1102	August, 1980	Bellaire Blue	12.93
			<hr/>
			\$ 329.59

Allocation:


Treaty Claim - 30.5% - \$100.52  
 Sulphurets - 17.8% - \$ 58.67  
 Catspaw - 51.7% - \$170.40

OFFICE COSTS - Report Compliation/Drafting for total area explored

<u>Invoice #</u>	<u>Date</u>		
1006/1098	May, 1980	Drafting Supervision, 2 hrs @ \$28/hr.	\$ 56.00
1065/1076	June, 1980	Drafting Supervision, 2 hrs @ \$28/hr.	56.00
1065/1076	June, 1980	Drafting Junior, 19 hrs @ \$14/hr.	266.00
1065/1076	June, 1980	Report Compilation, Field Geologist 9 days @ \$176/day	1,584.00
1065/1076	June, 1980	Report Compilation, Junior Assistant, 9 days @ \$113.33/day	1,019.97
Computer Print-Out	September, 1980 September, 1980	Report Compilation Report Compilation, Field Geologist, 2 days @ \$205/day	3,280.00 1,025.00
1311/1035	October, 1980	Report Compilation, 2 days @ \$205/day	410.00
			<hr/>
			\$ 7,696.97

Cost Share of subject claims:

Treaty Claim - 16.5% - \$1,270.00  
 Sulphurets - 31.2% - \$2,381.00  
 Catspaw - 52.3% - \$3,997.97



GEOLOGICAL BRANCH  
ASSESSMENT REPORT

8,769

LEGEND

- ..... PROSPECTING TRAVERSE
- - - - - INTREPRETED FAULT

**E & B EXPLORATIONS LTD.**

**Sulphurets Claim** *AR.# 8769*  
**Prospecting Traverse Map**

TO ACCOMPANY REPORT BY:	E. R. KRUCHKOWSKI	
C. L. C. DRAFTING VANCOUVER, B. C.	Scale: 1 5000 Project: Bowser - Unuk N.T.S. 104 B/B	Date: June 15, 1981 Figure: 3 Drawn by: C. L. C.