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## GEOPHYSICAL REPORT

ON AN
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
GUMP PROPERTY

## INTRODUCTION

During the period March 3-19, 1981, some 19.5 line kilometers of multiseparation time domain induced polarization survey work was completed on the GUMP property. The work was done under contract for Cominco by Peter E. Walcott and Associates Ltd.

The GUMP property is located in the Highland Valley/Mamit Lake area of B.C., and the present survey was conducted on the ice over Mamit Lake. Plate 1 shows the general location of the property, and plate 2 the location of the survey lines with respect to the claims and geographic features.

This report describes the methodology of the survey, presents the data, and discusses the results.

## INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY

A Crone IPR-4 IP receiver in combination with a Huntec 7.5 kw motor generator/transmitter were used on the GUMP survey. Readings were taken in the time domain using a 2 second on/2 second off alternating square wave signal. The Crone receiver uses a delay time of 450 mjlliseconds and integration time of 450 msecs . The Crone values were divided by a factor of 1.6 as an approximate conversion to the Huntec M4 ( $t_{d}=120 \mathrm{msec}$; $\mathrm{tm}=900 \mathrm{msec}$ ) which was used on a larger survey immediately west of Mamit Lake in the fall of 1980 . The chargeability values are given in units of milliseconds.

The survey was of a regional reconnaisance nature with survey lines 400 meters apart, with some fill in to 200 meters. A pole dipole electrode array was used with an "a" spacing of 100 meters and " $n$ " separations of $1,2,3$, and 4 . The current electrode was kept to the east of the potential electrodes on all survey lines.

For stations on the lake ice, a hole was drilled through the ice and a copper screen lowered into the water to make contact. Stations $41 E$ to $49 E$ on line 165 were surveyed both with the screen in the water and with the screens lowered into the sediments below the water. Repeatability of the two methods was very good (see plate 207-81-7).

The westernmost portions of lines 4 S to 24 S overlap with the fall 1980 M4 survey. The converted Crone values agree quite well with the M4 values, as indicated on the pseudosections.

The apparent resistivity values are given in units of ohm meters and were calculated from the relation:

$$
\text { apparent resistivity }=(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{I}) \cdot \mathrm{K} \text {, }
$$

where $V$ is the voltage across the measuring dipole during the current (I) on period, and $K$ is a geometric factor dependant on the "a" spacing and "a" separation.

In constraining the new grid to fit spacially into the old lines on the west and the power line and road on the east, it was found the station interval had to be drafted slightly less than 100 meters.

## DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The induced polarization survey results are plotted in pseudosection format on accompanying plates 207-81-5 to 11. The chargeability response has been categroized on the sections using the same response levels as the 1980 GUMP. survey, namely:

```
Strong IP high ( 10 msecs at near separation)
Moderate IP high ( \(8-10\) mecs at near separation)
Weak IP high (5-8 msecs at near separation)
    5 msecs. at further separation
```

These categories appear to be somewhat too low for the present survey, as the majority of the Mamit Lake survey area has values of greater than 5 msecs., that is the present survey area has a higher background chargeability than the iarger GUMP area surveyed in 1980.

The $n=1$ chargeability and apparent resistivity values are also presented in contour plan form on plates 207-81-3 and 4 respectively.

The chargeability anomalies have been labelled from $D$ to K. Anomalies D to I have already been discussed in the previous report (Feb. 1981) and will not be considered here. The only changes made have been to join $G$ and $H$, and to isolate the southern extremity of $H$.

Anomaly I has been found to continue east across the lake. It remains partially open to the east, completely open to the north, and joins with anomaly $J$ to the south.

Anomaly $J$ trends in the same direction as $H$ and has high chargeability readings ( 9.0 msecs.).

Anomaly $K$ also has strong chargeability readings. This anomaly could possibly join with $J$ to the north and remains open to the south and east.

Taken as an aggregate these anomalies seem to delineate 3 intersecting zones. Anomalies $D, E$, and the southern extremity of $H$, anomalies $G, H$, and $J$, and anomalies $I$ and $J$ all form linear structures radiating from $K$.

There is little correlation with the resistivity and chargeability plans. The north-south resistivity trend for one is in a completely different direction. Resistivity highs or lows do not correlate consistantly with chargeability highs. A large resistivity low occurs in, and in the direct vicinity of, Mamit Lake, probably due to lake or valley sediments.

There is occasionally a large error between coincident readings in the 1980 and 1981 surveys. This has been attributed to slightly different dipole spacings used between the surveys and the possibility that the 1981 lines are displaced from eastern end of the 1980 lines.

The power line and/or pipeline to the east of Mamit Lake have some influence on the readings on various lines. The pseudo-sections on lines $2200 \mathrm{~S}, 2600 \mathrm{~S}$, and 3600 S show definite cultural effects. The areas of anomalies $I, J$, and $K$ in which the power line occurs are therefore suspect to this outside influence.

## CONCLUSIONS

Chargeability anomalies H and I from the 1980 IP survey have been followed across Mamit Lake. Anomalies I and $K$ remain open to the north, south, and east. Three linear structures which include a combination of anomalies $D, E, H$, anomalies $G, H, J$, and anomalies $I$ and $J$ all intersecting at anomaly K seem to indicate a "W" like structure.

The resistivity plan of the first separation does not correlate with the chargeabilitites. The trend appears north-south, which is similar to the 1980 magnetics survey. A resistivity low occurs in the area of Mamit Lake.

Respectively submitted:


Alan R. Scott, Geophysicist

> Endorsed for release by:


Distribution: Mining Recorder (2) Exploration, Western District Western District (1) Geophysics file (1) Vernon Office (1)

## APPENDIX I

IN THE MATTER OF THE B.C. MINERAL ACT
AND IN THE MATTER OF A GEOPHYSICAL PROGRAMME
CARRIED OUT ON PORTIONS OF THE MJC MINERAL CLAIMS
ON THE GUMP PROPERTY
LOCATED AT MAMIT LAKE IN THE NICOLA MINING DIVISION OF THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, MORE PARTICULARLY

$$
\text { N.T.S.: } 921-7
$$

## STATEMEMT

I, Alan R. Scott, of the City of Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, make oath and say:-

1. THAT I am employed as a geophysicist by Cominco Ltd. and, as such have a personal knowledge of the facts to which I hereinafter depose;
2. THAT the annexed hereto and marked as "Appendix II" to this statement is a true copy of expenditures incurred on geophysical survey on the GUMP Property;
3. THAT the said expenditures were incurred for the purpose of mineral exploration of the above noted claims between the 3rd day and 19th day of March, 1981.

Signed:


## APPENDIX II

## STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES

GUMP PROPERTY
(Induced Polarization Survey)

1. Contract Geophysics
(Peter E. Walcott \& Assoc. Ltd.) \$11,684.21 Invoice No. 1535
2. Data Processing, report preparation, supervision 1,350.00

TOTAL EXPENDITURES $\$ 73,034.21$
I NELUDING LINECUTTING

## CERTIFICATION

I, Alan R. Scott, of 4013 West 14 th Avenue, in the City of Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, do hereby certify:-

1. THAT I graduated from the University of British Columbia in 1970 with a B.Sc. in Geophysics;
2. THAT I am a member of the Association of Professional Engineers of the Province of Saskatchewan, the Society of Exploration Geophysicists of America, and the British Columbia Geophysical Society;
3. THAT I have been practising my profession for the past eleven years.

Signed:


```
ASSESSMENT REPORT
    OF LINECUTTING
ON THE GUMP PROPERTY
```

(Antler 1-7, Score 1, Lake 1\&2
Elf 1, 3-8, HJC 1-3, Tom, Kam 1-4,
Ore 1\&2, Jet 1-8, Bud 1-4, Mag 1\&2,
Set 1-6, Ford 1\&2, Snow 1-8, Dan 1\&2,
Claims)
HIGHLAND VALLEY AREA, NICOLA M.D., B.C.
(Work performed February 8 - March 1, 1981)

LATITUDE: $50^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ LONGITUDE: $120^{\circ} 50$ ' W

REPORT BY:
M.J. CASSELMAN
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HIGHLAND VALLEY AREA, NICOLA M.D., B.C.

## INTRODUCTION

During the interval of February 8th to March 1st, 1981, cut lines and a baseline were established on the Gump property by D. Martinson Linecutting and Staking Ltd. The linecutting represents an extension of a grid established by Cominco Ltd. in 1979 and 1980 and is to facilitate an IP survey which will commence in June, 1981. The E-W oriented crosslines and the baseline were flagged and blazed. The crosslines were spaced at 400 meter intervals and no distances were marked along these lines as requested by the IP crew. The baseline is the extension of one of the previously established surveyed control lines.

## LOCATION AND ACCESS

The Gump property is situated on the east side of the Guichon Creek Batholith about 30 km north of Merritt. The property extends east-west from just east of Chataway Lake to just east of Mamit Lake and north-south from about 3.0 km SE of Gypsum Lake to just north of Gump Lake. Good road access exists to most of the east side of the property via the Merritt to Logan Lake highway or by dirt roads extending from this highway just south and north of Mamit Lake. Access to the west and central parts of the property is through the network of roads extending from either the Chataway Lake road which runs north from the Craigmont Mine access road or from the Billy Lake road which runs south from the Highland Valley highway, about 6 km west of Logan Lake.

TOPOGRAPHY AND VEGETATION
The claim area comprises moderately steep to rolling topography locally dissected by north-south striking gulleys. The area is mostly covered by fir and jack pine. Elevations range from about 1050 m to 1450 m above sea level.

OWNERSHIP

The Gump property, located in the Nicola Mining District, consists of 32 claims totalling 261 units. These claims are $100 \%$ Cominco owned with the exception of the Score, Lake, Tom, Kam, Ore, Jet, Bud, Mag, Set, Ford, Snow and Don claims which are optioned. Listed below are the claims, record numbers and number of units.

| CLAIM | RECORD NO. | NUMBER OF UNITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elf 1 | 47 | 8 |
| Elf 3 | 49 | 12 |
| Elf 4 | 50 | 10 |
| Elf 5 | 809 | 20 |
| Elf 6 | 810 | 2 |
| Elf 7 | 811 | 20 |
| Elf 8 | 893 | 20 |
| Antler 1 | 601 | 18 |
| Antler 2 | 602 | 20 |
| Antler 3 | 603 | 20 |
| Antler 4 | 604 | 20 |
| Antler 5 | 605 | 12 |
| Antler 6 | 812 | 18 |
| Antler 7 | 813 | 15 |
| MJC 1 | 847 | 18 |
| MJC 2 | 848 | 12 |
| MJC 3 | 889 | 16 |
| Lake 1 | 536 | 20 |
| Lake 2 | 537 | 20 |
| Score 1 | 534 | 20 |
| Tom | 522 | 4 |
| Kam 1 to 4 | 547 to 550 | 4 |
| Ore 1 \& 2 | 578, 579 | 2 |
| Jet 1 to 6 | 591 to 596 | 6 |
| Bud 1 to 4 | 597 to 600 | 4 |
| Mag $1 \& 2$ | 642, 643 | 2 |
| Jet 7 \& 8 | 644, 645 | 2 |
| Set 1 to 6 | 668 to 673 | 6 |
| Ford 1 \& 2 | 674, 675 | 2 |
| Snow 1 to 6 | 836 to 841 | 6 |
| Dan 1 \& 2 | 842, 843 | 2 |
| Snow 7 \& 8 | 849, 850 | 2 |

## LINECUTTING

In 1979 and 1980 one baseline and two surveyed control lines, all north-south oriented, were put in as controls for the E-W oriented crosslines cut in those same years. The 1981 linecutting program represents an extension of this earlier established grid. The baseline extends south for 7.5 km from the Legal Corner Post of the Score 1 claim which represents the $4+00 \mathrm{~N}$ position on
the line. The two control lines traverse the length of the property, parallel, and are located 1.' km east and west, respectively, from the baseline. The east control is 13 km long with a 1 km offset in the northern part in order to circumnavigate a cliff. The west control line is 11 km long.

In 198172.7 km of E-W oriented crosslines and 2 km of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ oriented baseline were cut. The crosslines are spaced at 400 m intervals. The baseline is the south continuation of the eastern surveyed control line, but was itself not surveyed. All crosslines and the baseline were blazed and flagged. No distances were placed along the $E-W$ lines as requested by the geophysicists who will conduct the IP survey. The cut lines and the baseline cost $\$ 155 / \mathrm{km}$.
 for $\begin{gathered}\text { G. Harden } \\ \text { Manager, }\end{gathered}$ Exploration

MJC/sw

## Distribution:

Department of Mines (2) $\checkmark$
W.D. File (1)

Vernon File (1)

## APPENDIX "A"

## COMINCO LTD.

Statement of Expenditure for Linecutting on the Gump Property

SUPERVISION
M.J. Casselman - February 9 \& 23, 1981 (2 days @ \$198/day) \$ 396.00 REPORT WRITING
M.J. Casselman - March 17 \& 18, 1981 (2 days @ \$198/day) 396.00 LINECUTTING

Crosslines - 70.7 km @ $\$ 155 / \mathrm{km}$
Baseline - 2.0 km @ $\$ 155 / \mathrm{km}$

10,958.50
310.00

TOTAL
12,060.50


## APPCNIX "B"

## COMINCO LTD.

## STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, MICHAEL J. CASSELIMAN, DF THE CITY OF VERNON, BRITISH COLUMBIA, HEREBY CERTIFY:

1. THAT I AM A GEOLOGIST, RESIDING AT 14056 PONDEROSA DRIVE, VERNON, BRITISH COLUIABIA, WITH A BUSINESS ADDRESS AT 4405 - 28th STREET, VERNON, BRITISH COLU:IBIA.
2. THAT I GRADUATED WITH B.Sc. AND M.Sc. DEGREES IN GEOLOGY FROH THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA IN 1969 AND CARLETON UNIVERSITY IN 1977.
3. THAT I HAVE PRACTISED GEOLOGY WITH COMINCO LTD. FROM 1969 TO THE PRESENT.

DATED THIS 17th day of March, 1981 at Vernon, British Columbia.
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\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
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