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## INTRODUCTION

The Eureka property was first discovered by prospector E. Scholtes in 1958. The surface of the original property was increased to 177 units in good standing.

Previous work on the property focused on cirques 1,2 and 7 and was carried out by Helicon Exploration (1965-66), Mr. H. Travis (1959), AMAX (1970), Rio Tinto (1972) and Noranda (1974). All of them were exploring for a porphyry copper, type of deposit.

UMEX Inc. optioned the property from E. Scholtes and J. Carson of Williams Lake in Spring 1981.

The centre of the property lies at $52^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $120^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ longitude on the Quesnel Lake map sheet (93A). The claims lie at an elevation ranging between 1500 and 2430 meters above sea level (Eureka Peak) between Crooked Lake and Mackay River, 100 kilometers east of Williams Lake; B.C., within the Cariboo Mining Division (Figure 1).

Access to the northern part of the property is possible by good logging roads either from Willaims Lake or 100 Mile House, followed by a six mile long four-wheel drive dirt road built by Helicon Exploration in 1966. The access to the southern part is only possible by helicopter, which is based in Williams Lake, but numberous landings are available.

The property, which covers most of the north-west south-east trending ridge of Eureka Mountain, is mainly rugged terrain. The north-east slope, mostly composed of ' $U$ ' shaped glacial cirques, present a large percentage of areas accessible only to experienced mountain climbers. The south-west slope is less steep and easily accessible.

With 1200 mm ( 2500 mm in Vancouver) of precipitation, mostly snow, and with a daily temperature of $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in July and $-17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in January (less than 60 frost-free days) the climate is typical cryoboreal. The field season is limited from June to September but snow storms are still possible during this period.

The vegetation, which consists mostly of evergreen trees, varies gradually with the altitude from Western Hemlock in the Mackay River Valley to Subalpine Englemann Spruce and Fir in the cirques and above 1700 meters appears the alpine tundra.

The field work which consisted of mapping the major anomalous areas delineated in 1981 and the reconnaissance of the claims staked last winter, mainly rock sampling, was completed by A. Chevalier and G. Pringle from the 21 st of July to the 6th of August. Seventy one (71) rock samples and 15 soils were collected during this period.

## CLATM STATUS

The property is divided into 4 claim groups as described below and shown on the accompanying claim map (Figure 2).

Group I - EN-1

| Claim | Record No. | Units | Expiry Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EN 1 | 30398 | $I$ |  |
| EN 2 | 30399 | 1 | August 5, 1983 |
|  |  |  | August 5, 1983 |

## $\bigcirc$ Group I - EN-I (cont.)

| Claim | Record No. | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EN 3 | 30400 | 1 |
| EN 4 | 30401 | 1 |
| EN 5 | 30402 | 1 |
| EN 6 | 30403 | 1 |
| EN 14 | 30477 | 1 |
| EN 28 | 30646 | 1 |
| EN 29 | 30647 | 1 |
| EN 104 | 30618 | 1 |
| EN 105 | 30619 | 1 |
| EN 106 | 30620 | 1 |
| EN 107 | 30621 | 1 |
| EN 109 | 30623 | 1 |
| EN 129 | 30611 | 1 |
| EM 11 | 65079 | 2 |
| EM 12 | 65080 | 2 |
|  | TOTAL UNITS | 19 |

Group II - EM-2

| $\underline{\text { Claim }}$ | Record No. | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1688 | 1 |
| SF 2 | 1689 | 1 |
| SF 3 | 1690 | 1 |
| SF 4 | 1691 | 1 |
| EM 2 | 57929 | 20 |
| EM 3 | 57930 | 20 |
| EM 4 | 57931 | 12 |
|  | TOTAL UNITS | 56 |
| Group III - EM-6 |  |  |
| Claim | Record No. | Units |
| NS 1 | 3373 | 1 |
| NS 2 | 3374 | 1 |
| CS 55 | 48017 | 1 |
| CS 56 | 48018 | 1 |
| EM 1 | 57928 | 16 |
| EM 5 | 57932 | 18 |
| EM 6 | 16956 | 16 |
| TOTAL UNITS ........... 54 |  |  |

## Expiry Date

May 30,-1983
May 30, 1983
May 30, 1984
May 30, 1984
March 26, 1983
March 26, 1983
March 26, 1983

## Expiny Date

April 2, 1983
April 2, 1983
October 24, 1983
October 24, 1983
March 26, 1983
March 26, 1983
March 26, 1983

Group IV - EM-7
see next page

Group IV - EM-7 (cont.)

| Claim | Record No. | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EM 7 |  | 24293 |
| EM 8 | 24294 | 8 |
| EM 9 | . | 24295 |
|  |  | TOTAL UNITS |
|  |  | ....... |
|  |  | 48 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Expiry Date } \\
& \text { January 11, } \\
& \text { January 11, } 1983 \\
& \text { January 11, } 1983
\end{aligned}
$$

## GEOLOGY AND MINERALIZATION

Underlain by rocks of the Quesnel Belt the Eureka property is located on the eastern edge of the Quesnel Trough near its contact with older rocks of the Antler formation (amphibolites) and the Snowshoe formation (phyllite, schist and gneiss), a part of the late Paleozoic Cariboo group within the Omineca Belt (Figure 3).

Numerous different rock types are widely exposed on the property: sediments, amphibolites, volcanics and intrusive plutons (Figure 10).

Both the sediments and the volcanics were affected by regional metamorphism. Sub-greenschist facies of metamorphism, greenschist faces of metamorphism and amphibolite facies of metamorphism are observed on the property. The metamorphic grade decreases from the north to the south.

The sedimentary rocks underlay the property mainly on its southeastern and southwestern part. They are composed by dark phyllite, argillite, slaty argillite and minor limestone of Triassic or Jurassic age.

The volcanic rocks consist of dark grey to dark green andesitic augite porphyry (augite are usually dark green), tuffs, monogenic augite porphyry breccia, dykes and sills of Upper Triassic to early Jurassic age. The volcanic rocks are exposed mainly on the upper level of Eureka ridge and are widely extended to the south on the centre of the property.

Epiclastic sedimentary and volcanic rocks have a wide range of thicknesses and are interbedded.

Two intrusive plugs outcrop on the property. The first and major one, which is about two kilometers long and 500 m wide, is located from cirque 2 to the centre part of cirque 3, and present the major mineralized zones, the second one, significantly smaller, is located on cirque 8 and 9 but appears to be devoid of any mineralization.

The intrusive plug of cirque 2 and 3 is not homogenous and composed of only one rock type but shows complex relations between different intrusive phases.

The area of cirques 2 and 3 is underlain by a series of hypabyssal intrusives ranging from leucocratic, possibly monzonite porphyry to ultrabasic rocks consisting of pyroxenes and fine grained dykes.

Some of the more intermediate intrusive rock types have undergone incipient serpentinization over a distance of over 100 meters in the SE part of cirque 2 (location of EN-4) where abundant crosscutting ferromagnesian veinlets were found to occur.

On the southern part of the No. 2 cirque there occurs a pyroxenite dyke or plug having a length of at least 100 meters, which contained abundant cpy and po. The dyke or plug would be related to the thick unit of ultrabasic occurring in the NE, running parallel to the porphyry units over a considerable distance. This large serpentinite sill or dyke may be correlatable to unit 9 a , which has been tentatively classed as pre-Triassic on the G.S.C. map although this unit had not been mapped on Eureka Mountain.

Two scales of tectonic features are represented on the Eureka property. On the regional scale (over a km) appears a asymmetric syncline trending southeast northwest and dissects the property in the centre. The southwest flank is dipping gently to the northeast whereas the northeast flank is dipping sharply (over $80^{\circ}$ ) to the southwest on the western part of the property and gradually overturns going to the east. A schistosity mainly well developed in the epiclastic sediment is associated with this syncline. A major north-south vertical fault can be followed through EM 7 and 9 claims. On a smaller scale (m to dm) an significant shearing is developed in two directions ( $E-W, N-S$ ) transverse to regional structures.

The area of mineralization occurs within both Triassic or Jurassic sediments and volcanics as well as within porphyritic intrusives of probable Cretaceous age.

The statistical studies of the data indicate that there may be several mineralizing events or processes operative in the area. This is borne out by the significantly different correlations between the various elements in different parts of the structure.

Disseminated sulfides consisting of pyrrohotite, pyrite, chalcopyrite, galena and sphalerite were common in varying amounts in all the rock types, although greater concentration were found in the ultrabasic units. Furthermore, where the sulfides in the porphyries of acid composition were commonly found to occur as veinlets of fine disseminations, and more rarely as massive veinlets, the sulfides within the ultrabasic rocks were more commonly in the form of exsolution blebs. It could be surmised that these were-of two very different origin: - The sulfides occurringwithin the acid porphyries could be related to a hypogene late event whereas the sulfides in the ultrabasic rocks could have been originated as co-magmatic precipitates.

The copper mineralization on the northwestern part of the structure is reported to occur within argillites (cirque 7), whereas in the southern part of the structure the mineralization has been observed within intrusive porphyries as well as ultrabasic and basic dykes.

Within the acid porphyritic dyke rocks the copper mineralization became more intense in the areas where strong shearing occurred. This shearing was transverse to regional structure, having an approximately E-W direction, and dipping steeply to the north. Also assoclated with these shears one finds the occasional narrow quartz vein which was mineralized in places.

Gold and silver mineralization are located mainly by the augite porphyry, where they occur in highly bleached, altered and sheared zones, and in the black pyrite rich slaty argillite. They equally occur in numerous acid dykes or sills within the augite porphyry and sediments.

Lead and zinc occur within the intrusive or in the vicinity of small veinlets or disseminated in the black argillite.
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It is recommended to hire a guide and carry out extensive rock sampling to measure the gold grade in the outcropping bedrock on the anomaly of cirques 5, 3, and Eureka Peak.

It is also recommended to complete the part of the program not completed in the 1982 field season.

Respectfully submitted,


Alan Chevalier, Geologist.

0A $\underline{P} \underline{P} \underline{E} \underline{N} \underline{I} \underline{X}$

## Personnel:

July 2lst to August 6, 1982 $\begin{array}{llr}\text { A. Chevalier } \quad 17 \text { days @ } \$ 126.96 / \text { day } & \$ 1,988.32 \\ \text { G. Pringle } & 16 \text { days @ } \$ 75.20 / \text { day } & 1,278.40\end{array}$
July 2lst to August 6, 1982
Truck Rental Equivalent 17 days @ $\$ 55.00 /$ day 935.00

Accommodations \& Food:
$\$ 15.00 /$ man/day $\quad 34$ man days 510.00

Analyses:
72 rocks, 16 soils analyzed for Au by AA and 26 element ICP 1,000.00
Okanagan Helicopter 1,844.64

Report Writing, Drafting, Typing:

| H. Holm | I day drafting | $\$ 138.00 /$ day | 138.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. Chevalier | I day report writing | $\$ 126.96 /$ day | 126.96 |
| Typing and miscellaneous office supplies |  | 100.00 |  |
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## Arsenic

The arsenic content of the rocks collected on the Eureka property range between 1 (detection limit) and 294 ppm . The statistical curve for arsenic shows two distinct populations of values. The first population which forms the background is from 0 to 7 ppm As, the second one, above 8 ppm As, is anomalous and represents $10 \%$ of the samples (Figure 4).

Arsenic presents a fair positive correlation with gold.
Arsenic does not show any particular association with any rock types.

## Gold

The gold content of the rocks collected on the Eureka property range between 5 (detection limit) and 900 ppb . The statistical curve for gold shows two distinct populations of values. The first population which forms the background is below 10 ppb Au , the second one, above 100 ppb Au , is anomalous and represents $20 \%$ of the samples (Figure 5).

The samples with highly anomalous gold content are located in the upper parts of cirques 3 and 5. This, added to the fact that Eric Scholtes' samples collected in 1981 show that those two cirques are floats and not outcrop confirm the existance of two gold rich zones. The first one is located between the eastern shoulder of Eureka Peak and the southern part of the ridge between cirques 2 and 3. The second one is located on the morthwestern flank of the ridge between cirques 4 and 5. Possibly the two zones are even joined. Rock obtained from these two zones assayed above 1000 ppb Au (A53 - 7800 ppb ).

Anomalous gold occurs mostly in the highly altered zones of the augite porphyry.

## Zinc

The zinc content of the rocks collected on the Eureka property range between 1 (detection limit) and 1314 ppm . The statistical curve for zinc shows two distinct populations of values. The first population which forms the background is from 0 to 50 ppm Zn , the second one, above 50 ppm Zn , is anomalous and represents $15 \%$ of the samples. (Figure 6).

Except for one sample, anomalous zinc occurs in the augite porphyry.

## Lead

The lead zontent of the rocks collected on the Eureka property range between 1 (detection limit) and 141 ppm . The statistical curve for lead shows two distinct populations of values. The first population which forms the background is from 0 to 5 ppm Pb , the second one, above 5 ppm Pb , is anomalous and represents $15 \%$ of the samples. (Figure 7).

In comparison with the previous years results the anomalous populations were above 40 ppm only one sample might be anomalous. This sample (EG82-53) is also characterized by a high Zn content ( 528 ppm ) and was collected in the thick black argillitic sequence underlaying the new claim group. This sample and others collected in 1981 emphasizes the potential of the Eureka property for shale-hosted lead-zinc deposits.

## Copper

The copper content of the rocks collected on the Eureka property range between 31 and 1014 ppm. The statistical curve for copper shows three distinct populations of values. The first population which forms the background is from 0 to 100 ppm Cu , the second one, ranging between 100 and 400 ppm Cu , and the third one, above 400 ppm Cu. The last two populations are anomalous and respectively represent $45 \%$ and $5 \%$ of the samples (Figure 8).

The anomalous samples with a copper content above 400 ppm were collected in the intrusive or in the vicinity.

## Silver

The silver content of the rocks collected on the Eureka property ranges between 0.1 (detection limit) and 1.8 ppm silver. The statistical curve for silver shows two distinct populations of values. The first population which forms the background if from 0 to 0.4 ppm Ag , the second one, above 0.4 ppm Ag , is anomalous and represents $15 \%$ of the samples (Figure 9).

The samples containing anomalous amounts of silver are hosted by augite porphyry and were found in cirque 5 where they seem to be associated with gold.

## Soils

Soils were only collected in the northern flat part of cirque 2 and are plotted on Figure 10. The copper content of the soil samples is only anomalou's on the west line and it might be caused by eluvial deposits.

As it is commonly found in British Columbia that background values of gold in soils are less than 5 ppb . It is assumed that the sample containing more than 5 ppb Au is caused by gold mineralization in bedrock. With the exception of one soil, all the soils have an anomalous gold content.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Bad weather conditions only allowed a reduced program to be carried out in the 1982 field season. The extension on the anomalous zones of cirque 5, south of Eureka Ridge, and the eastern shoulder of Eureka Peak were not visited.

The high potential for porphyry copper as well as copper-gold mineralization is still indicated.

The mapping and partial rock sampling of the gold-silver mineralization located on cirques 5 and 3, discovered in 1981, gave encouraging results.

The property also shows significant anomalous values of lead-zinc especially in the argililite which indicates a potential for shale-hosted lead-zinc deposits.

The new claims staked in the winter of 1981-82 were partially covered by the rock sampling survey but do not show very promising results.

I, Alain Chevalier, of 2763 West 1lth Avenue, Vancouver, B. C., -hereby certify that:

1. I am a graduate of University of Lausanne, B.Sc., Geology in 1978 and M.Sc., Geology in 1980.
2. I have practiced my profession since 1979 with various mining companies.
3. Since 1981 I have been employed as Project Geologist with UMEX Inc:
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