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## INTRODUCTION

Location, Access and Terrain

The Baldwin-McVicar properties (Lat. $49^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, Long. $123^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) are located in southwestern British Columbia, about 8 km east-southeast of the port of Squamish (Figure 1). The claims are situated between two major, northwest flowing drainages; Stawamus River and Raffuse Creek (Figure 2).

Access is by 4 -wheel drive vehicle along a main haulage logging road heading east from Squamish, thence along an abandoned logging road on the western slopes above Raffuse Creek.

The terrain is rugged with elevations ranging from 400 m in the main valleys to 1500 m along the ridges. Tributary creeks, feeding the main drainages, are deeply incised.

Most of the area is timbered or "clear cut" by recent logging operations. The older logged areas are covered with a second growth of bushes and shrubs. Above 1400 m the vegetation changes from coniferous forest to more open alpine vegetation

The climate is moderate. The higher elevations receive abundant snowfall during the winter months; much of this snow remains until mid-summer.

Property History and Definition

The McVicar mineral showings were discovered and explored in the early $1900^{\prime}$ s. Work included trenching and the driving of short adits. Britannia Mining and Smelting Company optioned the "McVicar"
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properties, and eventually tested the more impressive showings by diamond drilling. In 1946, the "McVicar" properties were acquired by Western Surf Inlet Company and diamond drilling was carried out during the summers of 1953 and 1954. Reports on the property by Victor Dolmage, consulting geologist, summarize this work. In 1969, the property was optioned to Croydon Mines, who conducted a regional wide-spread TURAM-EM survey. During the 1971-72 season, 4072 feet of NQ diamond drilling was carried out under the joint venture agreement between Croydon Mines and Dowa Mining Company of Japan; results were generally disappointing. In 1977, Texasgulf Canada Ltd., now Kidd Creek Mines Ltd., staked the Baldwin 1, 2 and 3 claims adjoining the McVicar Crown Grants and subsequently optioned the grants from Matachewan Consolidated Mines Ltd., who had acquired the interests of Western Surf Inlet. The Baldwin 4 and 5 claims were staked by Texasgulf Canada L.td. in the summer of 1978, on favourable ground to the immediate north.

During 1978, geological mapping (1:5000 and 1:500), trench sampling soil and silt sampling and geophysical orientation surveys were conducted by Texasgulf over the Baldwin-McVicar area.

In $1981,855.5 \mathrm{~m}$ of BQ diamond drilling was carried out in the vicinity of the Whistler showings on the property with discouraging results.

The property now consists of 28 units aggregating 700 hectares in 3 MGS claims, the Ealdwin 1, 2 and 3 claims and 12 Crown Granted claims, the McVicar claims (Figures 3a, 3b). The Baldwin 4 and 5 claims were allowed to lapse in 1979.

Summary of Work Completed

## Geophysical surveys

During the period June 9-11, 1982, a helicopter-borne combined magnetic, VLF-EM, electromagnetic survey, totalling 300 linekilometres, was flown over the Baldwin-McVicar property and adjacent area in the Indian River Belt. The survey was flown in order to identify massive sulphide targets. Subsequently, from August 18 to 31, 1982, follow-up (magnetics; HLEM; IP) surveys and geologic examination were carried out, on the property, over the better conductors located during the airborne survey.

Work Distribution

The helicopter-borne geophysical survey included the entire McVicar Group (Figures 3a, 3b) which consists of the following claims and Crown Granted claims

| Baldwin 1 | Record No. 202 | Grouse Fr. CG | Lot No. 6157 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Baldwin 2 | Record No. 203 | Harding CG | Lot No. 6152 |
| Baldwin 3 | Record No. 208 | Rainstorm CG | Lot No. 6153 |
| Whistler CG | Lot No. 6160 | Cabin Fr CG | Lot No. 6158 |
| Heather CG | Lot No. 6159 | Noonday CG | Lot No. 6154 |
| Lily CG | Lot No. 6161 | STide Fr. CG | Lot No. 6156 |
| Rose CG | Lot No. 6163 | Mamquam CG | Lot No. 6155 |
|  |  | Violet CG | Lot No. 6162 |

Ground follow-up surveys were confined to the Baldwin 2 and 3 claims; Whistler, Lily and Grouse Fraction Crown Granted claims.

The property is underlain by a structurally complex pile of intermediate to felsic volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks belonging to the Cretaceous Gambier Group and located within the Indian River Pendant. This pendant lies within the Coast Crystalline Complex and is connected to the Britannia Pendant to the southwest by a 'bridge' of volcanic rock.

Local showings of massive and stringer sulphides, associated with quartz stockworks and silicified zones, are hosted in this southwesterly dipping pile of rocks. Limited exposure in conductor localities hampered detailed geologic examination. A geology map of the property, is shown in Figure 4 (DeLancey, 1978).

## GEOPHYSICS

Airborne and limited ground follow-up geophysical surveys were conducted, as follows, to identify massive sulphide targets.

Helicopter-borne Electromagnetic, Magnetic, VLF-EM Survey

A combined electromagnetic, magnetic, VLF-EM helicopter-borne survey was conducted over the McVicar Group and adjacent areas from June 9 to June 11, 1981 (Figure 3). A total of 300 line-kilometres at approximately 200 metre line-kilometre spacing was flown by Aerodat Ltd., for Kidd Creek Mines Ltd., using a helicopter operated by Quasar Aviation. Details and results of the survey are contained in their report, included as Appendix A, and Figures 5 to 7.

Ground Surveys

A limited follow-up geophysical program was conducted by Kidd Creek Mines Ltd. personnel on the McVicar Group from August 18 to August 31, 1982 following receipt of preliminary results from the airborne survey. Magnetic ( 0.6 line-km), horizontal loop electromagnetic ( 3.4 line-km) and induced polarization ( 3.2 line-km) surveys checked several weak airborne anomalies as indicated in Figure 6. Ground anomalies are named after the flight number on which they were recorded eg "21A" would be the first anomaly recorded on flight line 21. Induced polarization work in the "old grid" area checked an anomaly discovered in 1981.

Survey procedure, instrumentation, results and a discussion of the results, as reported by G. Hendrikson, are contained in Appendix B and Figures 6; 8-13.

CONCLUSION

The helicopter-borne geophpysical survey located a number of low conductance conductors, typical of "structural"-type conductors or very minor mineralization. No conductive sulphide deposit appears to exist within 70 m of the surface. Ground investigations of the weak anomalies gave negative results.

G. Hendrikson
R.L. Scott Hogg
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report describes an airborne geophysical survey carried out on behalf of Kidd Creek Mines Limited by Aerodat Limited. Equipment operated included a 3 frequency electromagnetic system, a magnetometer and a VLF-EM system, as well as a Motorola MRS III radar positioning system.

The survey, located in the vicinity of Squamish B. C., was flown during the period June 9 to June 11,1982 from an operations base at Squamish. A total of 300 line kilometers was flown.

## 2. SURVEY AREA

The survey area is indicated on the map below. The flight lines were flown in a roughly NE/SW direction parallel to the survey boundary at a mean spacing of 200 meters.


## 3. AIRCRAFT EQUIPMENT AND PERSONNEL

### 3.1 Aircraft

The helicopter used for the survey was Bell 206L owned and operated by Quasar Aviation. Installation of the geophysical and ancillary equipment was carried out by Aerodat at Squamish. The helicopter was operated at a mean terrain clearance of 60 meters, where safety permitted.
3.2 Equipment

### 3.2.1 Electromagnetic System

The electromagnetic system was an Aerodat/ Geonics/Geotech 3 frequency system. Two vertical coaxial coil pairs were operated at 935 and 4570 Hz and a horizontal coplanar coil pair at 4270 Hz . The transmitterreceiver separation was 6 meters. In-phase and quadrature signals were measured simultaneously for the 3 frequencies with a time-constant of 0.1 seconds. The EM bird was towed 30 meters below the helicopter.

### 3.2.2 VLF-EM System

The VLF-EM system was Herz Totem 2A. This
instrument measures the total field and quadrature components of the two selected frequencies; Jim Creek, Washington (NLK/24.8 KHz ) and Cutler, Maine (NAA/17.8 KHz ). The sensor was towed in a bird 15 meters below the helicopter.

### 3.2.3 Magnetometer

The magnetometer was a Geometrics G-803 proton precession type. The sensitivity of the instrument was 1 gamma at a 1 second sample rate. The sensor was towed in a bird 15 meters below the helicopter.

### 3.2.4 Magnetic Base Station

An IFG proton precession type magnetometer was operated at the base of operations to record diurnal variations of the earths magnetic field. The clock of the base station was synchronized with that of the airborne system to facilitate later correlation.

### 3.2.5 Radar Altimeter

A Hoffman HRA-100 radar altimeter was used to record terrain clearance. The output from the instrument is a linear function of altitude for maximum accuracy.

### 3.2.6 Tracking Camera

A Geocam tracking camera was used to record flight path on 35 mm film. The camera was operated in frame mode and the fiducial numbers for cross reference to the analog and digital data were imprinted on the margin of the film.

### 3.2.7 Analog Recorders

A RMS 16-channel dot-matrix recorder was used to display the data during the survey. The chart speed was $2 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{sec}$. and in addition to manual and time fiducials the following data was recorded:

| Input |  | Channel \# | Scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Altimeter |  | 00 | $10 \mathrm{ft} /$. |
|  |  |  | $(500 \mathrm{ft}$. at top edge of chart) |
| VLF-EM | Total Field "Maine" | 02 | 2.5\%/mm |
|  | Quadrature "Maine" | 04 | $2.5 \% / \mathrm{mm}$ |
|  | Total Field "Washington" | " 03 | $2.58 / \mathrm{mm}$ |
|  | Quadrature "Washington" | 05 | $2.5 \% / \mathrm{mm}$ |
| EM | Quadrature 4270 Hz | 06 | $2 \mathrm{ppm} / \mathrm{mm}$ |
|  | In-phase 4270 Hz | 07 | $2 \mathrm{ppm} / \mathrm{mm}$ |
|  | Quadrature 4570 Hz | 08 | $1 \mathrm{ppm} / \mathrm{mm}$ |
|  | In-phase 4570 Hz | 09 | $1 \mathrm{ppm} / \mathrm{mm}$ |
|  | Quadrature 935 Hz | 10 | $1 \mathrm{ppm} / \mathrm{mm}$ |
|  | In-phase 935 Hz | 11 | $1 \mathrm{ppm} / \mathrm{mm}$ |
| Magnetometer |  | 01 | 2 gammas/mm |
| 3.2.8 Digital Recorder |  |  |  |
| A Perle DAC/NAV data system recorded the survey |  |  |  |
| data on cassette magnetic tape. Information |  |  |  |
| recorded was as follows: |  |  |  |
| Equipment |  | Interval |  |
| EM |  | 0.1 seconds |  |
| VLF-EM |  | 1.0 seconds |  |
| Magnetometer |  | 1.0 seconds |  |
| Altimeter |  | 1.0 seconds |  |
| Fiducial (time) |  | 1.0 seconds |  |
| Fiducial (manual) |  | 0.2 seconds |  |
| Mini-Ranger |  | 0.2 seconds |  |
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### 3.2.9 Navigation System

A Motorola Mini-Ranger III radar positioning system was used to provide precise positioning control. Transponders located in the vicinity of the survey area were monitored by the airborne system to determine range-range data several times per second. The instrument operates at radar frequencies and is limited to line of sight range. The relief in the survey area sufficiently limited itsoverall effectiveness and its use for general navigation and flight path recovery was abandoned.

### 3.3 Personnel

Personnel directly involved with the survey operation were as follows:

Pilot: S. Rogers
Equipment Operator/Technician: P. Moisan

$$
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## 4. DATA PRESENTATION

### 4.1 Flight Path Recovery

Navigation and flight path determination was carried out visually using a photomosaic base provided by Kidd Creek. For compilation purposes the plotted fiducials were transferred from the photomosaic to an enlarged topographic map base.
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### 4.2 Electromagnetic

The Aerodat 3 frequency system utilizes 2 different transmitter/receiver coil geometries. The traditional coaxial coil configuration is operated at 2 frequencies, 935 and 4570 Hz and a second horizontal coplanar coil configuration is operated at 4270 Hz .

A given conductive source within the detection range of the system will couple differently with the coaxial as opposed to coplanar coil pairs. As a result the characteristic shape of the anomaly may differ significantly between geometries.

In the case of a thin steeply dipping dyke-like feature, the coaxial coil pair yield a symmetric peak directly over the conductor whereas the coplanar coil pair yield a minimum flanked by positive side lobes. As the dip of the conductor decreases the coaxial anomaly shape changes slightly but in the case of the coplanar coil pair the side lobe on the down dip side strengthens relative to that on the up dip side. This asymmetry characteristic may be used for estimating dip.

As the thickness of the conductor increases the coaxial response shape changes slightly. However, in the case of the coplanar coils the minimum response directly over the conductor diminishes in amplitude relative

$$
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to the positive side lobes and in the limiting case of a sphere or horizontal sheet-like conductor the minimum will disappear completely.

In general the coaxial coil pairs operated at two frequencies provide a conductive response range sufficiently broad to ensure a good response. from geologic conductors. The coplanar coil pair provides additional information well suited to the interpretation of the structure of the conductive anomaly.

The Airborne Electromagnetic Survey Map shows inphase anomaly amplitude in parts per million (ppm) of the primary field strength, and apparent conductances. The apparent conductance is determined by applying the inphase and quadrature anomaly amplitudes of the ( 4570 Hz ) coaxial coil configuration to the phasor diagram for the vertical half-plane model. The relationship of apparent conductance to true conductance, which in the case of narrow, slab-like bodies is the product of the electrical conductivity and average thickness, depends upon how closely the body approximates the sheet-like form, and upon how nearly at right angles its strike direction is to the flight line of the aircraft.

Conductance in mhos is the reciprocal of resistance in ohms and is a geologic parameter because it is characteristic of the conductor alone. It is generally independent of frequency and flying height (or depth of burial) and relatively independent of conductor strike length and dip. The inphase amplitude is a function of both flying height and dip, and is more strongly affected by conductor size than is conductance. Although the conductances presented are apparent only, they are most useful for comparative evaluation of conductors.

Apparent conductance values are divided into 10 ranges shown on the map legend. These are represented on the map as a number within a circle at the anomaly location. This procedure generally tends to make the work of diagnosis easier and is also useful in planning followup procedures.

Also determined from the phasor curves but not shown in the Airborne Electromagnetic Survey Map are the apparent depths to the conductors. Although the phasor curves are often able to distinguish between conditions of comparatively thick and thin overburden, the depth estimates are not generally reliable.

Some of the more common reasons for this area:
(i) the conductivity of the body may change with depth
(ii) the conductor plunges
(iii) the dip is substantially less than vertical
(iv) interference from conductive overburden or host rock has distorted the anomalies
(v) the body has too short a strike length to give a good half-plane response

Any of the conditions enumerated above may affect the anomaly amplitudes. Some will cause roughly proportionate changes in both phases, so that the depth estimates tend to be more seriously affected than the conductance estimates.

The conductance values are divided into groups, and the symbols given in the Interpretation Map indicate the range into which each analysis falls. This procedure generally tends to make the work of diagnosis easier. Most overburdens have apparent conductances which fall into the lowest range on the scale ( $<2$ mhos), whereas conductive clay deposits may have apparent conductances in the next higher range (2-4 mhos). Also included as a general rule in the two lowest ranges are the very weak bedrock conductors, such as unmineralized faults and shears, referred to as "structural" conductors.

The higher ranges in the scale of apparent conductances (> 4 mhos) indicate that a significant fraction of the electrical conduction is electronic rather than electrolytic in nature. Materials which conduct electronically are limited to the metallic sulphides and to graphite. Thus, the higher apparent conductance categories are generally limited to graphite - and to sulphide-bearing rocks, and are referred to as "mineralized" conductors.

The apparent conductance of a rock unit, in mhos, is very largely an indicator of its electrical properties. The value is affected to some extent by the strike length of the body (if it is short), and by the dip; but these effects are comparatively minor, and are unlikely to cause more than a $30 \%$ change. A strong conductance ( $>20$ mhos) indicates well-connected mineralization extending throughout a fairly large region, and this often suggests either graphitic zones or massive sulphides. Disseminated sulphides, which typically occur in porphyry type deposits, generally have low to moderate conductances.

A listing of responses together with amplitude (in ppm), apparent conductances, apparent depths to the conductor and sensor height is provided in the appendix. Profiles
of inphase and quadrature EM response are shown along the flight lines. These profiles are transcribed and plotted from magnetic tape recorded in flight, after assignment of a suitable base level.

The "Electromagnetic Survey Interpretation Map" presents the flight path together with anomaly symbols indicating the inphase response in ppm and estimated conductance based on the coaxial coil pair operated at 4570 Hz .

Also indicated on this map are interpreted conductor axes. These axes have been identified by analysis of profile shape from line to line and drawn only where a reasonable correlation of response shape could be identified.

Where the conductor axis is coincident with a magnetic anomaly or likely due to cultural interference such as power lines it is identified by a code shown in the map legend.

### 4.3 Magnetics

The Total Field Magnetic Map shows contours of the total magnetic field, uncorrected for regional variation.

A correction for diurnal variation was made by direct subtraction of the recorded magnetic base station variation. Whether an EM anomaly with a magnetic correlation is more likely to be caused by a sulphide deposit than one without depends on the type of mineralization. An apparent coincidence between an EM and a magnetic anomaly may be caused by a conductor which is also magnetic, or by a conductor which lies in close proximity to a magnetic body. The majority of conductors which are also magnetic are sulphides containing pyrrhotite and/or magnetite. Conductive and magnetic bodies in close association can be, and often are, graphite and magnetite. It is often very difficult to distinguish between these cases. If the conductor is also magnetic, it will usually produce an EM anomaly whose general pattern resembles that of the magnetics. Depending on the magnetic permeability of the conducting body, the amplitude of the inphase EM anomaly will be weakened, and if the conductivity is also weak, the inphase EM anomaly may even be reversed in sign.


APPENDIX


Anomaly List

## 5. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Electromagnetic Interpretation Map presents a number of conductors identified by the survey. The calculated apparent conductance is consistently low and typical of "structural" type conductors or very minor mineralization. On this basis alone high priority follow up investigation cannot be recommended for any of the anomalies.

A complicating factor, apparent in many of the electromagnetic responses, has been the influence of nearby magnetic features on the in-phase response, leading to negative or reduced response levels. This phenomenon will lead to an underestimate of conductance.

As a result it is recommended that all of the anomalies be considered by geologists familiar with the area, who can assign follow up priority for the conductors on the basis of favourable geologic association.

September 22, 1982.


| FLIGHT | LINE | ANOMALY | Catagory | FRERUENCY INPHASE | $\text { Y } 4570$QUAII. | CON cTp MHOS | IUCTOR DEFTH Mitas | BIRD HEIGHT MTRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 1 | 1 | E | 0 | -0.4 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 1 | 1 | C | 0 | -1.4 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1 | 1 | B | 0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0 | 50 | 33 |
| 1 | 2 | A | 0 | -0.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 1 | 2 | F | 0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 1 | 2 | c | 0 | -0.1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 1 | 2 | I | 0 | -0.8 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 1 | 2 | E | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 1 | 3 | A | 0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 24 | 61 |
| 1 | 3 | R | 0 | -3.0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 1 | 3 | c | 0 | -1.9 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 1 | 3 | n | 0 | -4.5 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 1 | 3 | E | 0 | -3.4 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 1 | 3 | F | 0 | -0.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 1 | 3 | G | 0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0 | 70 | 34 |
| 1 | 3 | H | 0 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0 | 38 | 33 |
| 1 | 4 | A | 0 | -7.9 | 5.4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 1 | 4 | B | 0 | -6.8 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 1 | 4 | C | 0 | -3.8 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 1 | 4 | D | 0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 99 | 38 |
| 1 | 4 | E | 0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 1 | 5 | A | 0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 1 | 5 | B | 0 | -2.8 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 1 | 5 | C | 0 | -2.8 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | -1.0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 3 | 6 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 3 | 6 | B | 0 | -3.8 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 3 | 6 | c | 0 | -1.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 3 | 6 | I | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| 3 | 7 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| 3 | 7 | B | 0 | -0.3 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 3 | 7 | c | 0 | -0.7 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | -0.8 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 3 | 8 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 3 | 8 | B | 0 | -0.6 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 3 | 8 | c | 0 | -0.1 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 3 | 8 | 11 | 0 | -0.8 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |

Estimated death mas be unreliable because the stronser fart of the conductor mas be deeper or to one side of the flisht lines or because of a shallow dip or overburden effects.

| FLIGHT | LINE | ANOMALY | Catagory | FREQUENCY 4570 |  | CONI CTF MHOS | UUCTOR DEPTH HTRS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BIRD } \\ \text { HEIGHI } \\ \text { MTRS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | INPHASE | RUAN. |  | mins |  |
| 3 | 8 | $E$ | 0 | -0.7 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 3 | 8 | F | 0 | $-3.3$ | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 3 | 9 | A | 0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0 | 53 | 33 |
| 3 | 9 | B | 0 | -0.1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 3 | 9 | c | 0 | -0.5 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 3 | 9 | 1 | 0 | -0.5 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 3 | 10 | A | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0 | 103 | 72 |
| 3 | 11 | A | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 80 | 41 |
| 3 | 12 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 3 | 13 | A | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 3 | 14 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| 3 | 14 | B | 0 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 4 | 15 | A | 0 | -0.3 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 4 | 15 | B | 0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 5 | 171 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 171 | B | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 74 | 47 |
| 5 | 171 | c | 0 | -0.3 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 5 | 18 | A | 0 | -1.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 5 | 18 | B | 0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 5 | 18 | c | 0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 63 | 43 |
| 5 | 19 | A | 0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0 | 24 | 44 |
| 5 | 19 | B | 0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| 5 | 19 | c | 0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| 5 | 19 | 1 | 0 | -7.2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 20 | A | 0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0 | 32 | 43 |
| 5 | 21 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 5 | 21 | E | 0 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0 | 24 | 43 |
| 5 | 21 | c | 0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 5 | 22 | A | 0 | -0.4 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 5 | 22 | B | 0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0 | 36 | 32 |
| 5 | 23 | A | 0 | -0.8 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |

Estimated depth mas be unreliable because the stronser fart of the conductor may be deeser or to one side of the flisht line, or because of a shallow dip or overburden effects.


Estinated defth azy be unreliable because the stronser part of the conductor mas be deeper or to one side of the flisht line, or because of a shallow dif or overiurden effects.

| FLIGHT | LINE | ANOMALY | Catagory | FREQUENCY INPHASE | $\begin{aligned} & Y 4570 \\ & \text { QUALI. } \end{aligned}$ |  | IUCTOR nefth MTRS | $\begin{gathered} \text { EIRD } \\ \text { HEIGHT } \\ \text { MTRS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 34 | c | 0 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0 | 24 | 35 |
| 6 | 35 | A | 0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0 | 19 | 59 |
| 6 | 35 | R | 0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 93 | 12 |
| 6 | 35 | C | 0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0 | 31 | 28 |
| 6 | 36 | A | 0 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| 6 | 36 | B | 0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 59 | 35 |
| 6 | 36 | c | 0 | -0.8 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 6 | 37 | A | 0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0 | 37 | 58 |
| 6 | 37 | B | 0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 71 | 34 |
| 6 | 37 | C | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 71 | 50 |
| 6 | 38 | A | 0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 87 | 30 |
| 6 | 38 | B | 0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 55 | 57 |
| 6 | 38 | c. | 0 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0 | 47 | 28 |
| 6 | 39 | A | 0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0 | 48 | 56 |
| 6 | 39 | B | 0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0 | 41 | 13 |
| 6 | 39 | c | 0 | -0.7 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 6 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 6 | 40 | A | 0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 6 | 40 | B | 0 | -0.3 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 6 | 41 | A | 0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0 | 48 | 39 |
| 6 | 42 | A | 0 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0 | 20 | 27 |
| 6 | 44 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 6 | 45 | A | 0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 41 | 36 |
| 6 | 46 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 6 | 48 | A | 0 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 0 | 51 | 13 |
| 6 | 50 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 51 | A | 0 | -1.4 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 6 | 521 | A | 0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 54 | 52 |
| 6 | 53 | A | 0 | -0.1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 35 |

Estimated depth may be unireliable because the stronser part of the conductor may be deeper or to one side of the flisht line, or hecause of a shallow dip or overhurden effects.

FFERUENCY 4570 INPHASE QUATI. MHOS MTRS MTRS
FLIGHT LINE ANOMALY CATAGORY

| FLIGHT | LINE | ANOMALY | Catagory | INPHASE | QUAII. | MHOS | HTRS | MTRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 54 | A | 0 | $-0.1$ | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 6 | 55 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 6 | 55 | E | 0 | $-0.7$ | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 6 | 56 | A | 0 | -3.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 6 | 56 | B | 0 | -0.9 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 6 | 58 | A | 0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 39 | 57 |
| 6 | 59 | A | 0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0 | 47 | 41 |
| 6 | 60 | A | 0 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 0 | 23 | 15 |
| 6 | 60 | B | 0 | 0.0 | . 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 6 | 61 | A | 0 | -0.4 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 6 | 61 | B | 0 | -3.6 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 6 | 61 | C | 0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 31 |

Estimated depth may be unreliable because the stronser part of the conductor mas he deeper or to one side of the filisht line, or hecause of $e$ shellow dif or overburden effects.

## APPENDIX B

## EXTRACT FROM A REPORT ENTITLED

## Report on Geophysical Work

Carried out on the Baldwin McVicar Property
by
Grant Hendrikson P.Eng

## Quantity

## 1

1

1
2

## Type

Apex Parametrics MaxMin II
Scintrex I.P.R. 10 receiver
Scintrex 250 watt transmitter
Exploranium Geometrics G 856
Magnetometer

## SURVEY PROCEDURE

Electromagnetic surveying in rough topography requires well chained and controlled lines to allow for corrections to be made to the In-phase data. Corrections arise from coll separation variation and the necessity of keeping the coils coplanar. Survey stations were accurately established at 20 m horizontal intervals. The 80 m coil separation was compatable with the type of anomalies to be followed up. In certain cases where inclinometer data was not avallable, Induced Polarization survey was done in place of electromagnetic surveying.

Induced Polarization surveying was done with a Schlumberger array. This array provides simple anomaly shape, it is least affected by topography and signal to noise is improved. To avoid coupling problems the current line was separated from the receiving line by a few meters. Also 3 slices of the decay curve were monitored to watch the shape of the decay curve. In any event, the high resistivities in the survey area preclude most coupling problems. Electrode contacts were not a problem.

Ground lines were oriented to cross the airborne anomalies at close to right angles. In most areas lines had to be cut since the new growth in the clear-cut areas is quite dense. Line was extended well past the anomaly position to compensate for any difficulty in positioning the airborne anomaly.

The magnetic surveying was corrected for diurnal variation by the use of a station magnetometer. This correction did not exceed eight nanotesla over the course of the survey.

Topographical profiles were constructed from the portable inclinometer data. The geophysical data are presented in profiles plotted above the topography. In this type of terrain, topographical profiles are a valuable aid in interpretation and any subsequent drilling.

None of the anomalies looked at on the ground can be considered as 1 priority follow-up targets. Therefore, only one line was surveyed across each anomaly. If any encouragement had been found, additional flanking lines would have been surveyed.

DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

## Anomaly 23B and 22A

The ground geophysical data suggest a geologic contact at approximately $0+40 \mathrm{E}$. From $0+40 \mathrm{E}$ to approximately $2+00 \mathrm{E}$, resistivity and chargeability drop while magnetic field strength increases slightly. The change in level of the in-phase E.M. data is due to this drop in resistivity. The probable cause of anomaly $23 B$ is a change in geology to a sedimentary-type rock.

Anomaly 22 A may be related to the small magnetic anomaly at $1+40 \mathrm{~W}$. The two magnetic anomalies west of the road are typical of narrow,
west-dipping lenses. The higher resistivities and chargeabilities are more typical of volcanic rock. The high resistivities assoclated with a lower magnetic background at the west end of the line suggest more felsic rock.

The chargeability values indicate no sulfide-rich zone exists near surface.

Some consideration should be given to additional lines to the north of this line to better investigate 22A and 21C.

Anomaly 30B and C
The noisy, high frequency ( 3555 Hz ) results are quite indicative of conductive overburden overlaying an irregular bedrock surface.

This overburden is very likely the cause of anomalies $30 B$ and $C$; thus no further work is warranted.

Anomaly 33C and 34A and B (line IlN-extension east)
Anomalies 33 C and 34 A were thought to be the strike extension of the same anomaly. Field investigation found a large logging cable situated at the location of 34 A and striking toward, but not quite reaching, 33C. This cable was approximately 6 cm in diameter. Road construction and slumping had partially buried the cable. By extending line 11 past the north end of the cable, we were able to test this area. The results were negative. The cable is very likely the cause of 34 A and 33 C .

Another smaller cable crossed the line at approximately $12+00 \mathrm{E}$ and
it probably caused the E.M. response at $12+40 \mathrm{E}$. This response also looks like coil separation error.

The ground E.M. data indicate the overburder is thickening in the valley starting at approximately $14+00 E$. Conductive overburden is the likely case of 34 B .

Anomaly 38B and Magnetic Anomaly Test
The purpose of this line was twofold:
(a) To investigate the anomaly $38 B$ and,
(b) To test the discrete magnetic anomaly to the north to see if sulfide minerals caused the anomaly.

The ground data indicate 38 B is due to a thickening of the overburden in the valley floor (gradual drop in resistivity and chargeability values).

The high resistivities accompanied with no increase in chargeability indicate that a sulfide mineral does not cause the magnetic anomaly and support the geologists' suggestion of an intrusive body containing magnetite.

Anomaly 37A and 38A
No ground explanation of this anomaly was recorded; thus, a structural-type conductor is probably the cause. The air photo shows a straight feature that may be a linear. This feature was also observed on the ground and first thought to be a logging road; however, no evidence of road construction or logging was noted. It appears more
likely to be a drainage feature, perhaps along an old fault zone. Induced Polarization surveying of this line should be considered.

Old Grid (Lines 9 and 10 N )
(Note: The "old grid" lines were numbered consecutively 1 to $11 N$. Line separation was 60 m ; thus Line 1 is 60 N , Line 2 is 120 N , etc.)

Line_10N
The 40 m dipole dipole work of 1981 is compatible with the 1982 work. No anomaly is apparent in either survey other than the increase in background centered around l+50W. The 20 m dipole dipole work does not fit. The apparent anomaly at 150 W ( 190 milliseconds) is indicative of a body with appreciable width and good depth extent, the type of body that should have responded to the 40 III dipole dipole survey and this year's survey. The 20 m dipole dipole data should be ignored.

Line 9 N
The 198140 m dipole dipole survey agrees fairly well with this year's work. A modest I.P. anomaly of limited depth extent is located around $0+35 \mathrm{~W}$. The amplitude of the 1981 readings is suspect.

A perusal of the 1981 data suggests a narrow, continuous zone, probably a sulfide vein, exists at the following locations:

Line 9N O+35W
Line 8N $0+25 \mathrm{~W}$
Line $7 \mathrm{~N} \quad 0+20 \mathrm{E}$
Line $6 \mathrm{~N} \quad 0+50 \mathrm{E}$
The above coordinates define a modest sulfide body of:

| Strike Length | $\simeq 180 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width | $<5 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Depth Extent | $<50 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Dip | Steeply west |

The picture at either end of this zone is confused. Instead of a narrow, discrete zone, mineralization appears disseminated over a wider area. Weak, uninteresting responses were noticed on other lines with the exception of $11 N$ where chargeability is increasing. Additional I.P. surveying to the north of 11 N is recommended.

Figure II is included to show the depth of investigation characteristics of the Schlumberger array.

## CONCLUSION

The airborne E.M. survey indicates no conductive sulfide deposit exists within 70 m of the surface. Ground investigations of the weak anomalies are negative. Vein-type deposits or mineralization with a high content of sphalerite and low content of pyrite and pyrrhotite may only weakly respond to E.M. methods. These difficult prospecting targets could be found and outlined by reconnaissance Induced Polarization survey.

It must be remembered, however, that massive sulfide deposits are generally indicated in an excellent fashion by E.M. methods.


Figure II
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APPENDIX C

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

# APPENDIX C <br> <br> STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS 

 <br> <br> STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS}

Shelley C. James - geologist

I obtained a B.Sc. Hons. degree in geology from the University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa in 1971. I have been engaged in exploration in South Africa (0'Kiep Copper Company, a Newmont subsidiary, and Union Carbide Exploration Corporation) and in Canada (Canadian Superior Exploration Ltd. and Kidd Creek Mines Ltd.) from 1972 to the present. I am a member of the Geological Society of South Africa and a fellow of the Geological Association of Canada.
G. Hendrikson - geophysicist
G. Hendrikson is employed as a staff geophysicist by Kidd Creek Mines Ltd. and is a registered professional engineer in the Province of Alberta.
R.L. Scott Hogg - geophysicist
R.L. Scott Hogg is a registered professional engineer in the Province of Ontario.

## APPENDIX D

## STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES

## APPENDIX D

## STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES

(HELICOPTER-BORNE GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY)
Aerodat Ltd. (invoice)
300 line-kilometre @ $\$ 40$ per kilometer; mobilization and demobilization ..... $\$ 15,500.00$
Quasar Helicopter Ltd. (invoice)4-12 June, Bell 206L-1; 26.3 hrs at $\$ 495$ per hour,fuel and crew costs$\$ 15,348.25$
McVicar Group pro-rated share:
$75 \%$ of total survey cost of $\$ 30,848.25$ ..... \$23,136.19

## APPENDIX D

## STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES

(GROUND FOLLOW-UP GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY)
Kidd Creek Mines Ltd. Personnel August 18-31, 1982

## SALARIES AND FRINGE BENEFITS

G. Hendrikson - geophysicist
Period: Aug 18, 19, 21-26 8 days @ \$216 \$1,728.00
P. DeLancey - Geologist, Manager
Period: Aug 18 1 day @ $\$ 250 \quad 250.00$
S. James - Geologist
Period: Aug 18, 22-27 7 days @ $\$ 200$ 1,400.00
D. Frew - Geophysical asst.
Period: Aug 18-31 14 days © $\$ 70 \quad 980.00$
D. Flentge - Geophysical asst.
Period: Aug 18-31
14 days @ $\$ 54$
756.00
S. Boerner - Geophysical asst.
Period: Aug 18-31 14 days @ $\$ 50$ 000.00
F. Renaudat - Technician, line cutter
Period: Aug 18, 19, 21-17 9 days @ $\$ 90 \quad \underline{810.00}$

$$
\$ 6,624.00 \quad 6,624.00
$$

ROOM AND BOARD
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Kidd Creek Mines Ltd. personnal: } & \\ 63 \text { man-days } @ \$ 60 / \text { day } & 3,780.00\end{array}$
GEOPHYSICAL EQUIPMENT RENTAL
Exploranium equipment rental; insurance @ $2138.85 / m o$ (invoice)
Pro-rated McVicar share: 14 days @ $\$ 2,138.85$ per/month 965.93
ACTION TRAVEL; COMMUNICATIONS; EQUIPMENT SHIPMENT $\mathbf{2 , 8 6 6 . 2 6}$
TRANSPORTATION
4-wheel drive vehicle (rental, fuel)
14 days @ $\$ 1,000$ per month













