82-#665-#10854



ASSESSMENT REPORT OF THE GEOLOGICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY ON THE PM GROUP "B" CLAIMS BY BP MINERALS LIMITED NANAIMO MINING DIVISION 127°10' West Longitude, 50°34 North Latitude NTS 92L/11E

PM GROUP B CLAIMS (59 Units) ARE WHOLLY-OWNED BY BP MINERALS LIMITED

R.H. Wong, Geologist BP Minerals Limited

Date Submitted: September, 1982

BPVR 82-9

SUMMARY 1 INTRODUCTION 2 LOCATION AND ACCESS 2 TOPOGRAPHY 2 CLAIM STATUS 2 GRID CONTROL AND TOPOGRAPHIC BASE 4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7) REGIONAL GEOLOGY: A) General Geology 6 B) Vancouver Group 9

8) PROPERTY GEOLOGY

1)

2)

3)

4)

5)

6)

1 1111

9) GEOCHEMISTRY: A) Introduction 16 B) Analytical Procedure 20 C) Results 22

10) CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 23

i

1

LIST OF FIGURES

•

Figure Nu	mber:	Page No.
1.	Location map for the claim area.	3
2.	Claim map.	5
3.	Regional geologic map.	7
4.	Stratigraphic chart for the Vancouver Group.	10
5.	Sections of Quatsino and Parsons Bay Formations.	15
6.	Property geology.	17
7.	Sample Location map.	19

LIST OF TABLES

.

- - -

Table Number:

1.	Table	of	formations	8
	TUDIC	UT.		v

_ __ _

ł

.

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX:		Page No.
r	Statement of qualifications.	25
II	Statement of costs.	27
III	Analytical results.	31

1) SUMMARY

Work completed on the PM Group B claims by BP Minerals Limited during the period July 17, 1981 to May 15, 1982 includes geologic mapping at a scale of 1:20,000, soil and stream sediment sampling, and completion of six deep overburden drill holes.

Extensive overburden cover in the claim area severely limits the effectiveness of geochemical exploration. Absence of outcrop makes evaluation of the favourable host horizon, the Parson Bay Formation, impossible. No further work is recommended at the present time.

A total of \$5,900 has been applied as assessment on the claims, thereby maintaining their good standing until June 22, 1983.

l

2) INTRODUCTION

This report details work done by BP Minerals Limited on PM Group B claims during the period July 17, 1981 to May 15, 1982. A programme of geologic mapping, overburden geochemistry and deep overburden drilling was conducted over the claim area.

The programme explored for disseminated gold mineralization in a favourable reactive host horizon, the Parson Bay Formation.

3) LOCATION AND ACCESS

The claims are centred at 127°10' west longitude and 50°34' north latitude within the Nanaimo Mining Division. Access to the property is gained via a network of old logging roads which originate from the MacMillan Bloedel offices adjacent to highway 19.

4) TOPOGRAPHY

The property occupies a low-lying swampy valley situated between Cluxewe Mountain on the north and Twin Peaks on the south.

5) CLAIM STATUS

The PM 1 to 4 claims, comprising 59 units, were staked June 10 and 11, 1981 and are wholly-owned by BP



Minerals Limited. All work detailed in this report was performed and/or paid for by BP Minerals Limited. The claims were grouped according to the Minerals Act in June, 1982 and a summary of the claims status is as follows:

	Claim Name	RECORD NUMBER	DATE STAKED	DATE RECORDED	No.of UNITS	APPLIED ASSESSMENT	NEW EXPIRY DATE			
PM GROUP	PM 1.	934	6/13/81	6/22/81	6/22/81 20					
	PM 2	935	6/10/81	6/22/81 15			c (00 (00			
В	PM 3	936	6/10/81	6/22/81	15	\$5,900	6/22/83			
	PM 4	937	6/10/81	6/22/81	9					

6) GRID CONTROL AND TOPOGRAPHIC BASE

Topographic control for the geological and geochemical surveys consisted of a 1:20,000 map enlarged from the 1:50,000 topographic sheet for map-sheet 92L11. Ground surveys were conducted along topofil-compass lines and along overgrown logging roads evident on B.C. government 1:50,000 air photos.

4

i.



ł

7) REGIONAL GEOLOGY

A) General Geology

Regional geology of Northern Vancouver Island is contained in Geological Survey of Canada Map 4-1974 (Alert Bay-Cape Scott, 1:250,000) by J.E. Muller (1968-69) and is discussed in Paper 74-8 by Muller, Northcote and Carlisle (1974).

Northern Vancouver Island is underlain predominantly by a Middle Triassic to Lower Jurassic volcanic-sedimentary sequence known as the Vancouver Group. This complex overlies Pennsylvanian carbonate-clastic sediments of the Sicker Group and older gneissic rocks of the Westcoast Gneiss Complex. All have been intruded by mesozonal and epizonal plutons of Early to Middle Jurassic age (Island Intrusions). Erosion of the entire sequence is followed by deposition on the west of a clastic wedge of Lower Cretaceous sediments, on the east by a wedge of Upper Cretaceous sediments, and again on the west by a wedge of Tertiary sediments. Minor plutonism occurred in the early Tertiary, and local volcanism occurred in late Tertiary time. The region is dissected by steep faults with dominant northwest trends which divide and subdivide the crust into numerous tilted blocks.



PE	RIOD	. STAGES		GROUP OR FORMATION	MAP UNIT	LITHOLOGY	THICKNESS (Feet)								
	ARY	Niocene?		Tertiary Volcanics, Sediments	Tv Ts	Basaltic to dacitic lava, tuff,breccia; conglomerate conglomerate	1,000								
	RTI			Not in contac	t; discon	formable?	L								
	Ë	Eocene?	cene? Tertiary Intrusions Tg Quartzdiorite												
	•		ni map-area	· ·											
		Maestrichtian? Campanian		Nanaimo Group (incl. Suquash Fm.)	บหิง	Greywacke, siltstone, shale conglomerate, coal	400								
	PER	L	-	Disconfor	mable cont	act?									
SUC	đ	Cenomanîan Albîan		Queen Charlotte Group	IKqc -	Greywacke, conglomerate, siltstone, shale, coal	1,000- 3,500								
ACEC		Disconformable contact													
CRET	LOWER	Barremîan Hauterîvîan Valangînîan		Longarm Formation	IK1.	Greywacke, conglomerate, siltstone	200- 1,300								
			Equal age but diverse tectonic setting												
				Pacific Rim Sequence	Argillite, greywacke? conglomerate										
				Unconform	mable cont	act									
U	MIDDLE			Island Intrusions	Jg	Quartz diorite, granodiorite, quartz monzonite, quartz- feldspar porphyry									
SSIC		Intrusive contact													
JURA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T	Vancouver Grou	up (gradat	ional contacts within group)									
-	LOWER	Pliensbachian Sinemurian		Bonanza Volcanics . Harbledown Fm.	vaLl нL	Andesitic to rhyodacitic lava, tuff, breccia; greywacke, argillite, tuff	1,000- 18,500								
		Norian		Parson Bay Fm.	- บ โคช	Calcareous siltstone, shale, greywacke, cong`omerate, breccia	1,000- 2,000								
ASSIC	UPPER	Karaian		Quatsino Fm.	UÃQ	Limestone	100- 2,509								
TRI		Natifi I Gil		Karmutsen Fm. includes in upper part	៣០គិx	Basaltic lava, pillow lava, breccia	10,000- 20,000								
			1	THEFADICANIC FIMESEOUS		Likestone	<u></u>								
	Mid	Ladinian		Sediment - sill u	unit 	Diabase, argillite	2,500								
				Discon	formable o	or unconformable contact									
NSYL.	NIAN?	· · ·	1	Sicker Group	Ps	Limestone, siltstone	700								
bE)	X				Migmati	ic contact?									
		pre-Cretaceous		Westcoast Complex	PMdin	Quartz diorite, agmatite, amphibolite, gneiss									

TABLE I. Table of Formations (from Muller, et al, 1974).

Table 1 is the table of formations which correlates with the regional geologic map (Figure 3, in pocket).

The Vancouver Group includes calcareous siltstones of the Parson Bay Formation. These rocks, which locally contain quantities of carbonaceous material and pyrite, are thought to be favourable hosts for disseminated gold mineralization. A brief description of the Vancouver Group is therefore included.

The Vancouver Group is by far the most extensive unit of the Alert Bay-Cape Scott map-area. These rocks range in age from Middle Triassic to Lower Jurassic and have been divided into a basal sediment-sill unit, the Karmutsen, Quatsino, Parson Bay and Harbledown Formations, and the Bonanza Volcanics (Figure 4).

i) Sediment Sill Unit

The lowermost unit consists of a minor amount of thin-bedded black shales and siltstones occurring between numerous basaltic sills. The siliceous metasediments are Triassic in age while the basaltic dykes appear to be related to the Karmutsen Formation, dated as Late Triassic.



FIGURE 4. Stratigraphic chart for the Vancouver Group (from Muller, et al, 1974):

ii) Karmutsen Formation

The Karmutsen Formation forms the largest part of the Vancouver Group. Maximum thickness is considered to be approximately 19,000 feet. The stratigraphic succession within the Karmutsen Formation has been subdivided into three divisions; a lower one of pillow lavas, a middle one of pillow breccias and aquagene tuffs, and an upper one of layered flows. It has been hypothesized that the Karmutsen Volcanics were extruded in a rift-related inter-arc basin during late Triassic time.

iii) Quatsino Formation

The Quatsino Formation is exposed in three approximately linear belts in the Alert Bay-Cape Scott map-area. Due to the relatively recessive nature of the calcareous rocks, they generally underlie low-land areas.

The Quatsino Formation consists of a lower section of thick-bedded to massive limestone, and an upper section of medium to thin-bedded limestone. The upper section of Quatsino Formation is interlaminated with black calcareous siltstone. The contact between Quatsino limestone and overlying Parson Bay Formation is gradational and indicated by the appearance of laminae and layers of black calcareous shale between limestone beds.

Upwards, the shale intercalations increase in thickness while limestone beds become thinner. The contact is most logically placed where black shale and arenite first predominate over pure, light' grey carbonate.

iv) Parson Bay Formation

The Parson Bay Formation was introduced as a map unit by Muller et a (1974) and defined as a group of Upper Triassic clastic carbonate sediments which overlie the Quatsino Formation. This division included a group of predominantly volcano-sedimentary upper Triassic units defined by Jeletzky (1976), which immediately underlie the basal Jurassic volcanics associated with the Bonanza Formation. In many areas the inclusion of these units within the Parson Bay Formation presents little problems, but locally they may reach a considerable thickness. This is particularly apparent around Quatsino Sound where a thick section of waterlain tuffs and tuff breccias, the Hecate Cove Formation (Jeletzky, 1976), are exposed. A similar situation exists at the top of Lippy Creek north of Port Alice. In both cases the development of this unit appears to have occurred at the expense of the typical black calcareous siltstones.

Muller, et al (1974) presented a number of sections of Parson Bay Formation in northern Vancouver

Island. These sections (Figure 5) display some of the variations in lithology and thickness between the west and east coast. Field work indicates considerably more variation than suggested by Muller, et al (1974). In very general terms, the lithological variations may be summarized as follows:

Beaver Cove (East Coast):

The Parson Bay Formation may be divided into two units. The lower unit consists of thickly-bedded calcareous siltstones which pass gradationally downwards into massive and bedded Quatsino limestone. The upper unit contains thinly-bedded weakly calcareous siltstones and siliceous cherty beds. The latter unit contains large amounts of pyrite. The total thickness is approximately 250 metres.

Alice Lake (Central Region):

This section is dominated by uniform wellbedded calcareous siltstone with a thickness probably in excess of 400 metres. Minor beds of calcareous tuffaceous sediments are present throughout the upper part of the section.

The Holberg Area' (Northwest Region):

The thickness of Parson Bay Formation is very variable in this area, from 30 metres to in excess of

200 metres. Where the formation is thin, it is dominated by calcareous waterlain tuffaceous sediments. Calcareous siltstones become more prominent in the thicker sections.

West Coast:

Deformation and faulting hinders the development of a stratigraphy for the Parson Bay Formation on the west coast. An extensive thickness of well-bedded black calcareous siltstone does, however, appear to be present in most areas.

v) Harbledown Formation

The Harbledown Formation, which conformably overlies the Parson Bay Formation, consists of a Lower Jurassic argillite-greywacke sequence. It is most easily distinguished from the Parson Bay Formation by its noncalcareous nature.

iv) Bonanza Volcanics

Bonanza Volcanics represent renewed arc-type volcanism in the Early Jurassic. The lithology of the Bonanza Volcanics is varied and heterogeneous. Lavas range in composition from basaltic andesite to rhyodacite and are interbedded with tuffs, breccias and clastic sedimentary units.



FIGURE 5. Sections of Parson Bay and Quatsino Formations (from Muller, ct al, 1974).

8) PROPERTY GEOLOGY

A considerable thickness of glacially-derived overburden, including extensive outwash, severely limits outcrop on the property. A small gorge on the Cluxewe River exposes Quatsino limestone on the southern boundary of the property. No outcrop was found in the central portion of the claims which Muller, et al, 1974 considered to be underlain by Parson Bay Formation. Cluxewe Mountain to the north of the property represents a Tertiary erosional surface upon which Tertiary dacite is preserved. Underlying this dacite are greywackes and siltstones of the Upper Cretaceous Nanaimo Group.

9) GEOCHEMISTRY

A) Introduction

A total of 54 soil samples, 1 stream sediment sample, and 3 rock chip samples were collected in the claim area (Figure 7). In addition, 6 deep overburden drill holes were completed on the property.

Soil sampling was primarily conducted along overgrown logging roads with a sample interval of 200 m. One soil line was run at a bearing of 023^O to cross the area presumed to be underlain by Parson Bay Formation. Samples were collected from the top of the BF soil horizon at a depth of 20-40 cm.



17.

All samples were placed in numbered, wetstrength, 8 by 24 cm Kraft paper envelopes and air dried at room temperature.

Deep overburden drilling was carried out on the main logging road which traverses the southern portion of the claims. Drill holes were spaced at 400-800 m intervals. A 2-man-operated, semi-portable drill system called the Marlow Prospectorpac was used. This system is driven by a 10 horsepower Briggs and Stratton watercooled engine which provides pressure for a connected hydraulic power pack. The hydraulics are used to drive a hand-held percussion-type hammering device which pounds the steel drill rods into the ground. A flow-through sampler bit retrieves a "core" sample approximately 20 cm long and 2 cm in diameter. Ideally, this will consist of a basal till or C-horizon overburden sample plus a few chips of the underlying bedrock.

Total weight of the system is over 300 lbs., therefore, the location of drill sites was limited to a large degree by road accessibility.

All samples were submitted to Acme Analytical Laboratories in Vancouver for I.C.P. (Inductively Coupled Plasma) analysis for the following 29 elements at a cost of \$5.50/sample:



19.

Mo,Cu,Pb,Zn,Ag,Ni,Co,Mn,Fe,As,U,Au,Th,Cd,Sb, Bi,V,Ca,P,La,In,Mg,Ba,Ti,B,Al,W,Cr,Nb.

Acme also completed geochemical assay for Au and Hg at an additional cost of \$5.25/sample.

Additional charges for each soil, stream and lake sediment sample included \$1.00 for pH analysis, \$.40 for sample preparation, and \$.25 for storage or reject fractions. For rock chips, \$2.25 was charged for sample preparation.

Total cost of each soil, stream sediment and overburden drill sample was \$15.40, while total cost for each rock chip sample was \$17.25. These costs included an estimated cost of \$3.00 per sample to cover data processing (i.e. sample plotting, etc).

B) ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE

The methods of analyses performed by Acme Analytical Laboratories are as follows:

SAMPLE PREPARATION

 Soil samples are dried at 60^oC and sieved to -80 mesh.

2. Rock samples are pulverized to -100 mesh. GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS FOR Au

10.0 - 30.0 gram samples are subjected to Fire assay preconcentration techniques to produce silver beads. The silver beads are dissolved and Au is determined in the solution by Atomic Absorption.

Multi Element Analysis by ICP

Digestion of Sample

0.5 gram samples are digested with hot aqua regia for one hour and the sample is diluted to 10 ml. The diluted sample is aspirated into a chamber where it is heated to 5,000 to 10,000 K in an argon plasma generated inductively by a radio frequency generator. The temperature is high enough to cause elements to emit light which is measured.

The ICP method has an extended dynamic range, usually over many orders of magnitude of concentration. Interferences by other elements are electronically eliminated.

Interpretation of Results

Standard M-1 is a certified geochem standard used to monitor the results. M-1 has the following analysis.

1.	Ml	:	in	ppm	Ml	2.	ppm
2.	Cu	:	in	ppm	Ml	28.	ppm
3.	Pb	:	in	ppm	Ml	38.	ppm
4.	Zn	:	in	ppm	Ml	180.	ppm
5.	Ag	:	in	ppm	Ml	0.3	ppm
6.	Ni	:	in	ppm	M1.	32.	ppm
7.	Co	:	in	ppm	Ml	12.	ppm
8.	Mn	:	in	ppm	Ml	800.	ppm
9.	Fe	:	in	8	M1	2.5	- 190
10.	As	:	in	ppm	Ml	8.	ppm
11.	υ	:	in	ppm	Ml	3.	ppm
12.	IS	:	In	erna	al S	Standard	•
13.	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{h}$:	in	ppm	M1	3.	ppm
14.	IS	:	Int	erna	al S	Standard	•
15.	Cđ	:	in	ppm	Ml	2.	ppm
16.	\mathbf{Sb}	:	in	ppm	Ml	3.	ppm
17.	Bi	:	in	ppm	Ml	2.	ppm
18.	v	:	in	ppm	M1	54.	ppm
19.	Ca	:	in	ક	Ml	0.62	8
20.	Р	:	in	ક	Ml	0.11	웅
21.	Lа	:	in	ppm	Ml	8.	ppm
22.	In	:	in	ppm	Ml	2.	ppm
23.	Mg	:	in	웅	Ml	0.67	ક
24.	Ba	:	in	6	Ml	0.023	8
25.	Ti	:	in	8	Ml	0.07	8
26.	в	:	in	ppm	Ml	12.	ppm
27.	Al	:	in	옹	M1.	1.9	웅
28.	IS	:	Int	erna	al S	Standard	-
29.	IS	:	Int	erna	il S	Standard	-
30.	W	:	in	maa	ML	l.	maa

Notes:

1. Zinc over 5000 ppm interferes in W Channel.

2. Iron over 1.% interferes on In and Sb channel.

Monitoring of Results:

If analysis of standard M-1 is different than the certification, then compensate (add or subtract) samples appropriately.

Standardization:

Complete set of USGS standards, Canadian Certified Reference Materials and 72 specpure metals from Johnson Matthey.

C) RESULTS

Soil sampling yielded one clearly anomalous result from the northeastern corner of the claim area. This sample (634085) contains 150 ppb gold and is complemented by weak cobalt enrichment. The anomalous site is apparently underlain by thick accumulations of varied clays and outwash sands. A series of follow-up samples (634196-634203) were taken in the immediate vicinity and while these display weak to moderate cobalt, arsenic, silver and mercury enrichment, gold is not present.

Analyses of deep overburden drill samples proved uninteresting. A summary of the depths and material collected is as follows:

Hole Number	Sample <u>Number</u>	DEPTH (M)	Material Sampled
13	705014	8.4	Primarily till with angular chips of limestone bedrock at bottom of sample tube.
14	705015	3.5	Till with heterogeneous clasts.
15	No sample	5.0	Rods stuck, lose sampler tube and and three rods.
16	705016	8.3	Till with heterogeneous clasts.
17	705017	10.7	Till with heterogeneous clasts.
18	705018	3.5	Till with probable bedrock chips of limestone.

10) CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Extensive and thick overburden in the area, substantiated by the deep overburden drilling makes a thorough evaluation of the property difficult. Parson Bay Formation was not found outcropping in the area. This may be due either to its recessive nature or to its absence. Geochemically, the single sample gold-in-soil anomaly may be related to glacial outwash containing a high background of metal. In such a case, no further follow-up would be warranted.

No further work is recommended at the present time.

References

ł

- Jeletzky, J.A. (1976): Mesozoic and Tertiary Rocks of Quatsino Sound, Vancouver Island; Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 242.
- Muller, J.E., Northcote, K.E., and Carlisle, D. (1974): Geology and Mineral Deposits of Alert Bay-Cape Scott Map-Area, British Columbia; Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 74-8.

APPENDIX I STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, Russell H. Wong of 890 West Pender Street - Suite 700, Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, Do Hereby State:

- The I am a graduate of the University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., where I obtained a B.Sc in Geology in 1975.
- That I am currently completing an M.Sc. degree in Geology at the University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C.
- 3. That I have been active in mineral exploration since 1973.
- 4. That I am a member in good standing of the Northwest Mining Association.
- 5. That I have practised my profession continuously as a staff geologist for BP Minerals Limited, since 1979.

Russell HUDong

September, 1982 Vancouver, B.C.

Russell H. Wong BP Geologist

STATEMENT OF COSTS

APPENDIX II

۰.

٠,

.

•

()

STATEMENT OF COST FOR

PM GROUP B CLAIMS

A)	NON	-DR	ILL COSTS		I	
	1.	BP	LABOUR:			
		Ј.	Thompson	-	Project geologist July 17, 28 2 days @ \$120/day	\$ 240.00
		М.	Flanagan	-	Geologist July 17, 28; August 24 3 days @ \$110/day	\$ 330.00
		D.	McClymont		Assistant July 17, 28; August 24 3 days @ \$75/day	\$ 225.00
		М.	Renning	-	Assistant July 17, 18 2 days @ \$60/day	\$ 120.00
		R.	Wong	-	Project geologist April 13, 14 May 14(놋day), 15 3 놏 days @ \$200/day	\$ 700.00
		т.	Fitzmaurice	-	Geologist April 13, 14 May 14, 15 4 days @ \$120/day	\$ 480.00
		₩.	Bleaney		Geologist May 14(냧day), 15 1놏 days @ \$105/day	\$ 157.50
		м.	Renning	-	Assistant May 14, 15 2 days @ \$75/day	\$ 150.00
	2.	GEO	OCHEMICAL ANALY	SIS	5	

55 soil/stream/lake sediment samples @ \$151.40/sample

(29 element ICP analysis, geochemical assay for Au and Hg, pH determination, sample preparation and storage, data processing). \$ 847.00

ŧ.

· ·

		Y =	
	ዋርሞልፒ.	\$4	.735.00
	Miscellaneous consumable equipment and supplies (topofil, flagging, sample bags).	\$	150.00
	4½ days of truck rentals (Redhawk - 2 Four Wheel Drive Jimmys) @ \$75/day (including fuel) for two vehicles).	\$	337.50
	20 man-days of Food and Accommodation @ \$40/man-day	\$	800.00
4.	SUPPORT COSTS:		
3.	DRAFTING/REPRODUCTION/TYPING:	\$	150.00
	(29 element ICP analysis, geochemical assay for Au and Hg, sample preparation and storage, data processing).	\$	48.00
	3 rock chip samples @ \$16.00/sample		

B. OVERBURDEN DRILL COSTS

Deep overburden drilling was conducted on five separate claim groups in the area. In total, 41 holes were completed at an overall cost of \$7,536.12

1. TRANSPORTATION

	Ferry Truck Rental (13 days) Gas		\$ \$ \$	37 529 126	.00 .66 .18
2.	LABOUR: from Alex J. Turpin 2 men from May 8-21 @ \$160/da	Co. Ltd. Y	\$4	,480	.00
3.	ACCOMMODATION			-	
	28 man-days @ \$40/day		\$1	,120	.00
4.	PARTS				
	3 drill rods @ \$45 each 4 drill bits @ \$210 each Oil and spare parts		\$ \$ \$	135 840 44	00 00 28
	SUB TO	OTAL	\$7	,536.	.12

	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	\$5,912.80
	Drill Costs	\$1,177.80
	Non-drill costs	\$4,735.00
C)	SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT CREDITS TO BE APPLIED	
	@ a cost of: `6 x (\$183.80 + \$12.50)	\$1,177.80
	6 holes completed on the PM Group B. claims	
	41 Plus analytical cost of \$12.50/hole	
	41 holes drilled in total therefore cost per hole = <u>\$7536.12</u>	\$ 183.80

 $\langle \rangle$

APPENDIX III

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

.

(

	SAMPLE TY	YPE LEGENI	D:	. <u>10</u>	stro sed: <u>90</u> s	eam s iment speci	sedin : - n .al s	ent ear amp	, <u>30</u> shor le	lake	sed: <u>0</u> so:	iment il sa	mple,	. <u>81</u> x	nt: ool	ce, <u>32</u> sampi	lako Le,	2.	•	•		 •	
	Sample	Sample	•							•						•	•						
_	TAbe	No, '	. Mo	Cu	. Pb	Zn	Ni	Ŭ	Mn	Fet	Ag	Co	Au*		I	ls Hg*	Sb		W	Th	Cđ	. B	i
1	50 50	634084 634085	4 1	41 49	5 6	20 36	30 46	6 2	179 201	7.5 3.5	o. o.	8 12	10 150		13	250	7		1	,	1	o	Q
3	50 50	634086	2	49	8	20	23	3	85	5.1		Ĩ	5		7	380	õ		0	ç) (1	1
5	50	634087	1	59	. 9	55	24	7	2903	2.9	.0	57	5		4	170	ŏ		0		י ר	1	
6	50	634119	3	20	4	22	22	.5	212	2.5	o .	13	5.		8	75	õ	•	ĭ		Ś	ł	_ ¦
7	50 .	634121	· 2	55	10	21	13	3	156	4.1	<u>o</u> .	8	5		4	280	0	•	Ó	Č	ذ	ò	ŏ
8	50	634122	ō	52	10	36	34	2	511	4,2	о.,	18	10		9	200	0		ſ	C)	1	ō
9	50	634123	2	45	12	. 23	19	5	124	4.6	o.'	22	5		2	200	õ		0	C)	0	0
10	50	634124	1	22	8	14	10	3	124	2.2	ŏ.	2	5		4 5	230	2		1	1	1	1	0
11	50	634125	0	5	6	5	2	4	24	.2	õ,	ō	5		g	80	Ň		0	5) . :	0	o o
13	- 50 50 ·	634126	o o	40	.2	21	20	0	181	2,3	ο.	9	5		5	40	ŏ		ă		· · ·	1	• •
14	50	634190	Š	75	3	10	-4	3	31	1.0	٥,	0	5	•	6	90	ō		ŏ	2	, ,	ă	
15	50	634198	ĕ	66	Š	53 40	53	1	183	2.0	۰	16	5		12	240	0		1	č	Ś	ĭ	ĭ
16	50	634199	ŏ	69	1	37	50	0	103	9.8	.5	3	5		29	340	2		1	2	2	4	ō
17	50	634200	ŏ	55	4	45	59	Ň	103	2.9	<u>o</u> .	13	5		14	190	0		1	c)	2	ō
18	50	634201	1	106	. 1	55	89	ŏ	642	4.6	Ŭ. 3	14	5		15	220	0	•	0	C)	2	0
19	50	G34202	3	33	ò	13	11	ŏ	60	6.2	0.	3	5		18	140'.	2	•	ò	c)	3	0
20	50	634203	1	84	2	39	56	0	193	4.9	.2	19	5		16	300	2		1	1		1	<u> </u>
21	50	636119	1	11	5	13	13	4	62	2,4	0.	4	5		4	160	õ		8	1		2	° O
23	50	636120	õ	78	11	26	18 .	2	463	1.9	0.	29	5		ò	330	ŏ		ŏ	č	, 1	1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
24	50.	636122	1	29	9	10	7	9	54	6.0	o.	0	5		2	220	2		ŏ	ă	,	ó	ŏ
25	50	636123	i	32	Я	24	10	ວ ຮ	58	4.1	<u>o</u> .	0	5.		3	210	0 [`]		0	õ	,	ō	õ
26	50	636124	ż	34	8	22	17		00	3.7	<u>.</u>	3	5	;	6	150	0		0	0	į.	1	ō
27	50	636125	2	13	7	12	9	10	50	4.4	<u>.</u>	4	5		6	260	0		2	0	1	1	0
28	50	636126	1 1	19	8	40	27	7	213	3.6	ŏ.	15	5 5		8	50	0		0	0		0	0
29	50	636127	1	39	7	27	18	5	226	4.7	ŏ.	7	5		10	200	Š		0	. 0		1	0
30	50	636128	0	23	13	38	25	5	139	2.3	õ.	B	5		7	200	ŏ		Š.	0		1	ò
32	50	636129	2	11	6	6	7	15	36	6.1	ο.	ŏ	5		ģ	150	6		ŏ	0		1	1
~~	50	030141	2	24	• 9	11	7	· 3	53	4,5	ο.	0	10		6	140	ŏ		ŏ	1		ŏ	ŏ
33 67	50	638142	0	8	5	11-	4	2	44	.4	0,	٥	Ħ.	•	7	EO.	~			• -			~
34 25	50	638143	1	25	10	12	6	5	52	4.3	ŏ.	ŏ	5		6	20	0		0	ō		1	0
38	81	631023	0	12	0	5	••	• 1	37	ò.	.4	ŏ	5		1	∡o∪ 10	Ň		e e	õ	(2	ò
	91	631024	0	2	0	1	0	5	47	-1	ο.	õ	5	1	5	10	ŏ		3	0	• •	2	1

.

3

. •

..

Geochem Assay

••

SAMPLE TYPE: LEGEND:

.

<u>10</u> stream sediment, <u>30</u> lake sediment - lake centre, <u>32</u> lake sediment - near shore, <u>50</u> soil sample, <u>81</u> rock sample, <u>90</u> special sample.

۰.

2. •

2	ample :	Sample .											-		· .				
	Туре	No 🚬	v	Ba	A1%	Fe%	Mg%	Ca%		• T:	i, P	Mn	La .	In	B	Cr	Nb	Au	
1	50	634084	252	29	2.99	7.5	. 39	. 25		71	~~	170	46	~					
2	50	634085	166	11	3.51	3.5	.65	.43		.51	03	201	10		14	115	10	, ,	1
3	50	634086	183	14	4.69	5.1	.22	.21		.52	103	85	42	3	42	108)	Ŷ.
- 4	50	634087	141	32	3.29	2.9	.34	.33		35	.00	2002	44		10	01	5		1
5	50	634088	170	.20	1.70	2.5	.32	. 24	-	52	.04	2000		5			5	-	ů.
6	50	634119	176	12	3.50	4.1	. 13	. 15		.02 /a	.01	414	۰ ۵	3	3	51	5	1	0
7	50	634121	.172	21	3.83	4.2	27	.28		.45	.07	100	40		10	56	5	•	1
8	- 50	634122	84	28	3.57	2.9	.47	38		20	.00	811	12	د '	12	64	1		0
9	50	634123	220	16	4.84	4.6	.20	. 13		,20 50	.04	104			10	53	6	j.	0
10	50	_ 634124	107	8	2.05	2.2	.13	19		. 52	.04	124	13		11	73	9		1
11	50	~ 634125	89	9	.73		.04	09		.00	.03	124	0	1	8	33	6	-	0
12	50	634126	111	10	2.28	23	45	41		.43	.01	24	. 2	2.	3	22	5	1	0
13	50	634196	108	6	.69	1.0	44	10		.04	.03	181	8	2	9	31	~ 6	i	0
14	50	634 197	93	20	2.48	20	77	57	·	.42	.01	31	1	1	1	20	4	<u>+</u>	0
15	50	634198	.262	. 4	3.05	9.8	22	15		.20	- 18	183	3	<u>o</u>	3	103	5	į – .	0
16	50	634199	143	11	. 2.86	2 9	61	20		.10	.04	103	6	, ò	ō	125	12	-	0 -
17	. 50	634200-	128	19	2 31	3 5	79	.00		.40	.03	163	• 3		3	98	6	i ·	0
18	50	634201	159	33	2 78	4 6	1 08	- 50 E0		.47	.03	377	3	1	3	96	6	i -	0
19	50	634202	182	25	2.05	6.2	12	14		.43	03	642	5	õ	2	126	6	í I	0
20	50	634203	160	46	3.21	4.9	52	20		.40	.04	400	3	, v	0	42	8		0
21	50	636119	118	7	42	24	18	.20		.40	.03	193	4	9	2	96	8	, '	0
22	50	636120	101	22	5 05	1 0	46	40			.03	62	. 2	<u> </u>	3	20	3	;	0
23	50	636121	331	5	2.45	6.0	10	10		.30	.05	463	9	1	. 8	60	7		1.
24	50	636122	193	7	2.57	4 1	15	22		./0	,02	54	13	• 1	ាឆ	70	9		1
25	50	636123	175	11	3 57	3 7		.20		.64	.02	80	10	2	13	67	9	1	1
26	50	636124	148	7	4 24	A 0	20	.20		. 55	.03	. 88	11	2	11	61	8	Į.	1
27	50	636125	284	27	1 16	7, Z	_A 0	40 .		.40	.02	82	10	1	12	76	8		1
28	50	636126	227	18	1.93	3 6	.00 A 9	27		,03	.02	50	11	2	12	40	7		1
'29	50	636127	230	20	0 70	1 7	,40	101		.69	.02	213	8	3	12	73	7		1
30	50	636128	210	27	4,70	9.7	• 4 4	.02		.67	.04	226	-11	2	រុទ	- 77	10	ŧ.	1
31	50	636129	405	57	1,98	2.3	.38	.47		,73	.01	139	7	4	11	66	7		1
32	50	638141	100	5	1.04	0.1	.06	. 10		.82	,02	36	11	1	14	55	9		1
	••	000141	130	5	3.42	4.5	.13	,] 5 ·		- 51	.01	53	<u>10</u>	. 1_	12.	69	8		4
33	50	. 638142	67	15	,79	.4	108	.21		.49	.01	44	3	2	5	29	5	j i	0
34	50	638143	168	5	3.74	4.3	.09	. 12		44	. 02	52	10	4	- 4 - -	64	, g		1
35	81	631023	3	ġ	.04	0.	.5046	.49	•	.00	0.	37	10	4	10	6	74		
36	81	631024	4	19	.03	. 1	.3736	.65	•	,00	ŏ.	47	ŏ	1	35	ĭ	26	, ,	ŏ

ω

ICP GEOCHENICAL ANALYSIS

*

A .500 GRAH OF SAMPLE IS DIGESTED WITH 3 HL OF 3:1:3 NITRIC ACID TO HYDROCHLORIC ACID TO WATER AT 90 DEG. C FOR 1 HOUR. THE SAMPLE IS DILUTED TO 10 MLS WITH WATER. THE RESULTS ARE REPORTED IN PPH EXCEPT FOR : FE, CA, P, MG, BA, TI, AL, NA, AND K WHICH ARE IN PERCENT. THIS LEACH IS PARTIAL FOR : CA , P, HG, AL, TI, LA, NA, K, U & CR SI, ZR, CE. 16= INTERNAL STANDARD. AU DETECTION 3 PPH. HO CU. PB ZN AG NI **C**0 HN Hg FE AS ÷ - 8 AU TH SR CD SB BI U CA. Au P ~ LA CR MG BA TI 8 AL NA K ដ SI ZR LE IS IS IS IS - *0/701001 EGC BURN # 1 : 306E 9:23 · 12MAY82 1379 -1.3 -020 .243 1.64 1.65 1.15 -- Ø8 .376 48.6 . 044 .187 -1.3 : 4.11 --2.8 2.97 -234 ··-.12 1.81 26.1 -. 51 .005 .155 .375 .994 .002 . .ø31 .001 4.02 .005 - 92 1.73 .ø24 37.9 2.75 1_01 56.5 1-07 #0/701004 . EGC BURN # 1 3øge 9:05 12HAY82 1379 .162 27.6 . 10.6 29.7 .255 2.18 4-11 -280 (6 16.9 2.73 46Ø . 4 56 .574 .910 23.2 · ~.45 94.9 .875 . -939 .005 (A **.**59Ø .169 .207 .øø2 2.11 30.1 .291 7.05 .761 .025 "Ø15 " .211 •ø78 5.64 -1.5 · 13.Ø 1.53 46.4 3.09 ***0/701005 ECC BURN # 1 3ØGE 9:06 12MAY82 1379 . 19.5 .290 -- 07 43.7 18.7 17.1 .397 22.Ø 4.47 94.6 6.03 5.77 .ø21 1.37 1.07 10.1 1.16 2.12 2.14 27Ø .379 ·005 6.35 191 .277 . 4_Ø7 .002 -571 9.29 . Ø2\$ -.91 .244 .284 26.9 7.52 28.9 9.21 55.1 2-47 *0/701015 ទុខ BURN # 1 3ØGE 9:07 12MAY82 1379 1.05 36.7 10.5 24.7 .250 12.4 4.78 1329 2.37 2.82 .320 -2.Ø .31Ø -994 37_Ø 1.23 -.84 1.90 139 .937 -870 ·005 7.55 43.6 -193 .006 .140 5.56 1.90 .Ø15 -.60 -246 £Ø87 3.72 9-88 6.43 10.1 111 4.Ø?

.

	EGC	17		•	3	5				
	BURN # 1379	1 3øge		9:09	12hay82					
\cap	.619	25.7	8.80	21.2	. 161	20.7	32.1	2636	4.30	5 49 -21
.)	. 2	606	2.09	27.4	1.15	.863	2.71	87.0	. 692	ang -00
•	2.98	43.6	.346	.993	-146	6.99	1.27	-921	.005	78
	. 156.	7-05	39	19.8	3.37	217	2.73	•		
•	*0/7ø1ø: _EGC	2ø	• •			• •	•			
	BURN # 1379	1 3øge		7:10	12May82			•		-
	79	67:8	15.6	25.4	- 176	42.1	12.1	412	4.36	9-89 -14
	-2.57	- 512	.921	- 13: 5	1.75	-2-1	2.12	.160	578	. 928 -00
	5.65	136	793	- = Ø Ø 2	. • 475	5.61	4.53	.Ø14	91	-,39
	-094	23.5	8.56	11-1	11.8	79.2	1.71		• •	
: ;	*0/7ø2øø EGC ·	1 .	-					:		•
	BURN # 1 1379	3ø6e	9	:14 1	2HAY82				-	-
	- 35	73.3	11.7	43.Ø	.071	36.1	28.9	1625	· 4.27	9.93 .080
	1.54	.614	2.13	. 23.6	. 1.33	3.48	2.66	121 .	.833	- 936 -005
	4.11 ·	56.1 .	1.05	.906	-31ø	5.55	2.71	.038	.øøs	14
· ~	-111	12.2	7.49	15.1	6-15	147	.905			
()	H0/79+91 EGC	۱ <i>۵</i>			. · ·			•	•	
-	BURN # 1 1379	Jøge	9	;Ø8 1.	2Ma¥82			· ·		
	- 685	37.1	15.6	35.ø	-296	18.7	7.50	174	7 01	11 - 150
	2.56	.847	•967	19."Ø	1.34	. Ø56	-884	214	5.21	10.8 .100
	5.46	67.9	428	.994	-231	3.48	2.88	_018	•J0/ 8/X	• 024 · 005
	.156	11.8	9.00	14.9	7.Ø1	45.3	4.ø3	404 J W	* # %/	• 7 12 3
	·		· ·		• • •		•••		•	-

.

•

-

 \bigcirc

.

· · ·

· · ·

	\bigcirc								Ũ.														О	$\mathbf{)}$								
Sarplı 1 1	E T Ka C Spa S	bt ı CA	98 998	ZX PP¥	VC VC	XI ppe	CQ pp=	XX PPT	FE I	AS FP#	.V pp#	AV PPT	TX 9p∎	SR pp#	CD pp#	SQ pp#	BI pps	Y FP	λ) 1 ε	''''	LA ppæ	CR ppi	KJ e I	8A 999	71 2 2	B, PP	۸L ۲ ۲	ka L	۲ ۲	y ppi	tun Aut	HCT PFD
704014				-		-	_																		•							•
701015		м 		26	•1	19	7	128	6.63	7	2	• XD •	Z	12	1	2	2	235	•11	101	10	71	.{{	20	•63	13	3.43	.02	.01	2	5	55
704014	1 2		14	23	•1	11	4	99	5.43	- 12	2	XD	2	10	1	2	2	230	•22	•02	8	73	•21	16	• 37	13	4.04	•02	.01	2	2	150
704017	1 2		[2	22	•1	17	10	272	1.53	• .	5	KD	2.	22	1	Z	2	191	*\$2	•02	4	62	.50	20	- 51	13	2.24	.02	•01	2	5	60
704012	1 2	57	7	10	•2	15	2	18	.43	2	5	ХŖ	2	26	1	Ż	2.	55	•57	•05	6	32	•12	39	.98	. 3	· 1.49	•02	.03	ż	5	140
704019	2 5	58	7	17	.2	20	7	153	1.21	2	2	XD	2	. 25	1	2	2	71	•62	•05	. 1	54	.27	59	•18	20	2.34	.02 `	.01	Z	5	162
704920	2 2	27	5 .	7	•1	11	7	165	•36	. ²	5	XD	2	54.	1	Z	2	85	1.05	.05	3	20	.19	24	.03	10	•72.	03		2.	5	100
704021	2 1	7	2	7	1.	12	2	37	,24	. 2	δ.	КŅ	2	47	1	2	Z	71	1.79	.06	3	26	.15	18	.01	1	.62	.02	.01	2	5	100
70{022	2' 4	7	17	21	•1	18	7	229	7.17	12	2	KO	2	g.	1	2	2	236	•28	•02	7	112	•32	п	.55	16	4.43	-01	.01	ż	5	50
704073	1 4	5	Ţ	32	•1	26	14	537	3:30	5	2	KD	2	32	1	2	2	127	1.17	•04	f	51	.71	42	•27	10	2.37	.03	.01	2	2	25
702072	1 4	3	18	13	. i	• 15	2	76 -	4.39	3	2	VQ	,Ζ	7	· 1	Z	Z	153	.38	. 02	· 7	103	,27	t	.42	10	6.45	.01	.01	2	5	170
701025	1 1	5.	ł	8	1,	15	, k	36	,15	Z	3	łD	2	22	:	2	2	22	.62	•04	2	9	.07	27	01	13	.56	.02	.01	-	5	70
701020	12	6	15	21	.1	15	4	107	5.05	2	Z	ND	Z	4	1	2	2	180	.50	•02	7	103	.32	7	.35	13	1.11-	01	.01	2		105
741414	i 4	7	14	25	.2	13	27	362	3.87	7	2	XD	2	. 10	1	2	Z	183	. 49	.03	8	97		14		10	i.u	-01	10.1	- · -	۲ ۳	170
734927	j 2	7	6	11	. Z	• 17	5	84	.67	4	2	KD	z	12	1	2	2	49	.34	.0ł	3	22	.09	27	. 67		1.19	. 07	01	•		4E
703014											-															•			•41	1	2	LT.
70545	2 113	2	12	5Z	.2	22	22	1953	4.05	35	• 2	,XD	2	124	1	2	2	101	7.00	•07	7	55	1.01	64	. 17	11	2.38	.04	•92	2	5	130
705014	2 8	3	7	27	.1	32	10	334	2.92	2	3.	ND	2	- 10	1	₹.	Z	95	1.52	.05	ê	37	• 82	28	.24	ş	1.93	.02	•02	2	5	20
705917	2 17	6	10	110	•1	104	65	{† 2	3.81	25	2	XO	2	(3	1	. 2	2	165	•00	.10	. 8	02	.93	102	.07	' 17	1.74	.02	•06	2	5	120
705918	19	14	11	34,	11	37	14	Joi	3*22	2	ę	XD	2	48	1	1	2	86	1.31	,00	+	43	1,24	52	• 52	Ŭ	2,23	.12	•02	2	5	40
	1 4	60	6	28	.3	15	12	362	1.64	10	2	KO	2	114	1	2	2	80	10.00	.32	6	\$7	.21	13	.01	Ŧ	.66	.01	.07	2 ·	3	30

.