82-#667 _# 10856.

ASSESSMENT REPORT OF THE

GEOLOGICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL

SURVEY ON THE HB GROUP B AND C CLAIMS

BP MINERALS LIMITED

NANAIMO MINING DIVISION 127°58' West Longtiude, 50°40' North Latitude NTS 92L/12W, 102I/9E

THE HB GROUP B_AND C CLAIMS (65 Units) ARE WHOLLY OWNED BY BP MINERALS LIMITED



R.H. Wong Geologist BP Minerals Limited

Date Submitted: September 1982

BPVR 82-4

TABLE OF (CONTENTS
------------	----------

 $\left(\right)$

:

 \bigcirc

				Page	No.			
1)	SUMMARY	SUMMARY						
2)	INTRODUCTION	2						
3)	LOCATION AND ACCES	LOCATION AND ACCESS						
4)	TOPOGRAPHY			2				
5)	CLAIM STATUS			4				
6)	GRID CONTROL AND T	OPOG	RAPHIC BASE	4				
7)	REGIONAL GEOLOGY:	A) B)	General Geology Vancouver Group	6 9				
8)	PROPERTY GEOLOGY			16				
9)	GEOCHEMISTRY:	A) B) C)	Introduction Analytical Procedure Results	17 21 21				
10)	CONCLUSIONS AND R	ECOM	MENDATIONS	22				

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Nu	Figure Number:					
1.	Location map for the claim area.	3				
2.	Claim map.	5				
3.	Regional geologic map.	7				
4.	Stratigraphic chart for the Vancouver Group.	10				
5.	Sections of Quatsino and Parsons Bay Formations.	15				
6.	Property geology.	In Pocket				
7.	Sample Location map.	In Pocket				

LIST OF TABLES

Table Number:

1.	Table	of	formations.	8
				· · · ·

ł

1

-

.

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX:		Page No.
I	Statement of qualifications.	24
II	Statement of costs.	26
III	Analytical results.	30

I

t

1) SUMMARY

Work completed on the HB Group B and C claims by BP Minerals Limited during the period July 3, 1981 to May 17, 1982 includes geologic mapping at a scale of 1:20,000, geochemical sampling and completion of 16 deep overburden drill holes.

Although results of initial work this year failed to provide any encouragement, the geologic environment appears to be favourable with respect to disseminated gold mineralization. Additional mapping and sampling is recommended.

A total of \$6,500 has been applied as assessment on the claims, thereby maintaining their good standing until June 22, 1983.

1

t

2) INTRODUCTION

This report details work done by BP Minerals Limited on the HB Group B and C claims during the period July 3, 1981 to May 17, 1982. A programme of geologic mapping, and geochemical sampling was conducted over the claim area. In addition, 16 deep overburden drill holes were completed along roads on the property.

The programme explored for disseminated gold mineralization in favourable reactive host horizon, the Parson Bay Formation.

3) LOCATION AND ACCESS

The claims are centred at 127°58' west longitude and 50°40' north latitude within the Nanaimo Mining Division. The property is accessible via the main Holberg-Port Hardy logging road and is situated 2km east of Holberg. A series of branch logging roads, both active and inactive, provide further access.

4) TOPOGRAPHY

Except for the southeast corner of the property, which slopes steeply downward to the north shore of Holberg Inlet, the majority of the property is located on relatively

2

ŧ



flat-lying ground with little outcrop exposure. Much of the claim area is covered by juvenile-spaced forest, making off-road traversing next to impossible.

5) CLAIM STATUS

The HB 4 to 6 claims, comprising 65 units, were staked June 13 and June 16, 1981 and are wholly-owned by BP Minerals Limited. All work detailed in this report was performed and/or paid for by BP Minerals Limited. The claims were grouped according to the Minerals Act and a summary of the claims is as follows:

CLAIM NAME	RECORD NUMBER	DATE STAKED	DATE RECORDED	NO. OF UNITS	APPLIED ASSESSMENT	NEW EXPIRY DATE	
HB 4	909	6/13/81	6/22/81	20	¢2 000	<i>c (</i>)) /02	HB Group
HB 5	910	6/16/81	6/22/81	18	\$3 , 800	6/22/83	В
HB 6	911	6/13/81	6/22/81	12	62 700	c /22 /22	HB Group
HB 7	912	6/16/81	6/22/81	15	\$2 , /00	6/22/83	L

6) GRID CONTROL AND TOPOGRAPHIC BASE

Topographic control for the geological and geochemical surveys consisted of a 1:20,000 map enlarged from the 1:50,000 topographic sheet for 92L/12. Ground surveys were conducted mainly along roads and the Goodspeed River.



7) REGIONAL GEOLOGY

A) General Geology

Regional geology of Northern Vancouver Island is contained in Geological Survey of Canada Map 4-1974 (Alert Bay-Cape Scott, 1:250,000) by J.E. Muller (1968-69) and is discussed in Paper 74-8 by Muller, Northcote and Carlisle (1974).

Northern Vancouver Island is underlain predominantly by a Middle Triassic to Lower Jurassic volcanic-sedimentary sequence known as the Vancouver Group. This complex overlies Pennsylvanian carbonate-clastic sediments of the Sicker Group and older gneissic rocks of the Westcoast Gneiss Complex. All have been intruded by mesozonal and epizonal plutons of Early to Middle Jurassic age (Island Intrusions). Erosion of the entire sequence is followed by deposition on the west of a clastic wedge of Lower Cretaceous sediments, on the east by a wedge of Upper Cretaceous sediments, and again on the west by a wedge of Tertiary sediments. Minor plutonism occurred in the early Tertiary, and local volcanism occurred in late Tertiary time. The region is dissected by steep faults with dominant northwest trends which divide and subdivide the crust into numerous tilted blocks.



Crown Copyrights

PROJECT 531, JUNE 1982

PE	RIOD	STAGES		GROUP OR FORMATION	MAP UNIT	LITHOLOGY	THICKNESS (Feet)		
	ARY	Miocene?		Tertiary Volcanics, Sediments	Tv Ts	Basaltic to dacitic lava, tuff,breccia; conglomerate conglomerate	1,000		
ERTIA				Not in conta	ct; discon	formable?	J		
	F	Eocene?	1	Tertiary Intrusions	Τg	Quartzdiorite	1		
	- 			Intrusive conta	ct in Alber	ni map-area	<u></u>		
		Maestrichtian? Campanian		Nanaimo Group (incl. Suquash Fm.)	uKn	Greywacke, siltstone, shale conglomerate, coal	400		
	а ж		· 	Disconfor	rmable cont	act?			
SUC	6	Cenomanian Albian		Queen Charlotte Group	IKec	Greywacke, conglomerate, siltstone, shale, coal	1,000- 3,500		
ACE	ļ			Disconfo	rmable cont	act			
CRET	LOWER	Barremian Hauterivian Valanginian		Longarm Formation	IK1.	Greywacke, conglomerate, siltstone	200- 1,300		
	[Equal age but di	verse tecto	onic setting	<u> </u>		
	<u> </u>			Pacific Rim Sequence	JKs	Argillite, greywacke? conglomerate			
		Unconformable contact							
	MIDDLE			Island Intrusions	Jg	Quartz diorite, granodiorite, quartz monzonite, quartz- feldspar porphyry			
ASSI		Intrusive contact							
JUR				Vancouver Gro	oup (gradat	ional contacts within group)			
-	LOWER	Pliensbachian Sinemurian		Bonanza Volcanics Harbledown Fm.	IJвv Јн	Andesitic to rhyodacitic lava, tuff, breccia; greywacke, argillite, tuff	1,000- 18,500		
		Norian		Parson Bay Fm.	ићрв	Calcareous siltstone, shale, greywacke, cong omerate, breccia	* 7,000- ·2,000		
ASSIC	UPPER			Quatsino Fm.	uŦq	Limestone	100- 2,500		
TRI		-		Karmutsen Fm. includes in upper part Intervolcanic Limestone	ពាររិតីស ពិភិទ្ធភ្ន	Basaltic lava, pillow lava, breccia Limestone	10,000- 20,000		
	, biM	Ladinīan		Sediment - sill	unit	Diabase, argillite	2,500		
			1	Discor	formable o	r unconformable contact			
'NNSYL	INIAN			Sicker Group	Ps	Limestone, siltstone	700		
<u> </u>	>		<u> </u>	هـــــه هـــــه هـــــه	- Migmati	c contact?			
		pre-Cretaceous		Westcoast Complex	PMdin	Quartz diorite, agmatite, amphibolite, gneiss			

TABLE I. Table of Formations (from Muller, et al, 1974).

ĺ

Table 1 is the table of formations which correlates with the regional geologic map (Figure 3, in pocket).

The Vancouver Group includes calcareous siltstones of the Parson Bay Formation. These rocks, which locally contain quantities of carbonaceous material and pyrite, are thought to be favourable hosts for disseminated gold mineralization. A brief description of the Vancouver Group is therefore included.

The Vancouver Group is by far the most extensive unit of the Alert Bay-Cape Scott map-area. These rocks range in age from Middle Triassic to Lower Jurassic and have been divided into a basal sediment-sill unit, the Karmutsen, Quatsino, Parson Bay and Harbledown Formations, and the Bonanza Volcanics (Figure 4).

i) Sediment Sill Unit

The lowermost unit consists of a minor amount of thin-bedded black shales and siltstones occurring between numerous basaltic sills. The siliceous metasediments are Triassic in age while the basaltic dykes appear to be related to the Karmutsen Formation, dated as Late Triassic.



FIGURE 4. Stratigraphic chart for the Vancouver Group (from Muller, et al, 1974):

ii) Karmutsen Formation

The Karmutsen Formation forms the largest part of the Vancouver Group. Maximum thickness is considered to be approximately 19,000 feet. The stratigraphic succession within the Karmutsen Formation has been subdivided into three divisions; a lower one of pillow lavas, a middle one of pillow breccias and aquagene tuffs, and an upper one of layered flows. It has been hypothesized that the Karmutsen Volcanics were extruded in a rift-related inter-arc basin during late Triassic time.

iii) Quatsino Formation

The Quatsino Formation is exposed in three approximately linear belts in the Alert Bay-Cape Scott map-area. Due to the relatively recessive nature of the calcareous rocks, they generally underlie low-land areas.

The Quatsino Formation consists of a lower section of thick-bedded to massive limestone, and an upper section of medium to thin-bedded limestone. The upper section of Quatsino Formation is interlaminated with black calcareous siltstone. The contact between Quatsino limestone and overlying Parson Bay Formation is gradational and indicated by the appearance of laminae and layers of black calcareous shale between limestone beds.

Upwards, the shale intercalations increase in thickness while limestone beds become thinner. The contact is most logically placed where black shale and arenite first predominate over pure, light grey carbonate.

iv) Parson Bay Formation

The Parson Bay Formation was introduced as a map unit by Muller et a (1974) and defined as a group of Upper Triassic clastic carbonate sediments which overlie the Quatsino Formation. This division included a group of predominantly volcano-sedimentary upper Triassic units defined by Jeletzky (1976), which immediately underlie the basal Jurassic volcanics associated with the Bonanza Formation. In many areas the inclusion of these units within the Parson Bay Formation presents little problems, but locally they may reach a considerable thickness. This is particularly apparent around Quatsino Sound where a thick section of waterlain tuffs and tuff breccias, the Hecate Cove Formation (Jeletzky, 1976), are exposed. A similar situation exists at the top of Lippy Creek north of Port Alice. In both cases the development of this unit appears to have occurred at the expense of the typical black calcareous siltstones.

Muller, et al (1974) presented a number of sections of Parson Bay Formation in northern Vancouver

Island. These sections (Figure 5) display some of the variations in lithology and thickness between the west and east coast. Field work indicates considerably more variation than suggested by Muller, et al (1974). In very general terms, the lithological variations may be summarized as follows:

Beaver Cove (East Coast):

The Parson Bay Formation may be divided into two units. The lower unit consists of thickly-bedded calcareous siltstones which pass gradationally downwards into massive and bedded Quatsino limestone. The upper unit contains thinly-bedded weakly calcareous siltstones and siliceous cherty beds. The latter unit contains large amounts of pyrite. The total thickness is approximately 250 metres.

Alice Lake (Central Region):

This section is dominated by uniform wellbedded calcareous siltstone with a thickness probably in excess of 400 metres. Minor beds of calcareous tuffaceous sediments are present throughout the upper part of the section.

The Holberg Area (Northwest Region):

The thickness of Parson Bay Formation is very variable in this area, from 30 metres to in excess of

200 metres. Where the formation is thin, it is dominated by calcareous waterlain tuffaceous sediments. Calcareous siltstones become more prominent in the thicker sections.

West Coast:

Deformation and faulting hinders the development of a stratigraphy for the Parson Bay Formation on the west coast. An extensive thickness of well-bedded black calcareous siltstone does, however, appear to be present in most areas.

v) Harbledown Formation

The Harbledown Formation, which conformably overlies the Parson Bay Formation, consists of a Lower Jurassic argillite-greywacke sequence. It is most easily distinguished from the Parson Bay Formation by its noncalcareous nature.

iv) Bonanza Volcanics

Bonanza Volcanics represent renewed arc-type volcanism in the Early Jurassic. The lithology of the Bonanza Volcanics is varied and heterogeneous. Lavas range in composition from basaltic andesite to rhyodacite and are interbedded with tuffs, breccias and clastic sedimentary units.



FIGURE 5. Sections of Parson Bay and Quatsino Formations (from Muller, et al, 1974).

8) PROPERTY GEOLOGY

An extensive thickness of well-bedded black calcareous siltstones of the Parson Bay Formation crop out throughout the property flanked both to the northeast and southwest by Bonanza volcanics. Although the fault structure shown by Muller et al (1979) was not located, the Parson Bay Formation is extensively disrupted in this area and fault structures are probably present. Evidence for the important Holberg fault in Holberg Inlet is provided by the total random disruption and faulting of the Parson Bay Formation which is exposed along the shoreline. In addition, a massive mafic to intermediate dyke of a probable Tertiary age cuts the Parson Bay Formation in this area. In general, the Parson Bay Formation on this property is a highly calcareous, locally foetid, black, bedded siltstone with beds on the order of 10-50 cm thick. The intersection of important fault structures with this lithology makes this property geologically interesting.

16

t

9) GEOCHEMISTRY

A) Introduction

A total of 17 stream sediment samples, and 23 rock chip samples were collected in the claim area (Figure 7). In addition, 16 overburden drill holes were completed.

Poor outcrop exposure limited the location of the rock chip samples to roadcuts and rare quarries. Generally, the overburden was approximately 1-3 metres thick. Most of the tributaries of the Goodspeed River were stream sediment sampled in the claim area.

Sixteen deep overburden drill holes were completed in the claim area using a 2-man-operated, semi-portable drill system called the Marlow Prospectorpac. The system is driven by a 10 horsepower Briggs and Stratton water-cooled engine which provides pressure for a connected hydraulic power pack. The hydraulics are used to drive a hand-held percussion-type hammering device which pounds the steel drill rods into the ground. A flow-through sampler bit retrieves a "core" sample approximately 20 cm long and 2 cm in diameter. Ideally, this will consist of a basal till or C-horizon overburden sample plus a few chips of the underlying bedrock.

17

Total weight of the system is over 300 pounds, therefore, the location of drill sites was limited to a large degree by road accessibility.

All samples were placed in numbered, wetstrength, 8 by 24 cm Kraft paper envelopes and air dried at room temperature.

Samples were submitted to Acme Analytical Laboratories in Vancouver for ICP (Inductively Coupled Plasma) analysis for the following 29 elements at a cost of \$5.50/sample:

Mo, Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag, Ni, Co, Mn, Fe, As, U, Au, Th, Cd, Sb, Bi, V, Ca, P, La, In, Mg, Ba, Ti, B, Al, W, Cr, Nb.

Acme also completed geochemical assay for Au and Hg at an additional cost of \$5.25/sample.

Additional charges for each soil, stream and lake sediment sample included \$1.00 for pH analysis, \$.40 for sample preparation, and \$.25 for storage or reject fractions. For rock chips, \$2.25 was charged for sample preparation.

Total cost of each soil, stream and lake sediment sample was \$15.40, while total cost for each rock chip sample was \$17.25. These costs included an estimated cost of \$3.00 per sample to cover data processing (i.e. sample plotting, etc.).

B) Analytical Procedure

The methods of analyses performed by Acme

Analytical Laboratories are as follows:

SAMPLE PREPARATION

- 1. Soil samples are dried at 60°C and sieved to -80 mesh.
- 2. Rock samples are pulverized to -100 mesh.

Geochemical Analysis of Au

10.0 - 30.0 gram samples are subjected to Fire assay preconcentration techniques to produce silver beads. The silver beads are dissolved and Au is determined in the solution by Atomic Absorption.

Geochemical Analysis of Hg

Digestion

A .50 gram sample is digested with aqua regia and diluted with 20% HCL.

Determination

Hg in the solution is determinated by cold vapour AA using F & J Scientific Hg assembly. An aliquot is added to stannous chloride-hydrochloric acid solution. The reduced Hg is swept out of the solution and passed into the Hg cell where it is determined by AA.

Multi Element Analysis by ICP

Digestion of Sample

0.5 gram samples are digested with hot aqua regia for one hour and the sample is diluted to 10 ml. The diluted sample is aspirated into a chamber where it is heated to 5,000 to 10,000 K in an argon plasma generated inductively by a radio frequency generator. The temperature is high enough to cause elements to emit light which is measured.

The ICP method has an extended dynamic range usually over many orders of magnitude of concentration. Interferences by other elements are electronically eliminated.

Interpretation of Results

Standard M-l is a certified geochem standard used to monitor the results. M-l has the following analysis.

1.	Mo :	in ppm	Ml.	2.	ppn
2.	Cu :	in ppm	Ml	28.	ppm
3.	Pb :	in ppm	Ml	38.	ppm
4.	Zn :	in ppm	Ml	180.	ppm
5.	Ag :	in ppm	Ml	0.3	ppm
6.	Ni :	in ppm	ML	32.	ppm
7.	Co:	in ppm	M1	12.	ppm
8.	Mn :	in ppm	M1.	800.	ppm
9.	Fe :	in %	M1.	2.5	naq
10.	As :	in ppm	<u>M1</u>	8.	maq
11.	U :	in ppm	M1	3.	mqq
12.	IS :	Internal	. Sta	ndard.	~~
13.	Th:	in ppm	ML	3.	ppm
14.	IS :	Internal	. Sta	ndard	
15.	Cđ :	in ppm	ML	2.	ppm
16.	Sb :	in ppm	Ml	3.	ppm
17.	Bi :	in ppm	M1	2.	ppn
18.	V :	in ppm	Ml	54.	ppm
19.	Ca :	in %	Ml	0.62	 90
20.	Р:	in %	M1	0.11	ያ
21.	La :	in ppm	M1	8.	ppm
22.	In :	in ppm	Ml	2.	ppm
23.	Mg :	in %	Ml	0.67	ę
24.	Ba :	in %	Ml	0.023	R
25.	Ti :	in %	Ml	0.07	욯
26.	в:	in ppm	Ml	12.	ppm
27.	Al :	in &	Ml	1.9	울
28.	IS :	Internal	Sta	ndard.	
29.	IS:	Internal	Sta	ndard.	
30.	W :	in ppm	Ml	1.	ppm

Notes:

- 1. Zinc over 5,000 ppm interferes in W channel.
- 2. Iron over 1.% interferes on In and Sb channel.

Monitoring of Results

If analysis of standard M-l is different than the certification, then compensate (add or subtract) samples appropriately.

Standardization:

Complete set of USGS standards, Canadian Certified Reference Materials and 72 specpure metals from Johnson Matthey.

C) Results

Analyses of rock, stream and overburden drill samples failed to yield interesting results. Anomalies were not apparent in any of the eight elements of principal interest (gold, arsenic, antimony, mercury, copper, lead, zinc and silver).

The overburden drilling indicates that bedrock in the area is overlain by a relatively thin (less than 5m) cover of till. A summary of the drilling is as follows:

Hole Number	Sample Number	Depth (m)	Material Sampled
19	705019	2.5	C-horizon plus calcareous siltstone bedrock chips.
20	705020	3.0	Same.
21	705021	3.0	C-horizon with probable bedrock chips.
22	705022	11.5	Same.
23	705023	2.0	Same.
24	705024	3.0	C-horizon or till.
25	705025	3.2	Same.
26	705026	3.0	C-horizon plus bedrock chips.
27	705027	3.2	Same.
28	705028	3.0	C-horizon plus probable volcanic bedrock chips.
29	705029	5.5	C-horizon plus calcareous bedrock chips.
30	705030	2.1	Same.
31	705031	1.4	Till
32	705032	4.0	C-horizon plus probable calcareous becrock chips.
33	705033	4.0	Same.
34	705034	5.5	Same.

10) CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Although the results of work this year failed to provide any geochemical encouragement, the geological environment remains a highly suitable one. In that a large portion of the area remains unsampled, additional mapping and sampling are recommended.

References

- Jeletzky, J.A. (1976): Mesozoic and Tertiary Rocks of Quatsino Sound, Vancouver Island; Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 242.
- Muller, J.E., Northcote, K.E., and Carlisle, D. (1974): Geology and Mineral Deposits of Alert Bay-Cape Scott Map-Area, British Columbia; Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 74-8.

APPENDIX I

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, Russell H. Wong of 890 West Pender Street - Suite 700, Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, Do Hereby State:

- The I am a graduate of the University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., where I obtained a B.Sc in Geology in 1975.
- That I am currently completing an M.Sc. degree in Geology at the University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C.
- 3. That I have been active in mineral exploration since 1973.
- That I am a member in good standing of the Northwest Mining Association.
- That I have practised my profession continuously as a staff geologist for BP Minerals Limited, since 1979.

Russell HWong

September, 1982 Vancouver, B.C.

Russell H. Wong BP Geologist

t

.

t

APPENDIX II

STATEMENT OF COSTS

- -

-

Statement of Costs

A)

1.	BP LABOUR:		
	J. Thompson	Project Geologist July 3,4,5,15 (1/2 day)	
	۲	3 1/2 days @ \$120/day	\$420
	M. Flanagan	Geologist July 3,4,5,15	
		4 days @ \$110/day	\$440
	D. McClymont	Assistant July 3,4,5	
		3 days @ \$75/day	\$225
	M. Renning	Assistant July 3,4,5	
		3 days @ \$60/day	\$180
	R. Wong	Project Geologist May 16,17	
		2 days @ \$200/day	\$400
	W. Bleaney	Geologist May 16,17	
		2 days @ \$105/day	\$210
2.	GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS		
	17 soil/stream/lake se @ \$15.40/sample.	ediment samples	-
	(29 element ICP analys for Au and Hg, pH det preparation and stora	sis, geochemical assay cermination, sample age, data processing).	\$261.80
	23 rock chip samples (\$16.00/sample	
	(29 element ICP analys for Au and Hg, sample data processing).	sis, geochemical assay preparation and storage	\$368

•

3.	DRAFTING/REPRODUCTION/TYPING:	\$150
4.	SUPPORT COSTS:	
	18 man-days of Food and Accommodation @ \$40/man day	\$720
	5 days of truck rentals: (Redhawk — 2 Four Wheel Drive Jimmys) @ \$75/day (including fuel for two vehicles).	\$375
	Miscellaneous consumable equipment and supplies (topofil, flagging, sample bags).	\$150
	SUB TOTAL:	\$3899.80

B) Overburden Drill Costs:

Deep overburden drilling was conducted on five separate claim groups in the area. In total, 41 holes were completed at an overall cost of \$7,536.12. The figure to be applied for assessment purposes therefore equals \$183.80 per drill hole. This does not include an additional \$12.50 for geochemical analysis of the drill sample.

On the property, sixteen holes were completed for a total drill-related credit of \$3,140.80.

1. Transportation:

	Ferry Truck rental (13 days) Gas	\$37 \$529.66 \$126.18
2.	Labour from Alex J. Turpin Company Limited 2 men from May 8-21 @ \$160/day	\$4,480
3.	Accommodation:	
	28 man-days @ \$40/day	\$1,120
4.	Parts:	-
	3 drill rods @ \$45 each 4 drill bits @ \$210 each Oil and spare parts	\$135 \$840 \$44.28
	SUB TOTAL:	\$7,536.12
41 ł cost	noles drilled in total: t per hole = $\frac{$7,536.12}{41}$ = \$183.80	
Plus	analytical cost of \$12.50/hole.	
16 1	oles drilled on the HP Crown P alaims for a	

total value of: $16x(\$183.80+\$12.50) = \frac{\$3,140.80}{\$3,140.80}$

C) <u>Summary of Assessment Credits to be Applied:</u>

Non-drill Costs	\$3899-80
Drill Costs	\$3140.80
	<u></u>

TOTAL ASSESSMENT \$7040.60

(\$6500 to be applied).

APPENDIX III

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

SAMPLE	TYPE LEGEND:	<u>10</u> s , s	stream scdime <u>10</u> spe	n sed: ent - ecial	iment near samp	, <u>30</u> sho le.	<u>)</u> 18 pre,	ake s , <u>50</u>	sedin soil	nent . sam	- la ple,	ke cen <u>81</u> ro	ck sa	<u>32</u> la mple,	ke		; ,) ;.	
Sample Type	Sample No.	Mo	 Cu	Pb	Zn	Ni	ប់	Mn	Fet.	Aq	Co	2***	De	Uat	ch			,	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	636087 636088 636090 636093 636094 636095 636096 638090 638090 638091 638092 638093	475334458665	31 43 42 35 39 25 50 40 55 58 41 49	9 1 2 13 6 1 1 5 7 1 1 5 7 1 1 10 4 2 5 1 10 4 2 1 5 6 1 1 9 1	52 (09 99 (09 99 (09 99 (09 99 50 (09 50 (09 50 (09 50 (09 50 (09)) 50 (09) 50	717254926659	6 6 4 6 5 Y 5 5 5 N 5 4 6	1627 2031 611 1641 598 1602 1491 1110 621 478 330 506	4.397 6.3857 4.3857 9.4.3857 3.4.39 3.3 3.3 3.3	476344456424 	25 17 17 17 17 18 29 28 20 19 13	55005555555555555555555555555555555555	AS 15 15 5 5 14 19 08 6	H9 65 110 25 40 75 60 10 55 100 55	50 1 1 2 0 0 1 0 2 1 1 0 0	W 00100000000	Th CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	Cd 344223534333	Bi 0 1 0 1 1 2 0 1 0 1
81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 8	63 1084 63 1085 63 1086 63 1087 63 1089 63 1090 63 1091 63 1092 63 1093 63 1094 63 1095 63 1005 63 1005 63 1005 63 1005 63 1005 63 1005 63 100	041505151213335 6	11 49 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 57 47 57 47 50 47 50 47 50 47 50 47 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	2 1 1 2 4 3 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 2 1 2 1 9 1	15 80 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5	867825882389500657	123853107575660083	57 179 998 279 218 298 405 298 405 298 405 2565 307 410 540	353849241547472283 	107740380555549953	2 871174 4 6 1 4 9 6 0 8 5 5 1 6 1 4 9 6 0 8 5 5 1 6	ំ មានថា នាងមានថា នាងក្លា ទំ	23 13 14 2 49 10 11 5 16 7 8 10 16 7 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	700 130 155 40 135 40 135 50 135 200 40 30 20 20	0000000000000000000000000000000000	2 - 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1		00404000000000000000000000000000000000	502210133433230431

* Geochem Assay

.

v Ba Al% Fe3 Mg% Ca% Ti P Mn La In В Cr Nb Au 636087 105 222 4.3 2.02 .72 .46 .04 .08 1627 9 0 G 636088 98 321 3 0 1.45 3.9 .28 .92 .78 .01 .11 2031 10 1.91 1 10 636090 3 239 0 Ō 6.7 .66 .28 .06 611 11 Ô 636093 95 2 137 4 0 1.52 4.0 .39 .50 .04 .08 1641 7 0 636094 4 99 256 3 ٥ 1.95 4.3 1.01 .59.12 . 12 598 8 0 4 636095 98 450 2 0 2.29 .67 3.8 .59.04 .09 1602 8 0 4 636096 153 78 3 0 2,46 4.5 1.19 1.04 .26 .09 1491 9 Ó 8 638089 98 172 Δ 0 2.21 1.05 4.7 .64 .00 1110 11 .11 116 0 3 638090 208 4 1.59 3.9 0 .67 ,78 .02 .16 621 12 o 4 638091 109 125 3 0 1.40 3.4 .49 · .65 .01 `**.** 1G 478 11 0 З 638092 130 3 413 1.24 3,3 Ô .39 .10 .74 .11 330 7 1 7 638093 114 322 2 1.79 3.8 0 1.04 .67 .07 . 12 50G 8 0 4 3 Ó 631084 29 -19 .05 .3 .1524.52 .00 .05 57 2 631085 . 34 166 3.5 1 .43 З 12 .43 .00 7 . 12 0 .07 179 7 0 63108G 80 87 1.70 4 1.05 5.60 .00 4.3 25 Ō .08 998 12 0 3G 631087 84 . .35 2,8 .48 6.55 .00 4 8 G õ .08 304 9 37 .24 4.4 ł 631088 51 4 .79 5.03 .00 19 6 0 .03 279 G 43 .59 1.9 631089 0 431 .83 .00 4 29 .35 ۰**5** ,02 0 218 3 45 1 631090 43 .35 A 28 .32 8.18 .00 11 6.2 0 .16 348 6 38 0 631091 73 . 1G .6210.20 .00 4 23 1.4 0 .04 298 5 631092 7.4 116 Ó 7 1.15 4.1 21 7 .98 9.39 .00 0 .05 404 7 67 631093 68 .21 8 1.5 .4613.62 .00 40 8 .09 0 665 8 631094 96 159 5 1.09 3.4 23 8 1.34 8.13,00 0 ,20 396 8 25 207 631095 .70 4 1.7 .6012.06 .00 38 7 0 7 136. .17 436 .90 3.4 92 2 631096 5 16 1.07 3.27 . 10 8 O .09 350 10 53 Ó 5 35 631135 65 29 1.7 .5010.18 .00 5 0 .25 .08 250[°]g 30 30 634059 2.2 1 7 13 7 .03 1,82 .00 0 85 1 .01 43 222 0 . . 21 636091 3 28 1.2 9 .-<u>0</u> 1.2412.14 .00 44 .05 307 4 .. 55 74 1.8 1 3 636092 ,14 .0048 ο. 418 G 1 3 144 96 5 0 1.77 638094 4.3 .84 .63 .17 ---09 540.9 0 4 3

S

SAMPLE TYPE	LEGEND;		10 s s s	stre sedi: <u>90</u> sj	am so ment pecia	edime + ne 1 sa	ent, <u>30</u> lake sediment - lake centre, <u>32</u> lake ear shore, <u>50</u> soil sample, <u>81</u> rock sample, imple.	
Sample Type	Sample No.	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ni	U Mn Fe% Ag Co Au* As Hq*Sb W	Th Cd Bi
81 81 81 81 81	634057 634058 634059 634060 636091	2 2 30 30	53 30 20 27 30	2 1 1 2 2	83 84 19 321 97	30 16 10 59 26	7 658 2.4 .7 18 5 10 20 0 1 0 5 223 2.9 .4 6 5 3 15 1 0 0 0 85 2.2 .3 5 5 6 40 0 0 0 7 102 .6 .4 2 5 31 105 2 1 0 10 307 1.2 .9 5 5 7 50 0 1 0	37 10 10 64 34

* Geochem Assay .

Au and Hg measured in ppb.

.

.

Sample No.	v	Ba ·	Alt	Fes	Mg% Ca%	T1%	La	In	в	Cr	Nb	Au
634057 634058 634059 634050	40 112 30 142	93 379 30 30	.46 .91 .25 .08	2.4 2.9 2.2 .6	.4710.85 .63 .16 .03 1.82 .0720.90	.00 .14 658 .00 .04 223 .00 .01 85 .00 .01 102	7 5 1 4	1 0 1	. 3232	23 33 9	7 2 2	0000
636091	43	222	.21	1.2	1.2412.14	.00 .05 307	4	1	з [.]	43 . /	8	0

· · ·

· .

٠,

•

 \bigcirc

•

а**н** 14

•

· · · · · · ·

• • •

۰ ۰ به ۰ ۰



