

Province of British Columbia

Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources

## ASSESSMENT REPORT TITLE PAGE AND SUMMARY

TYPE OF REPORT/SURVEY(S) GEOLOGICAL, GEOCHEMICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL	<b>TOTAL COST</b> \$76,038.00	
UTHOR(S) David Shaw SIG	NATUREIS) David Shaw	
ATE STATEMENT OF EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT FILE ROPERTY NAME(S) NIE Claim Group, SNIE Claim G	в September 12, 1984 <b>чеак оғ жок</b> к roup, NIE #8 Claim, DUCK Claim	 198 
OMMODITIES PRESENT gold, silver	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 
C. MINERAL INVENTORY NUMBER(S), IF KNOWN Atlin Atlin Atitude	NTS 104K, Tulsequah Sheet 132°18	•••
AMES and NUMBERS of all mineral tenures in good standing (when wor 2 units) - PHOENIX (Lot 1706) - Mineral Leave M 123 - Mining or Certified	rk was done) that form the property [Examples: TAX 1-4, F Mining Lesse ML 12 (claims involved)]:	IRE
TE #3-#7 NTE #2 SNOW 1. SNOW 3-6: NTE #8 (	20 units); DUCK Claim (18 units)	
$\mathbf{L}$ $\mathbf{U}$		
		•••
······································	GEQLOCICAT	•••
WNER(S)	GEOLOGICAL BRA ASSESSMENT DE	 N
<pre>www.er(s)     Chevron Canada Limited     (2)</pre>	GEOLOGICAL BRA ASSESSMENT DEP	N
www.er(s) Chevron Canada Limited (2)	GEOLOGICAL BRA ASSESSMENT DEP	N O
wnER(S) Chevron Canada Limited (2)	GEOLOGICAL BRA ASSESSMENT DEP	N O
wwer(s) Chevron Canada Limited AILING ADDRESS 1900 - 1055 West Hastings St., Vancouver, B. C. V6E 2E9	GEOLOGICAL BRA ASSESSMENT DEP	N 0
<pre>www.er(s)     Chevron Canada Limited     (2) AILING ADDRESS     1900 - 1055 West Hastings St.,     Vancouver, B. C. V6E 2E9 PERATOR(s) (that is, Company paying for the work)</pre>	GEOLOGICAL BRA ASSESSMENT DEP 12.600	N 0
<pre>wNER(S)     Chevron Canada Limited     (2) AILING ADDRESS     1900 - 1055 West Hastings St.,     Vancouver, B. C. V6E 2E9 PERATOR(S) (that is, Company paying for the work)     Chevron Canada Resources Limited (2)</pre>	GEOLOGICAL BRA ASSESSMENT DEP 12,600	) (
<pre>wNER(S) WNER(S) WNER(S) WNER(S) WALLING ADDRESS UPDO = 1055 West Hastings St., Vancouver, B. C. V6E 2E9 PERATOR(S) (that is, Company paying for the work) Chevron Canada Resources Limited (2) (2)</pre>	GEOLOGICAL BRA ASSESSMENT DEP 12,600	N 0
<pre>www.er(s) (2) WNER(s) (2) WNER(s) (2) WAILING ADDRESS (1900 - 1055 West Hastings St., Vancouver, B. C. V6E 2E9 PERATOR(s) (that is, Company paying for the work) (1) Chevron Canada Resources Limited (2) MAILING ADDRESS (1900 - 1055 West Hastings St.</pre>	GEOLOGICAL BRA ASSESSMENT DEP 12,600	) (
<pre>WNER(S) () Chevron Canada Limited (2) (AILING ADDRESS 1900 - 1055 West Hastings St., Vancouver, B. C. V6E 2E9 (PERATOR(S) (that is, Company paying for the work) () Chevron Canada Resources Limited (2) (AILING ADDRESS 1900 - 1055 West Hastings St. Vancouver, B. C. V6E 2E9 </pre>	GEOLOGICAL BRA ASSESSMENT DEP 12,600	N 0

Main rock types are pre-Upper Triassic, intermediate to mafic, chloritized volcanics and Triassic, foliated diorite. Also get pre-Upper Triassic phyllite, limestone and mafic/ultramafics. Main structure is West Wall fault, strikes northerly and dips steeply to east. Majority of Claim area located on hanging wall, highly fractured zone segmented into large blocks. Alteration and mineralization associated with West Wall fault on west side and Ultramafic fault on east. REFERENCES TO PREVIOUS WORK. NIE Group '82 and '83: NIE #8 '82 and '83; SNOW Group '83.

TYPE OF "^9K IN THIS R AT	EXT (IN	ENT OF WORK METRIC UNITS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	WHICH CLAIMS	`		COST APPOR ONED
GEOLOGICAL (scale, area) Ground	1:10,000	40.75 km <sup>2</sup>	NIE	#2-#8; DI	JCK	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\$20,980.00
Photo CCORUNCICAL ///ne bilemeterel		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				,	••••		
GEOPH TSICAL (Inte-kilometres)									
Magnetic Electromagnetic	66.52 km		<u>Nie</u>	#2-#4	<b>.</b>		 . <b>.</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 9,000,00
Induced Polerization					<i>.</i>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Radiometric					••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Seismic								••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other					•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Airborne					• • • • • • • •		• • • • • •		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
GEOCHEMICAL (number of sams	bies enalysed for	.1	NTE	<u>що</u> щ <b>л</b>					¢20 155 00
Soil	1154		. 015.	. \$47\$4	•••••	•••••			. \$40.100.00.
Silt Rock	155	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ÎNIE.	#2-#7.N)	IE. #8 DU	ICK.	• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	3,000.00.
Other			• • <i>• •</i>						
DRILLING (total metres; number	of holes, size)							1	
Core						••••••	•••••		•••••
Non-core RELATED TECHNICAL					• • • • • • • •				
Sempling/assaying	• • • • • • • • • • •					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••		•••••
Petrographic									
Mineralogic									
werminungic									
PROSPECTING (scale, area)			••••				•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
PREPARATORY/PHYSICAL Legel surveys (scale, area)									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Topographic (scale, area)					· · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · <i>· · · · ·</i> ·	•••••••••••••
Photogrammetric (scale, area) Line/grid (kilometres)	68.17 km	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>Ņ</u> ţ¢	#2-#4	· · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••		\$12,303.00
Roed, local access (kilometres) Trench (metres)	14.6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NIE	.#2	· · · · · · · · · ·		••••		.\$.2,600.00.
Underground (metres)				• • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		· · · · · ·		
				· · · · · ·		······································		TOTAL COST	\$76,038.00
FOR MINISTRY USE ONLY		NAME OF PAC ACCOUN	ІТ	DEBIT	CREDIT	REMARKS:			
Value work done (from report) .									
Velue of work approved									
Value claimed (from statement)									
Value credited to PAC account	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Value debited to PAC account	• • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·						
Accepted Date	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	Rept. No				Information Class	• • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····

## ASSESSMENT REPORT

# GEOLOGICAL, GEOCHEMICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY NIE and SNIE GROUPS, DUCK and NIE 8 ATLIN MINING DIVISION TATSAMENIE LAKE AREA, B. C. N.T.S. 104K/TULSEQUAH SHEET 58°19'N

132°18'W

# OWNER: CHEVRON CANADA LIMITED OPERATOR: CHEVRON CANADA RESOURCES LIMITED

AUTHOR: DAVID SHAW

November 1984

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

,

	Page
LOCATION AND ACCESS	I,
CLAIMS	I.
PREVIOUS WORK	21
REGIONAL GEOLOGY	3 /
CLAIM GEOLOGY	3 /
STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY	¥6
MINERALIZATION AND ALTERATION	7 /
GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY	8 /
GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS	9 /
VLF. GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY	× 01
GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	11,
TRENCH RESULTS	12 /
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	13 /
REFERENCES	14 /
COST STATEMENT	15 /
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS	19 /

.

# LIST OF FIGURES

			Page
FIGURE	1:	Location Map	2 /
	2:	Claim Map	3 /
	3A:	Geology (north)	in pocket
	3B:	Geology (south)	11
	4:	Trench Map	11
	5:	Soil Geochemistry: Gold	11
	6:	Soil Geochemistry: Arsenic and Antimony	11
	7A:	VLF Contour Map NIE Northwest	11
	7B <b>:</b>	VLF Contour Map NIE Northeast	<b>F</b> 1
	7C <b>:</b>	VLF Contour Map NIE Northeast extension	FF
	7D:	VLF Contour Map NIE Southwest	11
	7E:	VLF Contour Map NIE Southeast	11
	8A-M:	VLF Profiles NIE West	11
	9A-Q:	VLF Profiles NIE East	11

## LOCATION AND ACCESS

The NIE Group (NIE #3 to #7), the SNIE group (NIE #2, SNOW #1, #3 to #6), NIE #8 and the DUCK claims are located 58°19'N and 132°18'W. Sam Creek is at the southern end of these claims and they extend northwards to and alongside the southeastern and eastern shoreline of Tatsamenie Lake. The small town of Atlin, B.C. is approximately 150 km to the northwest whilst Juneau, Alaska is approximately 140 km to the east.

#### CLAIMS

<u>Claim</u>	Record Number	Record Date	No. of Units
NIE #2	1540	September 18, 1981	20
NIE #3	1541	September 18, 1981	20
NIE #4	1542	September 18, 1981	20
NIE #5	1543	September 18, 1981	15
NIE #6	1544	September 18, 1981	10
NIE #7	1545	September 18, 1981	20
NIE #8	1546	September 18, 1981	20
SNOW	1748	September 22, 1982	15
SNOW 3	1750	September 22, 1982	16
SNOW 4	1751	September 22, 1982	4
SNOW 5	1752	September 22, 1982	20
SNOW 6	1753	September 22, 1982	15
DUCK	2054	September 26, 1983	18

## PREVIOUS WORK

In 1982 Chevron Canada Resources Limited performed rock and soil sampling plus reconnaissance mapping on the NIE Claim Group and on NIE #8. A total of 243 soil samples and thirty-six rock samples were collected and analysed. The mapping/prospecting failed to reveal any major areas of alteration and/or mineralization. Results from the rock and soil sampling programmes failed to indicate any zones of anomalous precious metal mineralization.



In 1983 Chevron Canada Resources Limited performed prospecting, reconnaissance mapping plus a limited amount of detailed mapping, rock and soil sampling on the SNOW claims (part of SNIE Group), the NIE Claim Group and on NIE #8. A total of seventy-nine rock samples and six hundred and forty-one soil samples were collected and analysed for precious metal and trace element content.

The majority of the rock and soil samples were collected on the NIE Group (fifty-five and three hundred and eighty-five respectively). The soils were collected from a large grid on NIE #4 and #5 which was an extension to the NIE #3 soil grid constructed during 1982. Only one rock sample was found to be highly anomalous in gold; there were some weak anomalies. Geochemical results from the soil survey failed to indicate any strong gold or silver anomalies.

Forty-nine soil samples were collected from contour soil lines on the NIE #8 claim, these lines were orientated to complement those utilized during the previous season. Only three samples contained greater than 50 ppb gold; silver, arsenic and antimony values were all at background levels.

Twenty-four rock and two hundred and seven soil samples were collected from the SNOW Group (these claims have now been re-grouped and are part of the SNIE Group). Due to the nature of the topography and lack of good soil development most of these samples were from SNOW 1, 2, 5 and 6. Analysis for Au, Ag, As, Sb yielded a distribution that was weak and sporadic. The main area of interest generated was one of anomalous arsenic and antimony values on SNOW 1.



NIE + SNIE CLAIM GROUPS, DUCK AND NIE 8 CLAIMS



M523

FIG. 2

#### REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The area south and immediately east of Tatsamenie Lake and north of Sam Creek (excluding the area covered by the MISTY Claim Group) consists predominantly of pre-Upper Triassic volcanic rocks intercalated with sedimentary rocks and Lower-Middle Triassic age, foliated, hornblende diorite (Souther, 1971). Intruding these rocks are stocks and dykes of non-foliated, post-Middle Jurassic diorite.

Structurally the area is dominated by the northerly striking West Wall fault which lies on the west side of the NIE Claims. To the south this structure strikes through the MISTY Claim Group. On the western side of the NIE Claims, there is another major fracture that has within it pods of mafic/ultramafic rocks, predominantly amphibolite. The ground in between these two major structural breaks is segmented by a complex array of conjugate joints and faults.

Tight to isoclinal, small scale (wave length less than one metre) folds are observed in thinly layered limestone, the limestone outcrops being fault blocks or slivers.

#### CLAIM GEOLOGY

## Pre-Upper Triassic Rocks (Units 1, 2, 3 and 4)

The pre-Upper Triassic rocks are of four main types - mafics/ultramafics, limestones, phyllites and volcanics. These rocks account for approximately sixty-five percent of the claims outcrop, the volcanics are by far the most abundant whilst the limestone and phyllites are restricted in outcrop. The degree of deformation varies; tight to isoclinal minor folds are recognized in the thinly layered limestones whilst brittle failure phenomenon is well represented by numerous faults and shear zones. Rock exposure varies from non-existent (in the vegetated, glacial and lacustrine sediment covered area bordering Tatsamenie Lake) to one hundred percent on the glaciated valley sides.

A varied degree of chloritization has affected all of the volcancis and has resulted in a large volume of greenstone being produced. The most common volcanic rock type is a fine to medium grained tuff which is usually strongly chloritized but not deformed unless adjacent to a fault(s). Subordinate in frequency to the tuffs are fine grained, dark green-black, magnetic, massive flows. Many of the chloritized tuffs and flows have been sheared producing phyllites and schists.

Stratigraphy within the volcanics is difficult to establish due to rapid textural changes. One possible marker horizon is an augite porphyry that contains phenocrysts up to 2 centimetres in length. Textural differences are often masked on the weathered surfaces which are generally dark green except where a local pyrite content has produced a rusty red patch of hematite.

The limestone occurs either as slivers in a shear zone that is located in the hangingwall of the West Wall fault or as fault blocks within the central area. The limestone varies from a clean white/grey, massive type to a thinly layered, grey, carbonaceous rock. As the limestone is everywhere in fault contact with adjacent rocks its stratigraphic position is unknown. Detailed mapping to the south by the author has indicated that the limestones are stratigraphically below the previously described volcanics.

A single outcrop of grey/buff siliceous phyllite was recognized. These thinly layered metasediments occur as a fault sliver within a shear zone that is structurally located on the hangingwall of the West Wall fault.

On the eastern part of the NIE Claim Group and in the DUCK Claims (Figures 3A and 3B) highly sheared, fracture bounded blocks of amphibolite occur. These exotic rocks are located in a major structure that strikes north-south, the Ultramafic Fault zone.

#### Triassic Diorite (Unit #5)

Approximately thirty percent of the Claim Group is underlain by a diorite of Lower or Middle Triassic age (Souther, 1971). This intrusive is fine to medium grained and greenish-grey in colour. Biotite and hornblende, often altered to chlorite and epidote, are aligned to form a planar fabric. Feldspars are often weathered to a chalky-white colour possibly indicating saussuritization.

Both the eastern and western contacts of the diorite body within the central part of the NIE Claims as well as the western contact of the foliated diorite body on the eastern side of the NIE Claims, adjacent to the DUCK and NIE #8 Claims, are of a sheared intrusive nature. Iron carbonate alteration plus minor silica veining and silicification has occurred sporadically along these contacts, affecting primarily the contact volcanics.

#### Cretaceous-Jurassic Diorite (Unit #6)

Within the central part of the NIE Claim Group is what appears to be a faulted, nonfoliated diorite stock. Emanating from this intrusion into the volcanic country rock are numerous diorite dykes. This diorite is distinguished from the previously described diorite by an absence of mineral fabric and a distinctive red colour. The unaltered appearance of the rock is that of a syenite due to the presence of iron contaminated plagioclase feldspar which is red in colour. The dykes vary in width from a few centimeters to a couple of metres and are usually cut by a well developed joint pattern, as in the stock.

The location and orientation of the dykes appears to be controlled by local fractures, either at the contact of different rock types or within a single rock type.

#### STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY

A major fault, the West Wall fault, strikes north-northwesterly through the western part of the NIE Claim Group. The structure dips steeply to the east. In the footwall there are volcanics – tuffs in the northern part and massive, fine-grained flows in the southern part. A shear zone composed of slivers of limestone, phyllite and volcanics forms the immediate hangingwall. Non-foliated diorite and quartz-feldspar porphyry dykes as well as quartz veins have been injected into the fault and hangingwall shear zone.

On the eastern side of the NIE Claims and on NIE #8 and the DUCK Claims there is another major fracture, the Ultramafic fault. This structure has north-south alignment with a vertical dip. Amphibolite within the structure is strongly fractured.

Wall rocks are also fractured and faulted, parts of the west wall volcanics being intensely sheared. The fault zone in places has a width of up to 0.5 km, this zone being occupied by fracture segmented, amphibolite blocks.

The ground between the two major fractures is strongly jointed and faulted. Movement along fractures has moved juxtaposed limestone blocks against the stratigraphically younger and structurally higher volcanics.

Minor folds, tight to isoclinal, of small wavelength are recognized within the limestone slivers located on the West Wall fault hangingwall shear zone. These folds are thought to be correlative with those generated during a regional second phase of deformation (this correlation is based on detailed mapping done by the author on ground to the south).

#### MINERALIZATION AND ALTERATION

The majority of the alteration, mineralization and vein intrusion within the claim group occurs at, or in the hangingwall of, the West Wall fault. Rock samples collected at locations on or adjacent to this structure yield the highest gold values. The most promising section of the structure (based on mapping and geochemical values from surface grab samples) was trenched (Figure 4 and page 12). Along its entire length the West Wall fault exhibits evidence of either alteration, vein intrusion and/or mineralization. The alteration type may be iron-carbonate and/or silica, the former is more apparent and plentiful being particularly well developed within pods of chloritized volcanics located in the hangingwall shear package. Veins may be composed of either quartz or non-foliated diorite. One section of diorite (Station DS-337) has been fractured parallel to the structure that it intrudes and is itself veined by quartz. These veins have yielded assay values in excess of 14 gms. gold.

The north-northwest/south-southeast trending Au-Sb-As soil anomaly (Figures 5 and 6) is probably a reflection of mineralization along the West Wall fault.

On the east side of the claims there is a major structural break (the Ultramafic fault) that contains mafic/ultramafic pods. Associated with this structure is strong shearing and alteration. The volcanics at the west contact are highly iron-carbonate altered with some subordinate quartz veining and silicification. This structure can be traced northwards to NIE #8 where grab samples of altered and fractured foliated diorite yielded anomalous Au values.

These two major, northerly striking fractures (the West Wall fault and the Ultramafic fault) enclose an area that is diversely segmented by numerous fractures and faults. Alteration and mineralization is concentrated at, or adjacent to, these structures. Iron carbonate alteration of volcanics is common with minor silica veining and silicification. Less common is silica alteration of the limestone fault blocks that are occasionally located within the ubiquitous volcanics. Whilst such mineralization and alteration of the volcanics may show anomalous concentrations of As and Sb the presence of anomalous amounts of Au is somewhat rare.

#### GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY

#### Soil Geochemistry

A baseline, originating on the southeastern shoreline of Tatsamenie Lake and trending due south for a distance of 5.4 km, was surveyed, cut and marked. Cross lines were orientated at ninety degrees to the baseline and spaced at one hundred metre intervals. These lines were compassed and chained, pickets were placed every 25 m. Cross lines to the west of the baseline extend up to 1700 m, those to the east have a maximum length of 3100 m. One thousand, one hundred and thirty-four soil samples were collected during 120 man days of work (Figures 5 and 6). Sample sites were spaced at 50 m intervals, samples were collected by use of a soil mattock with the upper B soil layer being the target horizon.

Soil samples were placed in kraft wet strength soil bags, air dried and shipped to Chemex Labs, North Vancouver, B. C. The samples were further dried and then sieved, with the -80 mesh portion being retained for analysis. Rock samples were crushed and then pulverized in a ring grinder to -100 mesh. For Au determination, a fire assay - atomic absorption technique is used with the fire assay bead being dissolved in HC1 and HN03 then analyzed by conventional atomic absorption techniques. For Ag, a mixture of HC104 and HN03 is used to digest the sample, which is followed by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. The As analyses are done by standard colorometric techniques following an HC104 plus HN03 digestion. Antimony analyses are done by digesting the sample in HC1, then adding potassium iodide, extracting with TOPO - MIBK and then analyzing by atomic absorption

#### GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS

Laboratory analysis revealed anomalous Au values up to 595 ppb (grid location 3400S – 840W) the majority of these anomalous values appear to be related to the West Wall fault. The north-central and eastern parts of the grid (those outside of the MISTY claim group) show only low levels of Au content.

The distribution of anomalous Sb and As samples is similar to that of Au in that they also appear to be related to the West Wall fault. The north-central and eastern part of the grid are generally devoid of anomalous samples. An exception to this occurs on line 1600S immediately east of the baseline where there are thirteen consecutive anomalous Sb samples. This anomalous zone is possibly related to mineralization along an east-west trending fault which possibly intersects the main area of mineralization associated with the West Wall fault.

## Rock Geochemistry

Eighteen of the one hundred and twenty-five rock samples assayed returned values in excess of 100 ppb Au. Five of these samples had Au contents in excess of one thousand ppb. The highest value obtained was 8100 ppb, this sample was located in NIE #2 on the West Wall fault (the above does not relate to the DS-337 trench samples which will be discussed later). The majority of the anomalous samples were obtained on the West Wall fault.

Anomalous values of As, Hg and Sb are more common but also tend to be located at the West Wall fault or within the immediate hangingwall section. Exceptions to this are found at the northern end of the Ultramafic fault zone (NIE #8) and in the central part of the NIE Group where mineralization is associated with a complex fracture array.

## VLF GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY

## Principles of Operation

An EM 16 is a sensitive receiver covering the frequency band of VLF-transmitting stations with means of measuring the vertical field components. The receiver has two inputs, with two receiving coils built into the instrument. One coil has normally vertical axis and the other is horizontal. The signal from one of the coils (vertical axis) is first minimized by tilting the instrument. The tilt angle is calibrated in percentage. The remaining signal in this coil is finally balanced out by a measured percentage of a signal from the other coil after being shifted by 90°. This coil is normally parallel to the primary field. Thus if the secondary signals are small compared to the primary horizontal field, the mechanical tilt-angle is an accurate measure of the vertical real-component, and the compensation /2 signal from the horizontal coil is a measure of the quadrature vertical signal (Geonics Operating Manual).

The magnetic field lines from a station are always at right angles to the direction of that station. Consequently a station (Seattle) was selected that gave a field approximately at right angles to the general strike of the geological structure (West Wall fault) that was being investigated.

The VLF-EM16 survey was conducted on the NIE grid. Readings were taken at 12½ metre intervals. Not all of the grid lines were covered during the survey; those readings taken are plotted in plan view and profile view in Figures 7A to 7E, 8A to M, 9A to Q.

#### GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS

A dominant feature of the VLF EM-16 survey is the extremely strong, linear response that strikes approximately north-northwest along the western edge of the grid. This zone varies in width from less than 50 m to in excess of 250 m and is probably generated by the West Wall fault and the associated hangingwall shear zone. Elsewhere on the grid there is a varied electromagnetic response, determining the orientation of the conductors responsible however is difficult. It is assumed that they are related to the multiple fracture array that is found throughout the NIE claims.

#### TRENCH RESULTS

A 14.6 m long trench, orientated east-west, was blasted across the West Wall fault at station DS-337 (Figure 4). At this location the fault contact between the footwall volcanics and the hangingwall shear zone assemblage strikes north-south and dips steeply towards the east. Due to the precipitous terrain at this location the trench was not extended sufficiently far to the west to intersect the footwall volcanics.

Geology exposed in the trench involves two distinct units. A twelve metre width of a strongly jointed, weak to moderately altered, non-foliated diorite dyke forms the hangingwall contact with the footwall volcanics off to the immediate west. The diorite is fractured by two distinct through-going planar structures (Units G and K) and a third, less well defined fracture/shear zone (Unit P). Mineralization within the diorite appears to be fracture controlled. The highest Au assay (14.00 gm) was obtained from the fracture Unit G whilst the highest silver assay (Unit N - 8.9 gm) was obtained from strongly jointed and veined diorite.

Structurally overlying the diorite dyke and separated from it by yellow, clay gouge is highly fractured and sheared carbonaceous limestone. This limestone sliver is intruded by a mottled white/grey, quartz vein which contains zenoliths of the host rock. Precious metal mineralization appears to be structurally controlled, the highly fractured carbonaceous limestone bounded by the quartz vein and gouge contains 3.1 gm Ag and 8.6 gm Au (Unit C).

#### a2/17/12

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Detailed mapping, geochemical sampling, geophysical surveying and trenching has outlined major structures hosting mineralization and alteration. Whilst no widths of economic grade material were discovered on surface the geochemical and geophysical responses at and adjacent to the West Wall fault suggest that potential may exist at depth. Detailed mapping and sampling on and adjacent to the Ultramafic fault zone have also outlined a very interesting geological environment. Future work should be orientated towards further trench targets across the West Wall fault and into the hangingwall shear zone. Also detailed sampling and VLF-EM16 surveying should be carried out across the Ultramafic fault zone in order to locate sites for trenching.

# REFERENCES

Geonics Limited, 1979. EM 16 Operating Manual

Souther, J. G. (1971). Geology and mineral deposits of Tulsequah map-area, British Columbia. Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 362, 84p.

# DUCK CLAIM

# COST STATEMENT

# PERIOD June 1 to August 30, 1984

(1) Personnel

	Name	<b>Position</b>	Field Days	Office Days	
D. SI	haw	Geologist	7	1	
F.W	ohlgemuth	Geol. Asst.	I		
Aver	age cost per ma	n day \$221.00			\$1,768.00
Aver	age cost for offi	ce day - \$240 <b>.</b> 00			240.00
(2)	Analysis				
	Rock: 7@\$18.				126.00
(3)	Camp Costs				
	Total man days	8 @\$60 <b>.</b> /day			480.00
(4)	Helicopter				
	3.1 hours @\$45	0./hr.			1,395.00
(5)	Drafting				
	day @\$150./d	ay			150.00
					<u>\$4,159.00</u>

# NIE #8 CLAIM

# COST STATEMENT

# PERIOD June 1 to August 30, 1984

# (1) <u>Personnel</u>

	Name	Position	Field Days	Office Days		
D. SI F. W M. W A. P A. G T. Z	haw ohlgemuth lood aramonoff rigoruk anger	Geologist Geol. Asst. Sampler Sampler Sampler Sampler	   2 2 2 2	I		
Aver	age cost per ma	n day \$96 <b>.</b> 00			\$	960.00
Aver	age cost for off	ice day - \$240 <b>.</b> 00				240.00
(2)	<u>Analysis</u>					
	Rock: 54 @\$18	3.				972.00
(3)	Camp Costs	٠				
	Total man days	; 10 @\$60 <b>./</b> day				600.00
(4)	Helicopter					
	1.8 hours @\$45	0./hr.				810.00
(5)	Drafting					
	day @\$150./d	lay				150.00
					<u>\$3</u>	,732.00

# NIE #3, #4, #5, #6 and #7

# COST STATEMENT

# PERIOD June 1 to August 30, 1984

# (1) Labour

N	ame	Position	Field Days	Office Days	
D. Sho F. Wol M. Wo A. Par A. Gri A. Del C. Mir D. Co	aw hlgemuth ood ramonoff igoruk bowski roslaw ok	Geologist Geol, Asst. Sampler Sampler Sampler Surveyor Surveyor Geophys. Tech.	18 16 17 17 17 7 7 15	8	
Avera	ige cost per man	day \$106 <b>.0</b> 0			\$12,084.00
Avera	ige cost for offic	e day - \$240.00			1,920.00
(2)	<u>Analysis</u>				
	Soil: 928@\$14.0 Rock: 41@\$18	00 .00			12,992.00 738.00
(3)	Camp Costs				
	Total man days	4 @\$60 <b>.</b> /day			6,840.00
(4)	Helicopter				
	15.3 hours @\$45	0./hr.			6,885.00
(5)	Drafting				
	5 days @\$150./d	ay			750.00
					<u>\$42,209.00</u>

# NIE #2, SNOW 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6

# COST STATEMENT

# PERIOD June 1 to August 30, 1984

# (1) Labour

	Name	<b>Position</b>	Field Days	Office Days	
D. SI F. W A. P A. G A. D C. M D. C	naw ohlgemuth lood aramonoff rigoruk ebowski iroslaw ook	Geologist Geol. Asst. Sampler Sampler Sampler Surveyor Surveyor Geophys. Tech.	13 9 10 10 10 3 3 22	3	
Aver	age cost per mar	n day \$106 <b>.</b> 00			\$ 8,480.00
Aver	age cost for offic	ce day - \$240.00			720.00
Yuka	on Eric Mining Se	rvices			
	8 man days @\$1	33. 3/day			1,065.00
(2)	Analysis				
	Soil: 206 @\$14 Rock: 53 @\$1	.00 8.00			2,884.00 954.00
(3)	<u>Camp Costs</u>				
	Total man days	82 @\$60 <b>.</b> /day			4,920.00
(4)	Helicopter				
	14.7 hours @\$45	0./hr.			6,615.00
(5)	Drafting				
	2 days @\$150./c	lay			300.00
					<u>\$25,938.00</u>

-

# STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, David Shaw, graduated from the University of Sheffield in 1973 with a B.Sc. (Hons. Geology) and from Carleton University in 1980 with a Ph.D. (Structural Geology).

I have worked for Chevron Canada Resources Limited since November 1, 1981.

# Lawid Shaw

DAVID SHAW

# ASSESSMENT REPORT GEOLOGICAL, GEOCHEMICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY MISTY GROUP ATLIN MINING DIVISION TATSAMENIE LAKE AREA, B. C. N.T.S. 104K/TULSEQUAH SHEET 58°17'N

٠

132°18'W

# OWNER: CHEVRON CANADA LIMITED OPERATOR: CHEVRON CANADA RESOURCES LIMITED

AUTHOR: DAVID SHAW

12,688

October 1984 GEOLOGICAL BRANCH

ASSESSMENT REPORT

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

ī

	Page
LOCATION AND ACCESS	1,
CLAIMS	Ι.
PREVIOUS WORK	17
REGIONAL GEOLOGY	2 ,
GROUP GEOLOGY	2 /
STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY	4
MINERALIZATION AND ALTERATION	5 🗸
GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSES OF CLAIMS	5 /
GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS	6
VLF GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY	6
GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS	7,
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	8 ,
REFERENCE	9
COST STATEMENT	10 🗸
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS	11 /

# LIST OF FIGURES

.

			Page
FIGURE	۱:	Location Map	2 <
	2:	Geology	in pocket
	3:	Soil Geochemistry: Locations	in pocket $_{\mathbb{P}}$
	4:	Soil Geochemistry: Au	in pocket 🍃
	5:	Soil Geochemistry: As and Sb	in pocket
	6:	VLF Contour Map	in pocket $_{\nearrow}$
	7:	VLF Profiles	in pocket /

## LOCATION AND ACCESS

The Misty Group (NIE 1, SAM 1, SNOW 2, MISTY 1 and 2) is located at 58°17'N and 132°18'W, about five km south of Tatsamenie Lake. Atlin, B.C. is approximately 160 km northwest of the Misty group (Figure 1). A helicopter provided access to the property from the Tatsamenie Lake camp on the western shore of the lake.

#### **CLAIMS**

CLAIM	RECORD NUMBER	RECORD DATE	NUMBER OF UNITS
SNOW 2	1749	September 22, 1982	20
NIEI	1539	September 18, 1981	20
SAM I	1290	March 5, 1981	15
MISTY I	1484	August 21, 1981	20
MISTY 2	1485	August 21, 1981	20

These claims cover previously unstaked ground. The claims are owned by Chevron Canada Limited with Chevron Canada Resources Limited acting as the operator.

## PREVIOUS WORK

Work has been done on the Misty Claim Group by Chevron Canada Resources Limited during the 1982 and 1983 field seasons.

During 1982 the work consisted of reconnaissance mapping and rock sampling plus soil sampling. Thirty-seven rock and seventy-six soil samples were analyzed. Significant gold and silver values were found to be associated with narrow veins. A more detailed and larger soil grid was recommended as a result.



The 1983 programme consisted of follow-up mapping, not of a detailed nature, and a larger soil survey. Results obtained verified and expanded those gained in the previous year. No further work was recommended due to the small size of the alteration zones and the limited size of the anomalies.

#### REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The area south of Tatsamenie Lake and north of Sam Creek consists predominantly of pre-Upper Triassic volcanic rocks intercalated with sedimentary rocks (Souther, 1971). Phyllite and chlorite schists are common. Permian (?) ultramafic rocks (Souther, 1971) occur at the extreme eastern edge of the claims within a north-south fracture. A small area of Permian limestone is exposed in a fault bounded sliver within a major shear zone. The pre-Upper Traissic rocks are strongly folded and sheared.

Foliated hornblende diorite of Lower or Middle Triassic age (Souther, 1971) outcrops over a large part of the Claim Group. Dykes and stocks of non-foliated, post-Middle Jurassic diorite intrude the Pre-Triassic rock.

#### GROUP GEOLOGY

#### Pre-Upper Triassic Rocks (Units 1, 2, 3 and 4)

The pre-Upper Triassic rocks are divided into four main types – ultramafics, limestones, phyllites and volcanics. They cover approximately thirty percent of the Claim Group; the volcanics are the most abundant and the phyllites do not outcrop. The degree of deformation varies, locally primary compositional layering and textures are preserved whilst elsewhere phyllitic and schistese textures may be developed.

A varied degree of chloritization has affected all of the volcanics and has resulted in a large volume of greenstone being produced. The most common volcanic rock type is a

fine to medium grained tuff which is usually strongly chloritized but not deformed unless adjacent to a fault(s). Subordinate in frequency to the tuffs are fine grained, dark green-black, magnetic, massive flows. Many of the chloritized tuffs and flows have been sheared producing phyllites and schists.

Stratigraphy within the volcanics is difficult to establish due to rapid textural changes. One possible marker horizon is an augite porphyry that contains phenocrysts up to 2 cm in length. Textural differences are often masked on the weathered surfaces which are generally dark green except where a local pyrite content has produced a rusty red patch of hematite.

The small fault sliver of sedimentary rock is composed of a dirty grey, thinly layered, carbonaceous limestone. As it is in fault contact with adjacent rocks its stratigraphic position is unknown. Work elsewhere suggests that this lithology is correlative with a unit below the previously described volcanics.

On the eastern border of NIE I, highly sheared amphibolite is in fault contact with sheared and chloritized tuffs and flows to the west. These ultramatics occur at the western edge of a major north-south shear zone.

#### Triassic Diorite (Unit #5)

Approximately fifty-five percent of the Claim Group is underlain by a diorite of Lower or Middle Triassic age (Souther, 1971). This intrusive is fine to medium grained and greenish-grey in colour. Biotite and hornblende, often altered to chlorite and epidote, are aligned to form a planar fabric. Feldspars are often weathered to a chalky-white colour possibly indicating saussuritization. Both the eastern and western contacts of the diorite body within NIE 1 and MISTY 2 are of a sheared, intrusive nature. Silica veining, silicification and iron-carbonate alteration has occurred intermitantly along these two contacts, affecting primarily the contact volcanics.

The southern contact of this diorite body, centrally located within MISTY 2, is one of multiple zenoliths of choritized tuffs and flows within the diorite plus numerous diorite dykes and apophyses within the contact volcanics.

## Cretaceous - Jurassic Diorite (Unit #6)

Within the Claim Group there are a few outcrops of diorite dykes, distinguished from the previously described diorite by an absence of mineral fabric and a distinctive red colour. The unaltered appearance of the rock is that of a syenite due to the presence of iron contaminated plagioclase feldspar which is red in colour. The dykes vary in width from a few centimeters to a couple of metres and are usually cut by a well developed joint pattern.

The location and orientation of these dykes is controlled by local fractures, either at the contact of different rock types or within a single rock type.

## Miocene - Level Mountain Plateau Basalts (Unit #8)

The Miocene extrusives, Level Mountain Group (Souther, 1971), exposed in the northeast corner of the Claim Group are subhorizontal, dark brown to black, vesicular basalt flows. They rest unconformably on the centrally located, foliated diorite. A rusty-yellow regolith is developed at the contact. A major fault, the West Wall fault, strikes NW/SE just to the east of the MISTY 1 and 2/SAM 1 and 2 Legal Claim Past. The volcanics to the west are in the footwall of this major structure which post-dates D<sub>2</sub>. The remainder of the Claim Group occupies a hanging wall position and is intensely fractured and sheared. Three dominant fracture orientations are recognized - 340/160, 220/040, 080/260.

#### MINERALIZATION AND ALTERATION

The West Wall fault is the major locus of alteration and mineralization within the Claim Group. Zones of iron carbonate alteration, silica vein injection and silicification are recognized within the intensely sheared hangingwall rock, the footwall is less altered. Anomalous values of gold, silver, arsenic and antimony are encountered in narrow, intermitant zones along the structure.

The sheared, intrusive contact of the centrally located diorite body also hosts a similar type of alteration and mineralization. However, the precious metal values are lower and more intermitant.

Away from the major structures there are varying degrees of pervasive iron-carbonate alteration of the volcanics, particularly the tuffs. Within these alteration rocks there are occasional thin veins and small quartz sweats, some of which can host higher than background values of Au, Ag, As and Sb

#### GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSES OF CLAIMS

Sixty-three rock samples and one hundred and thirty-eight soil samples were collected throughout the MISTY Claim Group. Soil samples were collected to aid prospecting in areas covered by overburden. B-horizon soil was used when possible, otherwise C-horizon soil was collected. Soil samples were placed in kraft wet strength soil bags, air dried and shipped to Chemex Labs, North Vancouver, B. C. The samples were further dried and then sieved, with the -80 mesh portion being retained for analysis. Rock samples were crushed and then pulverized in a ring grinder to -100 mesh. For Au determination, a fire assay -atomic absorption technique is used with the fire assay bead being dissolved in HC1 and HN03 then analyzed by conventional atomic absorption techniques. For Ag, a mixture of HC104 and HN03 is used to digest the sample, which is followed by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. The As analyses are done by standard colorometric techniques following an HC104 plus HN03 digestion. Antimony analyses are done by digesting the sample in HC1, then adding potassium iodide, extracting with TOPO - MIBK and then analyzing by atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

#### GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS

The soil geochemical results are plotted on Figures 4, and 5.

There are only eight samples having greater than 50 ppb gold, one sample with greater than 200 ppm arsenic and six samples with greater than 10 ppm antimony. These anomalous samples do not form a cluster or define a definite trend, rather they occur as isolated individual or paired samples.

#### VLF GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY

#### **Principles of Operation**

The VLF Survey was conducted using an EM 16 receiver, on a grid with line spacing of 100 m, readings being taken every 12½ metres.

An EM 16 is a sensitive receiver covering the frequency band of VLF-transmitting stations with means of measuring the vertical field components. The receiver has two

a2/10/6
inputs, with two receiving coils built into the instrument. One coil has normally vertical axis and the other is horizontal. The signal from one of the coils (vertical axis) is first minimized by tilting the instrument. The tilt angle is calibrated in percentage. The remaining signal in this coil is finally balanced out by a measured percentage of a signal from the other coil after being shifted by 90°. This coil is normally parallel to the primary field. Thus if the secondary signals are small compared to the primary horizontal field, the mechanical tilt-angle is an accurate measure of the vertical realcomponent, and the compensation  $\pi/2$  signal from the horizontal coil is a measure of the quadrature vertical signal (Geonics Operating Manual).

The magnetic field lines from a station are always at right angles to the direction of that station. Consequently a station (Seattle) was selected that gave a field approximately at right angles to the general strike of the geological structure (West Wall fault) that was being investigated.

### GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS

The results of the VLF-EM 16 survey are plotted on Figure 6. Only areas containing readings of ten or greater are contoured.

Three areas of interest are defined. The first one spans between lines 4300S and 5000S and has a maximum width of 275 m between the zero contours. This zone trends approximately north-south. The second zone also trends grossly north-south; its northern end is at 5250S and it is open to the south. The final area of interest is on line 5200S, due to a lack of control points to either the north or south it is open in both directions. All three zones are correlated with faults involving the foliated diorite that is centrally located within the Claim Group. The first two zones are mapping out part of the diorite's western fault contact whilst the third is on the eastern margin.

### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Detailed mapping, geochemical sampling and geophysical surveying has outlined major structures hosting alteration and mineralization. Whilst no economic grade material was discovered on surface the geochemical indications associated with the strongly developed structures suggest that potential may exist at depth. If further work is done in the Misty Claim Group it would be orientated towards a drill programme. However, due to the lack of economic mineralization on surface no further work is recommended at this time.

### REFERENCES .

Geonics Limited, 1979. EM 16 Operating Manual

Souther, J. G. (1971). Geology and mineral deposits of Tulsequah map-area, British Columbia. Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 362, 84p.

### 1984 EXPLORATION PROGRAM

### MISTY | and 2; SNOW 2; SAM | and NIE |

### COST STATEMENT

### Period June 1 to August 30, 1984

(I) Labour

		Position	Field Days	Office Days
	D. Shaw F. Wohlgemuth M. Wood A. Paramonoff A. Grigoruk A. Debowski C. Miroslaw D. Cook	Geologist Sampler Sampler Sampler Sampler Surveyor Surveyor Geophysical Tech.	7 5 6 6 6 6 6	7
		Total man days	47	
Avera	ge Cost per field	1 man day - \$105.		\$ 4,935.00
Avera	ge Cost per offi	ce man day - \$240.		1,680.00
(2)	Analysis:			
	Soil: 138 sample	es @\$14.00		1,932.00
	Rock: 63 samp	oles @\$18.00		1,134.00
(3)	<u>Camp Casts</u>			
	Total man days	47 @\$60 <b>.</b> 00 per day		2,880.00
(4)	Helicopter			
	9.5 hrs. @\$450.	00 all in		4,275.00
(5)	Drafting			
	3 man days @\$	150.00		450.00
			Total	\$ 17,286.00

### STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, David Shaw, graduated from the University of Sheffield in 1973 with a B.Sc. (Hons. Geology) and from Carleton University in 1980 with a Ph.D. (Structural Geology).

I have worked for Chevron Canada Resources Limited since November 1, 1981.

Savid Shows

DAVID SHAW

basid Shaw.

a2/10/11





. -

### LEGEND



10	
31 91	- <sup>36</sup> (ΑΡ) 501
79 • 18	78 (HW) • 50 E
113 • 12	1 1λΑ 115 (HW) 4 100E 1 100E 1 100E 1 100E 1 100E 1 150 351 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 (AP) 1 100E 2 100E 2 100E 1 100
1	325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 334 337 339 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 344 347 348 344 350 100 1500 1500 1600 1600 1600 1600 160

20 KOOE 200E

-48 :49 50 (н.е. • Юре Бак ??

ι 21 228 238 239 231 232 233 234 235 234 235 234 235 234 235 234 237 246 241 243 243 244 241 244 249 250 251 151 215 254 251 255 254 251 256 259 760 201 200E 1400E 1400E 1400E 1400E 1400E 24 κοε 200 2 300 2 300 2 300 2 300 2 300 2 500 6 600 100 1 100

-44 143 :47 14 4t. 154 (AP) -44 143 :000 2000 2500 26

| 103 104 105 106 <sup>107</sup> <sup>108</sup> (ΨΗ) 102 103 104 0 **5 106 300** • • • • • 2006 300° 27 

225 224 223 222 220 219 218 14W) 225 224 223 22 22 300 € 3551 € 100 1001

124 125 126 127 128 129 130 151 132 34 1006 2006 300E 400E 456E







SCALE

4 K m

(AP) 324 1984 SOIL SAMPLE





Chevron C	hevron Ca м	anada Reso inerals Staff	urces Limited
	NIE GF		
S	OIL SAMP	LE LOCATI	ONS
FIGURE No. 3	<u></u>	PROJECT No.	M504
DATE SEPT.1984	REVISIONS		SCALE 1:50,000
NTS No.	······································		FILE No
COMPILED BY D.S.			

10 14 6978 - 113R









	Au -	• <b>ppb</b>	
FIGURE No. 4	······································	PROJECT No.	1 - 504
DATE Sept. 1984	REVISIONS		SCALE 1 : 50,000
NTS No.			FILE No.
COMPILED BY D.S.			1

LEGEND

(5 (Au-ppb) 1984 SOIL SAMPLE

SCALE











200

Metres









## GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

2 2



### MISTY GROUP

### VLF PROFILES

FIGURE No. 7b		PROJECT No.	M504
DATEOCT.1984	REVISIONS	1	SCALE 1:1666.6
NTS No.			FILE No.
COMPILED BY	· · · · ·		



					BCIL -
	•				6765 AU
					3
$\land$					
450 1500	1550	1850	1700		
				14.05	
		INPH	ASE	HASE	
			<b>FRASE</b>	RFILTER	
	.`\		FRASER F	ILTER	Ĩ
		345	0		
3300	-'-'!/'-'	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3500	E	
				PHASE	
				IASE	
			Λ		
			$\bigvee$		
		-=	N)=-		
			1		
		GEOLO	GICAL BRA	ANCH	
		ACCEC	7 17A 96 17 5 <b>K</b> 197 5	r U K I	
		10		$\mathbf{)}0$	
		(Trans		うろ	
	Chevron	Chevron Car	nada Resourc	ces Limited	1
		Mir	nerals Staff		
					1
		MISTY	GROUP		
		VLF SI	JULIAEI		
	FIGURE No. 7 c			504	+
	DATE OCT.1984	REVISIONS		SCALE 1:1666.6	-
				FILE No.	1
•				1	<b>I</b>



.

-

	hevron Ca M	anada Resou linerals Staff	rces Limited
	MISTY	GROUP	
	VLFX	SURVET	
FIGURE No. 7 d			M504
FIGURE No. 7d	REVISIONS	PROJECT No.	M504 SCALE 1:1666.6
FIGURE No. 7 d DATE OCT.1984 NTS No.	REVISIONS	PROJECT No.	M504 SCALE 1:1666.6 FILE No.

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT 12,688

((N))

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

### FRASER FILTER

### ----- INPHASE

JTPHASE

,

\_\_\_\_

 $\wedge$ 

1400 1350 / 1250 ╺╲╴╴┶╺╱ 

 $\checkmark - - \sim$ •

![](_page_52_Figure_42.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_43.jpeg)

L-4,800 S

![](_page_52_Figure_45.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

Chevron	Chevron Ca M	anada Resour inerals Staff	ces Limited
NIE 8	GRID E	AST & WE	ST
FIGURE No. 5		PROJECT No.	4 - 504
DATE Sept. 1984	REVISIONS		SCALE 1 : 50,000
NTS No.			FILE No.
COMPILED BY D.S.			

SCALE

4 K m

LEGEND

(5 (Au-ppb) 1984 SOIL SAMPLE

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT
46 09 08 10 28 10 90 10 19 240 17 17 1 100 19 240 17 17 1 100 19 240 27 1 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 23 22 1 10 19 24 20 30 34 10 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
13.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5
29 36 H0 77 130 280 230 190 280 93 10 48 45 33 100 75 75 61 30 59 10 10 73 10 69 28 10 4 26 30 50 44 26 46 24 40 74 52 46 56 30 50 44 26 4005 4 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 46 26 4005 4 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 46 26 4005 4 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 46 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 46 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 46 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 46 26 50 50 40 55 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 26 50 50 40 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 40 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 40 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
TO 110 190 67 190 220 79 61 90 73 61 100 71 240 220 20 70 10 310 30 10 220 93 61 24 24 60 86 59 04 28 39 14 34 08 10 13 2.6 38 52 52 62 110 168 45 44 64 56 66 72 44 68 70 116 12.0 94 12.4 2.4 60 86 59 04 28 39 13 22 22 14 11 7 22 3.6 3.5 52 52 64 10 50 58 59 53 22 320 46 54 54 50 50 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 12
42 * 34 * 28 36 10 20 c0 m 42 * 34 * 28 36 10 20 c0 m 49 39 61 71 61 61 67 65 185 65 270 820 720 700 700 70 200 82 40 28 50 16 17 26 30 72 7 12 0 18 37 27 12 0 1 49 39 61 71 61 61 67 65 185 65 270 80 94 52 10 60 94 52 10 20 82 40 28 50 16 30 30 20 18 1 2 5 10 12 6 20 18 57 27 12 0 1 50 50 50 50 50 10 10 20 7 32 30 50 10 10 10 50 10 50 10 50 10 50 10 50 10 20 7 50 10 20 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
$ \frac{39}{36} - \frac{11}{26} - \frac{11}{26} - \frac{14}{26} - \frac{14}{27} - \frac{12}{26} - \frac{240}{16} - \frac{145}{29} - \frac{9}{49} - \frac{9}{49} - \frac{12}{49} - \frac{14}{49} - \frac{29}{29} - \frac{12}{42} - \frac{16}{49} - \frac{12}{49} - \frac{14}{49} - \frac{29}{29} - \frac{12}{42} - \frac{16}{49} - \frac{12}{49} - \frac{14}{49} - \frac{29}{29} - \frac{12}{42} - \frac{16}{49} - \frac{12}{49} - \frac{14}{49} - \frac{29}{29} - \frac{12}{42} - \frac{16}{49} - \frac{12}{49} - \frac{14}{49} - \frac{29}{49} - \frac{12}{49} - \frac{14}{49} - \frac{21}{49} - \frac{16}{49} - 1$
THAT PART OF SOIL GRID WITHIN MISTY CLAIM GROUP

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_6.jpeg)

### LEGEND

1

75. 1 800 S -32 -50 -46 -76 -84 32 18 -

2000 \$ -24 -14 - 12 - 22 - 30 - 32 - 50 - 88 -10 176 90 41 52 94 76 10 - 16 -

•

.

•

•

![](_page_56_Picture_31.jpeg)

0 - 2 2 2 2 - 6 - 10 - 4 - 4 - 2 8 8 2 - 8 - 18 - 12 - 8 - 4 2 2 2 4 12 12 8 8 8 4 2 6 4 - 2 - 6 - 8 - 10 - 14 - 16 - 10 4 14 18 18 12 10 10 2 - 5 - 4 - 4 - 4
2, 2, 2, -2, -4, -6, -8, -6, -2, 0, -2, -8, -8, -8, -8, -12, -14, -8, -10, -18, -16, -8, 0, 6, 8, 8, 8, 10, 14, 16, 14, 8, 4, 6, 6, 2, -2, -6, -4, 2, 4, 4, 4, 0, -6, -6, 0, 6, 8, 6, 0, -4, , , 2, 2, -2, -4, -6, -8, -6, -2, 0, -2, -8, -8, -8, -8, -10, -18, -16, -8, 0, 6, 8, 8, 8, 10, 14, 16, 14, 8, 4, 6, 6, 2, -2, -6, -4, 2, 4, 4, 4, 0, -6, -6, 0, 6, 8, 6, 0, -4, , , 2, 2, -2, -4, -6, -8, -6, -2, 0, -2, -8, -8, -8, -8, -10, -18, -16, -8, 0, 6, 8, 8, 8, 10, 14, 16, 14, 8, 4, 6, 6, 2, -2, -6, -4, 2, 4, 4, 4, 4, 0, -6, -6, 0, 6, 8, 0, -4, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
-2 - 4 - 4 - 6 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4
2 - 2 - 4 0 4 0 - 8 - 10 - 2 4 0 - 4 - 2 7 4 4 6 8 8 - 4 - 6 - 2 - 6 - 16 - 12 - 8 - 22 - 34 - 32 - 26 - 24 - 8 20 34 34 30 22 8 2 6 6 3 3 1 - 11 - 18 - 8 - 2 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 6 - 0 - 12 - 8 - 22 - 34 - 32 - 26 - 24 - 8 20 34 34 30 22 8 2 6 6 3 3 1 - 11 - 18 - 8 - 2 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 6 - 0 - 12 - 8 - 2 - 34 - 32 - 26 - 24 - 8 20 34 34 30 22 8 2 6 6 3 3 1 - 11 - 18 - 8 - 2 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 6 - 0 - 12 - 8 - 2 - 34 - 32 - 26 - 24 - 8 20 34 34 30 22 8 2 6 6 3 3 1 - 11 - 18 - 8 - 2 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4
2 0 -4 -2 -2 -8 -14 -16 -14 -10 - 6 -4 -6 -6 -6 -4 -4 -4 -6 -5 -2 0 0 -4 -10 -8 -2 -2 -6 -10 -10 6 22 14 2 4 14 18 12 4 0 -2 -2 -4 8 14 -2 -4 6 4 0 -2 -2 -2 2 8 8 -8 -8 -2 -2 -2 -2
2, 4, 8, 18, 20, 10, 0, -5, 8, -8, -8, -8, -8, -8, -9, -10, -8, 2, 4, -2, 0, 5, 8, 6, 0, -8, -12, -9, -5, -4, 0, 7, 11, 24, 36, 20, -4, -16, -16, -16, -12, -4, 0, -10, -26, -30, -22, -8, 2, 24, 50, 50, 34, 10, -4, -6, -18, -60, -48, 10
8, 8, 8, 8, 3, 1, 13, 18, 12, 8, 4, 2, 4, 2, 4, 4, 1, -1, 3, 2, 0, 0, 2, 8, 4, -2, -2, 0, 2, 6, 10, 12, 8, 2, 0, 0, 2, 0, -12, -28, -30, -20, -6, 2, 3, 3, 3, 10, 18, 18, 12, -4, -26
s - 2 - 2 - 4 - 7 - 11 - 11 - 12 - 7 1 5 7 6 2 4 15 18 - 4 - 18 - 14 0 8 6 4 2 - 4 - 10 - 10 - 2 4 10 22 20 5 2 6 2 - 10 - 16 - 14 - 14 - 20 - 16 - 2 4 4 6 12 11 1 - 3 - 1 6 10
4 2 0 8 5 0 45 -5 -6 -8 -10 -12 -10 -4 4 7 8 8 8 10 6 -8 -14 -12 -12 -10 -8 -8 -7 -6 4 15 18 14 10 8 8 8 8 4 -4 -5 -16 -44 -44 -12 8 18 22 18 14 12 14 18 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
-22 -30 -22 -8 1 3 5 7 9 6 4 4 4 6 4 4 -6 2 2 6 6 0 0 8 8 2 -4 +0 +0 -6 -4 -4 0 8 12 10 4 -4 -6 -2 -2 0 6 -12 -38 -28 -12 -14 -8 6 18 20 26 30
a a a b 10 14 10 6 10 20 12 8 4 0 Z 4 2 -6 4 6 -2 10 16 16 12 Z -2 0 -4 -6 0 4 0 -8 -4 6 14 14 6 -2 -2 8 18 4 -22 -30 -34 -30 -4 10 4 -4 -4 3 6 8 
4 4 2 4 10 10 8 2 8 8 -6 -18 24 10 2 4 4 4 0 10 16 14 10 10 14 14 2 -12 -12 -8 -8 -6 -2 4 12 14 10 8 6 6 10 8 0 -8 -22 -34 -30 -18 -6 6 16 12 -2 -8 -8 -8 -4 12 14 10 8 6 6 10 8 0 -8 -22 -34 -30 -18 -6 6 16 12 -2 -8 -8 -8 -8 -4 12 14 10 8 6 6 10 8 0 -8 -22 -34 -30 -18 -6 6 16 12 -2 -8 -8 -8 -4 12 14 10 8 6 6 10 8 0 -8 -22 -34 -30 -18 -6 6 16 12 -2 -8 -8 -8 -4 12 14 10 8 6 6 10 8 0 -8 -22 -34 -30 -18 -6 6 16 12 -2 -8 -8 -8 -4 12 14 10 8 6 6 10 8 0 -8 -22 -34 -30 -18 -6 6 16 12 -2 -8 -8 -8 -4 12 14 10 8 6 6 10 8 0 -8 -22 -34 -30 -18 -6 6 16 12 -2 -8 -8 -8 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2
4 4 4 0 ·4 2 0 4 10 0 27 28 27 18 10 4 8 / 3 13 10 6 4 8 17 10 8 10 8 0 0 6 10 18 22 14 6 4 6 4 -6 -14 -86 -20 -22 -14 -4 4 4 -4 -6 -2
*       *
99 22) 8 -18 20 28 28 20 18 18 -20 -18 14 10 8 8 4 4 5 5 7 2 · 4 0 4 4 4 6 10 12 8 2 8 16 22 22 18 14 8 6 12 14 10 6 2 -2 -2 -4 -12 -28 -28 -12
R20 -24 -20 -12 - 4 4 8 0 -14 -16 10 -18 -34 - 50 14 - 14 -24 -22 -12 2 2? 35 43 35 7 0 16 12 -12 -12 12 18 8 6 8 6 4 6 8 16 30 28 14 8 6 -4 -18 -22 -16 -10 -6
8 -3 -17 -84 -24 -22 -20 -24 -28 -24 -16 -10 - 6 12 45 46 8 -22 -30 -30 -30 -30 -32 -28 -32 -19 -1 11 25 18 22 20 20 22 22 18 18 17 9 4 4 3 1 1 3 9 12 13 1 -7 -11 -5 -9
0 8 20 26 30 32 30 25 24 22 18 16 16 14 12 2 20 34 44 30 40 12 26 40 48 44 28 20 20 20 20 20 -20 -16 - 10 -8 40 -14 10 55 98 94 58 32 10 -2 -10 -14 -12 -2 4
4 14 38 44 38 32 -22/-16 18 -24 28 -26 -22 -16 6 6 6 14 22 52 65 25 5 -7 -10 -16 -17 -9 7 -13 -28 -42 -40 -34 - 28 - 28 - 32 -32 -22 -2 7 5 11 29 44 44 36 42 56 54 35 9
62 16 4 12 8 10 19 17 19 23 22 16 16 22 20 14 10 12 10 10 40 56 30 10 2 34 33 11 7 13 6 16 30 34 44 50 44 42 40 30 18 10 15 29 5 57 68 28 54 102 33 12

![](_page_56_Picture_33.jpeg)

,

10 -2 .16 -12 6 14 12 16 20 20 20 20 22 00 S

1 26 6 48 48 12 6 14 10 0 2 2 2 2100 S

-2 2 4 . 1700 S

# -6 .4 .6 .6 .2 .2 .4 .6 .12 .14 .8 .2 0 2 .6 .8 .6 0 4 4 4 4 6 14 25 38 60 . 3000 S

# -12 -4 -6 -10 -16 -16 -8 -4 -2 -4 -16 -19 -5 3 : 4 12 14 8 8 24 38 38 32 24 16 8 . 2900 5

-2 -4 -12 -14 +0 -6 0 4 6 10 10 4 -6 0 24 30 22 16 12 18 30 30 12 2 7 0 0 S

## | -8 -8 -6 -2 0 2 4 6 12 14 10 8 8 12 18 18 12 5 6 2600 S

## B 6 4 6 14 18 10 2 0 -10 -18 0 32 28 18 10 2 -2 14 2500 5

### 1 15 10 0 6 10 -18 -16 8 18 4 -2 4 10 14 - 2300 5

## -9-221-5-8-8-511-8-10-657321-1-8-10-8-461210 31005

### 4 8 12 6 -4 5 1 -1 -9 -9 -5 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • 3200 S

### 

: : |

### 

20 12 20 22 20 20 20 18 16 14 16 28 26 4 6 -2 -2 -6 -10 -14 -8 6 9 5 1 -5 -8 -8 -7 -5 -4 -4 3 17 6 -26 -38 -36

### 

14 9 1 4 6 3 17 14 6 24 34 40 52 38 4 28 28 14 2 1 3 3 1 8 26 22 8 28 28 28 28 14 10 2 10 10 2 8 8 5 9 5 38 5 38 20 5

.

	GE AS	OLOGICAI SESSMENT	BRANCH REPORT
Chevron	Chevron Cana M	a <b>da Resource</b> s inerals Staff	s Limited
	NIE CL		
	VLF CON	TOUR MAP	
FIGURE No. 7B		PROJECT No.	M 504
DATE OCT. 1984	REVISIONS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SCALE 1:2,500
NTS No. COMPILED BY		-	FILE No.

18	18	12	12	20	24	24	-16	-2	-14	-14	-10	-2	- 18	14	14	-12	: -IE	9-4	. 2	2 -4	- 6	33	4 38	в не	6	2	0	-2	-10	-20	-23	-21	-19	-17	-16	-15	-15	-21	-27	-30	-38	-38	-16	6	5

`, -

![](_page_58_Picture_9.jpeg)

## • 7

![](_page_59_Picture_52.jpeg)

## 3700 5

![](_page_59_Picture_79.jpeg)

/

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

# 12,688

Chevron Standard Limited Minerals Staff NIE CLAIM WEST VLF CONTOUR MAP FIGURE No. 7D PROJECT No. M - 504 SCALE | : 2,500 REVISIONS DATE Sept. 1984 FILE No. TS No. COMPILED BY D.S.

\*

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

----

Metres

![](_page_60_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

INPHASE FRASER FILTER

1300 1550  $W \xrightarrow{1} 1 \xrightarrow{1}$ 

OUTPHASE

1290 (300  $\mathsf{W} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{h}} \mathsf{w} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{h}} \mathsf{w$ INPHASE / FRASER FILTER -----/

**A** 5

![](_page_63_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_13.jpeg)

IGURE No. 8H		PROJECT No.	M504
DATE OCT.1984	REVISIONS		SCALE 1:1666,6
ITS No			FILE No.
COMPILED BY			

•

•

W

000 1050 W

![](_page_65_Figure_13.jpeg)

1

,

![](_page_65_Picture_21.jpeg)

.

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_0.jpeg)

Gully top

W OUTPHASE

INPHASE

W

INPHASE FRASER FILTER OUTPHASE

W

![](_page_70_Figure_28.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Figure_29.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_31.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_32.jpeg)

Chevron Canada Resources Limited Minerals Staff

NIE GROUP W.

VLF PROFILES

FIGURE No. 8J		PROJECT No.	M504	
DATE OCT. 1984	REVISIONS		SCALE 1:1666,6	
NTS No.			FILE No.	
COMPILED BY				

# .

![](_page_71_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_17.jpeg)

1

![](_page_71_Picture_18.jpeg)

Chevron Canada Resources Limited

### NIE GROUP W.

### VLF PROFILES

FIGURE No. 8K		PROJECT No.	M504	
DATE OCT. 1984	REVISIONS		SCALE 1:1666-6	
NTS No.			FILE No.	
COMPILED BY			-	
\*







 $\mathbf{OO}$ 

Chevron	Chevron C	anada Reso linerals Staff	urces Limited
	NIE GF	Roup W.	
	VLF P	ROFILES	
FIGURE No. 8L	<u>_</u>	PROJECT No.	M504
DATE OCT.1984	REVISIONS		SCALE 1:1666,6
NTS No			FILE No.
COMPILED BY			











CECLOSICAL BRANCH AUSASSMENT REPORT



Chevron	Chevron C	anada Reso Minerals Staff	urces Limited
	NIE G	ROUP W	-
	VLF F	PROFILES	5
FIGURE No. 8M		PROJECT No.	M504
DATE OCT, 1984	REVISIONS		SCALE 1:1666,6
NTS NO			FILE No.
COMPILED BY			



NCI - 560



 $\sigma_{i_1}$ 

40 -





-10 - -20 -			
-30 -			
-40 ~		GECLOGICAL	BRANCH REPORT
-50 L		12,6	588
		Chevron Canac Mir	la Resources Limited
		NIE GF	OUP E.
		VLF PF	ROFILES
		FIGURE No. 9A	PROJECT No. M 504
		NTS No COMPILED BY	FILE No.



.



9**.**9







40 --





40



.

. . .

.



Ch	evron Cana M	inerals Staff	s Limited
1	NIE GI	ROUP E	
	VLF P	ROFILE	S
FIGURE No. 9F		PROJECT No.	M 504
DATE OCT. 1984	REVISIONS		SCALE 1:1666.6
NTS No.			FILE No.
COMPILED BY			1



-10 --20 -

-30 -

-40 -

· •

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

12,688

Chevron Canada Resources Limited Minerals Staff

NIE GROUP E.

**VLF PROFILES** 

 FIGURE No. 9G
 PROJECT No. M 504

 DATE OCT. 1984
 NEVISIONS
 SCALE 1:1666.6

 NTS NO.
 FILE NO.
 FILE NO.

 COMPILED BY
 FILE NO.
 FILE NO.







NCI - 560

-10 -20 -	OUTPHASE	
-30 -		
-20	INPHASE H -62 - 52 - 52	GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ARRENT REPORT A CONTREPORT
		12,688
		Chevron Canada Resources Limited Minerals Staff
		NIE GROUP E.
		VLF PROFILES
		FIGURE No.     91     PROJECT No.     M     504       DATE OCT.     1984     REVISIONS     SCALE 1:1666.6       NTS No.     FILE No.     FILE No.



50 -





**⁵°** ]

NCI · 560







NIE GROUP E. VLF PROFILES FIGURE No. 9L PROJECT No. M504 DATE OCT. 1984 REVISIONS SCALE | : | 666.6 NTS No. COMPILED BY

. .

Chevron Canada Resources Limited







Chevron Canada Resources Limited

## NIE GROUP E.

VLF PROFILES

FIGURE No. 9M		M504
DATEOCT. 1984	REVISIONS	SCALE 1:1666.6
NTS No.		FILE No.
COMPILED BY		1





~

•













GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT



	·······		
FIGURE No. 9 Q		PROJECT No.	M504
DATE OCT.1984	REVISIONS		SCALE 1:1666.6
NTS No.			FILE No.
COMPILED BY			





	<b>A</b> \$	Ad	SÞ	A4
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppi
TZ4T1-134A	3	0.1	10	5
135 A	5	1.0	1.5	< 5
136 A	6	0.1	1. I	(5
137 A	3	0.1	0.1	(5
138 A	7	0.3	2.6	275
139 A	14	0.2	2.3	105
140 A	7	1.8	13.2	1210
141 A	6	0.1	4.2	(5
142 A	16	53.0	6.9	10 000
143 A	4	0,2	0,4	60
144A	22	1.0	26.0	40
145 A	4	0.1	1.2	>5
146 A	15	1.1	21.0	550
147 A	. 45	0.4	43.0	85
148 A	15	0.3	10,6	150
149 A	3	0.1	0.3	< 5
150 A	1	0.1	0.1	< 5
15 I A	107	0.9	58.0	45
152 A	81	1.8	27.0	< 5
153 A	7	0.1	64.0	135
EW4TI - 1067	7	0.3	7.0	5
1068	85	1.1	35.0	740
1069	73	0.6	11.2	120
1070	110	1,3	9.2	55 0
1072	36	0.3	150.0	15
	As	Aq	Sb	Au
	%	g/tonne	%	g/tor
	0.000	** *		







