84-1225-13329



GEOLOGY

Kamloops Mining Division N.T.S. 92 I/10,11

> Latitude 50°45'N Longitude 121°00'W

UTM 641000mE, 5623000mN

by

G.D. Hodgson

of

MineQuest Exploration Associates Ltd.

CLAIM NAMERECORD NUMBERUNITSDATE RECORDEDThom I474816Sept. 15, 1983

November, 1984

MineQuest Exploration Associates Ltd.-

MineQuest Report #77

Ref. No. RM1201

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	LOCATION, ACCESS AND TOPOGRAPHY	1
3.0	OWNERSHIP AND CLAIM STATUS	2
4.0	HISTORY AND PREVIOUS WORK	3
5.0	WORK CARRIED OUT IN 1984 5.1 Geological mapping 5.2 Prospecting and rock sampling 5.3 Laboratory methods	5 5 5 5
6.0	REGIONAL GEOLOGY	6
7.0	RESULTS OF 1984 PROGRAM 7.1 Geological Mapping 7.2 Stratigraphy 7.3 Rock Sampling	7 7 7 10
8.0	DISCUSSION	11
9.0	CONCLUSIONS	13
10.0	RECOMMENDATIONS	14
11.0	REFERENCES	15

ii

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

# Figure

1.	Location	Мар	(Plan #587)	after page l
2.	Geology	1:5,000 Sc	ale (Plan #720)	in pocket
3.	Rock Sam	ple Locatio	ns and Results (Plan #728)	in pocket

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I.	Claim Status	2
II.	Previous Work in Vicinity of Thom Claims	4

# APPENDICES

Appendix I Statements of Qualifications Appendix II Laboratory Reports Appendix III Cost Statement

-MineQuest Exploration Associates Ltd.

#### INTRODUCTION

The THOM claim was staked because heavy mineral samples and silt samples taken from stream sediments were found to contain anomalous quantities of gold and arsenic. Follow-up work which included prospecting, rock chip sampling and preliminary geological observations confirmed the presence of gold and arsenic.

Work described in this report consisted of geological mapping at 1:5,000 scale, prospecting, and rock chip sampling.

#### 2.0

1.0

#### LOCATION, ACCESS AND TOPOGRAPHY

The THOM claim is located near the hamlet of Walhachin, south of the TransCanada Highway, 26km east south-east of Cache Creek (Figure 1).

Access to the property is provided by unpaved logging roads and trails south from Walhachin. Travel on the property is on foot or by trail bike.

The topography consists of gentle rolling country, rising southwards from the Thompson River, from about 335m to 650m above sea level. Vegetation comprises sage brush and grassland with scattered low shrubs. The upper slopes are wooded.



## OWNERSHIP AND CLAIM STATUS

The claim listed in Table I is held by MineQuest Exploration Associates Ltd., on behalf of Gold-Quest I, a General Limited Partnership.

## TABLE I

CLAIM	RECORD		
NAME	NUMBER	UNITS	DUE DATE*
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
THOM I	4748	16	September 15, 1984

\*before submission of this report

-MineQuest Exploration Associates Ltd -

3.0

#### HISTORY AND PREVIOUS WORK

The ground presently covered by the west half of the THOM claim has been explored and tested for copper since 1970 (Table II). Supertest Investments and Petroleum Ltd. carried out geological mapping, soil geochemistry, I.P., magnetometer surveys and diamond drilling from This work is described in 1969 to 1973. Assessment Reports 2476, 2772, 2773, 3506 and 3743. In 1974 BP minerals acquired the property and undertook an I.P. survey, detailed geologic mapping and percussion drilling (Assessment Reports 5730 and 6107). In 1979 Bethlehem Copper Corporation explored the same area for base metals, principally copper (Assessment Report 7531).

The Lucky Strike claims, (Min Inv. 92INE053) lkm east of the THOM were also explored for copper. Chalcopyrite and bornite occur as fracture fillings and blebs in altered Nicola volcanics. Chalcopyrite was found on two other occurences to the west of the claims (Min Inv. 92INW018 and 055).

MineQuest staked the THOM claim in 1983. Stream sediments in Rattlesnake Creek contained anomalous gold and arsenic. First pass prospecting and rock sampling (Ridley, 1984) identified intrusives and silicification along Rattlesnake Creek.

MineQuest Exploration Associates Ltd -

4.0

#### TABLE II

## PREVIOUS WORK IN VICINITY OF THOM CLAIM

1970 Grid for EM survey for Supertest Investments Ltd. by Anon. Assess Report 2476

1970 Geology for Supertest Investments Ltd. by E.J. Wenderborn, Assess Report 2773

1970 Diamond drilling for Supertest Investments Ltd. no report avail.

1971 Magnetometry and geology (very local) for Supertest Investments Ltd. by E.J. Wenderborn, Assess Report 3506

1972 Grid

for Supertest Investments Ltd. Operator unknown

1972 Magnetometry for Ursus Minerals (Nova), Assess Report 3743

1973 Diamond drilling - 7 holes for Ursus Minerals (Nova), no report

1974 Induced polarization and magnetometry for B.P. Minerals Ltd. by Eagle Geophysics, Assess Report 5730

1975 Soil geochemistry, geological mapping for B.P. Minerals Ltd. by C.D.S. Bates B.P. Minerals Ltd. B.P. report

1976 Percussion drilling - 5 holes for B.P. Minerals Ltd. by B.P. Minerals Ltd. Assess Report 6107 and B.P. reports

1979 Geological, Geophysical and Geochemical Report for Bethelehem Copper Corporation Ltd. by R.G. Simpson, Assess Report 7531

1983 Prospecting and rock sampling for GoldQuest I Limited Partnership by MineQuest Exploration Assoc.Ltd. Assess report submitted

-MineQuest Exploration Associates Ltd.-

## WORK PERFORMED IN 1984

### 5.1 Geological Mapping

5.0

D. Brown and E. Grill spent 10 man days during May and June mapping the geology of the THOM claim. The geological map is enclosed as Figure 2.

#### 5.2 Prospecting and Rock Sampling

L. Allen and R. Bilquist spent 10 man days prospecting and rock sampling during May, June and July. Seventy one samples were collected by both the prospecting and geological crews and analysed for gold, silver, arsenic and mercury. Locations and results are plotted on Figure 3.

## 5.3 Laboratory Methods

Rock samples were sent to Bondar-Clegg and Company Ltd. where they were crushed and pulverized to -100 mesh.

Analysis was as follows:

- Gold: two thirds of an assay ton by fire assay and atomic absorption
- Arsenic: nitric perchloric, colourmetric
- Antimony: hydrochloric, potassium iodide ascorbic TOPO-MIBK, atomic absorption
- Mercury: aqua regia, closed cell flameless atomic absorption.

#### REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The vicinity of Kamloops Lake has been mapped at a regional scale by Cockfield (1948), Duffel and McTaggart (1952), and more recently by Monger (1984). In and around the Thom claims the Triassic Nicola Formation consisting of intermediate volcanics and sedimentary rocks has been intruded by a diorite or granodiorite of probable Jurassic age. These Triassic and Jurassic rocks are overlain unconformably by a Jurassic (Bajocian) conglomerate. The high ground is covered by basalts of the Eocene Kamloops Group.

The Deadman River (north and east of the claims) is believed to occupy an extension of the Pinchi Fault which continues southwards through Tunkwa Lake down Guichon Creek. Off this major transcurrent fault is a northwest-trending splay which passes through Walhachin, just east of the THOM claim. Within this claim are a number of other fractures, notably that along Rattlesnake Creek, which are parallel to this splay. These fractures or faults are assumed to be late becuase they appear to affect the Tertiary Kamloops Group to the south.

The area now occupied by the Thom claim was mapped in 1974 by Findlay of BP Minerals (Assessment Report 5730) who provides detailed documentation of relationship between the Nicola, the Jurassic intrusives and the conglomerate. In addition he describes a quartz porphyry of unknown age in the northern part of Rattlesnake Creek. BP's work was directed at exploring the extension of a copper showing immediately to the east of Rattlesnake Creek in what is now the south centre of the Thom claims.

6.0

#### **RESULTS OF 1984 PROGRAM**

## 7.1 Geological Mapping

7.0

Mapping of the Thom claim by MineQuest in 1984 at 1:5,000 scale has led to confirmation of the BP map with minor changes of emphasis. BP's quartz porphyry has been mapped as a rhyolite plug and is (on the basis of regional structural information) believed to be Tertiary. Its distribution is shown to be more restricted than on BP's map. Satellite imagery (not available when BP mapped the property) is the basis for the belief that Rattlesnake Creek follows a major fracture which is one of several splays off the Deadman River Fault.

Outcrop shown on Figure 2 represent both outcrop which was observed on the ground and outcrop (or near outcrop) inferred from examination of air photographs.

## 7.2 Stratigraphy

Table II summarizes the stratigraphy as mapped on the THOM property.

<u>Nicola Group</u> <u>comprise volcanics</u>, volcaniclastics and chemical sediments, now altered and weathered and given the general term "greenstones". Metamorphosed rocks of andesite and basalt composition predominate. The andesite typically has a fine grained groundmass with feldspar and augite phenocrysts. The basalt is usually fine-grained and structureless. Both rock types have suffered chlorite and epidote alteration. Well-bedded cherty tuff units are common. These are

## Table II

Rock units exposed on THOM claims

TERTIARY

5 Kamloops Group

volcanic breccia basalt dyke rocks

4 "Rattlesnake Creek Rhyolite"

white porphyritic quartz and brown weathering "rhyolite-trachyte"

JURASSIC

3 Ashcroft Formation

polymictic conglomerate

TRIASSIC & JURASSIC

2 "Brassy Creek Diorite"

hornblende diorite; (probably of Guichon Batholith suite)

#### TRIASSIC

1 Nicola Group

greenstone, meta-tuff, cherty tuff limestone, recrystallized; minor calc-silicate

interbedded with andesitic lapilli tuffs. Recrystallized limestone pods may represent chemical sedimentary deposits, now mostly "marble" that is weakly foliated and generally fine to medium grained. These carbonate pods are most abundant in the southwest corner of the property, but are also exposed in the northeast in the CPR ballast quarry, where the contacts are sheared and the carbonate pods appear to be steeply transgressive across layering. Calc-silicate skarn is produced at the contact of carbonate with diorite.

"Brassy Gulch Diorite" "Brassy Gulch Diorite" is an unofficial name given to the multiphase intrusive bodies on the west side of the THOM claims. The intrusives are correlated with the Triassic-Jurassic Guichon Batholith. The predominant lithology is a massive, medium grained, hornblende diorite. The hornblende is weakly altered to chlorite ± epidote. Pink potassic alteration has affected the feldspars. Magnetite stringers are locally In Rattlesnkae Creek, a exposed. hornblende-feldspar monzonite porphyry is exposed. Lower down, just west of Rattlesnake Creek, a metrewide pink, felsite breccia dyke cuts Nicola greenstones. Simpson & Nethery (1979) reported the occurence of an "intrusive breccia" in Rattlesnake Creek, but this has not been located.

<u>Ashcroft Formation</u> Covering much of the south and east of the property is the Jurassic Ashcroft Formation, a pebble to boulder conglomerate, unconformably overlying Nicola rocks and Brassy Gulch Diorite. Clasts include hornblende diorite, monzonite, granodiorite and an assortment of volcanic rocks as well as chert, limestone and siltstone. The clasts are subrounded, poorly sorted. The matrix appears to be similar to interbedded gently dipping greywackes. There is a variable clast/matrix ratio; locally the conglomerate is clast supported, but elsewhere it is matrix dominant.

Rattlesnake Creek Rhyolite" A Tertiary, possibly Eocene, intrusive plug is exposed along Rattlesnake Creek. Two lithologies have been recognized, namely a porphyritic quart rhyolite, and a "rhyolitetrachyte". The former rock type weathers a pale grey colour, with colourless quartz phenocrysts up to 3mm long. The groundmass contains finely disseminated pyrite. The second litholgy, given the field name rhyolite-trachyte: is a brown weathering, non-porphyritic rhyolite.

Although some contacts are locally sheared, field relationships show intrusive contacts with both the Nicola Group and the Brassy Gulch Diorite.

Kamloops Group A Tertiary basalt dyke is exposed in Brassy Gulch, 200m west of the claim boundary. A poorly consolidated and friable volcanic breccia, correlated with the Eocene Kamloops Group, also crops out west of the property.

## 7.3 Rock Sampling

The rhyolite, which occupies about 500m of the north end of Rattlesnake Creek, is adjacent to and assumed to be associated with values of gold up to 100ppb with arsenic up to 50ppm (Zone A) in Nicola rocks.

Near the centre of the claim block (Zone B) a quartz stockwork is exposed for some seven metres near the base of the creek. Here, values for gold and arsenic are higher (up to 780ppb and 400ppm respectively). The rock in which these values are found appears to be an altered variety of the Brassy Gulch diorite. Petrographic work has not been completed at the time of writing. Macroscopic examination reveals intense carbonate-silica, and quartz-pyrite veining, possibly accompanied by argillization of the feldspars.

#### DISCUSSION

Some of the rocks sampled in Zone B contain values in gold (485, 780 ppb) with matching values in arsenic and mercury (see Figure 3) which are geochemically significant. Pyrite is a common constituent of the altered and veined rocks in this vicinity, and it seems reasonable to suppose that the gold and pyrite are associated. The pyrite occurs with silicification and within a quartz vein stockwork, both of which are pervasive and give no indication of being confined to narrow veins or fissures. Being so, the mode of mineralization is of a disseminated type and as such is compatible with a bulk tonnage type of target.

The presence of pyrite and its apparent association with gold suggests that drill targets should, initially at any rate, be sited on the basis of chargeability anomalies from an induced polarization survey. Fortunately, one such survey, completed in 1975, shows a chargeability anomaly on the east side of Rattlesnake Creek. This zone, although identified as the first anomaly at the time, was not drilled. (The drilling was directed at the possibility of copper mineralization within a resistivity low on the west side of Rattlesnake Creek). The I.P. anomaly becomes therefore a principle focus for further exploration.

In more general terms, the Rattlesnake Creek fault zone is seen as having controlled the emplacement of not only the Rattlesnake Creek rhyolite, but also an auriferous hydrothermal system to which the ryholite is probably have been related. The hydrothermal system appears to have contained a suite of metals typical of such systems. What remains unknown at present is the strength of the system and the susceptibility of host rocks for the emplacement of gold. The Ashcroft Formation

8.0

of Jurassic age is presumed to pre-date both the rhyolite and the hydrothermal system. Its poorly lithified matrix suggests some promise as a host but nowhere on Rattlesnake Creek itself is there any indication of significant alteration peripheral to the Brassy Creek granodiorite. A second stage of exploration would consist therefore of detailed location of the fault by VLF together with overburden drilling to test for geochemical response.

## CONCLUSIONS

Rattlesnake Creek occupies a fault zone which controlled the location of:

a) a rhyolite-porphyry plug

9.0

1.

2.

- b) an hydrothermal system which introduced gold, arsenic and mercury
- One outcrop in the base of the creek shows a carbonate-quartz stockwork with disseminations and stringers of pyrite and with geochemically significant values in gold. This zone falls near the edge of a chargeability anomaly developed from an induced polarization survey carried out in 1975. The chargeability anomaly, because of the possibility that it represents a larger, perhaps stronger, zone of gold-bearing pyrite is a prime exploration target.

## 10.0

1.

States in the second

## RECOMMENDATIONS

- An induced polarization survey to explore the extension of the open-ended anomaly to the east of Rattlesnake Creek.
- 2. Soil geochemistry to cover this anomaly.

#### REFERENCES

BP Minerals Ltd., 1975 Geophysical Report on the Walachin Property, with Appendix: Geological Mapping Program B.C. Assessment Report 5730

Cockfield, W.E., 1948 Geology and Mineral Deposits of Nicola Map-Area GSC MEmoir 249, Map 886A

Duffel, S. and M<sup>C</sup>Taggart, K.C., 1952 Ashcroft Map-Area, British Columbia GSC Memoir 262, Map 1010A

Ridley, S.L., 1984 Thom Claims: Prospecting and Rock Sampling MineQuest Report #62 (submitted for Assessment)

11.0

# APPENDIX I

Statements of Qualifications

Derek A. Brown Geoffrey D. Hodgson

-MineQuest Exploration Associates Ltd -

## STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, Derek A. Brown hereby certify that:

I am a graduate of Carleton University (B.Sc. Honours, 1981).

I have practised my profession as a Geologist for three years.

I carried out the geological mapping decribed in this report.

Signed:

DEREK A. BROWN

Dated at Vancouver, B.C. this 15 day of September, 1984

10 C 10 S

19. 18.

# STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

and the second second

Statistical and

Ι,	Geoffrey David Hodgson, certify that:
1.	I am a geologist with MineQuest Exploration Associates Ltd., of 311 Water Street, Vancouver, B.C., now resident of Kalgoorlie, Western Australia
2.	I graduated with a B.Sc. degree in geology from Exeter University, U.K. in 1972 and an M.Sc. degree in geology from the University of Alberta, Edmonton, in 1976.
3.	I have practised geology in Canada and Australia for the past twelve years.
4.	I am a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, and the Geological Society of Australia. I am a past Member of the Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and the Geological Association of Canada.
5.	The opinions expressed in this report are based on one day's visit to the property and on notes and discussions with Derek Brown who mapped the property

Signed:

G.D. Hodgson

Dated at Vancouver, B.C. this 15th day of October, 1984

MineQuest Exploration Associates Ltd -

# APPENDIX II

Laboratory Reports



Bondar-Glegg & Company Ltd. 130 Pemberton Ave. North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7P 2R5 Phone: (604) 985-0681 Telex: 04-352667

Bill Entrant

Allowing and

.

1

ス市場

1

1



## Geochemical Lab Report

CAMPLE . FLENEUT	. ås	Åe.	<u></u>	The Conversion of the	L <u></u>	
NURBER UNITS	PPN	, n 2 878	PPB	1114 ( A. M.		
R 6017017 P 6017010	0.6 8.4	28	<5 24	Not Applicabl	e to this	
R GHT7018	<0.2	17 10	5,7	Report		
R 6017020 R 6017021	0.4 <0.2	10 17	10 (5)			
₽ £017022	29.0	85	RSD			
R G017023	0.7	20	10	Not Applicabl	e to this	
R GQT7024	9.3 1.6	42 60	30 (	Report		
A 5017201	0.3	30	(5)			
R GQT7202 R BQT7203	<0.2 (0.2	8 3	<5 <b>\</b> (5 <b>\</b>	N/A to this R	eport	
R G017562	2.9	20	55			
R 6017618	<0.2 <0.2	20 17				
8 6917619	<0.2	28		en e		
R 6017620	<0.2 0 2	6 85	্র ন			
K V81/V29	¥74	99	Ĵ.	N/A to this R	eport	
		n de la della Regionales		(a) production of the state	a da sera da s A sera da sera A sera da sera d	

Bondar-Clegg & Company Ltd. 130 Pemberton Ave. North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7P 2R5 -Phone: (604) 985-0881 Telex: 04-352667

あるの

1999

N (2) (5) (2) (2)

विक्रियि दिल्ल

Here is it.

tite to the state

ALC: N

Geochemical Lab Report

State Gerting

12 M 14 2 12

DNDAR-CLEG

REPORT: 124-1728					PROJECT: 60/THM	PAGE 1	
SANPLE ELEMENT NUMBER UNITS	Ag PPN	As PPN	Au PPB	NOTES			
R GQT 7801 R GQT 7802 R GQT 7802	0.3	59 75 50	20 40				
R GOT 7804 R GOT 7805	<0.2 (0.2 0.3	18 8	15 15 40				
R 601 7806 R 601 7807 R 601 7807	0.2	75 21	40 20 15				
R 60T 7809 R 60T 7810	<0.2 <0.2	8	20 25				
R 60T 7811 R 60T 7812 R 60T 7813	<0.2 <0.2 0.9	11 6 11	15 30 55				
R 60T 7814 R 60T 7815	<0.2 0.2	6 110	10 50				
R 601 7816 R 601 7817 R 601 7818	1.2 1.2 2.8	120 180 410	85 255 780				
K 601 /817	(0.2	25	<u> </u>				

Bondar-Clegg & Company Ltd. 130 Pernoerton Ave. North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7P 2R5 Phone: (604) 985-0681 Telex: 04-352667				NDAR	Geochemica Lab Report	Geochemical Lab Report		
EFURI: 124-1071 Ample Element Marr Inite	45 225	AV PSA	NÜ	TE SAMPLE ELEMET	TADJĒČĪT BRIT TĀDJĒČĪT BRIT TĀDS BER DE	hh Paul I Au Buile Du		
001 7502 001 7503 001 7504 001 7505 001 7506	10 45 8 26 31	5 6 15 5 5		R 801 7547 R 801 7548 R 801 7548 R 601 7549 R 901 7550 R 801 7551	22 28 12 7 33	Not Applicable to this Report		
601 7509 607 7509 607 7509 607 7509 607 7510 607 7511	28 13 11 1 21	110 20 10 <s <s< td=""><td></td><td>8 687 7552 8 601 7353 8 601 7354 9 601 7554 9 601 7555 8 601 7556</td><td>1\$5 1 125 3 43 47 37</td><td>Not Applicable to this Report</td><td></td></s<></s 		8 687 7552 8 601 7353 8 601 7354 9 601 7554 9 601 7555 8 601 7556	1\$5 1 125 3 43 47 37	Not Applicable to this Report		
001 /312 801 7513 601 7514 807 7515 907 7521	30 175 400 13 10	<5 260 475 <5 10		R 881 7557 R 881 7558 R 681 7559 R 681 7559 R 681 7540	60 23 11 12	Not Applicable to this Report		
601 7522 601 7523 601 7524 601 7525 601 7525 501 7526	16 14 9 400 15	ال (5 الفي الفي	N/A to this	Report				
68) 7327 687 7528 687 7529 687 7530 687 7530 691 7531	6 14 10 5 11	\$ (5 5 (5 5)	N/A to this H	eport				
647 7532 647 7533 687 7533 687 7534 687 7535 687 7535 687 7526	31 93 1000 103 650	6 (5 55 55 55	Not Applicabl this Report	le to				
641 2332 041 7538 641 7538 641 7539 591 7540 641 7541	500 21 28 28 55	35 5 15 100	Not Applicabl this Report	le to				
591 7342 691 7543 691 7544 691 7544 691 7543 681 7546	13 10 6 11 5	5 110 25 25 25 5 5 5						

•



No.

# APPENDIX III

Cost Statement

## COST STATEMENT THOM CLAIM AND AREA APRIL 1 TO SEPTEMBER 30, 1984

## FEES AND WAGES:

R.V. Longe	4.08	days	at	\$485 <sup>°</sup>	\$ 1,978.80	
Sue Ridley	2.72	days	at	\$225	612.00	
A. Davidson	.21	days	at	\$130	27.30	
A. Davidson	3.85	days	at	\$120	462.00	
G. Hodgson	4.27	days	at	\$485	2,070.95	
A. Gourlay	1.33	days	at	\$285	379.05	
Les Allen	10.50	days	at	\$185	1,942.50	
R. Bilquist	10.00	days	at	\$185	1,850.00	
D. Brown	9.27	days	at	\$285	2,641.95	
E. Grill	10.00	days	at	\$120	1,200.00	
P. McCarthy	.03	days	at	\$185	5.55	\$13,170.10
		-				

CASUAL STAFF:

**DISBURSEMENTS:** 

1010

Rental Vehicles - Casual	342.30
Rental Vehicles - Term	436.71
M.O. Rental Vehicle Charges	512.00
Vehicles Repairs & Maintenance	2.54
Fuels & Lubricants, Vehicles	350.06
Taxis, Parking, Fares	57.30
Meals, Accommodation	25.38
Freight	98.84
M.Q. Equipment Charges, Field	316.00
M.Q. Equipment Charges, Camp	205.00
Fuel & Lubricants, Camp	16.00
Groceries, Kitchen Supplies	409.61
Food, Accommodation - In Field	1,634.34
General Supplies	121.57
Field Office Supplies	17.60
Geochemical Analyses	1,738.67
Other	6.00
Licence Fees	25.00
Telephone, Telex	16.05
Courier, Postage	72.85
Drafting	299.00
Reprographics	193.56
Xerox - In House	82.25
Maps, Reports, Publications	129.03
Drafting Supplies	78.64
Report Preparation	
Outside-Services	10.00
M.Q. Word Purchasing	106.12
	7,302.42
Disbursement Over-Ride	709.22

		Ø	1	U	T	T	•	ю	4
Ş	2	1	,	3	3	7	•	3	6
-				-	_	_		_	_

Total attributable to THOM I claim (allocated on the basis of man days)

\$12,515.69

155.62



····· Foliation
····· Bedding
····· Dyke , vein
····· Fracture , joint
····· Fault
····· Outcrop
- · · · · · Contact observed , inferred
· · · · · Inferred fault

DRAWN	DATE NOV. 1984	FIGURE
		2
JEST EXPLO	RATION ASSOCIA	TES LTD.



					1	
	Sample	Ag	As	Au	Hg	Pb
	Number	ppm	ppm	ppp	ррр	bbw
	GQT 7001		6	5		
	GQT 7002		11	60		
	GQT 7013		7	5		
	GQT 7501		13	5		
R.	GQT 7503		40	<5		
	GQT 7504		8	15		
	GQT 7506		31	85		
	GQT 7507		28	110	80	19
	GQT 7508		11	10		
	GQT 7510		4	<5		
	GQT 7511 GQT 7512		30	<5		
	GQT 7513		175	260	2500	22
	GQT 7514 GQT 7515		13	495	3500	18
	GQT 7516		12	<5		
	GQT 7517 GQT 7518		11	5		
	GQT 7519		17	10		
	GQT 7520 GQT 7521		10	10		
	GQT 7522		16	10		
	GQT 7523		9.	<5		
	GQT 7526		15	<5		
	GQT 7528		14	<5		
	GQT 7529 GOT 7530		10	5		
	GQT 7540		28	40		
	GQT 7541 GOT 7542		55 13	100	35	16
	GQT 7543		10	110	30	6
	GQT 7544 GQT 7545		6 11	25 25		
	GQT 7546		5	<5		
	GQT 7548		22	10		
	GQT 7549 GOT 7562	2.8	12	< 5		
	GQT 7617	<0.2	20	5		
	GQT 7618 GOT 7619	<0.2	17 28	5		
	GQT 7620	<0.2	6	< 5		
	GQT 7801 GQT 7802	0.3	59 75	20 40		
	GQT 7803	0.2	50	15		1 Sec
P OF SLOPE SSE	GQT 7804 GQT 7805	<0.2 0.3	18	15 40		
	GQT 7806	0.2	75	40		
	GQT 7808	<0.2	7	15		
	GQT 7809	<0.2	8	20		
	GQT 7811	<0.2	11	15		
3808 3811 3812	GQT 7812 GQT 7813	<0.2	6	30 55		
	GQT 7814	<0.2	6	10		
FOOT OF SLOPE	GQR 7815 GQT 7816	0.2	110	50 85		
	GOT 7817	1.2	180	255 780	2550	8
	GQT 7819	<0.2	25	5	2000	
uartz - carbonate veining				~ * ^		ALBRANCH
			GE	SES	SME	NT REPORT
			1		7	274
				-	).	JLI
			_			
LOP.				SC	ALE DE	5000
		100 50	0	10	0	200 300 400 Metres
		G	ÖLDQ	JEST	LIMIT	ED PARTNERSHIP
				TH	IOM	CLAIM
			R	OCł	< S	AMPLE
	1.4					ND RESULTS
	L		411			ND RESULIS
		F.AN NE		DRAW		DATE
	DENAS	728				DEC. 1984
	- 64.9.70					R21/10,11,14,15
		MIN	IEQUE	ST EX	PLOF	NILON ASSOCIATES LTD.