

86-721-15327
8/87

SUB-RECORDER
RECEIVED
NOV 25 1986
M.R. # _____ \$ _____
VANCOUVER, B.C.

ASSESSMENT REPORT

1986 GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY UNDERTAKEN ON THE
BIG MISSOURI PROPERTY
STEWART, BRITISH COLUMBIA

Claims involved: E. Pluribus Group; Hope Group

Mining Division: Skeena

NTS Location: Map 104B/1E

Latitude & Longitude: 56°^{07.2'}~~05'~~'N; 130°^{01.5'}~~00'~~'W

Owner of Claims: Tournigan Mining Explorations Ltd.
Westmin Resources Limited

Operator: Westmin Resources Limited

Author: Shaun M. Dykes
Project Geologist
Westmin Resources Limited

Date: November 10, 1986

FILMED

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
1. Title Page.....	
2. Introduction.....	1
2.1 Geology and Mineralization.....	3
2.2 Purpose of survey.....	3
3. Geophysical Survey.....	4
3.1 Instrument specifications.....	4
3.2 Survey Specifications.....	7
3.3 Presentation of Data.....	7
3.4 Discussion of Results.....	8
4. Conclusions and Recommendations.....	9
 Appendices:	
A) Itemized Cost Statement	
B) Personnel Employed on survey	
C) Statement of Qualifications	
D) Day Zone Psuedosections of Chargeability & Resistivity	
C) Marth Ellen Zone Psuedosections of Chargeability & Resistivity	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Big Missouri - Silbak Premier Location Map		Page 2.
2	1986 Geophysical Survey Grid Location		Back Pocket
3	Mark III Receiver Measurement Parameters		Page 3.
4	Day Zone Induced Polarization Survey Line	700N	Appendix D
5	"	750N	"
6	"	800N	"
7	"	850N	"
8	"	900N	"
9	"	950N	"
10	"	1000N	"
11	"	1050N	"
12	"	1100N	"
13	"	1150N	"
14	"	1200N	"
15	"	1250N	"
16	"	1300N	"
17	"	1350N	"
18	"	1400N	"
19	"	1450N	"
20	"	1500N	"
21	Martha Ellen Zone I.P. Survey Line	200S	Appendix E
22	"	150S	"
23	"	100S	"
24	"	50S	"
25	"	0	"
26	"	50N	"
27	"	100N	"
28	"	150N	"
29	"	200N	"
30	"	250N	"
31	"	300N	"
32	"	350N	"
33	"	400N	"
34	"	450N	"

Figure 35	"	500N	Appendix E
36	"	550N	"
37	"	600N	"
38	"	650N	"

INTRODUCTION




The Big Missouri Property is located 25 kilometers north of the town of Stewart, B.C. and situated between the Silbak Premier and Granduc Mines in northwestern British Columbia (Fig. 1).

The property is accessible by road during snow-free months by way of the Granduc road from Stewart to Premier and then by the Big Missouri road into Joker Flats and the claims areas (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2).

The Big Missouri Mine was discovered in 1904, and was subsequently put in production between 1938 and 1942 by Consolidated Mining and Smelting Co. (Cominco). Subsequent to the mine closure in 1942, there have been several attempts by various mining companies to re-evaluate the mineral potential in the area. This includes:

- work by Hecla Mining
- geological work by Falconbridge in 1966
- geological and geochemical work by El Paso in 1970.
- extensive underground sampling by Aetna Mines in late 1960's and early 1970's, and
- diamond drilling by Giant Mascot Mines in 1974.

Since the fall of 1973, Tournigan Mining Explorations Ltd. has held title to the property. In 1979 Tournigan and Westmin Resources Limited entered into agreement whereby Westmin Resources Limited by fulfilling certain obligations, could earn an interest in the property. It is in conjunction with this agreement that the 1986 geophysical program was undertaken.



WESTMIN RESOURCES LTD.			
BIG MISSOURI - SILBAK PREMIER			
LOCATION MAP			
Date: July, '80	Drawn by:	Revised: Jan. 1981	Figure 1

GEOLOGY AND MINERALIZATION

The volcanic sequence consists of agglomerates, tuffs and flows of andesitic composition intercalated with cherty tuff bands. The sequence is cross-cut by andesitic and granitic dykes, and truncated by numerous faults of several ages. The mineralization observed consists of fine grained disseminated pyrite with or without sphalerite and galena contained mainly in the cherty tuff horizons or as small sulphide stringers and veinlets within the andesite. Gold and silver values are erratic. Better intersections are commonly in the 0.10 to 0.15 oz/T Au and 0.5 to 1.0 oz/T Ag range. Lead and zinc values greater than 1% are present locally. Nature and control of the gold and silver distribution is as yet unknown.

Sericitization and silicification are the predominant alteration types within the mineralized zones. Sericitization is the most pervasive and widespread, while silicification is found locally in relationship to the mineralized horizons.

The preliminary interpretation of the geological environment is that the mineralization occurs in narrow stratabound interflow siliceous exhalative horizons.

PURPOSE

During the 1983 field season, it was discovered that an Induced Polarization Survey was extremely useful in outlining the continuity of various small surface showings. The 1986 program was designed to determine the continuity of mineralization exposed in several widely dispersed surface pits within the Martha Ellen and Day Zone areas (Fig. 2). Grid preparation for the survey began August 6, 1986 and the survey was completed Aug. 28, 1986. The geophysical portion of the survey was undertaken by Lloyd Geophysics Ltd. 1110 - 625 Howe Street, Vancouver, B.C.

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYINSTRUMENT SPECIFICATIONS

The IP system used to carry out this survey was a time domain measuring system manufactured by Hunttec Limited of Toronto, Ontario.

The system consists of a Wagner Leland alternator, driven by a 25 hp Onan engine which supplies in excess of 7.5 kw of 3 phase power to the ground at 400 hertz, a Mark II transmitter and two Mark IV microprocessor controlled receivers.

The Mark II transmitter is a time domain transmitter with a maximum rated power output of 7.5 kw D.C., available at 10 constant voltage settings. Output current for a given voltage tap is determined by the contract resistance at the ground input electrodes. The transmitter cycle time was 8 seconds (or a frequency of 0.125 cycles per second) and the duty cycle [the ratio: (time on)/(time on + time off)] was 0.5. This means the cycling sequence of the transmitter was 2 seconds current "on" and 2 seconds current "off" with consecutive pulses reversed in polarity.

The Mark IV receiver takes full advantage of the micro-processors capabilities, featuring automatic calibration, gain setting, SP cancellation, fault diagnosis and filter tuning. When the instrument is turned on, it automatically tests its analogue and digital circuitry. If a fault is detected its nature and location are indicated on the digital display by a coded error message. When the instrument is not receiving a signal it continuously calibrates itself. During measurement, the instrument automatically adjusts its own gain and corrects for self-potential without operator intervention. In high noise areas, a 60 hertz rejection filter may be selected through the programming sub-panel. This filter is automatically tuned during the initial calibration cycle, ensuring high rejection at the notch without sacrificing stability. The software automatically corrects for the effect of the rejection filter on the overall frequency response.


Operation of the instrument is controlled by 3 front panel switches and a keypad for requesting data on the digital display. The instrument can be used for the detailed measurement of all significant IP and resistivity phenomena. The instrument can be adjusted to perform single measurements of chargeability (or percent frequency effect) at reduced band-width for high speed reconnaissance surveying. Detailed measurements of selected anomalies at expanded bandwidth can be performed with the instrument by selecting switches on the programming sub-panel. Similarly, the delay time, the integration time and a number of other parameters, may also be adjusted in a few seconds, by means of sub-panel switches, to accommodate a wide range of geological conditions. Measurements are calculated automatically every 4 to 8 seconds from the averaged waveform which is accumulated in memory at 2048 sample points.

An analogue meter on the front panel is used for source resistance measurement, ensuring continuity through the input circuit. During operation, it monitors the output of the signal amplifier giving reassurance that the set is responding correctly, and also provides a qualitative indication of the signal to noise ratio. The input stage is floating to the chassis ground when single ended operation is required.

The instrument has 10 equal chargeability channels, M_0 , M_1 , M_2 , M_3 , M_4 , M_5 , M_6 , M_7 , M_8 , and M_9 (see Fig. 1). These may be recorded individually, selectively or summed up automatically and displayed on the digital readout by means of the keypad, as the final chargeability reading.

The apparent resistivity (ρ_a) in ohm-metres is obtained by dividing the primary voltage (V_p), which can be displayed on the receiver readout, by the measured current (I_g), recorded at the transmitter, and multiplying by a factor (K) which is dependent on the geometry of the array used.

For this survey, the delay time (T_d) was fixed at 120 milliseconds and the channel width or integrating interval (t_p), at 90 milliseconds. This gives a total integrating time (T_p) of $10 t_p$ which equals 900 milliseconds. Experience has shown that the parameters chosen are compatible with the geological conditions expected to be encountered on the property.



Mark IV Receiver Measurement Parameters

Figure 3

SURVEY SPECIFICATIONS

The pole-dipole array was used for this survey. With this array the one current electrode C_1 and the two potential electrodes P_1 and P_2 are moved in unison along the survey lines. The second current electrode C_2 is grounded an "infinite" distance away, which is at least ten times the distance between C_1 and P_1 for the largest electrode separation.

The dipole length (x) is the distance between P_1 and P_2 . The electrode separation (nx) is the distance between C_1 and P_1 and is equal to or some multiple of the distance between P_1 and P_2 . For a sulphide body of some particular size, shape, depth and true chargeability, the dipole length (x) determines mainly the sensitivity of the array, whereas the electrode separation (nx) determines mainly the depth of penetration of the array.

The survey on both the Day Zone and the Martha Ellen Zone was carried out on lines 50 metres apart. Measurements were taken with $x=25$ metres and separations $n=1, 2, 3$ and 4 .

PRESENTATION OF DATA

The data obtained from the surveys described in this report are presented on 35 pseudo-section plots as follows:

Figures 4 to 20 for the Day Zone and Figures 21-38 for the Martha Ellen.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

An IP response depends largely on the following factors:

1. The number of pore paths that are blocked by sulphide grains.
2. The number of sulphide faces that are available for polarization.
3. The absolute size and shape of the sulphide grains and the relationship of their size and shape to the size and shape of the available pore paths.
4. The volume content of sulphide minerals.

5. The electrode array employed.
6. The width, depth, thickness and strike length of the mineralized body and its location relative to the array.
7. The resistivity contrast between the mineralized body and the unmineralized host rock.

The sulphide content of the underlying rocks or, since rocks containing magnetite, graphite or clay minerals, frequently give rise to an IP response, an equivalent sulphide content is one of the critical factors that we would like to determine from field measurements. However, experience has shown that this is both difficult and unreliable, mainly because of the large number of factors, described above, which contribute to an IP response. These factors vary considerably from one geological environment to another. Despite this, some interpreters have developed empirical rules for making rough estimates of the percent sulphides by volume contained within rocks giving anomalous IP responses.

A detailed study has been made of the pseudo-sections which accompany this report. These pseudo-sections are not sections of the electrical properties of the sub-surface strata and cannot be treated as such when determining the depth, width and thickness of zone which produces an anomalous pattern.

From this study the anomalies selected are shown on the individual pseudo-sections and are classified into three groups. These are definite, probable and possible anomalies. This classification is based partly on the relative amplitudes of the chargeability and to a lesser degree on the resistivity response. Of equal importance in this classification is the overall anomaly pattern and the degree to which this pattern may be correlated from line to line, provided of course that the correlation is not so extensive along strike so as to represent only the subcrop of a geological formation.

On the Day Zone a strong IP anomaly was detected in the western half of the grid area. This zone is believed to be underlain mostly by argillites.

On the Martha Ellen Zone a number of strong IP anomalies were detected. These anomalies are believed to be caused by sulphides in the underlying andesites, and as such are significant trenching and/or drilling targets.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

From a study of the IP data obtained on the survey described in this report it has been concluded that certain of the IP anomalies, occurring in areas of favourable geology, are worthy of further exploration.

It is recommended that the IP data be correlated with the extensive geological mapping which has been completed to date. Based on this correlation those IP anomalies which occur in areas of favourable geology should be tested by trenching and/or drilling. Such targets are expected to be sulphide rich zones, which may or may not contain significant amounts of gold.

APPENDIX A

ITEMIZED COST STATEMENT

Survey, Grid Setup and linecutting 30.05 km @ \$278.04/km	\$ 8,355.00
IP Geophysical Survey 30.05 km @ \$992.88/km	29,835.98
Senior supervision 6 days @ \$165/day	993.00
Board & Lodging	
Grid setup 20 man days @ \$20/day	400.00
IP survey & supervision 74 man days @ \$20/day	1,480.00
Report preparation	
Drafting maps (75 hrs @ \$20/hr)	1,500.00
Typing, writing, etc. 1 day @ \$165/day	165.00
Geophysical Consulting Fee & Writing Technical	<u>1,350.00</u>
TOTAL COST OF SURVEY	<u><u>\$44,078.98</u></u>

APPENDIX B

PERSONNEL EMPLOYED ON SURVEY

Name	Occupation	Company
J. Lloyd	Geophysicist	Lloyd Geophysics Limited 1110-625 Howe Street Vancouver, B.C. V6C 2T6
D. Hall	Geophysicist	"
P. Cruikshank	Geophysicist	"
D. Amirault	Geophysical Operator	"
D. Klit	Geophysical Operator	"
D. Kiliaan	Geophysical Helper	"
R. Dietrich	Geophysical Helper	"
S. Pritmore	Geotechnician	Westmin Resources Limited Vancouver, B.C.
B. Hobson	Linecutter	Van Alphen Exploration Ltd. Smithers, B.C.
D. Crookshank	"	"

APPENDIX C

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, John Lloyd, of 1110-625 Howe Street, in the City of Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, do hereby certify that:

1. I graduated from the University of Liverpool, England in 1960 with a B.Sc. in Physics and Geology, Geophysics Option.
2. I obtained the diploma of the Imperial College of Science and Technology (D.I.C.), in Applied Geophysics from the Royal School of Mines, London University in 1961.
3. I obtained the degree of M.Sc. in Geophysics from the Royal Schools of Mines, London University in 1962.
4. I am a member of good standing of the Association of Professional Engineers in the Province of British Columbia, the Society of Exploration Geophysicists of America, the European Association of Exploration Geophysicists and the Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy.
5. I have been practising my profession for over twenty years.

John Lloyd, P. Eng.

Vancouver, B.C.
November, 1986

- University Education:
- 1976 Graduated with B.Sc. (Eng.) degree in Geology from Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.
 - 1979 Graduated with M.Sc. (Eng.) degree in Geology from Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.
 - Courses taken based on mineral exploration, igneous petrology, and mineral economics.
- Practical Experience:
- 4 summers experience in Northern Ontario and Northeastern British Columbia
 - Since 1979 working as Project Geologist for Westmin Resources Limited with emphasis on the Big Missouri property.


Respectfully submitted,





Shaun M. Dykes

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES



APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327

WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised
Revised By

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
DAY ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 700 N

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1






Figure
4

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**


15,327



Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics Date Drafted November 1986 Drafted By R.A. Ivany Date Revised Revised By N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT DAY ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 750 N
SCALE 1:1,250 	Figure 5

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**


15,327




Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics Date Drafted November 1986 Drafted By R.A. Ivany Date Revised Revised By N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT DAY ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 800 N
SCALE 1:1,250 	Figure 6

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**


15,327




WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT DAY ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 850N
Date Drafted November 1986	
Drafted By R.A. Ivany	
Date Revised	
Revised By	
N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	Figure 7

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327




CURRENT ELECTRODE C₁ WEST
OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P₁P₂



Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics Date Drafted November 1986 Drafted By R.A. Ivany Date Revised Revised By	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT DAY ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 900 N
N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	 SCALE 1:1,250
	Figure 8

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES



APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



CURRENT ELECTRODE C_1 WEST OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P_1, P_2

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327

WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By	Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted	November 1986
Drafted By	R.A. Ivany
Date Revised	
Revised By	
N.T.S. Number	104 B/1

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT

DAY ZONE

INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY

LINE 950 N






Figure
9

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327




WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION



Work By Lloyd Geophysics	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
Date Drafted November 1986	
Drafted By R.A. Ivany	
Date Revised	
Revised By	DAY ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 1000 N
N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	
<p>Figure 10</p>	

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES



APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By	Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted	November 1986
Drafted By	R.A. Ivany
Date Revised	
Revised By	
N.T.S. Number	104 B/1

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT

DAY ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 1050 N





Figure
11

**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**


15,327

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327



CURRENT ELECTRODE C_1 WEST
OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE $P_1 P_2$

WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised
Revised By

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
DAY ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 1100 N

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1






Figure
12

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS






**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327



WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT DAY ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 1150 N
Date Drafted November 1986	
Drafted By R.A. Ivany	
Date Revised	
Revised By	
N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	
Figure 13	




GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327


	Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION
Work By Lloyd Geophysics	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT DAY ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 1200 N
Date Drafted November 1986	
Drafted By R.A. Ivany	
Date Revised	
Revised By	
N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	
<p>SCALE 1:1,250</p>	
	Figure 14

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327



WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised
Revised By

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
DAY ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 1250 N

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1






Figure
15

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327



WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised

Revised By

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
DAY ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 1300 N






Figure
16

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS







**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327




Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics Date Drafted November 1986 Drafted By R.A. Ivany Date Revised Revised By N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT DAY ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 1350 N
SCALE 1:1,250 	Figure 17



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**


15,327




WESTMIN	Westmin Resources Limited
	MINING DIVISION
Work By Lloyd Geophysics	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
Date Drafted November 1986	
Drafted By R.A. Ivany	
Date Revised	
Revised By	DAY ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 1400 N
N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	25 0 25 50 75 m SCALE 1:1,250
	Figure 18

1800 W 1750 W 1700 W 1650 W 1600 W 1550 W 1500 W 1450 W 1400 W 1350 W 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327




Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivory
Date Revised
Revised By

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
DAY ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 1450 N

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1




Figure


19

1800 W | 1750 W | 1700 W | 1650 W | 1600 W | 1550 W | 1500 W | 1450 W | 1400 W | 1350 W | 1300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327



CURRENT ELECTRODE C_1 WEST OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE $P_1 P_2$

WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised
Revised By

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
DAY ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 1500 N

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1






Figure
20

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS






GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327




<p>Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION</p>	
<p>Work By Lloyd Geophysics</p> <p>Date Drafted November 1986</p> <p>Drafted By R.A. Harty</p> <p>Date Revised</p> <p>Revised By</p>	<p>BIG MISSOURI PROJECT</p> <p>MARTHA ELLEN ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 200S'</p>
<p>N.T.S. Number 104 B/1</p>	



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327



WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT

MARTHA ELLEN ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 150 S

Revised By

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1






Figure
22

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**


15,327




WESTMIN		Westmin Resources Limited	
		MINING DIVISION	
Work By	Lloyd Geophysics	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT	
Date Drafted	November 1986		
Drafted By	R.A. Ivany		
Date Revised			
Revised By			
N.T.S. Number	104 B/1		
		Figure 23	

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327



PLOTTING POINT
x = 25 metres
n = 1, 2, 3, 4

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE [Solid black bar]
PROBABLE [Dotted bar]
POSSIBLE [Hatched bar]

CURRENT ELECTRODE C1 EAST OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P1, P2

WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION


Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised

Revised By

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT

MARTHA ELLEN ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 50S




Figure


24

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327



n = 1, 2, 3, 4

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

- DEFINITE
- PROBABLE
- POSSIBLE

CURRENT ELECTRODE C1 EAST OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P1, P2

WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised

Revised By

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
MARTHA ELLEN ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 0






Figure
25

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327



PLOTTING POINT $x = 25$ metres $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES


DEFINITE PROBABLE POSSIBLE

CURRENT ELECTRODE C_1 EAST OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE $P_1 P_2$


Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics Date Drafted November 1986 Drafted By R.A. Ivany Date Revised Revised By N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT MARTHA ELLEN ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 50N
SCALE 1:2,500 	Figure 26

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS




**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327




CURRENT ELECTRODE C₁ EAST
OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P₁P₂

WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT MARTHA ELLEN ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 100N
Date Drafted November 1986	
Drafted By R.A. Ivory	
Date Revised	
Revised By	
N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	Figure 27




1900 W | 1800 W | 1700 W | 1600 W | 1500 W | 1400 W | 1300 W | 1200 W | 1100 W | 1000 W | 900 W | 800 W | 700 W | 600 W | 500 W | 400 W | 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327



WEST EAST
PLOTING POINT
x = 25 metres
n = 1, 2, 3, 4

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE [Solid black bar]
PROBABLE [Dotted bar]
POSSIBLE [Hatched bar]

CURRENT ELECTRODE C1 EAST
OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P1P2

Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
MARTHA ELLEN ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 150N

Revised By

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1






Figure
28

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327



PLOTTING POINT
x = 25 metres
n = 1, 2, 3, 4

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE [Solid black bar]
PROBABLE [Dotted black bar]
POSSIBLE [Hatched black bar]

CURRENT ELECTRODE C1 EAST
OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P1, P2

WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised

Revised By

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
MARTHA ELLEN ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 200N






Figure
29

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS





GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327




CURRENT ELECTRODE C1 EAST OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P1 P2

Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics Date Drafted November 1986 Drafted By R.A. Ivany Date Revised	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT MARTHA ELLEN ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 250 N
Revised By N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	
Figure 30	







**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327



Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
<p>Work By Lloyd Geophysics Date Drafted November 1986 Drafted By R.A. Ivony Date Revised</p>	<p>BIG MISSOURI PROJECT</p> <p>MARTHA ELLEN ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 300N</p>
<p>Revised By</p>	<p>N.T.S. Number 104 B/1</p>
<p>50 0 50 100 150m SCALE 1:2,500</p>	
<p>Figure 31</p>	




GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327


Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics Date Drafted November 1986 Drafted By R.A. Ivany Date Revised Revised By N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT MARTHA ELLEN ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 350N
SCALE 1:2,500 	Figure 32

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

15,327



PLOTTING POINT
 $x = 25$ metres
 $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE
 PROBABLE
 POSSIBLE

CURRENT ELECTRODE C_1 EAST
OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P_1, P_2

WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised

Revised By

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
MARTHA ELLEN ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 400N






Figure
33

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327



PLOTTING POINT
x = 25 metres
n = 1, 2, 3, 4

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE
PROBABLE
POSSIBLE

CURRENT ELECTRODE C1 EAST OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P1 P2

Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
MARTHA ELLEN ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 450N

Revised By

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1






Figure
34

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327




PLOTTING POINT
 $x = 25$ metres
 $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

DEFINITE [Solid black box]
 PROBABLE [Dotted box]
 POSSIBLE [Hatched box]


CURRENT ELECTRODE C₁ EAST OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P₁P₂

WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT MARTHA ELLEN ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 500N
Date Drafted November 1986	
Drafted By R.A. Ivony	
Date Revised	
Revised By	
N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	Figure 35





1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES



APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



n = 1, 2, 3, 4

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES

- DEFINITE [Solid black bar]
- PROBABLE [Dotted black bar]
- POSSIBLE [Hatched black bar]

CURRENT ELECTRODE C_1 EAST OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P_1, P_2


GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327


Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics Date Drafted November 1986 Drafted By R.A. Ivary Date Revised	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT MARTHA ELLEN ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 550 N
Revised By	N.T.S. Number 104 B/1
SCALE 1:2,500	
Figure 36	

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS



GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327



WEST EAST
PLOTING POINT
x = 25 metres
n = 1, 2, 3, 4

SURFACE PROJECTION OF ANOMALOUS ZONES
DEFINITE [Solid black bar]
PROBABLE [Dotted black bar]
POSSIBLE [Hatched black bar]

CURRENT ELECTRODE C1 EAST
OF POTENTIAL DIPOLE P1P2

Westmin Resources Limited
MINING DIVISION

Work By
Lloyd Geophysics
Date Drafted
November 1986
Drafted By
R.A. Ivany
Date Revised
Revised By

BIG MISSOURI PROJECT
MARTHA ELLEN ZONE
INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY
LINE 600N

N.T.S. Number
104 B/1






Figure
37

1900 W 1800 W 1700 W 1600 W 1500 W 1400 W 1300 W 1200 W 1100 W 1000 W 900 W 800 W 700 W 600 W 500 W 400 W 300 W

APPARENT RESISTIVITY OHM - METRES




APPARENT CHARGEABILITY MILLI - SECONDS




GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327



WESTMIN Westmin Resources Limited MINING DIVISION	
Work By Lloyd Geophysics	BIG MISSOURI PROJECT MARTHA ELLEN ZONE INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY LINE 650 N
Date Drafted November 1986	
Drafted By R.A. Ivany	
Date Revised	
Revised By	
N.T.S. Number 104 B/1	Figure 38



CROWN-GRANTED CLAIMS

<u>NAME OF CLAIM</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS</u>	<u>RECORD NO.</u>	<u>MONTH OF RECORD</u>	<u>EXPIRATION DATE</u>
Province	1	L3208		
Golden Crown	1	L3210		
Silver Creek Fr.	1	L4540		
Snow King	1	L4539		
Good Hope	1	L4538		
Unity	1	L4537		
H. & W. Fr.	1	L4541		
Unicorn	1	L4534		
Unicorn #2	1	L4535		
Unicorn #3	1	L4536		
E. Pluribus	1	L3213		
Day Fr.	1	L4132		
Day No. 1	1	L4127		
Day No. 2	1	L4129		
Day No 3	1	L4130		
Day No. 4	1	L4131		
Unum Fr.	1	L3216		
Leckie Fr.	1	L1525		
Martha Ellen	1	L1521		
Glacier	1	L1522		
Unity Fr.	1	L4542		
J.P. Fraction	1	L3211		
V. Fraction	<u>1</u>	L4543		
TOTAL (23 claims)	23 units			

REVERTED CROWN-GRANTS

<u>NAME OF CLAIM</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS</u>	<u>RECORD NO.</u>	<u>MONTH OF RECORD</u>	<u>EXPIRATION DATE</u>
Midas Lake Fr.	1	548	March	March 1, 1987
Midas	1	549	March	March 1, 1987
Sure Money	1	424	September	September 20, 1987
Sure Money No. 1	1	425	September	September 20, 1987
Mystery	1	558	March	March 1, 1987
Little Joker	1	557	March	March 1, 1987
Pass Fr.	1	1190	April	April 4, 1987
Laura	1	147	September	September 22, 1988
Tip Top Fr.	1	38922	August	August 28, 1987
Ladybird #2	1	324	October	October 1, 1987
Good Hope	1	508	February	February 14, 1988
Montana #3	1	127	August	August 11, 1987
Silver Leaf	1	1001	February	February 8, 1987
Bella Coola	1	318	October	October 1, 1987
Tiger	1	120	August	August 11, 1987
Lion Fr.	1	137	September	September 10, 1987
Lion 1	1	123	August	August 11, 1987
Lion 2	1	124	August	August 11, 1987
Lion 3	1	136	September	September 10, 1987
May P.J.	1	325	October	October 1, 1987
September Fr.	1	1002	February	February 8, 1989
Union Fr.	1	39013K	August	August 26, 1987
Buena Vista	1	38909	August	August 26, 1987
Rambler	1	38923	August	August 28, 1987
Tip Top	1	52	June	June 2, 1987
Empire	1	119	August	August 11, 1987
Cornelius	1	118	August	August 11, 1987
Galena Fr.	1	143	September	September 10, 1987
Galena	1	141	September	September 10, 1987

REVERTED CROWN GRANTS (cont'd)

<u>NAME OF CLAIM</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS</u>	<u>RECORD NO.</u>	<u>MONTH OF RECORD</u>	<u>EXPIRATION DATE</u>
Galena No. 1	1	142	September	September 10, 1987
Montana	1	144	September	September 10, 1987
Montana No. 1 Fr.	1	125	August	August 11, 1987
Montana No. 2 Fr.	1	126	August	August 11, 1987
Oxedental	1	39353	March	March 4, 1987
49	1	39352	March	March 4, 1987
Occidental Fr.	1	135	September	September 10, 1987
Yellowstone Fr.	1	130	September	September 10, 1987
Boston	1	131	September	September 10, 1987
Polybacite Fr.	1	39197A	January	January 6, 1989
Polybacite	1	39195A	January	January 6, 1987
Argentite	1	39196A	January	January 6, 1987
Silvercrest Fr.	1	122	August	August 11, 1987
Proustite	1	126	September	September 20, 1987
Pyrrargyrite	1	121	August	August 11, 1987
A.G. Fraction	1	132	September	September 20, 1987
Stephanite	1	427	September	September 20, 1987
Native	1	428	September	September 20, 1987
Hessite	1	429	September	September 20, 1987
Cerargyrite	1	430	September	September 20, 1987
Stromeyrite	1	431	September	September 20, 1987
G.T. Fraction	1	422	September	September 19, 1987
Terminus	1	148	September	September 30, 1987
Falls View	1	423	September	September 20, 1987
Win Fr.	1	38925	August	August 28, 1987
Jain	1	38924	August	August 28, 1987
Dauntless	1	433	September	September 20, 1987
Million Dollar Fr.	1	39355	March	March 4, 1987
Chicago	1	39354	March	March 4, 1987

REVERTED CROWN-GRANTS (cont'd)

<u>NAME OF CLAIM</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS</u>	<u>RECORD NO.</u>	<u>MONTH OF RECORD</u>	<u>EXPIRATION DATE</u>
High Grade No. 1	1	139	September	September 10, 1987
High Grade No. 2	1	138	September	September 10, 1987
Dumas	1	133	September	September 10, 1987
Darwin	1	132	September	September 10, 1987
Dickens	1	134	September	September 10, 1987
Montana #1	1	145	September	September 10, 1987
High Grade	1	140	September	September 10, 1987
Montana #2	1	146	September	September 10, 1987
Mineral Hill	<u>1</u>	559	March	March 1, 1987
TOTAL (67 claims)	67 units			

RECENTLY STAKED CLAIMS

<u>NAME OF CLAIM</u>	<u>NO. OF UNITS</u>	<u>RECORD NO.</u>	<u>MONTH OF RECORD</u>	<u>EXPIRATION DATE</u>
Boets Fr.	1	1643	August	August 13, 1987
Dicky	4	1636	August	August 13, 1987
MGM	1	1639	August	August 13, 1987
Mason Fr.	1	1697	August	August 13, 1987
Berry Fr.	1	1640	August	August 13, 1987
Dana Fr.	1	1641	August	August 13, 1987
WMI	4	1638	August	August 13, 1987
Loupy	10	2579	September	September 26, 1986
Helen	6	2580	September	September 26, 1986
Silver Lake	4	1694	August	August 27, 1987
B.C. Fr.	1	2581	September	September 26, 1987
Lindgren	18	1679	August	August 30, 1986
20th Century	12	1637	August	August 13, 1987
Vasey Fr.	1	2609	September	September 8, 1987
Marie	6	2608	September	September 8, 1987
Box Fr.	1	1642	August	August 13, 1987
Hope	1	3212	August	August 31, 1987
ERL	10	3262	October	October 14, 1987
Kat	10	3261	October	October 14, 1987
Pam Fraction	<u>1</u>	3593	July	July 27, 1987
TOTAL (20 claims)	94 units			

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT

15,327




TO EAST SEE MAP 104A/4W

N.T.S. 104B/1E



- LEGEND
- CROWN-GRANTED MINERAL CLAIM
 - REVERTED C.G. MINERAL CLAIM
 - FORFEITED MINERAL CLAIM
 - VERIFIED LEGAL CORNER POST
 - LEGAL SURVEY
 - LEGAL CORNER POST & TAG NUMBER GIVEN



Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources



UNLESS VERIFIED OR SURVEYED, THE MAP PORTION OF A LEGAL CORNER POST IS BASED ON THE LOCATOR'S SKETCH FOR PURPOSES OF THE MINING DIVISION. APPLY TO THE OFFICE OF THE MINING DIVISION FOR MORE INFORMATION CONCERNED.

DATE OF MICROFILM: 86.05.22 K

