87-153-15975

2/88

ASSESSMENT REPORT
ON
GEOCHEMICAL WORK
ON THE FOLLOWING CLAIM

CATSPAW.....#2004A(1)

15,975

located

39 KM NORTH-NORTHWEST OF
STEWART, BRITISH COLUMBIA
SKEENA MINING DIVISION

56 degrees 17.5 minutes latitude
130 degrees 05.6 minutes longitude

N.T.S. 104B/8E

PROJECT PERIOD: Aug. 4 - Oct. 3, 1986

ON BEHALF OF

Owner/Operator: TEUTON RESOURCES CORP.
VANCOUVER, B.C.

REPORT BY

D. Cremonese, P. Eng.
200-675 W. Hastings
Vancouver, B.C.

Date: April 8, 1987

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

A. Property, Location, Access and Physiography

The Catspaw claim is situated approximately 3.5 km northwest of the airstrip at Tide Lake Flats (just north of the old Granduc concentrator). Access from Stewart, 39 air-kilometers to the south, is by helicopter; alternative access is via the Granduc road to the aforementioned air strip and thence by foot over steep, but passable, terrain.

Terrain ranges from moderate/steep in the forested eastern portion to steep/precipitous in the western portion (especially steep in proximity to the glacier in the central part of the claim). Elevations on the property vary from 700 to 1500 meters. Creeks draining the property flow east and northeast, into the Bowser River.

Treeline lies along the 1050 to 1200 meter elevation contours. Below this the forest is fairly dense featuring spruce and mountain balsam with occasional clumps of willow and alder. Above treeline, alpine conditions prevail--talus and screes, heather and lichens, dwarf grasses.

B. Status of Property

Relevant claim information is summarized below:

Name	Record No.	No. of Units	Record Date
Catspaw	2004A	16	Dec. 17,'79

The claim is shown on Fig. 2 and is held by Teuton Resources Corp. under option from Elan Explorations of Calgary.

C. History

Initial work on the Catspaw claim commenced circa 1939 when it formed part of the original Portland Group of claims. The present "Haida" claim, located in the northwest quadrant of the Catspaw, covers the principal showings of the old Portland Group (it is now owned by Silver Standard Mines).

This early work consisted of a number of trenches and a small adit exploring auriferous quartz veins in a shear zone. Some high-grade samples were reported. This work was reportedly undertaken by the Premier Gold Mining Company.



FIG 1 LOCATION MAP



FIG. 2 ---- CLAIM MAP

CATSPAW M.C.
Skeena Mining Division
NTS 104B/8E
Scale 1:50000

In 1979 the Catspaw property was staked by Elan Explorations and optioned to E & B Explorations. Minor prospecting, sampling and geological mapping were carried out by E & B crews. This work appears to have concentrated mostly on the area now covered by the Haida claim, it being erroneously supposed that the Haida lay a few hundred meters to the west outside of the claim boundaries (it was incorrectly plotted on the government claim map). Work on the Catspaw claim proper did not uncover any mineralization of note, although gold colours were observed in virtually every stream panned within the claim area.

D. References

1. ALLDRICK, D.J.(1984); Geological Setting of the Precious Metals Deposits in the Stewart Area, Paper 84-1, Geological Fieldwork 1983", B.C.M.E.M.P.R.

2. GROVE, E.W. ET AL (1982); Unuk River-Salmon River-Anyox Area. Geological Mapping 1:1000000 B.C.M.E.M.P.R.

3. GROVE, E.W.(1982); The Catspaw Property, A Summary Report Compiled for Teuton Resources Corp. (Private).

4. GROVE, E.W. (1971); Geology of Mineral Deposits of the Stewart Area. Bulletin 58, B.C.M.E.M.P.R.

5. KRUCHKOWSKI, E.R. (1981); Exploration Summary Catspaw Claim; Bowser-Unuk Project; Northern British Columbia, Skeena Mining Division, NTS 104B8E for E & B Explorations Ltd. Assessment report on file with BCEMPR

6. FOERSTER, J.V. (1986); Field notes re Catspaw geochem program, 1986. Also verbal communication.

E. Summary of Work Done

Geochemical work on the Feld claims was carried out by a two man crew consisting of Johann Foerster and J. Herrero (a mountain climber/prospector) as part of a two month assessment work program on certain of Teuton's claims in the Stewart area. The initial portion of this program spanned the period Aug. 4 - Aug. 27, 1986, continuing from the latter date on a much larger scale to Oct. 4, 1986 (including mobilization and demobilization of crews from and to Vancouver). Base camp for the initial program was established on the Delta claim (about 7.5 km north of the Catspaw claim) on Aug. 6, consisting of a wooden frame tent with supplies and materials brought in by helicopter from the Tide Flats strip. Helicopter support was provided by an Okanagan Helicopters Hughes 500 which was stationed at the Brucejack Lake camp, 12 km to the north-northwest.

The reconnaissance geochem survey on the Catspaw claim was carried out from Aug. 15-16, 1986. Seventy rock samples were collected from the steep/precipitous area south of the glacier in the western portion of the Catspaw claim. Samples were analysed for 16 elements per Acme Analytical's 16 element AA special package (with back-up fire assay for gold). Sample location is shown in this report in Fig. 4 and gold-silver values in Fig. 5.

This report is based on the field notes and observations of Johann Foerster, an experienced prospector with over 15 years experience in the mineral exploration industry. The instant work program was part of a larger assessment work program under the partial supervision of the author. [The author visited the northwestern corner of the Catspaw claim briefly in early September, 1986, in the company of W.D. Groves, P.Eng., Ph.D. This visit is not included in the Work Cost Statement]

2. TECHNICAL DATA AND INTERPRETATION

A. Regional Geology

The Catspaw claim lies in the Stewart area east of the Coast Crystalline Complex and within the western boundary of the Bowser Basin. Rocks in the area belong to the Mesozoic Hazelton Group and have been folded on regional NW-SE axes, cut by faults and selective tectonism, locally hydrothermalized and intruded by plugs of both Cenozoic and Mesozoic age.

Locally, within the Hazelton Group, Lower Jurassic volcanic and sedimentary rocks of the Unuk River Formation are unconformably overlain by the Middle Jurassic marine and non-marine volcanics and sediments of the Betty Creek Formation, the volcano-sedimentary Upper Jurassic Salmon River Formation, and the post-accretion fine clastic basinal Nass Formation.

The oldest rocks in the area belong to the Lower Jurassic Unuk River Formation which forms a north-northwesterly trending belt extending from Alice Arm to the Iskut River. It consists of green, red and purple volcanic breccia, conglomerate, sandstone and siltstone with minor crystal and lithic tuff, limestone, chert and coal. Also included in the sequence are pillow lavas and volcanic flows.

In the study area the Unuk River Formation is overlain by Lower Middle and Middle Jurassic rocks from the Betty Creek and Salmon River Formations, respectively. A variable to high angle unconformity is in places traceable between the underlying (steeper) Unuk River cycle of volcanics and overlying (flatter) cycle of often similar-looking Betty Creek volcanics. Geometry of the interface between the Betty Creek and overlying Salmon


CATSPAW CLAIM
ZONE OF INFLUENCE FOUR J's AGREEMENT
Knipple Glacier
Knipple Lake
Canoe Glacier
Trippy Lake
Toe Lake
Bowser River
Frankmackie Glacier
Hed Lake
Bowser River
AIR STRIP
Berendon Glacier
GRANDUC MINE SITE
Summit Lake
Ice Field
Ice Field
Ice Field
LEGEND
SEDIMENTARY AND VOLCANIC ROCKS
QUATERNARY
20 – Unconsolidated deposits, river flood plain.
MIDDLE JURASSIC
16 – Salmon River Formation
Siltstone, greywacke, sandstone
13 – Betty Creek Formation
(a) Volcanic breccia, conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone
(b) Crystal and lithic tuff
(c) Siltstone
LOWER JURASSIC
12 – Unuk River Formation
(a) Volcanic breccia, conglomerate, sandstone and siltstone
(b) Crystal and lithic tuff
(c) Conglomerate
(d) Limestone
PLUTONIC ROCKS
EOCENE STOCKS AND OLDER
8 – (a) Quartz diorite
(b) Diorite
(f) Feldspar porphyry
METAMORPHIC ROCKS
JURASSIC
2 – (b) Phyllite, semi-schist, schist
SYMBOLS
ANTICLINE
FAULT DEFINED ASSUMED
GEOLOGICAL CONTACT DEFINED ASSUMED
STRIKE AND DIP
SYNCLINE
Scale in Metres
1000 500 0 1000 2000 3000
TEUTON RESOURCES CORP.
BOWSER RIVER PROJECT
SKEENA MINING DIVISION
REGIONAL GEOLOGY
Compiled from geology by E.W. Grave, 1964 to 1970, and E.R. Kruchowski, 1983
Date Jan 1985 Drawn G.T.
FIG. 3

River is, at most, somewhat disconformable: the Nass Formation overlies as a sedimentary quiet basin-filling onlap with only a relatively minor erosional component from the island-arc and/or accreted terrane.

The Betty Creek Formation consists of submarine pillow lavas, broken pillow breccias, andesitic and basaltic flows, plus (emergent) green, red, purple and black volcanic breccia, conglomerate, sandstone and siltstone with minor crystal and lithic tuffs, chert, limestone and lava. The overlying Salmon River Formation consists of banded, predominantly dark coloured, siltstone, greywacke, sandstone, intercalated calcarenite, minor limestone, argillite, conglomerate, littoral deposits, volcanic sediments and flows.

According to Grove (Ref. 2 & 3), the majority of the rocks from the Hazelton Group were derived from the Hazelton age andesitic volcanoes subsequently rapidly eroding to form overlapping lenticular sedimentary wedges varying laterally in grain size from breccia to siltstone.

Intrusives in the region are dominated by the granodiorite of the Coast Plutonic Complex (to the west). Some of the smaller intrusive plugs in the study area range from quartz monzonite to granite and are likely related outlyer processes associated with the Coast Plutonic Complex.

Small Cenozoic feldspar porphyry dykes, sills and small plugs and related quartz-sulphide and epithermal pheonomena (e.g., gossans, silica/precious metal and Buchanan Funnel effects), reworking deeper metalliferous units, appear to be of prime economic importance in the area.

Regional geology in relation to the Catspaw claim is shown in this report in Fig. 3.

B. Property Geology

In order to provide a reference for the 1986 geochemical survey, the following is excerpted from a report by Ed Kruchkowski on the Catspaw claim (Ref. 5):

"[Limited mapping] indicates that the property area is underlain by Hazelton rocks consisting of limestone, volcanic fragmentals and tuffs with minor argillites cut by later feldspar porphyry dykes. Quartz veins and/or veinlets are present along shear zones.

Limestone is present along the lower slopes of the property area and consists of a dark grey to black, fine to medium grained rock. No fossils were noted within the unit. Pyrite with

hematite staining is present throughout the unit while chalcopyrite is restricted to the contacts with the feldspar porphyry dykes. The chalcopyrite occurs as disseminations or massive stringers, several centimeters wide but usually less than 1 meter in length.

Volcanic fragmentals were noted along the north slope above the glacier and consist of angular fragments of porphyritic andesite in a fine grained green matrix. Fragments form up to 50% of the rock and vary in size from 5 mm to 10 cm.

The tuffs with minor argillite are thin bedded, well laminated, multi-colored rocks with colours varying from green to black. Individual beds in the sequence have a thickness less than 10 cm.

The feldspar porphyry dykes which appear to cut the above rocks show few chill margins or alteration along the contacts. [They] consist of fine grained to aphanatic rocks with up to 20% feldspar phenocrysts up to 5 mm."

C. Geochemistry

a. Introduction

A reconnaissance rock geochemical survey was conducted in the precipitous area southeast of the glacier transecting the central portion of the claim (see Fig. 4). The area was selected due to the presence of a prominent gossan which was thought to be spatially related to the gossanous area on the Haida claim.

The area sampled was too steep for grid construction. Instead samples were taken arbitrarily at roughly 30m (100 ft.) intervals up and down contour on parallel fall lines, with these lines separated by approximately 100m. Locations are necessarily approximate, and were fixed in the field by reference to an altimeter and air photos. A rope was used for much of the sampling--one of the work party had considerable mountaineering experience.

Field notes describe the general tenor of samples taken as andesites or andesite breccias.

Refer to Fig. 4 for sample locations.

b. Field procedure and analytical procedure

Rock chips were taken with a prospector's pick and placed in a standard kraft bag. The samples were flown out of the property by helicopter and shipped to Acme Analytical Laboratories, 852 E

Hastings, Vancouver.

Because of the precipitous nature of the ground, forest and occasional overburden cover it was not always possible to obtain a sample at the specific elevation point desired. However, samples should be located within a 10 m radius of the point as marked on Fig. 4.

Samples were analysed in Vancouver by Acme Analyical Laboratories. After standard rock sample preparation, a 1.00 gram subsample was digested with 50ml of 3-1-2 HCl-HNO3-H20 at 95 degrees C for one hour, thereafter diluted to 100 ml with water. Content of Mo, Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag, Ni, Co, Mn, Fe, As, U, Th, Cd, Sb, Bi and Au were then measured by standard atomic absorption methods. Gold values were checked by conventional fire assay techniques.

c. Treatment of Data

Geochemical data were plotted on a base map prepared on a scale of 1:5000.

Gold and silver values are plotted in Fig. 5. The remaining elements analysed showed a disappointingly flat distribution: it is obvious that there would be limited additinal information to be derived from plotting their profiles schematically, hence the interested reader is referred to the Assay Certificates, Appendix III.

Because of the irregular sampling intervals, the author has decided as well not to contour the gold and silver values obtained. It is felt that such contouring would introduce too much of a speculative element as to trends, etc.

d. Discussion

The purpose of the 1986 geochemical sampling program was to provide reconnaissance scale data of a potentially interesting area (steep ground conditions precluded the normal control grid approach).

Based on reference to numerous rock geochemical surveys conducted in the region by past operators, the author considers that gold values of greater than 0.01 oz/ton and silver values of greater than 0.25 oz/ton are worthy of further investigation. [Note: the traditional statistical method of determining anomalous and threshold levels is of limited utility in the present circumstances--relatively small sample set, irregular sampling intervals].

Samples worthy of follow up, then, are:

Sample No.	Silver (oz/T)	Gold (oz/T)
F6	0.14	**0.031**
F7	0.07	**0.033**
F9	0.17	**0.013**
F10	0.05	**0.018**
F11	0.03	**0.024**
F15	0.04	**0.014**
F24	0.04	**0.012**
F28	**0.32**	0.002
F40	0.13	**0.022**
F50	**3.61**	0.009
F51	**0.33**	0.004

Although the geochem survey did not identify any economic precious metal mineralization, it did identify a number of interesting sample sites. The better gold values appear to occur in the northeastern portion of the area sampled. In particular, samples F6 and F7 are encouraging and should be carefully followed up.

Silver values were generally low with the exception of sample F50 which ran 3.61 oz/ton. This, and the other samples noted above (in bold type), should be investigated.

D. Conclusions

Several elevated gold and silver values were obtained in a reconnaissance rock geochem survey on the Catspaw claim. Gold values appear to be associated with pyrite mineralization rather than base metal mineralization. More work will be necessary in order to determine whether the values obtained are part of, or related to, gold/silver bearing structures of economic grade and size.

This work would include further sampling, blasting/trenching and limited geological mapping by a specialized exploration team with experience in precipitous terrain.

Respectfully submitted,

D. Cremonese, P.Eng.
April 8, 1987

APPENDIX I -- WORK COST STATEMENT

Field Personnel:	
J. Foerster, Prospector -- Aug. 15-16, 1986	
2 days @ $200/day	$ 400
J. Herrero, prospector and mountaineer--Aug. 15-16	
2 days @ $115/day	230
Helicopter -- Okanagan Hel. (Newhawk Base)	
.7 hrs @ 516/hr.	361
Food -- 4 man-days @ $30/man-day	120
Assays	
16 elem multi-assay (AA) Rock geochem - Acme	
70 samples @ $23/sample	1,610
Share of Project Support Costs:	
(Share = 4 man-days/192 man-days, or 2.1%)	
Personnel: mob/demob, base camp set-up	
..... 2.1% of $6,050	135
Supplies, transportation, equipment rental, truck rental, radio, wood frames, helicopter mob/demob, accommodation, etc.	
..... 2.1% of $18,464	387
Report Costs	
Report and map preparation, compilation and research	
D. Cremonese, P.Eng., 1 days @ $300/day	300
Draughting -- F. Chong	184
Word Processor - 3 hrs. @ $25/hr.	75
Copies, report, jackets, maps, etc.	70
TOTAL.....	$3,872

APPENDIX II - CERTIFICATE

I, Dino M. Cremonese, do hereby certify that:

1. I am a mineral property consultant with an office at Suite 200-675 W. Hastings, Vancouver, B.C.

2. I am a graduate of the University of British Columbia (B.A.Sc. in metallurgical engineering, 1972, and L.L.B., 1979).

3. I am a Professional Engineer registered with the Association of Professional Engineers of the Province of British Columbia as a resident member, #13876.

4. I have practiced my profession since 1979.

5. This report is based upon verbal communications with J. Foerster and access to J. Foerster's field notes. Mr. Foerster carried out work on the Catspaw mineral claim , Skeena Mining Division in August. 1986. In my opinion, Mr. Foerster is fully qualified to undertake a geochemical survey of the type indicated in the appended report. Mr. Foerster has over 15 years experience in the mineral exploration industry.

6. I am a principal of Teuton Resources Corp., beneficial owner of the Catspaw claim: this report was prepared solely for satisfying assessment work requirements in accordance with government regulations.

Dated at Vancouver, B.C. this 8 day of April, 1987.

D. Cremonese, P.Eng.

APPENDIX II

ACME ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES LTD. 852 E.HASTINGS ST.VANCOUVER B.C. V6A 1R6 PHONE 253-3158 DATA LINE: 251-1011

ASSAY CERTIFICATE

1.00 GRAM SAMPLE IS DIGESTED WITH 50ML OF 3-1-2 OF HCL-HNO3-H2O AT 95 DEG. C FOR ONE HOUR.
AND IS DILUTED TO 100ML WITH WATER. DETECTION FOR BASE METAL IS .01%.
- SAMPLE TYPE: ROCK CHIPS AU$ 10 GRAM REGULAR ASSAY AU** BY FIRE ASSAY

DATE RECEIVED: AUG 27 1986 DATE REPORT MAILED: Aug 30/86 ASSAYER. D. Toye ..DEAN TOYE. CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYER.

TEUTON RESOURCES FILE # 86-2314 PAGE 1

SAMPLE#	Mo %	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag OZ/T	Ni %	Co %	Mn %	Fe %	As %	U %	Th %	Cd %	Sb %	Bi %	Au OZ/T	Au** OZ/T
86F 1	.001	.01	.01	.01	.09	.01	.01	.31	2.85	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 2	.001	.01	.01	.01	.04	.01	.01	.03	5.00	.02	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 3	.001	.01	.01	.01	.03	.01	.01	.05	3.78	.04	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.004	.005
86F 4	.001	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	.01	.09	4.25	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 5	.001	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.05	4.42	.02	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 6	.001	.01	.01	.01	.14	.01	.01	.02	8.07	.06	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.034	.031
86F 7	.001	.01	.01	.01	.07	.01	.01	.01	3.25	.11	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.034	.033
86F 8	.001	.01	.01	.01	.05	.01	.01	.01	5.67	.03	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.007	.006
86F 9	.001	.01	.01	.03	.17	.01	.01	.01	7.96	.04	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.013	.013
86F 10	.001	.01	.01	.01	.05	.01	.01	.01	6.09	.06	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.019	.018
86F 11	.001	.01	.01	.01	.03	.01	.01	.02	6.36	.06	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.023	.024
86F 12	.001	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	4.71	.02	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.006	.006
86F 13	.001	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	.01	6.66	.02	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.010	.009
86F 14	.001	.01	.01	.01	.03	.01	.01	.01	3.93	.06	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.008	.008
86F 15	.001	.01	.01	.01	.04	.01	.01	.01	4.59	.04	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.014	.014
86F 16	.001	.01	.01	.01	.05	.01	.01	.01	4.26	.02	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.005	.006
86F 17	.001	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.03	6.37	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 18	.001	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.07	7.29	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 19	.001	.02	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.06	4.92	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 20	.001	.06	.01	.02	.01	.01	.01	.07	5.80	.01	.002	.01	.010	.030	.010	.001	.001
86F 21	.001	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.06	4.68	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 22	.001	.01	.01	.01	.04	.01	.01	.01	3.69	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 23	.001	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	6.13	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.008	.007
86F 24	.001	.01	.01	.01	.04	.01	.01	.01	5.36	.04	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.012	.012
86F 25	.001	.01	.02	.04	.11	.01	.01	.03	6.82	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 26	.001	.01	.01	.03	.13	.01	.01	.02	7.09	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 27	.001	.01	.01	.02	.07	.01	.01	.01	6.31	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 28	.001	.03	.02	.10	.32	.01	.01	.01	6.52	.01	.002	.01	.010	.020	.010	.002	.002
86F 29	.001	.01	.01	.12	.07	.01	.01	.02	7.85	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 30	.001	.01	.02	.04	.06	.01	.01	.06	5.97	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 31	.001	.01	.01	.02	.02	.01	.01	.06	6.84	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 32	.001	.01	.01	.03	.03	.01	.01	.06	6.06	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 33	.001	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	.01	.04	4.84	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.002	.001
86F 34	.001	.01	.01	.02	.03	.01	.01	.04	8.33	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 35	.001	.02	.02	.04	.04	.01	.01	.04	6.10	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.003	.002
86F 36	.001	.01	.01	.09	.06	.01	.01	.03	4.50	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.004	.002
STD R-1	.090	.89	1.37	2.40	2.96	.02	.03	.09	7.00	.91	.004	.01	.040	.140	.030	-	-

SAMPLE#	Mo %	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag OZ/T	Ni %	Co %	Mn %	Fe %	As %	U %	Th %	Cd %	Sb %	Bi %	Au OZ/T	Au** OZ/T
86F 37	.001	.01	.01	.01	.16	.01	.01	.01	6.17	.02	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.005	.006
86F 38	.001	.01	.01	.06	.07	.01	.01	.06	4.88	.02	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.002	.002
86F 39	.021	.01	.01	.03	.06	.01	.01	.01	4.71	.03	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.002	.001
86F 40	.002	.01	.01	.01	.13	.01	.01	.02	10.89	.05	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.022	.022
86F 41	.001	.02	.01	.02	.04	.01	.01	.05	6.55	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 42	.001	.02	.01	.02	.03	.01	.01	.06	6.22	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 43	.001	.02	.03	.05	.13	.02	.01	.03	9.48	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 44	.001	.01	.02	.04	.05	.01	.01	.02	6.43	.02	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.002	.003
86F 45	.001	.01	.01	.04	.07	.01	.01	.04	6.33	.03	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.005	.004
86F 46	.001	.02	.01	.01	.09	.01	.01	.06	5.10	.04	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 47	.001	.01	.03	.03	.02	.01	.01	.15	4.24	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 48	.001	.03	.04	.04	.12	.01	.01	.01	10.37	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.003	.002
86F 49	.001	.01	.03	.10	.19	.01	.01	.01	5.92	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 50	.001	.13	.02	.03	3.61	.01	.01	.01	10.78	.04	.002	.01	.010	.080	.010	.006	.009
86F 51	.001	.01	.01	.02	.33	.01	.01	.04	4.70	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.006	.004
86F 52	.003	.01	.01	.01	.17	.01	.01	.03	2.61	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 53	.001	.01	.01	.01	.05	.01	.01	.01	4.49	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 54	.001	.01	.01	.01	.06	.01	.01	.01	3.79	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 55	.001	.01	.03	.06	.10	.01	.01	.02	6.16	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.002	.002
86F 56	.001	.01	.02	.03	.07	.01	.01	.04	6.13	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 57	.001	.01	.01	.04	.06	.01	.01	.05	5.34	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.002	.001
86F 58	.001	.01	.01	.01	.05	.01	.01	.08	5.03	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 59	.001	.03	.01	.01	.21	.01	.01	.11	7.91	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.002	.001
86F 60	.001	.01	.01	.01	.22	.01	.01	.01	6.81	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.003	.002
86F 61	.001	.01	.01	.01	.07	.01	.01	.01	6.39	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 62	.001	.01	.01	.01	.11	.01	.01	.01	4.65	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 63	.001	.02	.01	.01	.12	.01	.01	.01	5.78	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 64	.001	.01	.01	.01	.05	.01	.01	.01	4.92	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.002
86F 65	.001	.01	.01	.01	.09	.01	.01	.01	3.41	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 66	.001	.01	.02	.05	.06	.01	.01	.04	7.35	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 67	.001	.01	.02	.03	.04	.01	.01	.05	7.63	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 68	.001	.01	.03	.02	.06	.01	.01	.05	6.29	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 69	.001	.01	.02	.01	.07	.01	.01	.04	4.89	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
86F 70	.001	.01	.03	.01	.07	.01	.01	.02	4.68	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.001	.001
T4	.001	.01	.01	.01	.05	.01	.01	.04	5.82	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.002	.002
TRENCH 6	.001	.01	.01	.02	.03	.01	.01	.08	5.78	.01	.002	.01	.010	.010	.010	.003	.002
STD R-1	.090	.89	1.37	2.40	2.97	.02	.03	.09	7.00	.96	.005	.01	.040	.140	.030	-	-

CATSPAW LCP

CAMP Nº. 2

CAMP Nº. 1

HAIDA 31748H

4500'

4000'

3500'

3000'

2500'

2500'

3000'

3500'

GLACIER

.001,.01 .001,.01 .004,.03 .023,.03 .019,.05 .001,.04 .013,.17 .007,.05 .001,.09 .001,.01 .001,.01 .034,.07 .001,.01 .001,.01 .005,.05 .034,.14 .003,.04 .001,.01 .004,.06 .001,.03 .001,.04 .014,.04 .005,.16 .002,.01 .008,.01 .008,.03 .006,3.61 .001,.03 .012,.04 .010,.02 .001,.11 .002,.07 .001,.02 .006,.01 .006,.33 .001,.19 .002,.06 .001,.11 .003,.12 .001,.06 .001,.13 .001,.12 .001,.07 .001,.17 .022,.13 .001,.02 .001,.05 .003,.22 .001,.09 .001,.04 .001,.07 .001,.07 .001,.09 .002,.21 .001,.06 .005,.07 .002,.10 .001,.03 .002,.32 .001,.06 .001,.05 .001,.07 .002,.05 .001,.04 .002,.06 .001,.13 .001,.06 .001,.07 .001,.07

LEGEND

- ROCK SAMPLE LOCATION
- LEGAL CORNER POST
- CREEK
- GLACIER
- .002,.05 Au, Ag in oz/ton

CONTOURS AT 100 FT. INTERVAL

N

TEUTON RESOURCES CORP.

CATSPAW CLAIM

1986 ROCK GEOCHEMISTRY
Au, Ag VALUES

N.T.S. 104B-8E SKEENA M.D., B.C.

0 100 200 300 METRES

SCALE 1:5000	DATE: APRIL 1987
	FIGURE Nº. 5

CHONG

CATSPAW LCP

CAMP Nº 2

CAMP Nº 1

HAIDA 31748H

4500'

4000'

3500'

3000'

2500'

2500'

3000'

3500'

GLACIER

F1 F2 F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9 F10 F11 F12 F13 F14 F15 F16 F17 F18 F19 F20 F21 F22 F23 F24 F25 F26 F27 F28 F29 F30 F31 F32 F33 F34 F35 F36 F37 F38 F39 F40 F41 F42 F43 F44 F45 F46 F47 F48 F49 F50 F51 F52 F53 F54 F55 F56 F57 F58 F59 F60 F61 F62 F63 F64 F65 F66 F67 F68 F69 F70

LEGEND

- •F1 ROCK SAMPLE LOCATION & Nº.
- LEGAL CORNER POST
- CREEK
- GLACIER

CONTOURS AT 100 FT. INTERVAL

N

TEUTON RESOURCES CORP.

CATSPAW CLAIM B.C.

1986 ROCK GEOCHEMISTRY SAMPLE LOCATION MAP

N.T.S. 104B-8E SKEENA M.D., B.C.

0 100 200 300 METRES

SCALE 1:5000	DATE: APRIL 1987
	FIGURE Nº. 4

CHONG