EUREKA RESOURCES, INC.

DOREEN PROPERTY

CARIBOO MINING DIVISION, B.C.

GEOLOGY AND PROPOSAL FOR Exploration

JANUARY 1988



District Geologist	, Prince George	Off Confidential: 89.02.11
ASSESSMENT REPORT	17089 MINING DIV	/ISION: Cariboo
UTM	52 18 00 LONG 1 10 5796167 639788 093A07W	.20 57 00
CLAIM(S): Dor OPERATOR(S): Eure AUTHOR(S): Camp REPORT YEAR: 1988 COMMODITIES	ka Res.	
-SEARCHED FOR: Gold GEOLOGICAL SUMMARY: The	claims are underlain b	y northeasterly dipping Triassic-
Jurassic locally	(?) plug of quartz dior gold-bearing pyrrhotite es are hornfelsed and a	cks and argillite intruded by an early rite north of Doreen Lake. Massive, e occurs in east-west shear zones. along with andesitic rocks are
DONE: Geologic GEOL Map(MNGR PETR	al,Geochemical 15.0 ha s) - 1; Scale(s) - 1:10 6 sample(s) 20 sample(s) 20 sample(s) ;ME	000
Map(SOIL Map(RELATED REPORTS: 1011	s) - 1; Scale(s) - 1:10 32 sample(s) ;ME s) - 1; Scale(s) - 1:50 8,11905,13172 117	

.....

SUMMARY

The Doreen Lake property of EUREKA RESOURCES, INC. consists of 2 mineral claims located in the Quesnel Trough of central British Columbia, about 85 km east of Williams Lake.

The type of mineralization sought is gold-bearing pyritic stockworks and disseminated pyrite in propylitized andesitic rocks, similar to that at the QR deposit, some 70 km northwest in the same geological belt. The source of gold mineralization in the volcanic rocks of the region are early Jurassic plutons of intermediate chemistry.

The property is underlain by andesitic volcanic rocks and argillites which have been sericitized and silicified to varying degrees and intruded by at least one quartz diorite plug or stock. Mineralizations found to date are massive sulphides in crosscutting shear zones, disseminated pyrite and pyrite stringers in the andesitic rocks. The massive sulphides carry sporadic (but locally high grade) gold values. Of greater exploration interest are gold values to 0.186 oz/ton in the pyritic, silicified andesites.

Previous exploration programs have identified geophysical and geochemical anomalies. Massive sulphides in a shear zone(s) are considered to be the source of an EM conductor anomaly. Near this geophysical anomaly is a well defined gold geochemical anomaly in soils. The soil anomaly is not thought to be related to the massive sulphides, but to be caused by pyritic gold-bearing andesites found in the vicinity.

A two stage program is recommended to explore the possibility of the Dor claims to host a QR type of deposit. Stage I is geological mapping, additional geophysical surveys and a limited diamond drilling at an estimated cost of \$145,000. Stage II is a more extensive drilling program estimated to cost about \$367,000.

	LOC NO: 0215 RD.
	ACTION:
	FILE NO:
	REPORT ON THE GEOLOGY AND PROPOSAL
aba	FOR EXPLORATION OF THE DOREEN LAKE PROPERTY
	Doreen Lake Area
	Cariboo Mining Division, British Columbia
	N.T.S. Map Area 93A/7W
	Latitude 52° 17′ 30″N Longitude 120° 77′W
4 m	for the second s
	EUREKA RESOURCES, INC.
- m	837 East Cordova Street OLOGICAL BRANCH Vancouver, B.C.
	VANCOUVER, B.C. VGA 3R2 A 35 ESSMENT REPORT
	by
	K.V. Campbell, Ph.D.
** 108	
	January, 1988
~	
	K.V. CAMPBELL & ASSOCIATES LTD.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY

1	INTRODUCTION	1 1 4
2	GEOLOGICAL OVERVIEW OF MINERALIZATION 2.1 Regional Geology 2.2 Mineral Deposits	5 5 7
3	REVIEW OF PREVIOUS EXPLORATION	14
4	GEOLOGY 4.1 Lithology 4.2 Structure 4.3 Mineralization	17 17 20 21
5	RESULTS OF 1987 SAMPLING	23 23 23
6	DOR MINERALIZATION MODEL	25
7	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER DEVELOPMENT 6.1 Recommendations	27 27 28
8	BIBLIOGRAPHY	29
9	ITEMIZED COST STATEMENT	30
10	CERTIFICATE	31

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

FIGURES

Figure	1	Location map 2
Figure	2	Dor claims 3
Figure	3	Major tectonic features 6
Figure	4	Cross section through Quesnel Trough 8
Figure	5	Diagrammatic model 9
Figure	6	Geological model of QR deposit 11
Figure	7	Results of 1987 sampling in pocket

TABLES

Table 1. Summary of X-ray results 19

APPENDICES

Appendix I	Figure 238-3; Compilation Plan, Dor Claims
	(Leishman, 1985)
Appendix II	Figure 238-4; Compilation Plan, Dor Claims
	(Leishman, 1985)
Appendix III	Analyses Certificates
Appendix IV	Analytical Procedures
Appendix V	Sample Descriptions
Appendix VI	X-ray Diffraction Study

1 INTRODUCTION

This report reviews mineral exploration findings on the Dor claims of Eureka Resources, Inc., located in the Quesnel Gold Belt of central British Columbia. The purpose of the review is to examine the potential for gold mineralization in light of the geological setting and comparison to other occurrences of a similar nature elsewhere in the gold belt.

The property was visited by the author on September 17 and 18, 1987, during which time rock and soil sampling was undertaken for the purposes of examining petrography and geochemistry. In addition, a number of structural observations were made.

1.1 Location, Access and Topography

The Dor claims are situated some 85 km east of Williams Lake, within National Topographic System area 93 A/7W, and are centered at 120° 57'W longitude and 52° 17'30"N latitude (Figure 1).

Road access to the property is east for 55 kilometers on the paved road from 150 Mile House to Horsefly, then northeasterly along an all-weather logging road following the Horsefly River for about 30 km to a branch road that goes south up Doreen Creek to Doreen Lake (Figure 2).

The south-facing slope north of the east end of Doreen Lake has been burned and logged. A network of old skid trails and recent bulldozer trails built by Eureka Resources, Inc. reaches the south-central part of the Dor 2 claim where most of the exploration work has been done.





The claims area covers moderately dissected, rolling hills near the transition between the Interior Plateau on the west and the Cariboo Mtns. on the east. Relief is about 500 m, from Doreen Lake (950 m elev.) to the hill on the north (1,550 m elev.). Overburden is thin in the eastern part of the claims but increases in depth to the west.

Forests of cedar, fir, balsam and spruce cover the eastern and southern claims area. These have been logged in part recently. A large burn covers the remainder of the claims, and it has light to moderate second growth.

1.2 Ownership and Claim Status

The Dor property consists of two claims owned by Eureka Resources, Inc. (Figure 2).

<u>Claim Name</u>	Units	Record No.	Expiry Date
Dor 1	20	3261	March 27, 1988
Dor 2	20	4091	October 15, 1988

GEOLOGICAL OVERVIEW OF MINERALIZATION IN QUESNEL GOLD BELT

2.1 Regional Geology

The area referred to as the Quesnel Gold Belt lies within the Quesnel Trough, a linear belt of early Mesozoic volcanic and sedimentary rocks lying between the Omineca Crystalline Belt (early Paleozoic and Precambrian metasedimentary rocks) on the east and the Pinchi Geanticline (Paleozoic Cache Creek Group) on the west. Figure 3 (from Saleken and Simpson, 1984) is a sketch of the major geological features along with principal gold occurrences. The Doreen occurrence shown is that on the Dor claims.

The Quesnel Trough in this region is composed of alkalic volcanics, volcaniclastics and sedimentary rocks intruded by comagmatic stocks and dike complexes (Campbell, 1978). The basal unit of the Trough is of Upper Triassic black argillite, located along the eastern boundary of the Trough and representing a back arc basinal facies.

Above the argillite unit lie a succession of augite porphyry breccias and flows with subordinate interbedded argillites. These are in turn overlain by volcaniclastics and argillites of Upper Triassic and Lower Jurassic age.

Several volcanic centers emerged in the Lower Jurassic. These are recognized by subaerial volcanic flows and composite lenses of sandstone, grit and conglomerate (Saleken and Simpson, 1984). Between Horsefly Lake and Horsefly River, Panteleyev (1987) considers that felsic-clast conglomerates mark a series of small grabens, which may be part of a series



of larger, northwesterly trending grabens along the medial axis of a volcanic arc. This same structural zone could have controlled emplacement of volcanic centers.

2.2 Mineral Deposits

Three general types of gold deposits are shown in Figure 3; gold-bearing veins, stratabound occurrences and copper-gold porphyry type deposits. This classification is simplistic, but forms a starting point in the discussion. Figure 4 is an east-west cross section from Saleken and Simpson, located in Figure 3. Figure 5 is a diagrammatic cross section through the Quesnel Trough, illustrating the mineralization model of Saleken and Simpson.

Upper Triassic black argillites and phyllites host most of the gold-quartz veins along the eastern margin of the Quesnel Trough, as for example, the Frasergold occurrence (stratigraphically controlled, gold-bearing quartz veins and segregations) and similar occurrences in the Spanish Mtn. area.

The Doreen occurrence is classed in Figure 3 as a vein type. There are crosscutting vein-like bodies of massive pyrrhotite and pyrite in the area, some parts of which do carry gold. However, there is a scarcity of megascopic quartz veining and the Doreen occurrence should not be confused with the gold-quartz veins in the Upper Triassic rock units to the east.

The largest and most developed gold deposits are associated with the early Jurassic plutons; namely the Cariboo-Bell deposit and the QR deposit. The Cariboo-Bell deposit, 9 km





southwest of Likely, has mineable reserves of 117 million tons grading 0.31% Cu and 0.012 oz Au/ton. Mineralization is mainly confined to high level, intrusive breccia zones within an alkalic laccolith of early Jurassic age emplaced at the site of an Upper Triassic eruptive center (Saleken and Simpson, 1984).

The QR deposit, 15 km northwest of Likely, has a mineral inventory of about 1.1 million tons grading 0.2 oz Au/ton. Gold mineralization is located within a 300 m wide alteration halo about the QR stock in volcaniclastics, blocky basaltic conglomerate and breccia, and hornfelsed sediments. The QR stock has a diorite margin and monzonite core (Fox et al, 1986).

There are two types of ore present at the QR deposit; pyritic stockworks in propylitized basalts and disseminated pyrite in massive, propylitized basaltic tuffs. The alteration assemblage includes variable amounts of pyrite, chlorite, fine grained disseminated epidote, epidote-rich selvages on pyrite-carbonate veinlets, and thin pyrite-epidote coatings on fractures (Fox et al, 1986).

Figure 6 illustrates the model Fox et al have proposed. These authors have summarized the events as follows. They are repeated in full, as they could be directly applicable to an understanding of the mineralization on the Dor claims. The three stages are depicted in Figure 6.

 'Mafic submarine volcanics of shoshonitic (alkalic) composition are deposited from fissure style eruptions.
 No textural zoning within the basaltic pile is present to indicate any central volcanic center. During waning stages of the mafic phase, a brief volcanic hiatus allows

I. MAFIC VOLCANICS



development of shelf-like limestones and calcareous sediments. Remnant heat flow from the mafic volcanics or perhaps the initial development of the central volcanic centers present during the subsequent felsic volcanic phase results in local fumarolic activity. This activity results in pyrite-carbonate alteration of basaltic units near the top of the pile. Pyrite precipitates forming fine grained framboidal, colloform masses and bedded textures accompanied by sparry calcite cement. Traces of chalcopyrite in this horizon and local beds of massive pyrite suggest that massive sulphide deposits may have formed at this time. Gold is not present at this stage.

2) Rapidly rising, differentiating, silica-poor diorite stocks begin to intrude the volcanic pile. Felsic breccias and flows are erupted from central volcanoes. Fragments of the stock and the surrounding basaltic rocks are often taken up in eruptive breccia flows. Felsic rocks quickly grade outward from volcanic centers into distal volcaniclastic and epiclastic equivalents. Possible auriferous exhalative horizons may form at this time within proximal felsic strata.

3) Eventually the alkalic stock, now strongly differentiated, intrudes its own volcanic extrusives. Possible caldera collapse provides a plumbing system for a convection system of heated, acidic, oxidizing meteroic and/or magmatic fluids. Gold is taken into solution from the surrounding rock mass or contributed directly from magmatic fluids. When gold-laden solutions encounter the pyrite-carbonate horizon, formed in Stage 1, the strong pH-Eh barrier precipitates gold at the reaction front. Higher in the convective system no favorable host rock is present and the system diffuses into a large, low grade

porphyry copper deposit.'

It follows from the above descriptions and models presented that gold exploration in the Quesnel Gold Belt should then focus on semi-conformable, stratabound mineralization hosted by permeable volcaniclastic or sedimentary rocks, preferably calcareous tuffs and siltstones, and developed in propylitic alteration zones about alkalic plugs, stocks and dikes. Major faults could have played a part in the mineralization, in so far as volcanic centers could be preferentially developed in grabens along a volcanic axis.

3 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS EXPLORATION

The exploration history of the Dor claims has been well reviewed by Leishman (1985) and the following is mostly based on his summary.

In 1974 Newmont Mining and Dome Mines reported the occurrence of porphyry copper mineralization in a small altered quartz diorite stock, the 'Doreen' occurrence (B.C. Ministry of Mines, G.E.M., 1974).

In 1981 a government geochemical release (Regional Geochemical Survey, Geological Survey of Canada, B.C. Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, N.T.S. 93A) identified prominent geochemical anomalies in silts and which touched off a staking rush in the area. The Dor claims were staked by Keron Holdings Ltd. at this time.

1981 - 1983 Keron Holdings Ltd. and Eureka Resources Ltd.

Keron Holdings Ltd. completed a soil survey over the claims in 1981, collecting 330 samples. Anomalous gold values were not abundant, however a correlation between anomalous copper and gold values was noted. In 1983 Eureka Resources Ltd. undertook soil sampling (887 samples), geological mapping, rock chip sampling, a limited VLF-EM survey and access road construction.

Figure 238-3 (Appendix I) is a compilation plan from Leishman's 1985 report, illustrating the soil geochemical anomalies found in 1983. A broad zone, greater than 45 ppb Au, parallels the base line from 14E to 26E.

Zones of ferricrete (re-cemented talus and soil with sulphide fragments) were found near the main gold geochemical anomaly. These carried from 0.022 to 0.155 oz Au/ton, and were thought at that time to indicate near surface mineralization.

1984 Noranda Exploration Co. Ltd.

In the early part of the 1984 field season Noranda undertook, geological mapping, geophysical and geochemical surveys, and drilled two short diamond drill holes.

Most of the work was along the base line in the area of the main geochemical anomaly. A HLEM and magnetometer survey were completed over most of the area shown in Figure 238-4 (Appendix II, from Leishman's 1985 report). An EM conductor, shown in Figures 238-3 and 4, was outlined, and which coincided with the eastern end of the soil geochemical anomaly. However, over most of its length the conductor lies some 50 m north of the geochemical anomaly. Test lines of induced polarization indicated an extensive and highly polarizable unit (pyrite and pyrrhotite). Baerg and Bradish (1984) concluded that the HLEM and IP anomaly source could possibly be a mineralized shear or narrow alteration zone.

Figure 238-4 shows the results of the geochemical sampling by Noranda. Rock chip samples were also taken. One sample of float carried 12.5 ppm Au.

Two holes were spotted to test the EM conductor. Massive sulphides were intersected but these carried negligible gold. However, later re-sampling of the core by Eureka reported a value of 0.026 oz Au/ton over 2.1 m of highly altered andesite with a low sulphide content in hole NDL-84-1.

<u> 1984 - 1985 Eureka Resources, Inc.</u>

Eureka then performed trenching, soil and rock chip sampling. The trenching was concentrated in the area of Noranda's drill holes. Values to 0.132 oz Au/ton were reported (Figure 238-4). A narrow band of brecciated massive pyrrhotite and pyrite was uncovered near 22+60E, 2+00N but no gold values were reported.

One sample of massive sulphide float collected by B. Kahlert carried 68 ppm Au.

Further trenching and chip sampling by Eureka in 1985 uncovered two more zones of massive sulphides, both of which carried insignificant values of gold and silver. One sample of andesite west of NDL-84-1 returned with 0.186 oz Au/ton over 2 m. The steep topography precluded trenching, however.

4 GEOLOGY

4.1 Lithology

The Dor claims are underlain by Upper Triassic - Lower Jurassic interbedded andesitic volcanics and argillites which have been intruded by at least one small plug of quartz diorite north of Doreen Lake. Samples collected in 1987 are described in Appendix V.

The black argillites have been hornfelsed into hard, flinty material which is highly shattered, sheared and brecciated. Fine laminations are discernible in a few places and a fine fracture cleavage filled with quartz was noted in one case. Iron oxide coatings are common and some outcrops are thickly coated with gossan. The argillites have locally been bleached to light gray and in some places show partial silicification. Fine quartz stringers are common but not pervasive, as are iron oxide and fine pyrite-filled fractures. Where both quartz and pyrite stringers are present pyrite crosscuts quartz.

The volcanic rocks are predominantly hornblende andesite with subordinate hornblende - pyroxene andesite. All those seen by the author in the main work area are flows, breccias or possibly volcaniclastics. In most cases the groundmass was either so fine grained, glassy and opaque or so altered that the rocks could not be readily classified. Some did have the appearance of being dike rock (slightly coarser grained, less porphyritic) with a texture intermediate between typical flows and intrusives.

Feldspar is extensively saussuritized and sericitized. The

groundmass has been variously altered to an assemblage of carbonate, chlorite, iron oxides, and less commonly, minor epidote. Some rocks have been silicified, with abundant crystocrystalline light gray quartz and quartz-filled stringers. Fine pyrite is ubiquitous, coating joint surfaces, forming irregular blebs to $\frac{1}{2}$ cm, disseminations and filling fine fractures.

An X-ray diffraction study of six andesite samples was done by Cominco Exploration Research Laboratory in Vancouver (Appendix VI). The results are listed in Table 1.

The X-ray study revealed that quartz is present in four of the six samples. It is considered to be the result of silicification, both of the groundmass and through the introduction of quartz stringers. Chlorite is a large component of some samples. Both calcite and pyroxene were detected but are rare. Epidote is not abundant.

I would not describe the rocks as being extensively propylitized, due to the abundance of relatively unaltered amphibole and pyroxene and the fact that the cores of the plagioclase grains have not been replaced by epidote. No stringers or coatings of epidote were seen.

The quartz diorite to the north of Doreen Lake is of fine to medium grained, pale green pyroxene set in a feldspar groundmass that includes some intergranular quartz. A few ragged, inclusion-filled, subhedral hornblende prisms are present and these have been partly replaced by epidote. It would be useful to know the extent of the plug or stock and if the mineralogy or alteration is zoned.

Table 1 Summary of X-ray results

Sample No.	Rock Description	X-ray Results
Dor 5	Pyroxene - hornblende andesite	Abundant feldspars (plagioclase), amphibole, lesser pyroxene, some quartz. Possible minor epidote.
Dor 6	Andesite	Abundant feldspars (plagioclase), lesser amphibole. Mica also identified. Possible minor epidote.
Dor 9	Hornblende andesite	Significant amphibole, plagioclase and quartz.
Dor 11	Altered andesite	Abundant chlorite, significant plagioclase and amphibole with lesser calcite and quartz.
Dor 15	Hornblende andesite	Abundant amphibole, lesser plagioclase and chlorite. Minor epidote.
Dor 16	Hornblende andesite	Abundant amphibole and plagioclase, lesser quartz.

4.2 Structure

The structure has been mapped as interbedded volcanic and sedimentary rocks striking about 040° (Figure 238-4, Appendix II). The few bedding measurements made by myself confirm this general strike and indicate a dip of 50-60° to the northeast. I am not confident of the map pattern shown in Figure 238-4 due to the lack of exposure and difficulty in tracing any one layer or unit.

A preliminary study of the fractures indicates the following:

1) cross joint set; strike 070°, dip 90°, right angles to bedding, filled with quartz

2) shear joint set; strike 040°, dip 70° northwest

3) dilation joint set; strike 050°, dip 50° southeast

4) shear joint set; strike 340°, dip 55° east-northeast, subparallel to bedding

5) quartz-filled fractures dipping moderate angles to north and north-northeast

6) a single shear zone striking 110°, dip 74° north-northeast, cuts quartz-filled cross joints (Set 1 above)

7) Baerg and Bradish (1984) reported that massive sulphides occur in east-west trending shear zones, as indicated on Figure 238-4. Other evidence for east-west structures includes the east-west trends of the conductivity and geochemical anomalies and a parallel

major fracture zone in the valley of Doreen Lake and extending at least as far west as the Horsefly River.

Baerg and Bradish reported that their magnetometer survey appeared to be mapping the stratigraphy. However, their magnetometer map (Figure 10 of their report) shows magnetic trends of N60°W, some 20° west of the lithological trends shown on the geology map.

4.3 Mineralization

Baerg and Bradish reported that four types of mineralization occur:

 small isolated pods of semi-masive to massive pyrrhotite-pyrite-chalcopyrite in chloritic altered volcanics,

2) semi-massive to massive pyrrhotite, +/- pyrite, +/- chalcopyrite in east-west shear zones within andesitic volcanics

3) small chalcopyrite-rich zones in chloritic siliceous volcanics, and

4) isolated massive sulphide float in ferricrete.

I would add:

5) fine pyrite-filled fracures in andesite, and

6) disseminated pyrite in andesite.

- K.V. CAMPBELL & ASSOCIATES LTD. -

The location of significant assays are shown in Figure 238-4 (Appendix II). Gold mineralization occurs in the following areas:

1) Massive pyrrhotite and pyrite; to 68,000 ppb Au. Gold values in these rocks are very variable and I do not believe they constitute a prime target for gold mineralization. Drilling by Noranda verified that massive sulphides are the probable cause of the conductivity anomaly. However, these sulphides are not thought to have much to do with the geochemical anomaly to the south.

2) Ferricrete; assays to 0.155 oz Au/ton and 12.55 ppm Au. The ferricrete consists of fragments of sulphides, bleached argillite and altered volcanics cemented with iron oxides. It occurs near and downslope of the massive sulphides exposed in the trenches and is considered to be a surficial deposit related to groundwater flow. Gold is a common consituent of such iron oxides, and in this case is most likely derived from any gold-bearing sulphides in the massive sulphide occurrences. The ferricrete is not considered to be a gold exploration target but it is a useful prospecting phenomena.

3) Rocks mapped as andesite 20 m west of NDL-84-1; assays of 0.132 and 0.186 oz Au/ton. The rocks in this area are silicified, chloritic andesites. These rocks are a worthy exploration target. They lie upslope of the gold geochemical anomaly in soil, but their location does not explain the east-west trend of the soil anomaly.

5 RESULTS 1987 SAMPLING

Figure 7 locates the rock and soil samples collected in September, 1987. Analyses, by ACME Analytical Laboratories Ltd., are given in Appendix III and the analytical procedures are listed in Appendix IV.

5.1 Rock Chip Sampling

Apart from samples of massive sulphide float (Dor 8,12), which carried 520 and 330 ppb Au respectively, the representative samples collected had little gold. The fine grained clastic rocks carried 1 to 14 ppb Au and the andesitic rocks carried 1 to 16 ppb Au. One of the more interesting rocks was a quartz-hornblende veinlet in a northwest trending shear zone in andesite located at 22+50E, 1+50N. It carried 265 ppm Mo, 4397 ppm Cu, 2.3 ppm Ag and 26 ppb Au.

5.2 Soil Sampling

The soil samples were collected from poorly developed B and C horizons above the road cut. The purposes of the sampling were to see which, if any, pathfinder elements could be used in any future geochemical sampling, and to test whether or or not the gold anomaly was expressed by elevated Mo, Cu, Co, Ag, Fe, V and Pb, as the soil anomalies are at the QR deposit (Fox et al, 1986).

The gold values are shown in Figure 7 and clearly illustrate the increased gold in soils near the anomaly mapped by Noranda (1984) and Kerr (1985). The highest value was 6.25 ppm Au (Dor 117).

Plots of various elements against gold demonstrate that there is a good positive correlation between Au and Ag, Fe, Mo and Cu. Of these Cu and Fe show the best correlation. No such correlation can be shown for Pb, V or Co. This would suggest that the geochemistry of the Doreen occurrence is not the same at that at the QR deposit.

6 DOR MINERALIZATION MODEL

The following geological factors that could be part of an mineralization model are:

 presence of interlayered andesitic volcanics and argillites; permeable due to their inherent volcaniclastic and clastic textures and brecciated aspect.

2) presence of quartz diorite plug or stock; the possibility of other bodies being present should be explored, as these could be the source of gold-bearing fluids, as at other occurrences in the region.

3) situation of east-west structure(s) which at this time are considered to be shear zone(s) and to control massive sulphide deposits. They could explain the subparallel gold geochemical anomaly.

Geological factors critical to mineralization at the QR deposit that have not yet been found on the Dor claims are:

1) pervasive propylitic and potassic alteration,

2) calcareous tuffs and sediments, and

pyrite-carbonate alteration whose location
 subsequently determines where gold precipitates.

It remains to be determined if gold mineralization detected in the andesites near the geochemical anomaly is the source of that anomaly. It may very well be that there is an underlying east-west structure that extends westwards as far as the

quartz diorite plug. If that is so, then the Dor mineralization model would include a source of gold (the diorite), a conduit (the east-west structure), and host rock (permeable, brecciated, slightly altered andesitic volcanics and shattered argillites).

In summary, the Dor claims have some geological similarities to the situation at the QR deposit, but as yet, a propylitic alteration halo or zone has not been found. It is possible that such alteration lies in the mostly covered area between the eastern boundary of the claims, in the vicinity of the geochemical anomaly, and the quartz diorite plug north of Doreen Lake, or alternatively, at depth below the geochemical anomalies. Further mapping and prospecting will be necessary to detemine this.

7 RECOMMENDATION FOR FURTHER EXPLORATION

7.1 Recommendations

I recommend that Eureka Resources, Inc. proceed with exploration on the Dor claims and commence a two-stage program.

Stage I would include geological mapping, geophysical surveys and three diamond drill holes. Combined magnetometer and VLF-EM surveys over all of the Dor 1 claim north of Doreen Lake and the south part of the Dor 2 claim would assist in determining the extent of the diorite plug and in locating structures. Some of the work would fill-in the magnetometer survey already done by Noranda. Concurrent to these surveys I recommend three diamond drill holes, to a depth of about 250 m, beneath the geochemical anomalies. The purpose of the drilling is to test for mineralization in the andesites (similar to that found on surface there) and to determine the nature of alteration at depth.

Stage II, contingent upon the results of Stage I, would be the drilling of structures and anomalies interpreted from the geophysical surveys and follow-up drilling of mineralization or alteration found by the initial diamond drilling. Depending upon the sucess of the reverse circulation drilling is Stage I, a combination of diamond and reverse circulation drilling might be recommended. For the time being, 1000 m of diamond drilling and 3000 m of reverse circulation drilling are suggested.

7.2 Estimated Costs

Stage I Geophysical Surveys, Drilling

Magnetometer, VLF-EM surveys; 100 line km

		-
@ \$100/km	Ş	10,000
Grid establishment; 100 line km	\$	3,500
Diamond drilling; 750 m @ \$130/m	\$	97,500
Assays; 1000 assays @ \$15	\$	7,500
Drill site preparation	\$	4,000
Program managment and supervision	\$	5,000
Data compilation and reporting	\$	5,000
Vehicle rental, fuels	\$	5,000
Travel	\$	2,500
Camp costs	\$	5,000
Accomodation (field); 7 men, 30 days		
@ \$50/man day	<u>\$</u>	10,500

Total Stage I \$ 145,000

Stage II Drill Program

Diamond drilling; 1000 m of NQ @ \$130/m	\$ 130,000
Reverse circulation drilling; 3000 m @ \$45/m	\$ 135,000
Program management and supervision	\$ 8,000
Surveys	\$ 3,000
Analyses; 2600 samples @ \$15	\$ 39,000
Data compilation, reporting	\$ 10,000
Vehicle rental, fuels	8,000
Travel	\$ 3,500
Accomodation (field); 10 men, 45 days	
@ \$50/man day	\$ 22,500
Camp costs	\$ 8,000
Total Stage II	\$ 367,000
Total Estimated Cost	\$ 512,000
Contingency (10%)	\$ 51,200
Allow	\$ 575,000

8 BIBLIOGRAPHY

Baerg, R.J., Bradish, L., 1984; Geological, geochemical, geophysical, diamond drilling report on the Doreen Lake property, for Noranda Exploration Co. Ltd., 13 pp.

B.C. Ministry of Mines, G.E.M., 1974; page 239.

Belik, G., 1981; Geochemical report on the Dor claims, for Eureka Resources, Inc.

Belik, G., 1982; Summary report on the Dor claims, for Eureka Resources, Inc., 9 pp.

Belik, G., 1984; Summary report on the Dor claims, for Eureka resources, Inc.

Campbell, R.B., 1978; Geological Map, Quesnel Lake, Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 574.

Crone, J.D., 1985; Letter reviewing geophysical surveys over the Dor claim group, for Eureka Resources, Inc., dated May 27, 1985, 1 p.

Fox, P.E., Cameron, R.S., Hoffman, S.J., 1986; Geology and soil geochemistry of the Quesnel River gold deposit, British Columbia, Proceedings, The Association of Exploration Geochemists and The Cordilleran Section, Geological Association of Canada, Vancouver, May, 1986, p.61-71.

Leishman, D.A., 1984; Summary report on the Dor claims, for Eureka Resources, Inc., 18 pp.

Leishman, D.A., 1985; Summary report on the Dor claims, for Eureka Resources, Inc., 14 pp.

Kerr, J.R., 1983; Geochemical and geological report on the Dor claims, for Eureka Resources,. Inc., 11 pp.

Panteleyev, A., 1987; Quesnel gold belt - alkalic volcanic terrane between Horsefly and Quesnel Lakes, B.C. Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Geological Fieldwork, 1986, Paper 1987-1, p. 125-133.

Saleken, L.W., Simpson, R.G., 1984; Cariboo - Quesnel gold belt: a geological overview, Western Miner, April 1984, p.15-20.

9 ITEMIZED COST STATEMENT

SALARIES AND FEES

K.V. Campbell; 2 days @ \$400/day ... \$ 800.00 40½ hours @ \$50/hour . \$ 2,025.00 J. Monroe; 2 days @ \$85 <u>\$ 170.00</u>

\$ 2,995.00

IN-HOUSE CHARGES

 4x4 rental; 2 days @ \$50 \$ 100.00

 Report binding, assembly \$ 50.00

\$ 150.00

DISBURSEMENTS

Meals, groceries	\$ 30.55
Gas	\$ 35.81
Accomodation (field)	\$ 75.60
Freight	\$ 20.80
Airfare	81.00
Thin section preparation	185.25
X-ray diffraction study	90.00
Air photos	\$ 35.24
Analyses; 32 soils, 20 rocks,	
30 element ICP, Au by AA	\$ 617.00
Drafting	\$ 325.16
Reprographics	84.79
X-Ray diffraction	90.00

\$ 1,581.30

10% over-ride on disbursements \$ 158.13

Total \$ 4,884.43

K.V. CAMPBELL & ASSOCIATES LTD.
10 CERTIFICATE

I, KENNETH VINCENT CAMPBELL, resident of Wells, Province of British Columbia, hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am a Consulting Geologist with an office at the corner of Blair and Dawson Avenues, Wells, B.C.
- 2. I graduated with a degree of Bachelor of Science, Honours Geology, from the University of British Columbia in 1966, a degree of Master of Science, Geology, from the University of Washington in 1969, and a degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Geology, from the University of Washington in 1971.
- 3. I have practiced my profession for 21 years. I am a Fellow of the Geological Association of Canada (F0078).
- 4. I have no direct, indirect, or contingent interest in the shares or business in the property of EUREKA RESOURCES, INC. nor do I intend to have any interest.
- 5. This report, dated November 30, 1987 is based on my field examinations on September 17 and 18, 1987 and examination of available reports, drill hole results and analyses.
- 6. Permission is given by the author to use this report dated January 21, 1988 in any Prospectus or Statement of Facts of EUREKA RESOURCES, INC.

DATED at Wells, Province of British Columbia this 21st day of January, 1988.

Ke campbeoo

K.V. Campbell, Ph.D. Geologist APPENDIX I

Figure 238-3 Leishman, 1985

.

APPENDIX II

Figure 238-4 Leishman, 1985

APPENDIX III

.

Geochemical Analyses

.

· ···

251-

1

1

í

(

1

ŧ

(

t

€

t

٢

GEOCHEMICAL ICH ANALYSIS

.500 GRAM SAMPLE IS DIGESTED WITH JML 3-1-2 HCL-HN03-H20 AT 95 DEG.C FOR ONE HOUR AND IS DILUTED TO 10 ML WITH WATER. THIS LEACH IS PARTIAL FOR MN FE CA P LA CR MG BA TI B W AND LIMITED FOR NA AND K. AU DETECTION LIMIT BY ICP IS 3 PPM. AUS ANALYSIS BY AA FROM 10 GRAM SAMPLE. - SAMPLE TYPE: P1-SOIL P2-ROCK

ASSAYER. A. Leyez. DEAN TOYE. CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYER ad 5/87 DATE REPORT MAILED: DATE RECEIVED: SEPT 23 1987

K.V. CAMPBELL PROJECT-DOR File # 87-4477 Page 1

SAMPLE® CU P8 AS NI 00 MN FE AS U AU TH SR CD SÐ BI v CA Ρ LA CR MG BA TI ₿ AL NA ĸ H. AU1 MO ZN PPN PPH PPH PPH 7 PPN PPH PPM PPN PPH PPM PPM PPH PPN ž ĩ PPH PPN Z PPN z PPN ž 7 PPH PPR PPH PPN PPH PPM 1 DOR-101 22 449 12.53 ND 24 134 .45 .196 7 32 . 61 60 .14 3 4.22 .03 37 447 21 146 .3 25 14 19 5 4 1 4 2 .06 1 43 .76 .80 37 562 7.98 5 ND 3 1 2 3 121 .095 6 29 92 .13 4 4.08 .08 DOR-102 9 274 14 230 .1 21 20 .04 1 14 361 14 152 31 20 575 8.97 11 5 ND 4 48 1 2 2 124 .65 .082 6 28 .76 83 .14 2 4.22 .04 .07 1 26 DOR-103 8 .1 5 39 2 119 .71 .103 11 36 .99 83 .13 DOR-104 17 663 22 187 ۰ò 48 38 798 11.19 82 5 2 1 3 4 4.20 .04 .07 1 1020 151 27 628 10.79 23 5 ND 4 39 2 3 122 .62 .143 9 35 .68 121 .14 3 3.52 .04 .12 1 940 DOR-105 16 355 15 .5 16 1 DCR-106 42 304 193 22 20 667 9.95 27 5 ND 3 47 2 2 143 .65 .138 11 30 . 63 107 .14 2 3.03 .03 .08 1 164 16 1.1 1 .52 .080 908-107 15 523 572 8.79 5 ND 5 41 2 116 11 32 1.03 77 .14 2 4.39 125 20 230 .4 44 34 22 1 3 .04 .06 1 DGR-108 7 305 16 168 .3 41 33 907 9.50 11 5 NÐ 3 65 1 2 2 124 . 59 .086 7 22 .81 86 .11 3 4.27 .04 .08 1 . 50 .57 D08-109 7 222 200 22 9.58 13 5 ND 4 66 3 2 104 .50 .142 8 26 62 .12 4 4.53 .03 .07 1 28 17 .4 33 457 1 DO8-110 6 459 .3 33 27 598 8.09 10 5 ND 5 **95** 1 2 2 100 .67 .061 10 22 1.05 67 .09 2 4.01 .04 .07 1 320 16 166 DOR-111 7 330 25 254 .8 31 26 1177 7.95 28 5 NÐ 4 47 2 2 3 91 .64 .111 9 24 .71 123 .10 5 3.29 .03 . 98 1 112 DOP-112 6 173 25 386 28 27 1166 7.29 14 5 ND 2 53 2 2 101 .61 .107 7 25 .59 116 .11 3 3.23 .03 .07 1 131 1.0 ۸ DOR-113 4 170 22 349 .6 41 22 558 7.13 10 5 NÐ 3 32 1 2 3 106 .58 .079 7 32 .81 72 .14 5 3.70 .04 .07 1 135 38 105 .87 DOR-114 3 152 19 376 22 902 6.81 ND 3 2 2 .64 .108 31 101 .13 3 3.48 .03 .08 1 139 .4 36 17 5 3 6 DOR-115 5 264 16 190 .5 40 24 720 8.00 21 5 ND 3 75 1 2 4 111 .61 .101 8 32 1.17 113 .11 4 3.35 .04 .10 1 137 DOR-116 9 415 18 343 .7 37 38 557 10.71 60 5 NÐ 4 54 2 102 .50 .100 7 25 . 91 70 .13 2 3.37 .03 .08 1 940 1 6 32 43 120 .38 .115 .80 DOR-117 18 505 24 204 4.7 25 22 655 12.76 21 5 3 4 1 2 8 33 117 .16 2 3.29 .03 .10 1 6250 008-118 4 264 17 302 .7 50 30 564 9.51 17 5 ND 4 42 1 2 5 103 .62 .101 7 35 . 81 76 .15 3 3.59 .04 .10 1 161 DOR-119 3 251 13 168 .9 565 6.70 5 NÐ 3 51 2 119 .73 .062 6 34 1.04 62 .15 3 3.97 .04 .07 46 26 7 1 4 1 154 DOR-120 30 601 25 127 9.8 6 12 684 23.73 16 5 3 4 26 1 2 54 93 .31 .183 5 13 .20 94 .10 2 1.50 .02 .06 1 810 DOR-121 2 27 .69 25 .53 112 3 2.69 12 430 24 150 3.0 12 14 976 18.07 28 5 ND 3 44 1 141 .158 4 .15 .03 .12 2 670 3 31 2 5 129 .57 .076 38 . 66 72 .18 2 3.43 .04 .05 2 340 DOR-122 207 .5 32 26 656 10.57 21 5 ND 1 6 3 249 14 28 5 ND 2 37 2 2 107 .70 .072 6 29 .77 117 .16 3 3.38 2 DOR-123 3 160 15 157 .5 32 22 832 6.42 1 .04 .08 66 39 107 .060 .86 DOR-124 -94 14 193 ۰. 35 22 664 5.72 11 5 ND 3 1 2 2 .66 7 28 117 .18 3 3.68 .04 .08 1 31 2 38 .58 DDR-125 88 10 204 35 18 483 4,90 5 ND 3 1 2 2 90 .049 6 28 .76 95 .16 2 3.12 .03 .07 1 50 3 .4 -5 DOR-126 33 2 70 .48 .071 95 2 2.29 1 41 10 181 .5 26 13 433 3.54 - 5 -5 ND - 3 1 2 8 29 . 60 .14 .03 .08 1 DOR-127 2 50 11 213 27 15 416 4.02 5 NÐ 3 40 2 2 75 .54 .074 7 26 . 68 86 .14 2 2.61 .03 .07 .4 8 1 1 1 78 36 .51 .092 DOR-128 2 59 11 197 .3 30 14 405 4.38 10 5 ND 4 1 2 2 9 31 .75 106 .14 3 2.77 .03 .06 1 7 D08-129 2 52 12 293 .5 26 16 773 4.59 13 5 ND 3 37 1 2 2 79 .66 .253 7 29 .64 102 .12 2 3.48 .03 .08 1 1 .57 .73 DOR-130 2 53 12 207 .6 24 14 593 4.66 11 5 NÐ 3 39 1 3 2 91 .123 8 32 93 .14 5 3.20 .03 .07 1 7 DOR-131 2 219 .5 30 423 4.64 5 NÐ 4 32 2 2 89 .50 .050 8 35 .83 76 .15 5 3.01 .03 .07 28 64 14 16 11 1 1 2 37 .83 DOR-132 2 ó4 15 148 .4 28 15 755 4.47 11 5 ND 1 3 2 86 .62 .076 7 30 119 .14 4 2.78 .03 . 06 1 4 37 22 7 37 49 17 17 21 55 .49 .082 37 58 .87 173 .08 13 18 57 38 131 6.9 67 26 1028 3.93 36 1.82 .08 .14 50 STD C/AU-S

.

								к.			AME		PRI		ſ−DC		TLE		17	7-4.			ł		ł		ł		÷		F'-	
SAMPLE	J. PFM	CU PPM	PB PPN	ZN PPM	A ð Pfm	NI PPM	CO PFM	MN PPM	FE X	AS PPM	U PPM	AU PPN	TH PPM	SR PPM	CD PPM	S6 PFM	BI PPM	V PPN	CA Z	P Z	LA PPM	CR PPM	н5 Х	ba PPn	TI Z	B PPM	AL Z	NA Z	K Z	W PPM	AU¥ PPB	
DOR-001	1	26	5	40	.1	9	7	463	2.22	9	5	ND	1	23	1	2	2	48	.83	.034	3	15	.72	46	.13	5	1.05	.04	. 08	1	8	
DOR-002	8	76	16	234	.3	20	10	1035	6.32	11	5	ND	2	111	1	2	2	93	3.48	.107	10	22	. 99	86	.30	3	1.95	.04	.12	1	2	
DDR-003	2	21	2	19	.5	5	3	2539	1.23	4	5	ND	1	933	1	2	3	13	31.30	.025	9	3	.25	25	.05	3	.37	.01	.04	1	4	
DOR-004	2	124	10	95	.5	24	16	967	5.18	8	5	ND	1	340	1	2	2	173	6.88	.087	4	85	2.23	42	.24	2	3.24	.07	.15	1	11	
DOR-005	1	139	7	33	.2	6	13	324	3.07	2	5	ND	2	34	1	2	2	51	1.60	.089	9	4	.50	27	.13	6	1.33	.09	.14	2	1	
DDR-005	2	116	2	23	.1	21	17	250	3.11	2	5	ND	1	29	1	2	2	67	2.34	.092	4	21	.60	36	.24	17	2.02	.07	.09	1	1	
DOR-007	6	476	15	69	2.0	2	6	1241	16.47	6	5	ND	3	8	1	2	2	108	.05	.053	4	- 24	. 88	21	.04	2	2.32	.01	.11	3	74	
DOR-008	5	1166	15	135	4.3	49	48	250	14.38	7	5	NÐ	2	14	2	2	2	72	1.56	.061	6	23	.44	24	.12	14	1.59	.05	.07	1	520	
DOR-009	57	299	6	15	.2	19	13	195	3.96	5	5	ND	2	22	1	2	2	76	1.70	.102	10	25	.38	24	.14	16	1.49	.07	.13	1	4	
DDR-010	265	4397	12	55	2.3	37	84	326	12.81	4	5	ND	6	9	1	2	2	119	.96	.043	89	9	.87	11	.07	2	1.40	.03	.02	1	26	
DOR-011	2	91	5	103	.1	ą	12	1335	4.25	4	5	ND	1	166	1	2	2	107	3.96	. 124	7	10	1.50	22	.14	4	2.86	.09	.08	1	1	
DOR-012	486	6264	35	72	5.8	21	264	124	28.56	5	5	ND	9	21	1	3	2	36	.32	.060	109	2	.23	18	. 04	2	.45	.02	.04	1	330	
DOR-013	11	192	3	26	.4	10	6	497	3.65	3	5	ND	3	15	1	2	2	180	1.04	.081	9	25	1.17	39	.25	2	2.03	.06	.09	1	8	
DOR-014	6	213	34	63	1.4	12	7	231	8.82	2	5	ND	3	20	1	2	2	38	.15	.062	11	16	.13	213	.08	2	.37	.01	.21	2	10	
DOR-015	1	143	4	41	.3	28	17	403	3.62	2	5	ND	1	53	1	2	2	75	2.39	.143	6	23	.99	39	.18	2	1.91	.11	.15	2	4	
DOR-016	1	69	7	53	.3	11	10	358	2.97	6	5	ND	1	22	i	2	2	85	4.76	.076	4	20	.67	16	.23	ò	3.90	.04	.03	2	16	
DOR-017	4	78	8	85	.6	8	7	1112	7.29	20	5	ND	3	91	. 1	2	2	123	1.47-	.074	5	24	1.07	54	.23	3	3.94	.04	.18	1	14	
DOR-018	2	124	6	53	.6	11	9	693	5.03	5	5	ND	2	23	1	2	2	105	4.22	.113	8	33	.ó0	7	.13	3	3.62	.04	.03	1	36	
DOR-019	12	151	14	96	.5	28	12	388	3.07	2	5	ND	1	19	2	2	2	115	2.47	.073	4	21	.87	28	.17	2	2.73	.05	.07	1	1	
DOR-020	1	132	2	58	.2	4	16	547	4.57	2	5	ND	2	89	1	2	2	. 160	2.03	.173	12	1	1.05	23	.22	9	2.36	.05	.13	1	1	
STD C/AU-R	18	57	37	131	7.2	67	26	1019	3.87	37	21	7	38	49	17	18	21	55	.49	.082	37	59	.86	174	.08	32	1.80	.08	.13	12	485	

٠

1

(

r.

(·

1

.

APPENDIX IV

Analytical Procedures

Analytical Procedures

1. Rock samples are crushed, dried and pulverized to minus-100 mesh.

2. A 0.50 gram portion of the sample is digested with 3 mls of 3:1:2 Hcl-HNO₃-H₂O at 95°C for one hour and is diluted to 10 ml with water. This leach is near total for base metals, partial for rock-forming elements and very slight for refractory elements.

3. Inductively coupled argon plasma (ICP) technique was used.

4. Gold geochemical analysis used a 10 gm sample ignited at 600°C, digested with hot aqua regia, extracted by MIBK, analysed by graphitic furnace AA. The detection limit is 1 ppb.

APPENDIX V

Sample Descriptions

PETROGRAPHIC DESCRIPTIONS

Doreen Claims

Dor 1 Quartz Argillite Breccia

Sharply angular black argillite fragments set in fine grained, white quartz matrix. Argillite fragments rimmed by fine radiating quartz crystals. Quartz in matrix shows flow banding.

Dor 2 Argillite

Rusty weathering, black to dark gray, finely laminated siltstone, crosscut by a few vuggy quartz and limonite-filled fractures. Some joint surfaces coated with pyrite.

Dor 3 Quartz - Calcite vein

Light gray, coarsely crystalline calcite vein cutting, fine grained, subequigranular quartz - feldspar wacke (?).

Dor 4 Hornblende Andesite

Medium gray, fine to medium grained hornblende andesite. Medium grained phenocrysts of pale green-brown hornblende set in very fine grained groundmass, which is partly carbonatized. Rock is also partly chloritized.

Dor 5 Pyroxene ~ Hornblende Andesite

Pale greenish gray, fine grained, porphyritic pyroxene hornblende andesite. Feldspar phenocrysts replaced by sericite and saussurite. Common brown-green hornblende and green pyroxene relatively fresh. Aphanitic groundmass nearly isotropic. Few % fine, disseminated pyrite.

Dor 6 Andesite

Rusty weathering, pale greenish gray, phyric andesite crosscut by quartz and Fe-oxide filled fractures. Andesite is bleached alongside fractures. A few fine (.25 mm) stringers of pyrite which both subparallel and crosscut wider quartz-filled fractures and coat joint surfaces. Fine grained groundmass of hornblende, plagioclase, opaques, Fe-oxides.

Dor 7 Ferricrete Breccia

Light gray, oxidized siltstone fragments cemented with red-orange-brown Fe-oxides.

Dor 8 Massive Sulphide (pyrrhotite)

Weakly color banded massive pyrrhotite.

Dor 9 Hornblende Andesite

Yellowish green on weathered surface, greenish gray, subequigranular hornblende andesite. Saussuritized plagioclase with ragged, green-brown, inclusion-filled (magnetite) hornblende prisms. Handspecimen clearly shows silicification with abundant cryptocrystalline, light gray quartz. Pyrite occurs in blebs, disseminated (5%) and in fine fractures parallel to joint surface.

Dor 10 Quartz - Hornblende Vein

Thick gossan-coated, fine grained quartz with coarse hornblende along margin. Fine disseminated pyrite and chalcopyrite (?) in vein. Vein occurs in a brecciated shear zone in andesite.

Dor 11 Altered Andesite

Fine grained, greenish andesite. Chloritized, carbonatized, sericitic, andesite with minor epidote. Irregular blebs to 4 mm of pyrite.

Dor 12 Massive Sulphide (pyrrhotite)

Distribution of quartz inclusions indicates internal laminations in sulphide. Fine grained pyrrhotite crosscut by <1 mm stringer of chalcopyrite. Host is of altered andesite in part silicified. The siliceous parts have a brecciated aspect with sulphide infilling between fragments of andesite and intruding along fractures.

- K.V. CAMPBELL & ASSOCIATES LTD. -

Dor 13 Andesite - Argillite Breccia

Thick rust coated, greenish andesite with dark gray siltstone clasts. Crosscut by open gashes and fractures filled with fine quartz and hornblende. Appears andesite has invaded argillite, with fluids moving along fractures in latter, bleaching argillite outwards from fractures and from contact.

Dor 14 Altered Argillite (?)

Rusty, sulphur stained, brecciated, sericitized, carbonatized, silicified fine grained clastic (?). Fractures filled with Fe-oxides.

Dor 15 Hornblende - Pyroxene Andesite

Fine grained, subequigranular, dark gray, hornblende - pyroxene andesite. Includes stringer of hornblende and quartz.

Dor 16 Hornblende Andesite

Thick, vuggy gossan-coated, greenish gray, fine grained, hornblende andesite cut by quartz stringers. Andesite is bleached alongside stringers.

Dor 17 Siltstone

Black siltstone with rust-filled fractures, sheared and brecciated. Partly silicified with fine disseminated pyrite and fine pyrite stringers crosscutting fine grained white quartz patches.

Dor 18 Altered Andesite Tuff (?)

Rusty, brecciated, light gray, bleached andesitic tuff with Fe-oxide filled fractures. Contains 3-5% fine pyrite in irregular blebs and fine stringers.

Dor 19 Argillite

Gossan-coated, black, finely laminated argillite and siltite. Partly silicified. Crosscut by fine fracture cleavage filled with fine grained quartz. Pyrite-coated joint surfaces.

Dor 20 Diorite

Pale green pyroxene phenocrysts set in dark groundmass that includes some intergranular quartz. Contains a few ragged, inclusion-filled, green hornblende subhedral phenocrysts partly replaced by epidote. Some augite crystals replaced by magnetite and chlorite. APPENDIX VI

X-ray Diffraction Study



K.V. Campbell and Associates #8 - 84 Lonsdale North Vancouver, B.C. V7M 2E6

21 January 1988

Dear Sir:

Six samples were submitted for x-ray diffraction study with particular reference to certain primary and secondary minerals. Each sample was milled, mounted and then x-rayed between 5 and 60° 20. The interpretation of the x-ray diffractogram is presented herein:

Sample DOR5 is seen to contain abundant feldspars (plagioclase), amphibole, lesser pyroxene and some quartz. No other mineral phase is identified in the x-ray trace. Possible minor epidote.

Sample DOR6 contains abundant feldspars (plagioclase) and lesser amphibole. A mica is also identified. Possible minor epidote.

Sample DOR9 contains significant quantities of amphibole, plagioclase and quartz.

Sample DOR11 contains abundant chlorite, significant plagioclase and amphibole and lesser calcite and quartz.

Sample DOR15 contains abundant amphibole and lesser plagioclase and chlorite. Minor epidote identified.

LETTER TO: K.V. Campbell and Assoc./21 January 1988

Sample DOR16 contains abundant amphibole and plagioclase and lesser quartz.

Overall the x-ray traces do not produce particularly strong patterns. This may be as a result of dilution of the sample by amorphous (glass!) phases.

Surprisingly, quartz is present in many samples. This might be caused by silicification or siliceous alteration. Chlorite is identified and is a large component of some samples. Both calcite and pyroxene are detected but are rare. Epidote was not abundant.

I am enclosing the x-ray traces for your records.

Yours truly.

(J. - Zez

J.A. McLeod. Supervisor, E.R.L.

JAM/skw

Encl.





101 : 37		x ^{520 ppb}	GOLD VALUE IN ROCK SAMPLES ; KERR, DAWSO
101 - 57	SOIL SAMPLES (101-132) Sample number : Gold in ppb	4900 \$ 200	GOLD VALUE IN ROCK SAMPLES, KERF, DAWSO
5:4 F	ROCK SAMPLES (1 to 20)	0.300ppb	GOLD VALUE IN ROCK SAMPLES : NORANDA - IS
	Sample number : Gold in ppb	Sanoo pub	ROCK SAMPLES - AMOCO, 1984 (D - SERIES)
	FLOAT	٠	ROCK SAMPLES - EUREKA 1985
-	BEDDING	(SOIL ANOMALY-NORANDA (1984) -(>50 PP8 A
	DEDDING		SOIL ANOMALY - KERR, DAWSON (1984) - (+100
5	JOINT	() () (AXIS OF H.L.E.M. CONDUCTOR
<	QUARTZ STRINGER		GEOLOGIC CONTACT (AFTER NORANDA GEOLO SOIL PROFILE - NORANDA - 1984

