

ARIS SUMMARY SHEET

District Geologist, Victoria

Off Confidential: 89.04.11

ASSESSMENT REPORT 17586

MINING DIVISION: Nanaimo

PROPERTY: Mel  
LOCATION: LAT 49 43 28 LONG 124 30 44  
UTM 10 5508872 391003  
NTS 092F10E  
CLAIM(S): Mel 1, Mel 3-4  
OPERATOR(S): Tiffany Res.  
AUTHOR(S): Wares, R.  
REPORT YEAR: 1988, 19 Pages

GEOLOGICAL

SUMMARY: Several fault linears cutting Upper Triassic Karmutsen Formation basalts have weak bleaching and alteration associated with them. The linears trend northerly and parallel a fault zone that separates carbonates and Karmutsen Formation volcanics on the western edge of the claim group.

WORK

DONE: Geological, Geochemical  
GEOL 25.0 ha  
ROCK 8 sample(s) ;ME  
SILT 7 sample(s) ;ME  
SOIL 34 sample(s) ;ME

LOG NO: 0720	RD.
ACTION:	
FILE NO:	

ASSESSMENT REPORT

GEOLOGICAL & GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY

MEL CLAIMS

NANAIMO MINING DIVISION

NTS 92F/10E

Lat .: 49 42'N  
 Long.: 124 32' W

FILMED

SUB-RECORDER  
 RECEIVED  
 JUL 12 1988  
 M.R. # ..... \$ .....  
 VANCOUVER, B.C.

REPORT FOR Tiffany Resources Ltd.  
 205-700 West Pender Street  
 Vancouver, B.C.

REPORT BY ROY WARES P.Eng.

DATE JULY 7, 1988

VANCOUVER, B.C.

17,586

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH  
 ASSESSMENT REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION  
1:1 Location----- 1  
1:2 Access----- 1  
1:3 Topography ----- 1  
1:4 Claim Status ----- 1  
1:5 Previous Work ----- 4

2 REGIONAL INFORMATION  
2:1 Regional Geology ----- 4  
2:2 Mineral Deposits ----- 4

3 PROPERTY INFORMATION  
3:1 General ----- 5  
3:2 Geology ----- 5  
3:3 Geochemistry ----- 7  
3:4 Distribution of Au, Ag ----- 7  
3:5 Distribution of Cu, As ----- 7  
3:6 Rock Samples ----- 8

4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS ----- 8

APPENDICES

A:1 STATEMENT OF COSTS  
A:2 STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS  
A:3 ASSAY DATA  
A:4 SAMPLE DATA

LIST OF FIGURES

fig 1 Location ----- 2  
fig 2 Claim Map----- 3  
fig 3 Mineral Deposits----- 6  
fig 4 Geology----- BACK POCKET  
fig 5 Sample Locations-----BACK POCKET  
fig 6 Au, Ag values-----BACK POCKET  
fig 7 Cu, As values-----BACK POCKET

# 1 INTRODUCTION

At the request of Tiffany Resources Ltd. examination and sampling of the Mel claim group on Texada Island was carried out.

## 1:1 Location

The Mel group of claims is located on Texada Island, 120 kms NW of Vancouver, B.C. (fig. 1) and 8 kms south of Vananda, the main population centre of Texada Island. The claims are located in the Nanaimo Mining Division. ( NTS 92 F/10E)

## 1:2 Access

Access to the claim group is from Vancouver by road/ferry combination via Powell River, or by air from Vancouver by scheduled air service to Gillies Bay, 4 kms south west of the claim group.

The extreme south west of the claim group is traversed by the Gillies Bay/Vananda highway. Limited access to the claim group is by gravel road near Spragg Lake, or by (old) logging roads on the western margin of the claim group.

## 1:3 Topography

The claim group lies at elevations from 80m to 120 m ASL. Relief is moderate, with gullies along fault linears.

The area has been partly logged. Local dense second growth and understory frequently inhibits mobility.


Around Myrtle and Spragg Lakes, existing settlements preclude active exploration.

## 1:4 Claim Status

The Mel group of claims comprises six, two post claims. (fig 2)


Name	Record #	Date of Recording
Mel # 1	1691	April 11, 1984
Mel # 2	1692	April 11, 1984
Mel # 3	1693	April 11, 1984
Mel # 4	1694	April 11, 1984
Mel # 5	1695	April 11, 1984
Mel # 6	1696	April 11, 1984

The claims are held by W.H. Grayson, Vancouver, B.C.



**LOCATION**

FIG 1



## 1:5 Previous Work

The owner of the claims, recorded "cash in lieu" payments on the claims prior to 1988. No field work was carried out by the owner or by Tiffany Resources prior to the present sampling.

No systematic evidence exists of exploration on the claim group prior to acquisition. Some cut grids from adjoining properties were noted, with minor overlap onto the Mel claims.

Sampling was carried out on April 9, 1988.

## 2 REGIONAL INFORMATION

### 2:1 Regional Geology

The northern part of Texada Island comprises units of the Karmutsen Formation, chiefly of basaltic affinities, overlain by limestones of the Quatsino Formation.

The geology was initially mapped by McConnell (1914), and subsequently updated by exploration on the area.

The Karmutsen Formation, 5000m-6000m thick, is predominantly of basaltic affinity. The lower portions are pillowed or massive, while the upper part comprises volcanoclastics, volcanic breccias and thin calcareous sequences.

The Karmutsen is overlain by the Quatsino Formation, a relatively uniform limestone sequence. The contact with the Karmutsen is conformable; the majority of contacts on Texada are structural.

Shear and fault zones traversing the upper part of the Karmutsen and the Quatsino limestone, are a locus of gold mineralization, both in skarn aspect deposits, or in shear zone, quartz vein systems. Both types have been a focus of exploration on Texada Island in recent years.

### 2:2 Mineral Deposits.

The Mel claims are in an area of active exploration. Exploration efforts have focussed on shear zones 3-4 kms north west of the claims, where gold mineralisation has been located in shear zones (eg Holly). Locally spectacular native gold has been discovered.

Skarn iron copper deposits, are found to the west at the Paxton Mine ( production ceased in 1976) and from small sub economic deposits at the Sentinel, 1 km SW of the claim southern boundary. Auriferous skarns are actively being explored by a major company near Vananda at date of report.

Other deposits include quartz veins, with gold mineralisation in both shear zone and dilatant zones, ( eg Gem, Holly).

Recent discoveries in 1985 of thin massive sulphide skarns in the upper Karmutsen have served to focus expoloration away from the upper limestone/volcanic contact. ( fig 4 ) (Yew)

At date of report, exploration is active on northern Texada Island.

### 3 PROPERTY INFORMATION

#### 3:1 General

Objective of exploration on the Mel claim was to assess and identify shear zones similar to the Gem/Holly deposits, locate the main carbonate/ volcanic contact and identify, if possible, calcareous lenses in the Karmutsen that parallel the massive sulphide skarns such as the Yew deposit.

With few exceptions, the greater part of the property is covered by a moderate to thick veneer of glacial debris. Outcrop is largely restricted to NE facing slopes or to fluvoglacial channels along Myrtle Creek.

#### 3:2 Geology

Field traverses ( fig 4) show that the limestone/volcanic contact is present in the SW part of the claim group. The contact is a structural one. Where limestone is present, it is in areas of existing settlements, precluding effective exploration.

The limestone is a grey, fine grained calcarenite, generally uniform in grain size, with few distinctive lithological contrasts.


Where outcrop was noted, the units of the Karmutsen are a dark green.grey, locally porphyritic basaltic andesite. No flow breccias were observed , nor were limestone lenses recognised. Elsewhere on Texada, these are targets of exploration.

Distinctive lithologies were not noted. Along the Myrtle linear ( fig 4), some bleaching and textural changes were noted. These are generally subtle and not indicative of strong hydrothermal alteration. Minor iron oxide staining was noted on Mel # 3 claim.

MALASPINA STRAIT

**MINERAL DEPOSITS & EXPLORATION**

0 1000m



TEXADA ISLAND

VANANDA

Little Billy

Bolivar

Marble Bay

Copper Queen

M-21

Florence

Yew

Priest Lake

Cornell

Victoria

Gem

Holly

Golden Rod

MEL CLAIMS

Sentinel

Paxton

LEGEND

- magnetite skarn
- auriferous skarn
- gold-quartz
- auriferous pyrite
- ◻ former producer




FIG. 3

### 3:3 Geochemistry

Initial objective was to conduct geochemical traverses over favourable volcanic/ limestone contacts.

Examination showed these were outside the claim group. Examination also showed highly variable overburden cover. North east slopes showed "crag and tail" features, with a high percentage of exotic rock fragments, chiefly Coast granodiorite

The Myrtle Lake and Spragg Lake linears appear to be fluvoglacial channels, with a floor of organic debris, further reducing geochemical effectiveness.

Sampling was carried out in several areas, chiefly on the Mel # 3 claim. Traverse # 1 was directed at locating any dispersion train from fault splays associated with the Myrtle fault zone. Other traverses were directed at determining response, if any, from fault systems or vein systems between the Myrtle and Spragg linears.

A total of 34 soil, 7 silt and 8 rock samples were collected. Sample locations are shown in fig. 5

Soil samples were collected from Bf horizons where located. Soil development is highly variable. Depths of sample were 3"-8". Samples were analysed by Acme Analytical Labs for 32 element ICP, with gold determined by AA. Previous experience in exploration programs on claims in the general area, show that Cu, Pb, Zn and As are useful pathfinders for many of the gold bearing vein systems.

### 3:4 Gold and Silver Values

The distribution of gold and silver values ( fig 6) show disappointing results. The highest value is 44ppb Au, in sample # 3 (soil). All silt samples were background in value.

### 3:5 Copper and Arsenic Values

Several distinctive features are present in the copper- arsenic distribution ( fig 7).

Silt samples have an arsenic range from 77ppm to 1348 ppm, while copper values are low, background values. Silt sample # 101, a seepage sample, runs 1348 ppm As, from an area with oxide stained outcrops and some distinctive iron horizons in soils. Other silt samples from Myrtle Creek have only moderate arsenic values, and copper values from 7- 100 ppm.

The soil values, in contrast, show low arsenic values. Copper values in soils are of low order, ranging to 234 ppm ( # 30), commensurate with background in the volcanic host. The distribution suggests soil sampling has only moderate

effectiveness in the area; the highly variable, even thick overburden reducing anomaly contrast.

Other element values, show no consistent values or suggestion of any distinct expression from the shear zones, which were the objective of examination.

The high arsenic location ( # 101, # 102 ) should be thoroughly prospected and trenched to determine significance of the anomaly.

### 3:6 Rock Geochemistry

Analysis of rock samples from the shear zones and their weakly altered envelope, show no distinctive anomalies or trends. No conclusions can be drawn.

## 4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A short sampling program was carried out on the Mel group of claims, especially the Mel # 3 claim.


Objective of examination was to determine response and potential of quartz vein systems associated with shear zones. These may parallel similar systems, carrying gold mineralization in areas to the north west of the claim group. These are being actively explored.

Sample data, from 34 soils, 7 silts and 8 rock samples show erratic results.

Soil sampling appears, on the basis of present data to have limited effectiveness unless combined with profile and pit samples to determine response.

Data of interest are obtained from two silt samples and one soil sample from the Myrtle fault zone. These show enhanced arsenic values ( to 1348 ppm) and a weak gold value to 44 ppb.

The area should be thoroughly prospected and trenched with a view to determining the significance of the results.




R. Wares P. Eng  
Vancouver  
July 7, 1988

## A:1 STATEMENT OF COSTS

1)	R.Wares, April 9, 1988, 1 day @ 300/day	\$ 300.00
2)	R.Wares, April 11, 1988, 1/2 day report	\$ 150.00
3)	R.Samuelson, April 9, 1988, 1 day sampling	\$ 125.00
4)	truck rental, Texada Island, 1 day @ \$30/day	\$ 30.00
5)	air fare, Vancouver/Texada return, April 9, 1988	\$ 79.00
6)	ground transportation, Vancouver	\$ 20.00
7)	assays, Vancouver, 49 samples, ICP analysis @ \$ 15.45/sample	\$ 585.16
8)	supplies, miscellaneous samples	\$ 22.00
	TOTAL	\$ 1311.85

\$ 1200.00 to be applied for 1 years work to the Mel Group of claims (as per affidavit)



R.Wares P.Eng.  
Vancouver, B.C.

## A:2 STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, Roy Wares, P.Eng, with business address in the city of Vancouver, British Columbia, do hereby certify that -

- 1) The report is based on examination and sampling of the Mel claim group on April 9, 1988
- 2) Field work was carried out and supervised by Roy Wares.
- 3) I am a registered member, in good standing, of the Association of Professional Engineers of British Columbia
- 4) Prospector, Roy Samuelson, assisted in the work. I am familiar with the work of Mr Samuelson, who has over 20 years prospecting experience with major and junior companies.
- 5) The report is based on previous experience of the writer on Texada Island and examination of the analytical data. The writer has been involved in mineral exploration, on an intermittent basis, on Texada Island, from 1969 to present.
- 6) I have no interest, directly, or indirectly, in the property or securities of Tiffany Resources Ltd., nor do I expect to receive any.
- 6) I do not own, directly or indirectly, any claims, or interest in claims, within 20 kms of the Mel claims



R.Wares P.Eng.

---

Vancouver, British Columbia  
7 July 1988

GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS CERTIFICATE

ICP - .500 GRAM SAMPLE IS DIGESTED WITH 3ML 3-1-2 HCL-HNO3-H2O AT 95 DEG. C FOR ONE HOUR AND IS DILUTED TO 10 ML WITH WATER.  
 THIS LEACH IS PARTIAL FOR MN FE CA P LA CR MG BA TI B W AND LIMITED FOR NA K AND AL. AU DETECTION LIMIT BY ICP IS 3 PPM.  
 - SAMPLE TYPE: P1 SILT P2 SOIL P3 ROCK AU: ANALYSIS BY AA FROM 10 GRAM SAMPLE.

DATE RECEIVED: APR 11 1988 DATE REPORT MAILED: April 15/88 ASSAYER: C. Leong D. TOYE OR C. LEONG, CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYERS

TIFFANY RESOURCES PROJECT-MEL File # 88-1050 Page 1

SAMPLE#	MO	CU	PB	ZN	AG	NI	CO	MN	FE	AS	U	AU	TH	SR	CD	SB	BI	V	CA	P	LA	CR	MG	BA	TI	B	AL	NA	K	W	AU#
	PPH	PPH	PPH	PPH	PPH	PPH	PPH	PPH	%	PPH	PPH	PPH	PPH	PPH	PPH	PPH	PPH	PPH	%	%	PPH	PPH	%	PPH	%	PPH	%	%	%	PPH	PPB
BB0101	1	13	9	35	.1	12	5	479	1.72	1348	5	ND	1	23	1	2	2	41	.22	.039	4	15	.26	65	.07	2	1.23	.02	.03	1	1
BB0102	1	29	5	37	.1	11	4	239	1.54	235	5	ND	1	18	1	2	2	43	.38	.013	6	17	.25	21	.06	2	.79	.01	.01	1	1
BB0103	1	38	4	29	.1	13	5	161	1.48	176	5	ND	1	21	1	2	2	39	.42	.022	7	23	.30	37	.08	4	1.06	.01	.03	1	2
BB0104	1	100	13	75	.3	25	13	1698	3.07	117	5	ND	1	49	1	2	2	72	.64	.047	34	34	.52	118	.14	5	3.94	.01	.05	1	1
BB0105	1	19	5	62	.1	21	8	488	2.06	118	5	ND	1	23	1	2	2	54	.50	.030	4	27	.82	24	.09	2	1.20	.02	.01	1	1
BB0106	1	7	13	44	.1	5	4	705	1.44	77	5	ND	1	23	1	2	2	38	.34	.045	4	10	.16	59	.06	2	.68	.01	.02	1	1
BB0107	1	23	9	62	.1	22	8	504	2.20	86	5	ND	1	25	1	2	2	58	.54	.034	5	28	.91	28	.10	4	1.36	.03	.01	1	1
STD C/AU-S	18	62	36	132	7.5	69	30	1091	4.01	42	23	8	38	51	18	20	20	63	.48	.086	40	60	.95	178	.07	37	1.82	.07	.13	11	47

SAMPLE#	MO	CU	PB	ZN	AS	NI	CO	MN	FE	AS	V	AU	TH	SR	CD	SR	BI	V	CA	P	LA	CR	M6	BA	TI	B	AL	NA	K	W	AUI
	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	Z	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	%	%	PPM	PPM	%	PPM	%	PPM	%	%	%	PPM	PPB
880001	1	60	11	44	.1	23	9	455	3.18	5	5	ND	2	32	1	2	2	67	.19	.036	8	24	.42	108	.12	2	2.22	.01	.04	1	1
880002	1	21	10	31	.1	10	5	369	1.91	3	5	ND	1	27	1	2	2	49	.30	.015	5	15	.26	50	.08	2	.92	.02	.02	1	1
880003	1	54	11	68	.1	18	9	2082	2.49	5	5	ND	1	51	1	3	2	50	.73	.071	6	21	.43	130	.09	3	2.06	.03	.06	1	44
880004	1	44	8	76	.4	19	10	832	2.90	2	5	ND	1	37	1	2	2	57	.55	.152	6	27	.51	76	.10	3	2.20	.02	.04	1	1
880005	1	41	10	69	.1	22	9	306	2.96	8	5	ND	1	22	1	3	2	67	.38	.051	3	24	.45	46	.15	3	2.15	.01	.04	1	7
880006	1	36	8	47	.1	16	8	322	2.91	6	5	ND	1	19	1	2	2	65	.24	.026	3	21	.38	54	.13	2	1.92	.05	.01	1	2
880007	1	61	6	31	.1	14	6	458	2.16	2	5	ND	1	24	1	2	2	55	.35	.030	8	18	.33	27	.08	2	1.80	.02	.02	1	1
880008	1	34	9	35	.1	15	6	500	2.27	2	5	ND	1	18	1	2	2	54	.29	.036	3	18	.24	39	.10	2	1.55	.01	.02	1	6
880009	1	91	7	57	.3	37	12	214	3.11	8	5	ND	1	20	1	2	2	66	.22	.021	11	40	.40	91	.15	3	2.95	.03	.05	1	1
880010	1	38	7	43	.1	18	7	235	2.10	6	5	ND	1	18	1	2	2	44	.29	.014	7	27	.39	44	.08	6	1.76	.02	.03	2	1
880011	1	133	6	40	.2	21	8	253	2.51	6	5	ND	1	18	1	2	2	57	.20	.020	5	28	.42	75	.11	2	2.85	.01	.04	1	22
880012	1	50	6	38	.1	15	7	151	2.02	7	5	ND	1	15	1	2	2	45	.20	.018	4	18	.32	47	.10	5	2.07	.02	.03	1	1
880013	1	55	9	50	.1	19	9	314	3.22	4	5	ND	1	26	1	2	2	69	.25	.034	8	27	.54	60	.13	4	2.70	.01	.05	1	4
880014	1	22	7	39	.1	10	5	1001	1.83	6	5	ND	1	22	1	2	2	44	.26	.024	8	16	.25	68	.07	4	1.06	.01	.03	2	18
880015	1	86	11	112	.5	38	15	2012	4.27	4	5	ND	1	58	1	2	3	83	.42	.199	5	48	.73	105	.27	2	2.72	.02	.05	1	1
880016	1	34	9	66	.1	16	8	509	2.31	2	5	ND	1	27	1	2	2	46	.29	.049	8	20	.40	74	.11	3	2.16	.01	.04	1	1
880017	1	13	12	48	.1	10	5	550	1.70	2	5	ND	1	31	1	2	2	37	.40	.048	4	15	.26	68	.06	2	1.24	.01	.03	1	10
880018	1	47	14	71	.1	23	9	1098	2.75	2	5	ND	1	38	1	2	2	55	.41	.043	9	22	.56	99	.12	2	2.68	.01	.04	1	2
880019	1	111	14	60	.1	27	10	708	3.07	3	5	ND	1	52	1	2	2	65	.45	.056	8	27	.52	123	.14	3	2.43	.02	.06	1	1
880020	1	113	16	118	.3	46	16	2368	5.71	13	5	ND	1	41	1	2	2	96	.51	.218	4	64	.81	136	.25	3	4.21	.02	.03	1	1
880021	1	82	10	68	.1	27	11	1323	3.91	2	5	ND	1	16	1	3	2	79	.19	.095	9	32	.43	77	.15	2	4.26	.01	.03	1	1
880022	1	91	13	71	.2	31	9	855	5.55	2	5	ND	2	13	1	3	2	108	.22	.112	5	62	.54	52	.26	2	3.62	.05	.03	1	6
880023	1	74	15	88	.1	35	12	1442	3.34	5	5	ND	2	31	1	2	3	67	.39	.140	7	34	.50	181	.21	3	3.12	.01	.06	1	2
880024	1	26	8	43	.1	14	6	622	2.50	4	5	ND	1	50	1	2	3	52	.51	.033	5	17	.30	100	.09	2	2.12	.01	.02	1	1
880025	1	20	8	36	.1	11	5	294	2.02	2	5	ND	1	33	1	2	2	49	.33	.022	4	14	.21	62	.07	3	1.41	.01	.02	2	1
880026	1	20	9	42	.1	12	6	642	1.78	2	5	ND	1	22	1	2	2	39	.24	.026	5	16	.33	62	.06	5	1.52	.03	.02	1	1
880027	1	20	10	64	.1	9	6	1412	2.10	4	5	ND	1	35	1	2	2	42	.27	.091	7	15	.25	134	.06	2	1.63	.01	.04	1	1
880028	1	19	6	52	.1	12	6	395	2.11	3	5	ND	1	23	1	2	2	48	.29	.054	4	18	.28	53	.09	3	1.49	.02	.04	1	3
880029	1	130	8	86	.3	48	18	1027	4.58	3	5	ND	1	29	1	2	2	89	.45	.048	5	41	.79	78	.22	4	2.86	.01	.03	1	1
880030	1	256	12	210	.4	82	33	4357	6.18	7	5	ND	1	65	1	2	2	108	.76	.215	3	72	1.88	114	.25	5	5.23	.04	.04	1	1
880031	1	80	9	109	.4	36	15	2283	3.96	7	5	ND	1	58	1	2	2	75	.46	.217	5	44	.66	118	.24	2	2.66	.01	.07	1	1
880032	1	71	25	108	.2	68	25	3986	5.71	2	5	ND	1	35	1	2	2	135	.69	.068	2	86	2.74	124	.45	2	3.18	.02	.06	1	2
880033	1	21	10	49	.1	13	6	463	2.31	2	5	ND	1	28	1	2	2	48	.33	.032	3	15	.26	71	.10	3	1.51	.01	.03	1	2
880034	1	44	8	54	.2	15	7	1480	2.45	3	5	ND	1	51	1	2	2	52	.57	.077	4	18	.40	126	.07	3	1.76	.01	.06	1	1
STD C/AU-5	19	62	40	132	8.1	70	30	1057	4.26	39	22	7	40	53	20	20	19	59	.49	.089	41	61	.89	180	.07	34	1.92	.07	.14	12	48

SAMPLE#	MO PPM	CU PPM	PB PPM	ZN PPM	AG PPM	NI PPM	CO PPM	MN PPM	FE %	AS PPM	U PPM	AU PPM	TH PPM	SR PPM	CD PPM	SB PPM	BI PPM	V PPM	CA %	P %	LA PPM	CR PPM	MG %	BA PPM	TI %	B PPM	AL %	NA %	K %	W PPM	AU# PPB
1830C	1	125	7	111	.3	139	34	1509	7.78	23	5	ND	1	12	1	2	2	182	.92	.055	2	203	5.75	51	.34	4	5.28	.01	.06	1	1
1831C	1	360	4	50	.6	121	25	683	5.82	11	5	ND	1	30	1	2	2	162	1.49	.047	3	130	1.97	11	.54	8	1.87	.04	.04	2	7
1832C	1	157	4	37	.2	80	18	540	4.39	7	5	ND	1	22	1	2	2	112	.78	.040	2	105	2.09	15	.38	6	2.02	.04	.03	3	1
1833C	1	276	7	76	.3	121	31	884	6.52	16	5	ND	1	16	1	2	2	163	.96	.050	2	126	4.54	12	.62	6	3.88	.01	.03	1	4
1834C	1	231	3	34	.2	85	19	500	5.41	9	5	ND	1	34	1	2	2	146	1.34	.048	2	114	1.32	10	.45	2	1.47	.07	.03	1	7
1835C	1	185	6	79	.3	135	31	977	6.28	12	5	ND	1	28	1	2	2	157	1.29	.053	2	135	3.83	39	.50	8	3.66	.01	.29	2	6
1836C	1	134	8	101	.5	137	32	1241	7.29	11	5	ND	1	13	1	2	2	214	1.13	.055	2	152	5.74	24	.67	3	5.03	.01	.11	2	1
1837C	1	223	7	94	.5	144	36	1035	7.36	13	5	ND	1	12	1	2	2	191	.78	.054	2	155	5.30	39	.45	2	4.53	.01	.49	1	3
STD C/AU-R	17	58	37	132	7.2	67	28	1048	3.87	40	23	6	36	48	17	21	20	59	.49	.081	37	56	.91	173	.07	35	1.87	.05	.14	11	480


17,586

Myrtle Lake

Spragg Lake




- soil sample
- silt sample
- $\frac{0.2}{44}$  Ag
- $\frac{0.1}{44}$  Au (ppb)




TIFFANY RESOURCES LTD.	
MEL CLAIMS	
Au and Ag values	
FIG. 6	July 1988

TO ACCOMPANY REPORT DATED 7 July 1988  
BY R. WARES, P. ENG.






17,586




- 3 Quatsino limestone
- 2 Karmutsen basalt
- fault zone



TIFFANY RESOURCES LTD.	
MEL CLAIMS	
GEOLOGY	
FIG. 4	April 1988

TO ACCOMPANY REPORT DATED 7 July/88  
BY R. WARES, P. ENG.




GEOLOGICAL BRANCH  
ASSESSMENT REPORT

# 17,586

chain & compass location on Mel #1,3 only

- soil sample
- silt sample
- ▲ rock sample



TIFFANY RESOURCES LTD.	
MEL CLAIMS	
Sample Locations	
FIG. 5	April 1988

TO ACCOMPANY REPORT DATED 7 July 1988  
BY R. WARES, P. ENG.