

**GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY
OF
THE MOON 1-4 CLAIMS (80 UNITS)**

**MINING DIVISION: ATLIN
MAPS (NTS) : 104J/4E
LATITUDE : 58° 12'
LONGITUDE : 131° 36'**

for

**UNITED CAMBRIDGE MINES LTD.
#340 - 1414 - 8th Street, S.W.
Calgary, Alberta, T2R 1J6
Phone: (403) 244-4343**

by

**INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.
#570 - 789 West Pender Street
Vancouver, B.C.
Phone: (604) 688-4155**

**Author: W. Thompson
Geological Consultant**

December 1988

FILMED

ARIS SUMMARY SHEET

District Geologist, Smithers

Off Confidential: 89.06.08

ASSESSMENT REPORT 18158

MINING DIVISION: Atlin

PROPERTY: Moon
LOCATION: LAT 58 12 00 LONG 131 36 00
UTM 09 6453714 347191
NTS 104J04E

CLAIM(S): Moon 1
OPERATOR(S): United Cambridge Mines
AUTHOR(S): Thompson, W.H.
REPORT YEAR: 1988, 87 Pages

COMMODITIES

SEARCHED FOR: Gold, Copper

GEOLOGICAL

SUMMARY: Veins and lenses of specularite, magnetite, pyrite and chalcopyrite containing gold, occur near the contact of monzonite intrusions and tuffaceous and volcanic rock of Upper Triassic Stuhini Group. Gold also occurs along fault zones. Elevated levels of cobalt, arsenic, lead, zinc and mercury in addition to copper have been found.

WORK
DONE: Geochemical
EMGR 16.0 km;VLF
Map(s) - 2; Scale(s) - 1:5000,1:10 000
LINE 17.5 km
SOIL 627 sample(s) ;AU,AG,CU,HG
Map(s) - 2; Scale(s) - 1:5000
MINFILE: 104J 015

SUB-RECORDER
RECEIVED
DEC 15 1988
M.R. # \$
VANCOUVER, B.C.

LOG NO: 1222	RD.
FILE NO:	

GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY
OF
THE MOON 1-4 CLAIMS (80 UNITS)

MINING DIVISION: ATLIN
MAPS (NTS) : 104J/4E
LATITUDE : 58° 12'
LONGITUDE : 131° 36'

for

UNITED CAMBRIDGE MINES LTD.
#340 - 1414 - 8th Street, S.W.
Calgary, Alberta, T2R 1J6
Phone: (403) 244-4343

by

FILMED

INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.
#570 - 789 West Pender Street
Vancouver, B.C.
Phone: (604) 688-4155

Author: W. Thompson
Geological Consultant

December 1988

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT

18,158

GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY OF
THE MOON 1-4 CLAIMS (80 UNITS)


TABLE OF CONTENTS


Fig:1	Location Map, Moon Prospect Atlin Mining Division	Page 1
Fig:2	Moon Claims	Page 2
Fig:3	Moon Property Location Area	Page 3
1.1.	Location	Page 4
1.2	Access	Page 4
1.3	Physiography	Page 4
1.4	Vegetation	Page 4
1.5	Climate	Page 5
1.6	Property Definition	Page 5
2.0	Summary	Page 6
2.1	The 1987/88 Geochemical/Geophysical Programme	Page 6
2.2	Summary of the Results of the 1987/1988 Programme	Page 6
3.0	History	Page 7
4.0	Geology - General	Page 8
4.1	Geology of the Moon Claims	Page 8
4.2	Hoey Prospect	Page 9
5.0	The 1987/88 Geochemical Survey Program ...	Page 10
5.1	Phase I Geochemical Reconnaissance	Page 10
5.2	The 1988 Geochemical Survey Program	Page 10
Fig:5	Moon Claims - Gold Geochem. Soil Survey ...	Page 11
Fig:6	Moon Claims - Gold Geochem. Soil Survey ...	Page 11
Fig:7	Moon Claims - Gold Geochem. Soil Survey ...	Page 11

**GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY OF
THE MOON 1-4 CLAIMS (80 UNITS)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Fig:8	- Moon Claims - Gold Geochem. Soil Survey ...	Page 14
5.3	Procedure	Page 15
6.0	A Heritage Overview and Detailed Heritage Resource Impact Assessment	Page 15
Fig:15	Surveyed Portions of the Moon Property	Page 16
Fig:16	Heritage Resource Potential of the Moon Property	Page 17
7.0	Results - Geochemical Surveys (Figs: 9 and 10)	Page 18
8.0	Results - VLF Geophysical Surveys (Figs: 4 and 11)	Page 19
9.0	Conclusions: Geochemical Survey and Ground VLF Survey	Page 19
10.0	Recommendations	Page 20
	Proposed Diamond Drill Holes - Section 20N	Page 21
	Proposed Diamond Drill Holes - Section 32N	Page 22
	Proposed Diamond Drill Holes - Section 22N	Page 23
11.0	Expected Cost - Phase I Drilling, Moon Claims, Hoey Prospect	Page 24
12.0	Phase II Drilling	Page 24
	Appendix 1: Statement of Work Cash Payment	
	Appendix 2: Notice of Work	
	Appendix 3: Geochemical Lab Reports	
	Appendix 4: Correspondence	
	Appendix 5: Cost Statements	
	Appendix 6: References	
	Appendix 7: Certification	





MOON CLAIMS AREA

UNITED CAMBRIDGE MINES LTD.

MOON PROPERTY LOCATION AREA

MAP: NTS.104NE


SCALE: 1:10,000 Meters

FIG. 3

Dec. 1988.

7911

132° 50' 40' 30' 20' 10' 131° 50' 40' 30'



Moon Property Location Area

FIG. 2

1.0

INTRODUCTION

1.1 LOCATION

The property is located about 95 km west-south-west of Dease Lake on and around Hatchau Lake. At an elevation of between 2000 feet (628 m) and 3900 feet (1266m)

1.2 ACCESS

Access is either by fixed wing or helicopter from Dease Lake or Atlin. A winter trail passes on the north end of the property and south of the property a new road has been constructed by North American metals from Telegraph Creek to the Muddy Lake deposit some 45 km by air from Hatchau Lake.

1.3 PHYSIOGRAPHY

The property lies in Central plateau area of the Interior system on the boundary of the Nahlin plateau and the Tahltan Highland. The Hackett river drains Hatchau Lake into the Sheslay river to the west. Level mountain to the north (30 km) is a Miocene to Pleistocene shield volcanic centre.

Glaciation during the Pleistocene was intense and the ice sheet reached an elevation of 6500 feet to 7000 feet glaciers still occupy the peaks south of Mount Edziza. The main valleys of the large rivers such as the Tahltan and Stikine served as discharge avenues for glacial ice and were straightened considerably by the passage of ice.

The last ice movement in the area was generally to the north.

1.4 VEGETATION

The Hackett river valley has been the scene of several forest fires. The last being about 40 years ago as determined by counting growth rings on aspen trees. With the exception of a few small groves of spruce, pine and cottonwood, the area on which the claims lie, are covered by immature aspen 4" to 8" in diameter. At the higher elevations, swamp spruce, balsam and some pine were not destroyed by fires but these forests are mainly thin and are made up of small stunted trees.

The Hackett River valley west of Hatchau Lake consists of a sequence of beaver dams. Hatchau Lake itself has been raised at least 3 meters. The Beaver dams promote the growth of willow and alder, both of which are thriving. The open hillsides on the north banks of the Hackett river are covered by local grasses, blueberry bushes and Kinikinik which the local black and brown bear population seem to enjoy.

1.5 CLIMATE

The Hatchau Lake area has warm summers and cold winters with moderate to low precipitation compared to the coastal areas. The summarized details are below.

Warmest Month - July	average daytime temp + 23 C
	average night lows + 9 C
Coldest Month - January	average daytime temp - 14 C
	average night lows - 22 C
Warmest temperature ever recorded	+ 36 C
Coldest temperature ever recorded	- 42 C
Average annual rainfall	227 mm
Average annual snowfall	138.0 cm
Total annual precipitation	377.0 mm

1.6 PROPERTY DEFINITION

The property consists of the Moon 1 to 4 (incl.) claim which are contiguous. The claims lie in the AtLin mining division and have had work applied to them to keep them in good standing until June 20, 1990, (this report being the final requisite for the assessment).

<u>CLAIM NAME</u>	<u>RECORD NO.</u>	<u>NO. UNITS</u>	<u>EXPIRY DATE</u>
Moon - 1	2323	20	June 20 1990
Moon - 2	2324	20	June 20 1990
Moon - 3	2325	20	June 20 1990
Moon - 4	2326	<u>20</u>	June 20 1990
TOTAL UNITS		80	

MAP SHEETS NTS: 104J/4E

The claims are registered in the name of Mr. T. Lisle of 145 Rockland Road, Vancouver, B.C.

Telephone: 987-0821
FMC No. 299340

The Moon claims are held in trust for United Cambridge Mines Ltd. by Mr. T. Lisle, under the terms of a prospectors agreement between the Company and R.H. Seraphim Engineering Ltd. and related individuals.

2.0 SUMMARY

United Cambridge Mines Ltd. has done work in the Sheslay/Hatchau Lake area since 1976 and in 1984 the four moon claims (Moon 1-4, 80 contiguous units, were acquired to cover the Hoey prospect and surrounding area.

Intermittent sampling, mapping and prospecting has been done in the area since 1937 and a prospector named Frank Hoey, in 1963 recorded sample values from the Hoey prospect that assayed over 1.0 oz/ton Au. In 1984 prospecting and trenching confirmed the presence of gold associated with hematite and carbonate veins in a large gossan. Samples taken on other parts of the property indicate that the gold mineralization is not restricted to the Hoey prospect.

Diamond drilling in nearby copper creek to the West revealed 4.5 feet of core that assayed 0.13 oz/ton Au. A mineralized fault 600 m NE, and 650 m NW of the Hoey prospect assayed 0.17 oz/ton Au and 2620 ppb (0.076 oz/ton) gold respectively. Select samples from bulldozer trenches that excavated a large induced polarization chargeability anomaly about 1.6 km NE of the Hoey prospect, assayed up to 3810 ppb (0.11 oz/ton Au). The aim of this program was to better define diamond drill targets using detailed geophysical and geochemical surveys. It is the opinion of the Author that this was successfully accomplished.

2.1 THE 1987/88 GEOCHEMICAL GEOPHYSICAL PROGRAMMES

The 1987/1988 programme was designed to follow-up the 1987 airborne survey and examine in detail the Hoey prospect. The initial part of the program was to do reconnaissance geochemistry over prominent airborne conductive zones. The second phase was to do a large detailed soil geochemical and VLF Survey covering the Hoey Prospect and surrounding area.

2.2 SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS OF THE 1987/88 PROGRAMME

Both Phase I and Phase II of the programme were successful in indicating the locations of anomalous zones that host Copper and gold mineralization.

Phase I consisted of four small grids over airborne VLF anomalies - all produced weak Cu-Au anomalies.

Phase II consisted of a detailed grid over the Hoey prospect and surrounding area. This data outlined three highly anomalous zones that generally trend to the Northwest. VLF and geochemical data generally correspond in intensity and magnitude providing very good diamond drill targets. These are detailed in sections 5.0, 7.0 and 8.10. Figs: 9, 10, 12, 13, and 14.

3.0 HISTORY

The area was first examined in 1937 when copper was discovered in the gossan of Copper Creek. Exploration programs during the period from 1969 to 1980 included several geochemical, geophysical and geological surveys mainly targeting for porphyry type copper deposits.

Three properties in the area were drill tested. These are tabulated below and do not include the Hoey prospect.

Copper Creek	1955	4 holes aggregating	149 m
Copper Creek	1970	6 holes aggregating	1050 m
Polar Creek	1972	7 holes aggregating	825 m
Kid-Grizzley	1974	3 holes aggregating	<u>580 m</u>
			2604 m
			(8544 ft).

The Hoey prospect was originally staked by Frank Hoey in 1963 who performed some hand trenching and sampling.

In 1969 Skyline Explorations Ltd. covered the Hoey prospect as part of the Pat Group. Skyline Explorations Ltd. performed widespread geochemical surveys (Assessment Report 2554).

In 1977, Utah Mines Limited staked the Hoey showing and also conducted a program of line cutting, soil geochemistry, I.P. surveys and dozer trenching. This work was mostly to the NW of the Hoey deposit.

In 1984, T.E. Lisle examined the property and in 1985 E. Scholtes prospected and carried out a limited geochemical survey that was filed for assessment the same year.

In 1986, additional geochemical surveys were conducted by T.E. Lisle.

In addition, geochemical data was compiled on the property again by T.E. Lisle.

In 1987, an airborne magnetometer and VLF survey was conducted by Columbia Airborne Geophysical Services (1984) Ltd.

4.0 GEOLOGY - FROM THE LISLE ASSESSMENT REPORT JUNE 18, 1986

GENERAL GEOLOGY

C.I.M.M. Special Volume 15, "Phorphyry Deposits of the Canadian Cordillera" shows the Sheslay area to be within or near a northerly trending belt of alkalic plutonic rocks. The plutonic rocks are believed to be related to regional faults and are comagmatic with thick complex sequences of subaerial and submarine volcanic rocks of the Upper Triassic Stuhini Group.

The Stuhini Group is part of a larger geological complex that includes the Takla and Nicola Groups and forms a prominent belt almost the full length of British Columbia. This belt is host to a significant number of British Columbia's Porphyry Copper deposits, commonly referred to as "Alkaline Suite Deposits". These deposits are marked by distinct mineralogical and alteration assemblages in areas of strong faulting, fracturing and brecciation; and contains significantly more gold and silver and less molybdenum than deposits of the Calc-Alkalic Suite.

Extensive exploration work carried out in the southern sections of the belt, particularly in the Quesnel Trough and its extensions, has revealed one well defined deposit and a large number of prospect where gold is the principal commodity. Drill indicated reserves at the QR deposit near Quesnel are reported close to one million tons grading about 0.20 oz/ton. The gold occurs in basaltic breccia below a sedimentary contact and is associated with pyrite and epidote above a strongly carbonatized zone flanking a zoned alkalic stock (Saleken, L. and Simpson, R.). This environment is the focus of much of the current exploration work underway.

4.1 GEOLOGY OF THE MOON CLAIMS

The geology of the Moon claims has been mapped by previous operators, however this data is not on public record. The author's knowledge of the area indicates the following:

The Stuhinin Group rocks include an upper maroon (subaerial) fragmental unit underlain by porphyritic to amygdaloidal basaltic flows that are locally pyritized. The lower valley slopes are underlain by andesitic to basaltic flows, and by a variety of sedimentary rocks ranging from cherty tuff, argillite, siltstone, sandstone to limy sediments.

The volcanic-sedimentary assemblage is intruded by a large gabbroic-diorite stock, and by a number of smaller dyke or sill-like masses that range from diorite to syenite in composition. The claim area is dissected by a number of northwest, northeast and northerly trending lineaments that are known in places to reflect faults. A number of the known mineral occurrences in the area are close to these structures.

Three areas of the claims were examined by limited geochemical survey work during 1986. Brief descriptions of these areas follow:

4.2 HOEY PROSPECT

The Hoey prospect is situated on the west-facing slope of a small steeply incised creek draining south to Hatchau Lake. The showings are about 120 meters (400 feet) above the lake and some 750 meters (2450 feet) above sea-level.

The showings consist of a number of veins and lenses of specularite with magnetite, chalcopyrite and pyrite, and are clustered on the steep valley slope. The area is also marked by a number of calcite veins variably mineralized with chalcopyrite and minor pyrite. Erythrite (cobalt bloom) has also been noted in the showing area.

The mineralization occurs in an area of fine grained intrusive rocks ranging from diorite to monzonite in composition. Dark green andesite and cherty tuffaceous rocks are present and calcareous argillaceous rocks are reported at the lower elevations to the south. The best sample collected by the author in 1984 yielded 0.62 opt over 0.35 meters.

Approximately 600 meters to the north-northeast, a 1985 reconnaissance sampled yielded 6600 ppb gold. This sample was from a northerly trending fault zone poorly exposed along a road trench near the flank of Big Creek. The fault is believed to roughly parallel the trench and its relationship to the main Hoey prospect is uncertain.

5.0 THE 1987/88 GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY PROGRAM

The 1987/1988 geochemcial surveys consisted of a two phase programme. The first phase being reconnaissance geochemistry over airborne VLF anomalies. The second phase consisted of a detailed grid over the Hoey Prospect and surrounding areas.


5.1 PHASE I GEOCHEMICAL RECONNAISSANCE SURVEYS (OCT 7 - 16, 1987)

The first phase consisted of a crew of two people going in and running two or three compass lines across airborne anomalies to check possible soil response to the airborne conductive zones (See Figs: 4,5,6,7,8). A total of 134 soil and rock samples were taken from four mini grids and some hand trenching.

The soil samples were geochemically analysed for Au and Ag and the 8 rock samples were assayed for Au and Ag. The total cost of this program was \$6715.00, and was supervised by Mr. de la Mothe and an assistant who was subcontracted to perform the sampling program.

5.2 THE 1988 GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY PROGRAM


The 1988 program consisted of a large detailed geochemical and VLF survey of the Hoey Prospect and surrounding area. Previous recent surveys were limited to the main showing largely to fulfill assessment requirements rather than examine the total picture. This program was designed to evaluate the immediate area around the Hoey Prospect as well as the Hoey Prospect itself. Fortunately the program also outlined two anomalous zones, in addition to the Hoey Prospect, with almost as much potential as the Hoey Prospect.



0 100 200 300 400 METERS

GOLD GEOCHEM. SOIL SURVEY


UNITED CAMBRIDGE MINES LTD	
MOON CLAIMS	NTS:104 J4E
LINE 1100.	FIG. 5





M-C SAMPLES
ANOMALY 'C' (VLF AIRBORNE)

HACHAU LAKE



○ 10-20 ppb Au

◐ 20-30ppb Au

● >30 ppb Au

⋯ Outline of VLF
airborne anomaly


GOLD GEOCHEM. SOIL
SURVEY

UNITED CAMBRIDGE MINES
LTD

MOON CLAIMS NTS:104J4E

VLF ANOMALY - C FIG: 7

0 100 200 300 400 METERS



5.3 PROCEDURE

A baseline was cut 1500m long at an azimuth of 325 . Crosslines were blazed and flagged at an azimuth of 55 every 100m crossing the baseline. Soil samples were taken on the cross-lines at 25 meter intrvals. Stream sediment samples were taken in addition to the soil samples where the line crossed streams. A VLF survey was also conducted over the soil lines.

Total B/Line Cut	1500 m
Total Crosslines	<u>16025 m</u>
Total lines cut	17525 m

TOTAL SOIL SAMPLES TAKEN 648

All the soil samples were analysed for: Au, Cu, Ag, Hg. Maps with the respective data on Au and Cu are appended. (Figs: 9 and 10) Mercury and silver was not plotted. Examinatin of the data indicates mercury although weaker corresponds with the gold and copper, but silver is almost non existant.

In the 1987 programme the samples were geochemically analysed and assayed by Min-En Laboratories Ltd., using industry standardized techniques. The 1988 samples were geochemiocally analysed by Kamloops Research and Assay Laboratory Ltd., using standard industry techniques.

6.0 A HERITAGE OVERVIEW AND DETAILED HERITAGE RESOURCE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

At the request of B.C. Tourism, Recreation and Culture, part of the 1988 program included a Heritage Resource Impact assessment of the Hackett River area. This was done by Mr. L. Ham, a professional archaeologist. This report is summarized here and figures:15 & 16 illustrate the areas of potential conflict between mining and archaeological heritage sites.

MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

Interex Development Corp. has been contracted to do mineral explorations on the Moon property held by United Cambridge Mines Limited of Calgary. Due to possible conflicts with the Telegraph Trail and recorded archaeological sites, the Resource Management Branch requested that a heritage resource impact assessment be conducted prior to exploration activities.



- ▲ IbTv 1, 2; recorded archaeological sites
- ◆ a - contemporary camp west end Hatchau Lake
- ◆ b - 1988 Interex camp
- ◆ c - telegraph wire
- ◆ d - Big Creek contemporary camp
- ◆ e - survey/exploration camp west end Kennicott Lake

Figure 15 Surveyed Portions of the Moon Property




Figure 16 Heritage Resource Potential of the Moon Property

A heritage resource potential map was prepared and a detailed heritage resource impact assessment conducted of the Moon Property between 24 and 25 May 1988. This study included a brief helicopter overview and foot survey with shovel and trowel testing.

A total of 5.5 km of terrain of high and medium potential for archaeological sites were examined during this study. It was not possible to examine some areas of high potential at the western end of Hatchau Lake due to flooding from beaver dams. No new archaeological sites were recorded during this study, although three contemporary use sites were noted along the historical Telegraph Trail. Recorded heritage sites on the Salmon Creek Indian Reserve (No. 3) were briefly examined and found to be in basically the same condition as when they were recorded in 1980. The Telegraph Trail is the most significant heritage resource observed in the study area.

Exploration activities conducted on the Moon in 1988, and those planned for the future are to the north of the Telegraph Trail and will not impact any known heritage resources, or areas of high and medium potential for heritage resources. Consequently there are no recommendations for further heritage studies of the Moon Property.

(A heritage overview and detailed resource impact assessment of the Moon Property, Hackett River Northwestern British Columbia by Leonard C. Ham Aug 1988)

It should be noted that both the conductive zones and the geochemically anomalous areas extend onto the Indian reserve and into areas of high potential for archeological sites. The initial phases of drill testing will not affect the archeological areas of medium or high potential, but it is expected that a second phase of drilling will eventually encroach on these archaeological sites.

7.0 RESULTS - GEOCHEMICAL SURVEYS (FIGS: 9 AND 10)

The results of the 1987/1988 geochemical surveys indicate that as previously noted by T.E. Lisle and Associates copper-gold mineralization is widespread in the area has been found concentrated in several areas.

The mineralization on the Hoey Prospect grid Figs. 9&10 generally trends to the northwest and corresponds to VLF anomalous zones.

The copper-gold mineralization is anomalously concentrated in three zones on the grid. The first zone has been known as the Hoey Prospect which lies on the south-east portion of the grid. This zone extends for at least seven hundred meters in length and could be as wide as three hundred meters. The zone is still open to the south and to the southeast where it seems to join a second major geochemically anomalous zone. The second zone is located about two hundred meters to the southeast of the Hoey Prospect. This second zone-"zone-2" is at least five hundred meters long and over one hundred meters wide. "Zone-2" is also open both to the northwest and to the southeast.

Zone-3. This third weaker geochemical anomaly lies in the northwest portion of the grid-line 32+00N between 20+00E and 22+00E. The anomaly has a strong copper component linked with weak gold and a pronounced geophysical anomaly.

Several smaller geochemically anomalous zones also exist but these have much lower potential at this stage in exploration.

8.0 RESULTS - VLF GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY (FIGS: 4 AND 11)

The ground geophysical survey was aimed to locate expression of the previously flown airborne survey (Fig.4). This strong airborne VLF anomaly generally trends northwest and did not show any correlation between it and the Hoey prospect.

The ground VLF survey confirmed an anomalous zone parallel, and to the southwest of the Hoey prospect. This conductor likely is caused by sulphides whereas the Hoey prospect is visible by its gossanous nature where the majority of the sulphides seem to have been oxidized.

The VLF anomalies northwest of Hoey prospect that have both copper and gold anomalous values likely are located in areas where the mineralization has not been oxidized to the extent that the Hoey Prospect has been oxidized.

9.0 CONCLUSIONS: - GEOCHEMICAL SURVEY AND GROUND VLF SURVEY

The geochemical survey indicates that copper gold mineralization is widespread and concentrated in at least three pronounced areas on the grid.

The geophysical (VLF) survey confirmed the presence of strongly conductive zones that seem to be related to the geochemical anomalies.

The most pronounced mineralization is in a gossan of the Hoey Prospect. Samples have been taken that were reported to have values of over 1.0 oz/ton Au. Recent sampling produced soil values of over 0.128 oz/ton Au. The highest value obtained for copper was 1490ppm Au or 0.14% Cu in soil.

Both the geochemical and geophysical data indicate that additional work is warranted on the property.

Two main types of targets are envisaged in the moon property.

A) PORPHYRY COPPER-GOLD


The Hoey prospect initially appeared to be a porphyry copper-gold type of deposit where a quartz dioritic rock intrudes a sequence of sediments and volcanics.

B) EPITHERMAL COPPER-GOLD DEPOSIT

A closer examination of the Hoey Prospect indicates a potential epithermal type of deposit as the carbonate hematitic and quartz veining may have been injected into a larger fault system. Only a few kilometers to the west. North of the Hackett river- Shelsay river junction on the Shelsay River is an active hot spring which could be the same type of mechanism that deposited the minerals in the Hoey Prospect. Diamond drilling will assist in determination of the type of mineral deposit on the Moon Property.

10.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Three main targets intersecting five geochemical and geophysical anomalies have been laid out in figs: 12, 13 and 14. Initially it would be preferable to trench these areas before drilling, however, trenching is not permitted in this area due to the requirements laid down by the Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum resources File: 14675-30 Mx-1-168 (appended in "correspondance"). So helicopter supported drilling is the only means allowed to test the anomalies. A total of 600 meters of drilling has been laid out as Phase I of the drilling programme. (Figs: 12, 13 & 14).




UNITED CAMBRIDGE MINES LTD.

MOON CLAIMS-MAP: 104J4E

SECTION: 20+00N. Ref: figs: 9,10 & 11
LOOKING NW

BY W.THOMPSON. DEC. 1988

FIG: 12.



The three possibilities that exist for conductive zones are:

1. Two parallel conductors.
2. One large wide conductor.
3. A series of narrow parallel conductors.



VLF Filtered data, Cu-Au Geochem. profiles & Proposed Diamond Drill Holes.

UNITED CAMBRIDGE MINES LTD.

MOON CLAIMS-MAP: 104 J4E

SECTION: 32+00N. Ref: figs: 9,10 & 1
LOOKING NW

BY: W.THOMPSON. DEC.1988. FIG: 13.

11.0 EXPECTED COST

- PHASE I DRILLING, MOON CLAIMS, HOEY PROSPECT

1. Mob-Demob Helicopter portable Drill to Dease Lake	\$10,000.00
2. Mob Demob Drill to Hatchau Lake by helicopter	12,000.00
3. Drill 600 m - 1968.6 feet @ \$21.00/foot a total of 5 holes	41,340.00
4. Move drill 4 times (helicopter) Helicopter will likely be ferried in from Stewart or Dease Lake	20,000.00
5. Building helicopter & drill pads and bring fuel. 5 X \$3000	15,000.00
6. Geologist and one assistant 20 days drilling 10 days mob/demob & preparation Total 30 days @ \$450.00/day	13,500.00
7. Camp, groceries, consumables	5,000.00
8. Assaying	8,000.00
9. Report preparation, typing drafting	2,500.00
TOTAL	127,340.00 =====

12.0 PHASE II DRILLING

This phase obviously would be contingent on the results of Phase I, and would include depth and lateral testing of mineralized zones located in Phase I. It must be noted that if very good results are achieved in Phase I, serious negotiations should immediately commence with the Tahltan Indians regarding long term mining activity in the area. Specific emphasis should be put on:

- 1) Road acces to the property
- 2) Potential Mine Site
- 3) Potential Town Site
- 4) Potential Tailings disposal

The conclusions obtained from these meeting should then be forwarded to the various government agencies.

A P P E N D I X 1

S T A T E M E N T O F W O R K
C A S H P A Y M E N T



Province of British Columbia
Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources
MINERAL RESOURCES DIVISION — TITLES BRANCH

MINERAL ACT

DOCUMENT No. _____
OFFICE USE ONLY

JUN 8 1988
157102 1700.00

RECORDING STAMP

Statement of Work — Cash Payment

I, W. H. THOMPSON (Name) Agent for TE. LISLE (Name)
Valid subsisting FMC No. 299843 Valid subsisting FMC No. 299340
570-789 W PENDER ST (Address) 145 W. ROCKLAND RD. N. VANCOUVER (Address)
VANCOUVER B.C. (Postal Code) BC (Postal Code)
V6C 1H2 (Postal Code) 688-4135 (Telephone Number) 907-0821 (Telephone Number)

STATE THAT: [NOTE: If only paying cash in lieu, turn to reverse and complete columns G to J and S to V]

1. I have done, or caused to be done, work on the MOON 1-4 Claim(s)

Record No(s) 2323, 2326, 2325, 2324
Situate at HATCHAY LAKE in the ATLIN Mining Division,
Work was done from MAY 13, 1988, to JUNE 06, 1988.

TYPE OF WORK

PHYSICAL: Work such as trenches, open cuts, adits, pits, shafts, reclamation, and construction of roads and trails. Details as required under section 13 of the Regulations, including the map and cost statement, must be given on this statement.
PROSPECTING: Details as required under section 9 of the Regulations must be submitted in a technical report. Prospecting work can only be claimed once by the same owner of the ground, and only during the first three years of ownership.
GEOLOGICAL, GEOPHYSICAL, GEOCHEMICAL, DRILLING: Details must be submitted in a technical report conforming to sections 5 through 8 (as appropriate) of the Regulations.
PORTABLE ASSESSMENT CREDIT (PAC) WITHDRAWAL: A maximum of 30% of the approved value of geological, geophysical, geochemical and/or drilling work on this statement may be withdrawn from the owner's or operator's PAC account and added to the work value on this statement

TYPE OF WORK (Specify Physical (include details), Prospecting, Geological, etc.)	VALUE OF WORK		
	Physical	*Prospecting	*Geological etc
<u>LINE cutting - 15.0 km Lines</u>			
<u>627 soil samples & 12 Rock samples</u>			<u>7 585.00</u>
<u>WAGES - VLF SURVEY, SOIL SAMPLING, MAPPING.</u>			<u>26,156.00</u>
<u>Helicopter</u>			<u>5040.50</u>
<u>CONSUMABLES/Fuel/Flagging/sample bags</u>			<u>1575.91</u>
<u>FOOD</u>			<u>2943.63</u>
<u>AIR FARE FOR ARCHEOLOGIST.</u>			<u>700.00</u>
<u>HOTELS</u>			<u>498.00</u>
TOTALS	A	+ B	+ C <u>47059</u>
PAC WITHDRAWAL — Maximum 30% of Value in Box C Only			E → E
from account(s) of _____			TOTAL <u>F 47059</u>
* Who was the operator (provided the financing)? Name <u>United CAMBRIDGE MINES</u> Address <u>340 - 1414 - 8th St SW.</u> <u>CALGARY ALTA T2R 1J6</u> Phone <u>244-4343</u>	Transfer amount in Box F to reverse side of form and complete as required.		

A P P E N D I X 2

N O T I C E O F W O R K



Province of British Columbia
Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources

MINERAL RESOURCES BRANCH
INSPECTION AND ENGINEERING DIVISION

NOTICE OF WORK ON A MINERAL PROPERTY

(Pursuant to section 9 of the Mining Regulation Act)

This form is to be completed and signed by all companies or individuals carrying out exploration work one week prior to commencement of work and one week prior to cessation of work. Keep one copy and forward one copy to the District Inspector of Mines (see Notes on reverse side, at bottom of page).


- 1. NAME OF PROPERTY Moon 1-4 Claims (80 units)
Number of claims 80 Units in 4 claims
Principal Claim Group Moon
2. LOCATION: Mining Division Atlin
NTS Map Sheet (e.g., 82E/9E) 104 J/4E
Lat. 58.°12' N. Long. 131.° 36' W. Locality and Access Access is by aircraft from Dease Lake 93 Km South 71° West.
3. OWNER: Name T. Lisle
FMC No. 299340
Address 145 Rocklane Road
City N. Vancouver
Province B.C. Postal Code V79 2V8 Telephone No. 987-0821
4. OPERATOR: Name W. Thompson
FMC No. 299843
Address Suite 570-789 West Pender St.
City Vancouver
Province B.C. Postal Code V6C 1H2 Telephone No. 688-4155
5. DURATION OF EXPLORATION WORK: From April 15, 1988 to Dec 31, 1988
6. EXPLORATION WORK: Indicate PROPOSED [X] or COMPLETED []
Geophysical VLF/Mag-ground Geochemical Soil/silt/rock
Linecutting (distance, width, method) Cut base lines, flagged cross lines, 1000 m2
Drilling - Number of Sites 6 Total Area 600 m2
Road Construction - Length trails 2500m Width 5 m Area 12500 m2
Underground Exploration Nil (type)
Trenching (number, method) 6 trenches - Backhoe Area 540 m2
Test Pits (number, method) Nil Area m2
Stripping Area None-use old camp Other (Camp) Area m2
Name of Contractor Interex Development Corp. Number of men employed 4-6
7. DATE FOREST SERVICE ADVISED BY OPERATOR Not yet advised - PLEASE ADVISE
Name and Title of Forest Official
Address

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT [Signature] TITLE Consultant

Print Name W H Thompson DATE March 17, 1988

N

LEGEND


① AREAS OF INTEREST 



○ DRILL SITES - PROPOSED

TRENCHING - PROPOSED IN DRILL AREAS.

--- EXISTING TRAIL

⑤ PROPOSED TRAIL (for drill & TRENCHING) =

DETAILED Geophysics and geo chemistry also to be conducted in areas of interest 



PLAN

Indicate claim boundaries, permanent watercourses, access road and distance to nearest town, proposed roads, test pits, trenches, adits, drill sites, and camp sites.

NTS 104 J4E.

LOCATION MAP

Show nearest town and access road

A P P E N D I X 3

G E O C H E M I C A L L A B R E P O R T S

MOON

[Handwritten signature]

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH
&
ASSAY LABORATORY
LTD.

B.C. CERTIFIED ASSAYERS

912 LAVAL CRESCENT, KAMLOOPS, B.C. V2C 5P5
PHONE 372-2784 - FAX 372 1112

GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.
570 - 789 WEST PENDER STREET
VANCOUVER, B.C.
V6C 1H2

DATE JUNE 22, 1988

FILE NO. G 1966

ATTENTION: WILL THOMPSON

PAGE 1 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	ppb AU	ppm CU	ppm AG	ppb HG
1	M-1	3.0	107.0	0.3	3.0
2	M-2	3.0	106.0	0.1	3.0
3	M-3	3.0	1100.0	0.9	3.0
4	M-4	800.0	412.0	0.3	3.0
5	M-5	295.0	1890.0	0.5	3.0
6	M-6	1845.0	4000.0	3.0	43.0
7	M-7	3.0	4000.0	0.2	3.0
8	M-8	3.0	145.0	0.1	37.0
9	WB-1	25.0	4000.0	0.8	55.0
10	WB-2	3.0	1310.0	0.9	3.0
11	WB-3	105.0	2060.0	0.8	3.0
12	MD-01	1535.0	4000.0	15.1	79.0
13	22+50E M26N	3.0	243.0	0.0	3.0
14	18+00N BL22+00E	3.0	303.0	0.1	43.0
15	18+50N	3.0	321.0	0.1	49.0
16	19+00N	3.0	224.0	0.0	61.0
17	19+50N	50.0	368.0	0.0	27.0
18	20+00N	95.0	661.0	0.0	24.0
19	20+44N	35.0	540.0	0.1	39.0
20	20+50N	35.0	468.0	0.2	1220.0
21	21+00N	3.0	305.0	0.2	61.0
22	21+50N	3.0	154.0	0.2	55.0
23	22+00N	3.0	291.0	0.2	37.0
24	22+50N	3.0	208.0	0.1	46.0
25	23+00N	3.0	238.0	0.2	49.0
26	23+50N	3.0	85.0	0.1	30.0
27	24+00N	3.0	98.0	0.1	27.0
28	24+50N	3.0	92.0	0.2	43.0
29	25+00N	3.0	123.0	0.2	37.0
30	25+50N BL22+00E	10.0	206.0	0.2	64.0

MOON

*White Bear
Kaketa PEAK.*

MOON.

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
 GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 2 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
31	25+75N BL22+00E	3.0	101.0	0.0	37.0
32	26+50N	3.0	128.0	0.0	95.0
33	27+50N	3.0	156.0	0.0	39.0
34	28+00N	3.0	68.0	0.0	24.0
35	28+50N	3.0	76.0	0.1	21.0
36	29+00N	3.0	171.0	0.1	37.0
37	29+50N	3.0	97.0	0.0	30.0
38	30+00N	3.0	66.0	0.2	27.0
39	30+50N	3.0	102.0	0.0	30.0
40	31+00N	3.0	209.0	0.0	37.0
41	31+50N	3.0	217.0	0.0	18.0
42	32+00N	3.0	165.0	0.0	30.0
43	32+50N	3.0	148.0	0.0	27.0
44	33+00N BL22+00E	3.0	166.0	0.0	43.0
45	21+25E L18+00N	40.0	442.0	0.2	64.0
46	21+50E	3.0	331.0	0.2	61.0
47	21+75E	3.0	338.0	0.3	61.0
48	22+25E	3.0	307.0	0.2	52.0
49	22+50E	5.0	401.0	0.3	30.0
50	22+75E	70.0	375.0	0.2	37.0
51	23+00E	30.0	361.0	0.2	43.0
52	23+14E	5.0	350.0	0.2	49.0
53	23+25E	20.0	398.0	0.2	30.0
54	23+39E	35.0	300.0	0.1	39.0
55	23+75E	3.0	337.0	0.0	49.0
56	24+00E STREAM SAMPLE				
		25.0	359.0	0.1	55.0
57	24+00E	20.0	250.0	0.2	46.0
58	24+25E	3.0	216.0	0.0	49.0
59	24+25E STREAM SAMPLE				
		20.0	266.0	0.0	37.0
60	24+50E	3.0	245.0	0.0	33.0
61	24+50E STREAM SAMPLE				
		130.0	229.0	0.0	43.0
62	24+75E	3.0	231.0	0.0	18.0
63	25+00E	3.0	241.0	0.0	46.0
64	25+25E	90.0	303.0	0.0	55.0
65	25+50E	55.0	287.0	0.0	49.0
66	25+75E	10.0	218.0	0.0	64.0
67	26+00E	3.0	182.0	0.0	55.0
68	26+25E	15.0	206.0	0.0	46.0
69	26+50E	3.0	205.0	0.0	70.0
70	26+75E L18+00N	3.0	198.0	0.0	46.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
 GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 3 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
71	27+00E L18+00N	15.0	251.0	0.0	55.0
72	27+25E	10.0	229.0	0.0	39.0
73	27+50E	3.0	203.0	0.0	43.0
74	28+00E	3.0	162.0	0.0	37.0
75	28+25E	3.0	204.0	0.0	49.0
76	28+50E	15.0	211.0	0.0	39.0
77	28+75E	3.0	90.0	0.0	33.0
78	29+00E L18+00N	3.0	81.0	0.0	27.0
79	21+00E L19+00N	10.0	281.0	0.0	49.0
80	21+25E	3.0	321.0	0.0	37.0
81	21+50E	3.0	279.0	0.0	37.0
82	21+75E	3.0	245.0	0.0	33.0
83	22+50E	3.0	271.0	0.0	43.0
84	22+75E	35.0	472.0	0.0	37.0
85	23+00E	10.0	253.0	0.0	43.0
86	23+25E	25.0	421.0	0.0	39.0
87	23+50E	20.0	236.0	0.0	49.0
88	23+75E	45.0	319.0	0.0	43.0
89	24+00E	40.0	371.0	0.0	27.0
90	24+25E	3.0	169.0	0.0	37.0
91	24+50E	3.0	67.0	0.0	18.0
92	24+75E	3.0	119.0	0.0	37.0
93	25+00E	3.0	120.0	0.0	37.0
94	25+25E	10.0	63.0	0.0	30.0
95	25+50E	3.0	83.0	0.0	55.0
96	25+75E	5.0	98.0	0.0	43.0
97	26+00E	3.0	102.0	0.0	33.0
98	26+25E	3.0	83.0	0.0	49.0
99	26+75E	50.0	292.0	0.2	55.0
100	27+00E	45.0	269.0	0.0	37.0
101	27+25E	10.0	199.0	0.0	33.0
102	27+50E	3.0	263.0	0.0	40.0
103	27+75E	3.0	170.0	0.0	43.0
104	28+00E	3.0	271.0	0.0	46.0
105	28+25E	35.0	254.0	0.0	30.0
106	28+50E	30.0	309.0	0.1	37.0
107	28+75E	5.0	266.0	0.0	43.0
108	29+00E L19+00N	3.0	93.0	0.0	24.0
109	20+50E L20+00N	40.0	352.0	0.0	55.0
110	20+75E L20+00N	45.0	336.0	0.0	67.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 4 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
111	21+00E L20+00N	50.0	482.0	0.0	27.0
112	21+25E	65.0	498.0	0.0	30.0
113	21+75E	80.0	665.0	0.0	33.0
114	22+25E	105.0	1110.0	0.2	21.0
115	22+50E	100.0	780.0	0.0	36.0
116	22+75E	125.0	895.0	0.0	21.0
117	23+00E	95.0	507.0	0.0	33.0
118	23+25E	40.0	315.0	0.0	43.0
119	23+50E	55.0	335.0	0.0	37.0
120	23+75E	110.0	489.0	0.0	33.0
121	24+00E	3.0	225.0	0.0	30.0
122	24+25E	5.0	181.0	0.0	43.0
123	24+50E	3.0	124.0	0.0	40.0
124	24+75E	3.0	153.0	0.0	30.0
125	25+00E	3.0	70.0	0.0	27.0
126	25+25E	3.0	40.0	0.0	33.0
127	25+50E	3.0	63.0	0.0	36.0
128	25+75E	60.0	59.0	0.0	27.0
129	26+00E	3.0	114.0	0.0	21.0
130	26+25E	3.0	50.0	0.0	3.0
131	26+50E	3.0	45.0	0.0	18.0
132	26+75E	3.0	154.0	0.0	43.0
133	27+00E	3.0	690.0	0.2	21.0
134	27+25E	790.0	501.0	0.0	30.0
135	27+50E	40.0	631.0	0.6	43.0
136	27+75E	3.0	307.0	0.2	24.0
137	27+75E STREAM SAMPLE				
		20.0	332.0	0.1	27.0
138	28+00E	10.0	348.0	0.2	24.0
139	28+25E	220.0	260.0	0.0	39.0
140	28+50E	25.0	183.0	0.0	43.0
141	28+75E	3.0	280.0	0.2	27.0
142	29+00N L20+00N	3.0	134.0	0.1	24.0
143	19+75E L21+00N	3.0	740.0	0.5	37.0
144	20+00E	3.0	251.0	0.0	27.0
145	20+25E	3.0	329.0	0.0	189.0
146	20+50E	3.0	313.0	0.0	82.0
147	20+75E	3.0	96.0	0.0	33.0
148	21+00E	3.0	131.0	0.0	18.0
149	21+25E	3.0	152.0	0.0	33.0
150	22+25E	20.0	298.0	0.0	58.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 5 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
151	22+50E L21+00N	3.0	154.0	0.0	33.0
152	22+75E	10.0	252.0	0.0	30.0
153	23+25E	3.0	136.0	0.0	27.0
154	23+50E	55.0	156.0	0.0	18.0
155	23+75E	3.0	102.0	0.0	27.0
156	24+00E	3.0	126.0	0.0	27.0
157	24+25E	15.0	265.0	0.0	36.0
158	24+25E STREAM SAMPLE	20.0	346.0	0.0	39.0
159	25+25E	3.0	145.0	0.0	52.0
160	25+50E	3.0	82.0	0.0	27.0
161	25+75E	3.0	39.0	0.0	27.0
162	26+00E	3.0	90.0	0.0	18.0
163	26+25E	3.0	62.0	0.0	30.0
164	26+50E	3.0	10.0	0.0	30.0
165	26+75E	3.0	114.0	0.0	36.0
166	27+00E	3.0	142.0	0.0	41.0
167	28+00E	3.0	349.0	0.0	44.0
168	28+75E	40.0	199.0	0.0	36.0
169	28+80E	65.0	328.0	0.0	30.0
170	29+00E L21+00N	3.0	168.0	0.0	36.0
171	20+00E L22+00N	3.0	125.0	0.0	47.0
172	20+25E	3.0	93.0	0.0	19.0
173	20+50E	3.0	73.0	0.0	22.0
174	20+75E	3.0	35.0	0.0	25.0
175	21+00E	3.0	41.0	0.0	16.0
176	21+25E	3.0	51.0	0.0	30.0
177	21+50E	3.0	110.0	0.0	25.0
178	21+75E	3.0	119.0	0.0	33.0
179	22+25E	3.0	303.0	0.0	28.0
180	22+50E	3.0	392.0	0.0	44.0
181	22+75E	3.0	497.0	0.0	50.0
182	23+00E	3.0	610.0	0.2	55.0
183	23+25E	3.0	592.0	0.3	50.0
184	23+50E	3.0	284.0	0.1	39.0
185	23+75E	15.0	1490.0	4.5	44.0
186	24+25E	3.0	276.0	1.4	419.0
187	24+50E	3.0	178.0	0.2	192.0
188	24+75E	3.0	305.0	0.8	66.0
189	25+00E	4000.0	587.0	1.0	58.0
190	25+20E STREAM SAMPLE L22+00N	30.0	508.0	0.0	11.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 7 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
231	27+25E L23+00N	60.0	63.0	0.0	30.0
232	27+50E	3.0	92.0	0.0	3.0
233	27+75E	3.0	88.0	0.0	28.0
234	28+00E L23+00N	3.0	137.0	0.0	22.0
235	20+25E L24+00N	3.0	79.0	0.0	28.0
236	20+50E	3.0	123.0	0.0	25.0
237	20+75E	3.0	231.0	0.0	14.0
238	21+00E	3.0	110.0	0.0	28.0
239	21+25E	3.0	140.0	0.0	28.0
240	21+50E	10.0	175.0	0.0	25.0
241	21+75E	3.0	82.0	0.0	3.0
242	22+25E	3.0	78.0	0.2	48.0
243	22+50E	3.0	99.0	0.1	25.0
244	22+75E	3.0	120.0	0.1	42.0
245	23+00E	3.0	84.0	0.4	45.0
246	23+25E	3.0	156.0	0.1	51.0
247	23+50E	3.0	52.0	0.1	65.0
248	23+75E	3.0	469.0	0.3	54.0
249	24+00E	3.0	58.0	0.2	48.0
250	24+25E	3.0	73.0	0.1	43.0
251	24+50E	3.0	143.0	0.2	48.0
252	24+75E	3.0	96.0	0.0	45.0
253	25+00E	3.0	68.0	0.0	31.0
254	25+25E	3.0	80.0	0.2	40.0
255	25+50E	3.0	83.0	0.2	31.0
256	25+75E	45.0	268.0	0.0	45.0
257	26+00E	3.0	403.0	0.4	34.0
258	26+25E	3.0	312.0	0.1	37.0
259	26+50E	20.0	371.0	0.1	31.0
260	26+63E STREAM SAMPLE	15.0	119.0	0.0	68.0
261	26+75E	10.0	151.0	0.0	28.0
262	27+00E	3.0	139.0	0.0	68.0
263	27+25E	3.0	53.0	0.0	37.0
264	27+50E	3.0	80.0	0.0	25.0
265	27+75E	3.0	121.0	0.0	26.0
266	28+00E L24+00N	3.0	203.0	0.0	23.0
267	20+37E L25+00N	3.0	124.0	0.0	38.0
268	20+50E	3.0	92.0	0.0	11.0
269	20+75E	3.0	123.0	0.0	22.0
270	21+00E L25+00N	3.0	126.0	0.0	23.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 8 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
271	21+25E L25+00N	3.0	97.0	0.0	17.0
272	21+50E	3.0	93.0	0.0	34.0
273	22+25E	3.0	104.0	0.0	37.0
274	22+50E	3.0	124.0	0.0	40.0
275	22+75E	3.0	305.0	0.0	37.0
276	23+00E	3.0	95.0	0.0	28.0
277	23+25E	3.0	118.0	0.0	31.0
278	23+50E	3.0	178.0	0.0	51.0
279	23+75E	3.0	182.0	0.2	34.0
280	24+00E	3.0	77.0	0.2	42.0
281	24+25E	3.0	135.0	0.0	31.0
282	24+50E	3.0	640.0	0.7	54.0
283	24+75E	3.0	61.0	0.3	22.0
284	25+00E	3.0	113.0	0.0	22.0
285	25+25E	3.0	182.0	0.0	36.0
286	25+50E	3.0	268.0	0.0	28.0
287	25+75E	3.0	70.0	0.2	20.0
288	26+00E	3.0	67.0	0.1	28.0
289	26+25E	3.0	56.0	0.2	26.0
290	26+50E	3.0	78.0	0.2	22.0
291	26+75E	3.0	120.0	0.0	39.0
292	26+85E STREAM SAMPLE	3.0	77.0	0.0	51.0
293	27+00E	25.0	252.0	0.0	34.0
294	27+25E	3.0	38.0	0.0	22.0
295	27+50E	3.0	43.0	0.0	31.0
296	27+75E	3.0	80.0	0.0	26.0
297	28+00E	3.0	209.0	0.0	34.0
298	28+25E	3.0	53.0	0.0	25.0
299	28+50E	3.0	41.0	0.2	28.0
300	28+75E	3.0	108.0	0.0	34.0
301	29+00E L25+00N	3.0	85.0	0.0	36.0
302	19+50E L26+00N	3.0	105.0	0.2	36.0
303	19+75E	3.0	178.0	0.0	31.0
304	20+00E	3.0	77.0	0.2	17.0
305	20+25E	3.0	249.0	0.0	45.0
306	20+50E	3.0	194.0	0.0	284.0
307	20+75E	3.0	131.0	0.0	19.0
308	21+00E	3.0	207.0	0.0	17.0
309	21+25E	3.0	269.0	0.0	34.0
310	21+50E L26+00N	3.0	158.0	0.0	14.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 9 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
311	21+75E L26+00N	3.0	107.0	0.0	9.0
312	22+25E	3.0	635.0	0.0	14.0
313	22+50E	25.0	468.0	0.3	37.0
314	22+75E	3.0	256.0	0.0	3.0
315	22+85E STREAM SAMPLE	3.0	116.0	0.0	25.0
316	23+00E	3.0	149.0	0.0	37.0
317	23+25E	3.0	139.0	0.0	25.0
318	23+50E	3.0	72.0	0.0	22.0
319	23+75E	10.0	150.0	0.0	37.0
320	24+00E	3.0	67.0	0.0	34.0
321	24+25E	25.0	55.0	0.3	54.0
322	24+50E	3.0	57.0	0.2	40.0
323	24+75E	3.0	45.0	0.1	28.0
324	25+00E	5.0	106.0	0.3	3.0
325	25+25E	3.0	46.0	0.3	23.0
326	25+50E	3.0	49.0	0.3	31.0
327	25+75E	20.0	58.0	0.2	34.0
328	26+00E	3.0	43.0	0.0	17.0
329	26+25E	25.0	570.0	0.2	22.0
330	26+50E	3.0	112.0	0.1	14.0
331	26+75E	3.0	50.0	0.0	14.0
332	27+00E	3.0	57.0	0.1	26.0
333	27+25E	3.0	124.0	0.0	43.0
334	27+50E	3.0	86.0	0.0	37.0
335	27+50E STREAM SAMPLE	3.0	47.0	0.0	25.0
336	27+75E	3.0	29.0	0.2	25.0
337	28+00E	3.0	46.0	0.1	39.0
338	28+50E	3.0	45.0	0.0	34.0
339	28+75E	3.0	34.0	0.3	40.0
340	29+00E L26+00N	3.0	44.0	0.2	31.0
341	19+00E L27+00N	3.0	130.0	0.0	23.0
342	19+25E	3.0	123.0	0.0	34.0
343	19+50E	3.0	174.0	0.0	28.0
344	19+75E	3.0	113.0	0.1	14.0
345	20+00E	10.0	455.0	0.2	25.0
346	20+25E	3.0	304.0	0.0	3.0
347	20+50E	3.0	277.0	0.0	25.0
348	20+75E	3.0	172.0	0.0	34.0
349	21+00E	3.0	208.0	0.0	40.0
350	21+50E L27+00N	3.0	126.0	0.0	31.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.

GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 10 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
351	21+75E L27+00N	3.0	107.0	0.0	34.0
352	22+25E	3.0	146.0	0.0	40.0
353	23+00E	3.0	390.0	0.0	36.0
354	23+25E	3.0	94.0	0.0	36.0
355	23+50E	3.0	101.0	0.0	17.0
356	23+75E	3.0	168.0	0.2	51.0
357	24+00E	3.0	115.0	0.0	20.0
358	24+25E	3.0	80.0	0.0	28.0
359	24+65E	3.0	123.0	0.0	43.0
360	24+75E	10.0	119.0	0.0	28.0
361	25+00E	3.0	71.0	0.0	37.0
362	25+25E	3.0	68.0	0.0	34.0
363	25+50E	3.0	84.0	0.0	34.0
364	25+75E	3.0	83.0	0.1	31.0
365	26+00E	3.0	41.0	0.2	25.0
366	26+25E	3.0	46.0	0.2	28.0
367	26+50E	3.0	42.0	0.1	31.0
368	26+75E	3.0	79.0	0.0	28.0
369	27+00E	3.0	39.0	0.3	22.0
370	27+25E	3.0	53.0	0.0	19.0
371	27+50E	3.0	80.0	0.0	37.0
372	27+65E STREAM SAMPLE				
		3.0	81.0	0.0	17.0
373	27+75E	3.0	71.0	0.0	23.0
374	28+00E	3.0	34.0	0.0	20.0
375	28+25E	3.0	34.0	0.0	23.0
376	28+50E	3.0	33.0	0.3	34.0
377	28+75E	3.0	36.0	0.0	40.0
378	29+00E L27+00N	3.0	34.0	0.0	31.0
379	18+75E L28+00N	3.0	101.0	0.0	31.0
380	19+00E	3.0	100.0	0.0	31.0
381	19+25E	3.0	117.0	0.0	31.0
382	19+50E	3.0	134.0	0.0	34.0
383	19+75E	3.0	97.0	0.0	40.0
384	20+00E	3.0	194.0	0.2	25.0
385	20+25E	3.0	250.0	0.0	34.0
386	20+50E	3.0	203.0	0.0	32.0
387	20+75E	3.0	385.0	0.1	40.0
388	21+00E	3.0	220.0	0.1	46.0
389	21+25E	3.0	110.0	0.1	20.0
390	21+50E L28+00N	3.0	118.0	0.0	17.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
 GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 11 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
391	21+75E L28+00N	3.0	124.0	0.0	23.0
392	22+25E	3.0	191.0	0.2	26.0
393	22+50E	3.0	235.0	0.1	26.0
394	22+75E	3.0	112.0	0.0	40.0
395	23+00E	3.0	99.0	0.3	37.0
396	23+25E	3.0	82.0	0.1	34.0
397	23+50E	3.0	84.0	0.2	29.0
398	23+75E	3.0	75.0	0.1	32.0
399	24+00E	3.0	69.0	0.4	32.0
400	24+25E	3.0	91.0	0.1	26.0
401	24+50E	3.0	117.0	0.1	43.0
402	24+75E STREAM SAMPLE				
		90.0	121.0	0.0	28.0
403	25+00E	3.0	100.0	0.0	23.0
404	25+25E	3.0	106.0	0.0	40.0
405	25+50E	3.0	111.0	0.1	20.0
406	25+75E	3.0	118.0	0.1	23.0
407	26+00E	3.0	69.0	0.0	17.0
408	26+25E	3.0	66.0	0.0	14.0
409	26+50E	3.0	48.0	0.2	23.0
410	26+75E	3.0	59.0	0.0	26.0
411	27+00E	3.0	26.0	0.5	37.0
412	27+25E	20.0	45.0	0.4	37.0
413	27+50E	3.0	47.0	0.1	34.0
414	27+62E STREAM SAMPLE				
		3.0	48.0	0.0	26.0
415	27+75E	3.0	59.0	0.1	37.0
416	28+00E	3.0	26.0	0.2	34.0
417	28+25E	3.0	37.0	0.2	34.0
418	28+50E L28+00N	3.0	42.0	0.2	40.0
419	18+50E L29+00N	3.0	64.0	0.0	17.0
420	18+75E	3.0	93.0	0.1	25.0
421	19+00E	20.0	66.0	0.0	23.0
422	19+25E	3.0	72.0	0.0	25.0
423	19+50E	3.0	81.0	0.0	37.0
424	19+75E	3.0	102.0	0.1	34.0
425	20+00E	3.0	120.0	0.0	31.0
426	20+25E	3.0	208.0	0.0	40.0
427	20+50E	3.0	205.0	0.0	23.0
428	20+75E	3.0	140.0	0.2	20.0
429	21+00E	15.0	777.0	0.1	12.0
430	21+25E L29+00N	3.0	186.0	0.0	23.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 12 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
431	21+50E L29+00N	3.0	176.0	0.0	40.0
432	21+75E	3.0	98.0	0.1	34.0
433	22+50E	3.0	189.0	0.0	57.0
434	22+75E	3.0	86.0	0.0	37.0
435	23+00E	3.0	53.0	0.3	28.0
436	23+25E	3.0	39.0	0.0	19.0
437	23+50E	3.0	66.0	0.0	31.0
438	23+75E	3.0	57.0	0.4	31.0
439	24+00E	3.0	41.0	0.3	37.0
440	24+25E	3.0	116.0	0.3	28.0
441	24+50E	3.0	89.0	0.0	31.0
442	24+75E	3.0	96.0	0.0	31.0
443	25+00E	3.0	90.0	0.1	43.0
444	25+25E	3.0	96.0	0.0	37.0
445	25+35E STREAM SAMPLE				
		0.0	120.0	0.0	54.0
446	25+50E	3.0	101.0	0.1	28.0
447	25+75E	3.0	158.0	0.0	36.0
448	26+00E	3.0	59.0	0.1	34.0
449	26+25E	3.0	88.0	0.1	31.0
450	26+50E	3.0	56.0	0.1	28.0
451	26+75E	3.0	39.0	0.2	23.0
452	27+00E	3.0	39.0	0.1	40.0
453	27+25E	3.0	21.0	0.0	36.0
454	27+75E	3.0	52.0	0.0	39.0
455	28+25E	3.0	62.0	0.0	34.0
456	28+50E	3.0	48.0	0.0	31.0
457	28+75E	3.0	35.0	0.0	26.0
458	29+00E L29+00N	3.0	29.0	0.0	26.0
459	18+25E L30+00N	3.0	51.0	0.0	22.0
460	18+50E	3.0	89.0	0.0	39.0
461	18+75E	3.0	122.0	0.0	54.0
462	19+25E	3.0	116.0	0.1	36.0
463	19+50E	3.0	181.0	0.0	36.0
464	19+75E	3.0	159.0	0.0	14.0
465	20+00E	3.0	186.0	0.0	14.0
466	20+25E	3.0	91.0	0.2	34.0
467	20+50E	3.0	45.0	0.0	11.0
468	20+75E	3.0	40.0	0.0	19.0
469	21+00E	3.0	121.0	0.0	19.0
470	21+25E L30+00N	3.0	6.0	0.0	17.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.

GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 13 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
471	21+50E L30+00N	3.0	67.0	0.0	32.0
472	21+75E	3.0	131.0	0.1	17.0
473	22+25E	3.0	164.0	0.2	20.0
474	22+50E	3.0	63.0	0.1	23.0
475	22+75E	3.0	183.0	0.0	17.0
476	23+00E	3.0	167.0	0.0	17.0
477	23+25E	3.0	114.0	0.2	35.0
478	23+50E	3.0	81.0	0.0	26.0
479	23+75E	3.0	70.0	0.0	26.0
480	24+00E	3.0	49.0	0.2	32.0
481	24+25E	3.0	43.0	0.3	29.0
482	24+50E	3.0	37.0	0.4	26.0
483	24+75E	3.0	40.0	0.2	36.0
484	25+00E	3.0	39.0	0.0	29.0
485	25+25E	3.0	55.0	0.0	20.0
486	25+50E	3.0	61.0	0.1	23.0
487	25+75E	3.0	53.0	0.3	26.0
488	26+00E	3.0	47.0	0.3	29.0
489	26+25E	3.0	60.0	0.2	29.0
490	26+50E	3.0	81.0	0.3	46.0
491	26+75E	3.0	146.0	0.0	32.0
492	26+85E STREAM SAMPLE	3.0	139.0	0.0	37.0
493	27+00E	3.0	122.0	0.0	35.0
494	27+25E	3.0	57.0	0.2	29.0
495	27+50E	3.0	63.0	0.3	14.0
496	27+75E	3.0	56.0	0.1	12.0
497	28+00E	3.0	45.0	0.2	23.0
498	28+25E L30+00N	3.0	32.0	0.3	29.0
499	18+00E L31+00N	3.0	148.0	0.0	29.0
500	18+25E	3.0	107.0	0.0	37.0
501	18+50E	3.0	246.0	0.0	35.0
502	18+75E	3.0	214.0	0.0	26.0
503	19+00E	3.0	140.0	0.0	51.0
504	19+50E	3.0	149.0	0.0	37.0
505	19+75	3.0	157.0	0.0	37.0
506	20+00E	3.0	129.0	0.1	29.0
507	20+25E	3.0	153.0	0.0	35.0
508	20+50E	3.0	129.0	0.1	37.0
509	20+75E	3.0	102.0	0.0	28.0
510	21+00E L31+00N	3.0	136.0	0.0	28.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 14 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
511	21+25E L31+00N	3.0	95.0	0.0	14.0
512	21+50E	3.0	122.0	0.0	29.0
513	21+75E	3.0	145.0	0.0	26.0
514	22+25E	3.0	188.0	0.0	17.0
515	22+50E	3.0	186.0	0.0	20.0
516	23+00E	3.0	185.0	0.0	17.0
517	23+25E	3.0	438.0	0.0	20.0
518	23+50E	3.0	72.0	0.1	14.0
519	23+75E	3.0	48.0	0.1	23.0
520	24+00E	3.0	40.0	0.0	23.0
521	24+25E	3.0	41.0	0.2	23.0
522	24+50E	3.0	40.0	0.0	26.0
523	24+75E	3.0	41.0	0.0	20.0
524	25+00E	3.0	46.0	0.0	20.0
525	25+25E	3.0	42.0	0.0	29.0
526	25+50E	3.0	66.0	0.0	26.0
527	25+75E	3.0	56.0	0.0	17.0
528	26+00E	3.0	45.0	0.0	23.0
529	26+25E	3.0	47.0	0.4	31.0
530	26+50E	3.0	43.0	0.1	25.0
531	26+75E	3.0	48.0	0.0	23.0
532	27+00E	3.0	83.0	0.0	23.0
533	27+19E STREAM SAMPLE				
		3.0	168.0	0.0	34.0
534	27+25E	3.0	167.0	0.0	37.0
535	27+50E	3.0	58.0	0.2	31.0
536	27+75E	3.0	53.0	0.0	22.0
537	28+00E	3.0	51.0	0.0	40.0
538	28+25E	3.0	54.0	0.0	31.0
539	28+50E L31+00N	3.0	246.0	0.0	54.0
540	14+00E L32+00N	3.0	276.0	0.3	76.0
541	14+25E	3.0	291.0	0.5	94.0
542	14+50E	3.0	240.0	0.0	66.0
543	14+75E	3.0	263.0	0.0	80.0
544	15+00E	3.0	248.0	0.0	39.0
545	15+20E STREAM SAMPLE				
		3.0	232.0	0.0	51.0
546	15+25E	3.0	253.0	0.1	51.0
547	15+50E	3.0	254.0	0.2	63.0
548	15+75E	3.0	145.0	0.0	48.0
549	16+00E	3.0	202.0	0.0	57.0
550	16+25E L32+00N	3.0	136.0	0.0	43.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
 GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 15 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
551	16+50E L32+00N	3.0	171.0	0.0	43.0
552	16+75E	3.0	232.0	0.0	39.0
553	17+00E	3.0	285.0	0.0	48.0
554	17+25E	3.0	270.0	0.0	37.0
555	17+50E	3.0	184.0	0.0	51.0
556	17+75E	3.0	110.0	0.2	31.0
557	18+00E	3.0	104.0	0.1	31.0
558	18+25E	3.0	211.0	0.3	88.0
559	18+50E	3.0	148.0	0.0	34.0
560	18+75E	5.0	154.0	0.0	25.0
561	19+25E	3.0	159.0	0.0	34.0
562	20+25E	3.0	251.0	0.0	28.0
563	20+50E	3.0	305.0	0.0	37.0
564	20+75E	3.0	259.0	0.0	31.0
565	21+00E	35.0	378.0	0.0	40.0
566	21+25E	20.0	248.0	0.0	26.0
567	21+50E	55.0	285.0	0.0	37.0
568	21+75E	3.0	279.0	0.0	37.0
569	22+25E	3.0	283.0	0.0	71.0
570	22+50E	3.0	91.0	0.0	20.0
571	22+75E	3.0	147.0	0.0	34.0
572	23+00E	3.0	121.0	0.0	34.0
573	23+25E	3.0	120.0	0.0	25.0
574	23+50E	3.0	143.0	0.0	23.0
575	23+75E	3.0	89.0	0.1	31.0
576	24+00E	3.0	64.0	0.3	28.0
577	24+25E	3.0	58.0	0.1	37.0
578	24+50E	3.0	48.0	0.0	22.0
579	24+75E	3.0	40.0	0.0	22.0
580	25+00E	3.0	64.0	0.0	17.0
581	25+25E	3.0	66.0	0.0	17.0
582	25+50E	3.0	75.0	0.0	46.0
583	25+75E	3.0	84.0	0.0	28.0
584	26+25E	3.0	95.0	0.0	29.0
585	26+50E	3.0	84.0	0.2	22.0
586	26+75E	3.0	67.0	0.1	17.0
587	27+00E	3.0	52.0	0.4	17.0
588	27+25E	10.0	97.0	0.2	14.0
589	27+50E	3.0	54.0	0.3	28.0
590	27+73E STREAM SAMPLE L32+00N	3.0	127.0	0.0	19.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 16 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
591	27+75E L32+00N	3.0	114.0	0.0	51.0
592	28+00E	3.0	44.0	0.4	11.0
593	28+25E	3.0	43.0	0.3	17.0
594	28+50E	3.0	32.0	0.4	28.0
595	28+75E L32+00N	3.0	46.0	0.1	37.0
596	14+00E L33+00N	3.0	249.0	0.1	77.0
597	14+25E	3.0	261.0	0.3	88.0
598	14+75E	3.0	259.0	0.1	45.0
599	15+00E	3.0	291.0	0.2	31.0
600	15+25E	3.0	277.0	0.1	28.0
601	15+45E STREAM SAMPLE				
		100.0	320.0	0.0	19.0
602	16+00E	3.0	182.0	0.0	51.0
603	16+25E	3.0	112.0	0.0	22.0
604	16+50E	3.0	128.0	0.0	26.0
605	16+75E	3.0	201.0	0.0	37.0
606	17+00E	3.0	145.0	0.0	26.0
607	17+25E	3.0	137.0	0.1	28.0
608	17+50E	3.0	221.0	0.0	22.0
609	17+75E	3.0	164.0	0.2	28.0
610	18+00E	3.0	194.0	0.0	17.0
611	18+25E	3.0	213.0	0.0	20.0
612	18+75E	3.0	261.0	0.0	22.0
613	19+00E	3.0	115.0	0.0	28.0
614	19+25E	3.0	126.0	0.0	28.0
615	19+50E	15.0	158.0	0.0	26.0
616	19+75E	3.0	190.0	0.0	31.0
617	20+00E	3.0	136.0	0.0	26.0
618	20+25E	10.0	237.0	0.0	19.0
619	20+50E	3.0	322.0	0.0	22.0
620	20+75E	3.0	410.0	0.0	22.0
621	21+00E	3.0	468.0	0.2	22.0
622	21+25E	3.0	96.0	0.0	34.0
623	21+50E	35.0	158.0	0.0	29.0
624	21+75E	3.0	230.0	0.0	22.0
625	22+25E	3.0	82.0	0.0	22.0
626	22+50E	3.0	59.0	0.0	17.0
627	22+75E	3.0	46.0	0.0	28.0
628	23+00E	3.0	59.0	0.3	6.0
629	23+25E	3.0	63.0	0.2	26.0
630	23+50E L33+00N	3.0	74.0	0.0	20.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH & ASSAY LABORATORY LTD.
 GEOCHEMICAL LAB REPORT

FILE NO. G 1966

PAGE 17 / 17

KRAL NO.	IDENTIFICATION	AU	CU	AG	HG
631	23+75E L33+00N	3.0	55.0	0.0	20.0
632	24+00E	3.0	60.0	0.3	25.0
633	24+25E	3.0	109.0	0.2	14.0
634	24+50E	3.0	71.0	0.0	26.0
635	24+75E	3.0	49.0	0.0	20.0
636	25+00E	3.0	74.0	0.0	23.0
637	25+75E	3.0	68.0	0.1	34.0
638	26+00E	3.0	71.0	0.0	17.0
639	26+25E	3.0	79.0	0.0	6.0
640	26+50E	3.0	81.0	0.0	25.0
641	26+75E	3.0	89.0	0.0	25.0
642	27+00E	3.0	50.0	0.1	34.0
643	27+25E	3.0	54.0	0.0	19.0
644	27+50E	3.0	33.0	0.1	20.0
645	27+75E	3.0	37.0	0.0	28.0
646	28+00E	3.0	55.0	0.1	19.0
647	28+11E STREAM SAMPLE	65.0	134.0	0.1	29.0
648	28+25E	3.0	76.0	0.0	14.0
649	28+50E	3.0	42.0	0.3	20.0
650	28+75E	3.0	41.0	0.0	17.0
651	29+00E L33+00N	3.0	60.0	0.4	26.0

IN AU COLUMN 3 INDICATES <5 PPB

IN AG COLUMN 0.0 INDICATES <0.1 PPM

AU & HG REPORTED IN PPB

AG & CU REPORTED IN PPM

MOON

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH
&
ASSAY LABORATORY
LTD.

B.C. CERTIFIED ASSAYERS

912 LAVAL CRESCENT, KAMLOOPS, B.C. V2C 5P5
PHONE 372-2784 - FAX 372 1112

CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY PLOT

INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.
570 - 789 WEST PENDER STREET
VANCOUVER, B.C.
V6C 1H2

DATE JUNE 22, 1988

FILE NO. G 1966

ATTENTION: WILL THOMPSON

CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY PLOT FOR AG USING A LOGARITHMIC CONVERSION

CLASS	FREQUENCY	% FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY %	
0.10--	0.13	507	77.9	100.0
0.13--	0.17	0	0.0	22.1
0.17--	0.21	78	12.0	22.1
0.21--	0.27	0	0.0	10.1
0.27--	0.35	36	5.5	10.1
0.35--	0.45	13	2.0	4.6
0.45--	0.58	4	0.6	2.6
0.58--	0.74	2	0.3	2.0
0.74--	0.96	5	0.8	1.7
0.96--	1.23	2	0.3	0.9
1.23--	1.58	1	0.2	0.6
1.58--	2.03	0	0.0	0.5
2.03--	2.61	0	0.0	0.5
2.61--	3.35	1	0.2	0.5
3.35--	4.31	0	0.0	0.3
4.31--	5.54	1	0.2	0.3
5.54--	7.11	0	0.0	0.2
7.11--	9.14	0	0.0	0.2
9.14--	11.75	0	0.0	0.2
11.75--	15.10	1	0.2	0.2

MEAN 0.2

STD. DEV. 0.6

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH
&
ASSAY LABORATORY
LTD.

B.C. CERTIFIED ASSAYERS

912 LAVAL CRESCENT, KAMLOOPS, B.C. V2C 5P5
PHONE 372-2784 - FAX 372 1112

CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY PLOT

INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.
570 - 789 WEST PENDER STREET
VANCOUVER, B.C.
V6C 1H2

DATE JUNE 22, 1988

FILE NO. G 1966

ATTENTION: WILL THOMPSON

CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY PLOT FOR HG USING A LOGARITHMIC CONVERSION

CLASS	FREQUENCY	% FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY %	
3.00--	4.05	17	2.6	100.0
4.05--	5.47	0	0.0	97.4
5.47--	7.39	2	0.3	97.4
7.39--	9.98	1	0.2	97.1
9.98--	13.47	8	1.2	96.9
13.47--	18.19	50	7.7	95.7
18.19--	24.57	93	14.3	88.0
24.57--	33.18	202	31.0	73.7
33.18--	44.80	179	27.5	42.7
44.80--	60.50	65	10.0	15.2
60.50--	81.70	21	3.2	5.2
81.70--	110.32	6	0.9	2.0
110.32--	148.98	0	0.0	1.1
148.98--	201.18	3	0.5	1.1
201.18--	271.68	0	0.0	0.6
271.68--	366.87	1	0.2	0.6
366.87--	495.42	1	0.2	0.5
495.42--	669.02	0	0.0	0.3
669.02--	903.44	1	0.2	0.3
903.44--	1220.00	1	0.2	0.2

MEAN 37.0

STD. DEV. 55.5

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH
&
ASSAY LABORATORY
LTD.

B. C. CERTIFIED ASSAYERS

912 LAVAL CRESCENT, KAMLOOPS, B.C. V2C 5P5
PHONE 372-2784 - FAX 372 1112

CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY PLOT

INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.
570 - 789 WEST PENDER STREET
VANCOUVER, B.C.
V6C 1H2

DATE JUNE 22, 1988

FILE NO. G 1966

ATTENTION: WILL THOMPSON

CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY PLOT FOR AU USING A LOGARITHMIC CONVERSION

CLASS	FREQUENCY	% FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY %
0.10--	0.17	1	0.2
0.17--	0.29	0	0.0
0.29--	0.49	0	0.0
0.49--	0.83	0	0.0
0.83--	1.41	0	0.0
1.41--	2.40	0	0.0
2.40--	4.08	540	82.9
4.08--	6.93	8	1.2
6.93--	11.77	19	2.9
11.77--	20.00	8	1.2
20.00--	33.97	23	3.5
33.97--	57.71	25	3.8
57.71--	98.03	13	2.0
98.03--	166.51	7	1.1
166.51--	282.84	1	0.2
282.84--	480.45	1	0.2
480.45--	816.11	2	0.3
816.11--	1386.29	0	0.0
1386.29--	2354.81	2	0.3
2354.81--	3999.99	1	0.2

MEAN 21.7

STD. DEV. 161.0

KAMLOOPS RESEARCH
&
ASSAY LABORATORY
LTD.

B.C. CERTIFIED ASSAYERS

912 LAVAL CRESCENT, KAMLOOPS, B.C. V2C 5P5
PHONE 372-2784 - FAX 372 1112

CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY PLOT

INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.
570 - 789 WEST PENDER STREET
VANCOUVER, B.C.
V6C 1H2

DATE JUNE 22, 1988

FILE NO. G 1966

ATTENTION: WILL THOMPSON

CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY PLOT FOR CU USING A LOGARITHMIC CONVERSION

CLASS	FREQUENCY	% FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY %
6.00-- 8.31	1	0.2	100.0
8.31-- 11.50	1	0.2	99.8
11.50-- 15.91	0	0.0	99.7
15.91-- 22.03	1	0.2	99.7
22.03-- 30.49	5	0.8	99.5
30.49-- 42.20	39	6.0	98.8
42.20-- 58.41	68	10.4	92.8
58.41-- 80.86	78	12.0	82.3
80.86-- 111.92	96	14.7	70.4
111.92-- 154.92	107	16.4	55.6
154.92-- 214.44	80	12.3	39.2
214.44-- 296.82	78	12.0	26.9
296.82-- 410.86	51	7.8	14.9
410.86-- 568.71	21	3.2	7.1
568.71-- 787.20	13	2.0	3.8
787.20-- 1089.63	2	0.3	1.8
1089.63-- 1508.25	4	0.6	1.5
1508.25-- 2087.70	2	0.3	0.9
2087.70-- 2889.78	0	0.0	0.6
2889.78-- 4000.00	4	0.6	0.6

MEAN 197.5

STD. DEV. 311.9

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

Specialists in Mineral Environments

705 West 15th Street North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7M 1T2

PHONE: (604)980-5814 OR (604)988-4524

TELEX: VIA USA 7601067 UC

Certificate of GEOCHEM

Company: INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.

File: 7-1723/P2

Project: TACHLTA & MOON

Date: OCT 31/87

Attention: DEAN DE LA MOTHE

Type: SOIL GEOCHEM

We hereby certify the following results for samples submitted.

Sample Number	AG PPM	AU-WET PFB	
3N 1250E	0.8	5	TACHLTA GRID 1
L1 0M	0.7	5	
L1 050M	0.4	5	
L1 100M	0.6	10	
L1 150M	1.4	5	
L1 200M	0.8	5	
L1 250M	0.5	5	
L1 300M	0.5	10	
L1 350M	0.4	5	
L1 400M	0.6	5	
L2 0M	1.4	5	TACHLTA 2K GRID 2
L2 050M	0.6	5	
L2 100M	0.4	10	
L2 150M	1.0	5	
L2 200M	1.6	5	
L2 250M	0.7	5	
L2 300M	0.8	10	
L2 350M	0.5	5	
L2 400M	1.0	5	
L1 05E	0.6	10	
L1 050SE	0.8	5	Tachlta Grid 3
L1 100SE	0.6	20	
L1 150SE	1.2	10	
L1 200SE	0.8	5	
L1 250SE	1.3	5	
L1 300SE	0.8	5	
L1 350SE	1.2	5	
L1 400SE	0.8	5	
L1 450SE	0.9	5	
L1 500SE	0.8	5	

Certified by

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

Specialists in Mineral Environments

705 West 15th Street North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7M 1T2

PHONE: (604) 980-5814 OR (604) 988-4524

TELEX: VIA USA 7601067 UC

Certificate of GEOCHEM

Company: INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.
 Project: TACHLTA & MOON
 Attention: DEAN DE LA MOTHE

File: 7-1723/P3
 Date: OCT 31/87
 Type: SOIL GEOCHEM

We hereby certify the following results for samples submitted.

Sample Number	AG PPM	AU-WET PPB	
L2 0NW	1.0	5	<i>Grid 3 Tachlta</i>
L2 050NW	0.6	5	
L2 100NW	0.8	5	
L2 150NW	1.2	10	
L2 200NW	0.8	110	
L2 250NW	1.0	10	
L2 300NW	0.7	10	
L2 350NW	0.9	5	
L2 400NW	0.5	5	
L2 450NW	0.8	5	
L2 500NW	1.0	5	40MESH
MMLL500 0M	1.2	5	<i>Mag low lake moon</i>
MMLL500 050M	1.0	5	
MMLL500 100M	1.1	5	
MMLL500 150M	0.8	5	
MMLL500 200M	1.0	5	
MMLL500 250M	0.9	10	
MMLL500 300M	0.9	10	
MMLL500 350M	1.0	5	
MMLL500 400M	0.9	20	
MMLL500 450M	1.0	5	
MMLL500 500M	1.2	5	
MMLL500 550M	0.8	5	
MMLL500 600M	1.2	5	
MMLL500 650M	0.9	5	
MMLL500 700M	1.0	10	
MMLL500 750M	1.2	5	
MMLL500 800M	1.0	5	
MMLL500 850M	1.2	5	
MMLL500 900M	1.2	5	

Certified by

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

Specialists in Mineral Environments

705 West 15th Street North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7H 1T2

PHONE: (604) 980-5814 OR (604) 988-4524

TELEX: VIA USA 7601067 UC

Certificate of GEOCHEM

Company: INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.
 Project: TACHLTA & MOON
 Attention: DEAN DE LA MOTHE

File: 7-1723/P4
 Date: OCT 31/87
 Type: SOIL GEOCHEM


We hereby certify the following results for samples submitted.

Sample Number	AG PPM	AU-WET PPB
MMLL600 0M	1.2	5
MMLL600 050M	1.0	10
MMLL600 100M	0.8	80
MMLL600 150M	1.1	5
MMLL600 200M	1.0	5
MMLL600 250M	0.9	5
MMLL600 300M	1.2	10
MMLL600 350M	1.8	10
MMLL600 400M	1.1	15
MMLL600 450M	1.2	5
MMLL600 500M	1.0	5
MMLL600 550M	0.8	5
MMLL600 600M	1.0	5
MMLL600 650M	0.9	10
MMLL600 700M	1.1	10
MMLL600 750M	1.0	5
MMLL600 800M	1.2	5
MMLL600 850M	1.3	5
MMLL600 900M	0.9	5
MCL50 0M	0.8	5
MCL50 050M	0.8	5
MCL50 100M	0.9	10
MCL50 150M	1.0	5
MCL50 200M	1.0	5
MCL50 250M	0.8	5
MCL50 300M	1.0	10
MCL50 350M	0.8	5
MCL250 050M	0.7	5
MCL250 100M	0.9	5
MCL250 150M	0.8	20

moon - may low lake

moon - 'c'

Certified by _____



MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

Specialists in Mineral Environments

705 West 15th Street North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7M 1T2

PHONE: (604) 980-5814 OR (604) 988-4524

TELEX: VIA USA 7601067 UC

Certificate of GEOCHEM

Company: INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.

File: 7-1723/P5

Project: TACHLTA & MOON

Date: OCT 31/87


Attention: DEAN DE LA MOTHE

Type: SOIL GEOCHEM

We hereby certify the following results for samples submitted.

Sample Number	AG PPM	AU-WET FPB	
MCL250 200M	1.0	5	} Conductive 'E' moon.
MCL250 250M	0.8	5	
MCL250 300M	0.9	5	
MCL250 350M	0.8	10	
MCL450 150M	0.9	5	
MCL450 200M	1.2	5	}
MCL450 250M	1.4	5	
MCL450 300M	0.6	5	
MCL450 350M	0.9	5	
MDL250 0M	0.8	10	
MDL250 050M	0.6	5	}
MDL250 100M	0.7	5	
MDL250 150M	0.8	15	
MDL250 200M	0.8	20	
MDL250 250M	0.8	5	
			40MESH
MDL250 300M	1.0	10	}
MDL250 350M	0.9	5	
MDL250 400M	1.2	5	
MDL250 450M	0.9	10	
MDL250 500M	1.5	5	
			40MESH
MDL400 050M	1.4	5	}
MDL400 100M	0.8	5	
MDL400 150M	0.6	5	
MDL400 200M	0.6	10	
MDL400 250M	0.6	5	
			moon 'D'
MDL400 300M	1.0	5	}
MDL400 350M	1.1	5	
MDL400 400M	0.9	5	
MDL400 450M	1.4	10	
MDL400 500M	1.0	5	
			40MESH

Certified by



MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

Specialists in Mineral Environments

705 West 15th Street North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7M 1T2

PHONE: (604) 980-5814 OR (604) 988-4524

TELEX: VIA USA 7601067 UC

Certificate of GEOCHEM

Company: INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.

File: 7-1723/P1

Project: TACHILTA & MOON

Date: OCT 31/87

Attention: DEAN DE LA MOTHE

Type: SOIL GEOCHEM

We hereby certify the following results for samples submitted.

Sample Number	AG PPM	AU-WET PPB	
1N 750E	1.0	5	
1N 800E	0.6	5	40MESH
1N 850E	0.7	10	
1N 900E	0.6	5	
1N 950E	0.4	5	
1N 1000E	1.0	5	
1N 1050E	0.8	5	
1N 1100E	0.6	10	
1N 1150E	0.5	5	
1N 1200E	1.2	5	
2N 1250E	1.0	5	
2N 750E	0.7	5	
2N 800E	1.0	10	40MESH
2N 850E	0.8	5	40MESH
2N 900E	1.0	5	
2N 950E	0.6	5	
2N 1000E	0.4	5	
2N 1050E	0.6	5	
2N 1100E	0.2	10	20MESH
2N 1150E	0.6	5	
2N 1200E	0.6	5	
2N 1250E	0.4	5	
3N 850E	0.8	10	40MESH
3N 900E	0.7	5	40MESH
3N 950E	0.4	5	
3N 1000E	0.4	5	
3N 1050E	0.6	10	
3N 1100E	0.5	5	
3N 1150E	0.5	20	
3N 1200E	0.6	10	

South end
Tachilta Lk
GRID 1

Certified by

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

Specialists in Mineral Environments

705 West 15th Street North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7M 1T2

PHONE: (604) 980-5814 OR (604) 988-4524

TELEX: VIA USA 7601067 UC

Certificate of GEOCHEM

Company: INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.
 Project: TACHLTA & MOON
 Attention: DEAN DE LA MOTHE

File: 7-1723/P6
 Date: OCT 31/87
 Type: SOIL GEOCHEM

We hereby certify the following results for samples submitted.

Sample Number	AG PPM	AU-WET PPB
MDL600 0M	0.8	5
MDL600 050M	1.2	5
MDL600 100M	0.8	5
MDL600 150M	1.0	15
MDL600 200M	0.8	10
MDL600 250M	1.1	95
MDL600 300M	1.0	15
MDL600 350M	0.9	10
MDL600 400M	1.0	5
MDL600 450M	0.8	5
MDL600 500M	1.0	10
MDL600 550M	0.7	30
MDL600 600M	0.9	5
MDL800 0M	1.2	5
MDL800 050M	0.8	10
MDL800 100M	1.0	5
MDL800 150M	1.3	100 -
MDL800 200M	0.9	5
MDL800 250M	0.8	5
MDL800 300M	1.0	5
MDL800 350M	0.6	10
MDL800 400M	0.6	5
MDL800 450M	0.8	5
MDL800 500M	0.6	5
MDL800 550M	0.7	5
MDL800 600M	0.8	10
MDL900 0M	0.9	5
MDL900 050M	0.8	5
MDL900 100M	0.8	25 -
MDL900 150M	0.8	5



MDL - 'D'

Certified by *Dean De La Mothe*

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

Specialists in Mineral Environments

705 West 15th Street North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7M 1T2

PHONE: (604) 980-5814 OR (604) 980-4524

TELEX: VIA USA 7601067 UC

Certificate of GEOCHEM

Company: INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.

File: 7-1723/P7

Project: TACHLTA & MOON

Date: OCT 31/87

Attention: DEAN DE LA MOTHE

Type: SOIL GEOCHEM

We hereby certify the following results for samples submitted.

Sample Number	AG PPM	AU-WET PPB
MDL900 200M	1.2	5
MDL900 250M	1.4	5
MDL900 300M	0.7	10
MDL900 350M	1.0	5
MDL900 400M	0.9	5
MDL900 450M	1.0	20
MDL900 500M	0.9	5
MDL900 550M	0.7	10
MDL900 600M	1.0	10
L1100 0M	0.9	5
L1100 050M	0.9	5
L1100 100M	0.8	5
L1100 150M	1.0	5
L1100 200M	1.4	5
L1100 250M	1.2	10
L1100 300M	1.0	15
TDS 1	1.0	20
TDS 1	0.7	5

MOON 'D'

moon line 1100.
NW Hatchman Lk.

MOON SOILS
TACHLTA SOILS

Certified by

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

Specialists in Mineral Environments

705 West 15th Street North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7M 1T2

PHONE: (604) 980-5814 OR (604) 988-4524

TELEX: VIA USA 7601067 UC


Certificate of Assay

Company: INTEREX DEVELOPMENT
 Project: TACHILTA & MOON
 Attention: D. DE LA MOTHE

File: 7-1723/P1
 Date: OCT 27/87
 Type: ROCK ASSAY

We hereby certify the following results for samples submitted.

Sample Number	AG G/TONNE	AG OZ/TON	AU G/TONNE	AU OZ/TON	
MH-1	2.1	0.06	.07	0.002	} Moon - Hoey area
MH-2	3.4	0.10	.67	0.020	
MH-3	6.0	0.18	3.13	0.091	
MH-4	4.2	0.12	3.06	0.089	
MH-5	1.0	0.03	.06	0.002	
MH-6	2.0	0.06	.05	0.001	} - TACHILTA
MH-7	8.2	0.24	10.35	0.302	
TDR-1	1.2	0.04	.19	0.006	
TDR-2	.2	0.01	.02	0.001	} - TACHILTA
TDR-3	.3	0.01	.01	0.001	
MDR-1	1.0	0.03	.02	0.001	- moon

Certified by 

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

PHONE: (604) 980-5314 OR (604) 988-4524

TELEX: VIA USA 7601057 UC

Analytical Report

Company: INTEREX DEVELOPMENT
Project: TACHILTA & MOON
Attention: D. DE LA MOTHE

MOON / TACHILTA

File: 7-1723
Date: OCT 27/87
Type: ROCK ASSAY

Date Samples Received : OCT 23/87
Samples Submitted by : D. DE LA MOTHE

Report on : Genchem Samples
.....
..... 11 Assay Samples
.....

Copies sent to:
1. INTEREX DEVELOPMENT, VANCOUVER, B.C.
2.
3.

Samples: Sieved to mesh Ground to mesh
Prepared samples stored: X discarded:
Rejects stored: X discarded:

Methods of analysis: AU-FIRE
AG-ACID DIGESTION CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Remarks

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.

Specialists in Mineral Environments

705 West 15th Street North Vancouver, B.C. Canada V7M 1T2

PHONE: (604)980-5814 OR (604)988-4524

TELEX:VIA USA 7601067 UC

Analytical Report

Company: INTEREX DEVELOPMENT CORP.

Project: TACHLTA & MOON

Attention: DEAN DE LA MOTHE

File: 7-1723

Date: OCT 31/87

Type: SOIL GEOCHEM

Date Samples Received : OCT 27/87
Samples Submitted by : DEAN DE LA MOTHE

Report on198 SOILS..... Geochem Samples
..... Assay Samples

Copies sent to:
1. INTEREX DEV., VANCOUVER, B.C.
2.
3.

Samples: Sieved to mesh-80..... Ground to mesh

Prepared samples stored:.....X.... discarded:.....
rejects stored:..... discarded:.....X.....

Methods of analysis:
AG - MULTI ACID.A.A.
AU - WET.A.A.

Remarks

INVOICE

MIN-EN LABORATORIES LTD.
705 WEST 15TH STREET
NORTH VANCOUVER, B.C.
CANADA V7M 1T2

INVOICE No 6531C

DATE: NOV 7/87

PHONE: (604) 980-5814 OR 988-4524
TELEX: 04-352828

TO : INTEREX DEVELOPMENT
1003-470 GRANVILLE ST.,
VANCOUVER, B.C.
V6C 1V5

FILE No: 7-1723
PROJECT: TACHLTA & MOON

ATTENTION DEAN DE LA MOTHE

QTY DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
198 SOIL GEOCHEM - AG AU WET	6.50	1287.00
198 SOIL SAMPLE PREP	.90	178.20
11 ASSAYS - AG AU	14.00	154.00
11 ASSAYS SAMPLE PREP	3.50	38.50
	* TOTAL	1657.70

*MOON ASSAY - \$142
Moon geochem = \$1000
1400*

Paid. Nov 13 1987

\$ 1657.70

Invoice # 327

*U/cambridge --- Interest costs - Moon Chemis. / TACHLTA Projects
M.J.*

THESE ARE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AND ARE PAYABLE WHEN RENDERED.
OVER 30 DAYS 2% INTEREST PER MONTH WILL BE CHARGED.



DOUBLE REGISTERED

March 29, 1988

File: 14675-30
MX-1-168

Mr. W. Thompson,
Ste. 570 - 789 West Pender St.,
Vancouver, B.C.
V6C 1H2

Dear Sir:


Re: Reclamation Permit MX-1-168
Pursuant to the Mines Act
Located: MOON Mineral Property

Enclosed please find your permit approving the reclamation program. Your attention is drawn to the Conditions, which are an integral part of your permit.

Condition 2 of your permit gives you thirty days within which to place a \$3,000.00 security deposit and you are reminded that you cannot commence surface work until you have posted this security.

This security can be placed according to the procedures outlined in my previous correspondence.

Yours very truly,


D. W. Flynn, P. Eng.,
Inspector of Mines and
Resident Engineer

c.c. J. Errington, Victoria

encl.

c.c. J.C. Errington, Victoria

Doug. →

847-7383

King Edward. - call back wed afternoon.

PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
MINISTRY OF ENERGY, MINES AND PETROLEUM RESOURCES

PERMIT

APPROVING PROGRAM FOR RECLAMATION AND
CONSERVATION OF THE LAND SURFACE
MINERAL EXPLORATION

(Issued pursuant to the Mines Act)

Permit: MX-1-168.....

Issued to: W. Thompson
Address: Ste. 570 - 789 West Pender St.,
VANCOUVER, B.C. V6C 1H2

For exploration work at the following properties:

MOON Mineral Property
.....
.....
.....

Located at: NIS: 104J/4E Lat.: 58° 12' Long.: 131° 36'
Mining Division: Atlin
Access: By aircraft from Dease Lake 93 km
south

This Permit is issued pursuant to Section 10 of the Mines Act
and is subject to the appended conditions.

Issued this 29th day of March in the of 1988


Chief Inspector of Mines

PREAMBLE

1. Notice of intention to commence exploration work was given on March 21, 1988.
2. A program for the protection and reclamation of the surface of the land and watercourses dated March 17, 1988 was filed with the Chief Inspector of Mines on March 24, 1988.

APPROVAL

The Chief Inspector of Mines hereby approves the program for protection and reclamation of the surface of the land and watercourses subject to compliance with the following conditions:

1. The owner, agent or manager shall conform to the "Guidelines for Mineral Exploration" dated December 1982.
2. The owner, agent or manager shall deposit as security with the Chief Inspector of Mines the amount of -----THREE THOUSAND----- dollars (\$3,000.00) prior to commencement of surface work and within thirty days of issuance of this Permit. The security shall be held by the Chief Inspector of Mines for the proper performance of the approved program and all the conditions of this Permit in a manner satisfactory to the Chief Inspector of Mines.
3. Notice of Closure - Pursuant to Section 6, Mines Act, not less than seven days prior to cessation of work, a report of work done and reclamation completed shall be filed with the Inspector of Mines and Resident Engineer.

4. Alterations to the Program - Substantial changes to the Program must be submitted to the Inspector of Mines and Resident Engineer for approval by the Chief Inspector of Mines.
5. The owner, agent or manager, or an inspector, may apply to the Chief Inspector of Mines for revision of the conditions of this permit and, if he so decides, the Chief Inspector of Mines may revise the conditions.
6. Where the owner, agent or manager fails to perform and complete the program for reclamation and the conditions of this permit in a manner satisfactory to the Chief Inspector of Mines, the Chief Inspector of Mines may apply all or a part of the security toward payment of the cost of the work required to be performed and completed.
7. On the completion, discontinuance or abandonment of this mining operation, and on the Chief Inspector of Mines being satisfied that the approved program has been properly completed, the person who deposited the security is entitled to a refund of it, less any amount paid out under condition 6.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

NOTE: This permit applies only to the requirements under the Mines Act. Other legislation may be applicable to the mining operations, and this permit in no way abrogates the responsibility and obligation of the permittee under such other legislation.

TOURISM, RECREATION AND CULTURE



Parliament Buildings, Victoria, British Columbia V8V 1X4

File 605-9

March 30, 1988

Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources
Mineral Resources Division
Engineering and Inspection Branch
Bag 5000
Smithers, B.C.

Attention: D.W. Flynn, Inspector of Mines

Dear Sir:

Re: Moon Property N.O.W. - Hackett River Area

This application is in conflict with the historic Yukon Telegraph Trail, and potentially conflicts with prehistoric archaeological resources. A heritage resource impact assessment by a qualified heritage consultant engaged by the proponent (list enclosed) should be done prior to this work proceeding.

The primary objectives of this impact assessment are to:

1. Identify and evaluate heritage resources within the specified project area;
2. Identify and assess all impacts on heritage resources which might result from the project;
3. Recommend viable alternatives to avoid heritage resources or to manage unavoidable adverse impacts.

The information provided by the detailed impact assessment is intended to assist the proponent in choosing a suitable approach to designing, planning and implementing the proposed project while giving consideration to heritage resources.

If there are any questions or further information is required, please contact the undersigned (356-1438). Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

G.R. Powell
Assessment Officer
Assessment Section

GRP/ds

pc: W. Thompson

LENARD, HAM.
(604) 272-1293



Province of
British Columbia

Ministry of
Energy, Mines and
Petroleum Resources

Bag 5000
Smithers, B. C.
VOJ 2N0

File: 14675-30
MX-1-168

May 9, 1988

DOUBLE REGISTERED

Mr. W. Thompson
Ste. 560 - 789 W. Pender Street
Vancouver, B. C.
V6C 1H2


Dear Sir:

Re: Reclamation Permit MX-1-168
Pursuant to the Mines Act
Located: Moon Mineral Property; Atlin M.D.

Your reclamation permit MX-1-168 was issued on March 29, 1988, and Condition No. 2 of this permit gives you thirty (30) days in which to post a security deposit of \$1,000.00. We have not received the required additional security.

You are hereby given notice pursuant to Section 11 of the Mines Act to remedy this failure and comply with Condition 2 of your permit within fourteen (14) days of receipt of this letter.

Yours very truly,


D.W. Flynn, P. Eng.
Inspector of Mines and
Resident Engineer

DWF/jh

cc: J. Errington, Victoria

Doug Flynn
Smithers - 847-7383



*Environment
Smithers
Golden WBF
847-7296*

File: 14675-30
MX-1-168

May 2, 1988

*Ph. 771-4211
J. Mann - man who
is forcing us to do drilling
with no trenching.*

Mr. W. Thompson
Ste. 570 - 789 W. Pender Street
Vancouver, B. C.
V6C 1H2

Dear Sir:

RE: Moon Mineral Property
Atlin Mining Division

Your Notice of Work has been referred to other government agencies and based on their concerns, I felt obliged to write you and pass on the concerns as soon as all were received.

The area involved was recently the subject of much controversy as Golden Bear Operating Company wanted to build their mine access road right through the area where your claims are situated.

This road option was turned down by the E.L.U.C. (Environment and Land Use Committee) of the Provincial Cabinet because the fish and wildlife values are considered to be too high to be jeopardized by a road. Based on this decision and other concerns, I must limit your program to helicopter assisted drilling and no trenching with tracked equipment.

The bond also as of this date has not been paid and approval will not be granted until such time as the Receipt and Agreement forms for the reclamation bond are in place.

Access to the site is restricted to helicopter and/or fixed wing aircraft.

Golden Bear Operating Company has advised me that they are not in a position to allow other uses on their road and will not be for some time.

*low ground pressure tracks
Rubber Tires?*

Tourism, Recreation and Culture have concerns regarding the use of the old Telegraph Trail and would like a resource impact assessment by a qualified heritage consultant to be done prior to any more work on the site. A list of consultants is available if you wish.

If you have any concerns or questions, please call.

Yours truly,



D.W. Flynn, P. Eng.
Inspector of Mines and
Resident Engineer

DWF/jh

cc: J. Munn, Forestry, Dease Lake



April 25, 1988

File: 880-5 (88-DL-107)

W. Thompson
Suite 570-789 West Pender St
Vancouver B C
V6C 1H2

Dear Sir/Madam:

We have been informed you propose to carry out exploration work in connection with mineral claims within the Cassiar Forest District.

Before you commence work, we would like to inform you of our requirements regarding the abatement of slash hazard and the requirements of the Fire Prevention Regulations if you are operating during closed fire season. Enclosed herewith are the Basic Slash Disposal Specifications for the Prince Rupert Forest Region and the Fire Prevention Regulations.

MAIN ACCESS ROADS

Where any timber values are to be cut or destroyed it will be necessary to obtain, through the local District Manager, a Free Use Permit. Where there is likely to be a market for merchantable timber the mining operator will be expected to deck the logs for disposal by the Forest Service. Where such timber is sold, the miner will be reimbursed for the reasonable costs of felling and decking.

TEMPORARY ROADS, INDUCED POLARIZATION LINES, DRILLING, TRENCHING, STRIPPING AND SIMILAR SITES

Where any timber is to be cut or destroyed in any of the above operations it will be necessary to apply through the local District Manager for a Free Use Permit. The same procedure will be followed as under main access roads.

FIRE PREVENTION REGULATIONS

When operations are being conducted during the closed fire season you will be required to comply with the Fire Prevention Regulations. Failure to comply is an offence against the Regulations. Every day the offence continues is a separate offence. The limitations of your occupational responsibilities under Section 121 of the Forest Act do not apply when operations are being conducted when not certified under the regulations. The operator may be held responsible for the total cost of extinguishing any fires that may occur on the operation.

Will you please contact the District Manager at General Delivery, Dease Lake, B.C. VOC 110 before commencing work. Any questions you have regarding above can be discussed at that time.

Yours truly,

G. Munro
Acting Resource Officer Protection
Cassiar Forest District
Dease Lake

3. MARGIN AND DANGER TREES

Leaning or damaged trees in the margins resulting from right-of-way clearing shall be felled and they, along with any other danger trees felled in the margin, shall be removed and dealt with as in Section (1) above.

4. BURNING

A burning permit must be obtained from the FOREST OFFICER before any fires are lit. A burning permit is issued for a definite period and all fires must be totally extinguished at expiry date of the permit.

If conditions require, all burning may be postponed until conditions are more favourable.

5. BURYING

Disposal of slash and debris by burying is an alternative method of disposal that is acceptable under certain conditions. For burying to be considered satisfactory the following conditions must be met:

1. Push outs or area on which material is to be buried must be cleared and properly prepared with a pit dug to receive the slash and debris.
2. The slash and or trees shall be bucked into maximum lengths of 5 m or as otherwise directed by the FOREST OFFICER.
3. Buried piles should not be more than 1 m above normal ground lines.
4. prior to burying, all material to be buried must be compacted.
5. The compacted pile must be covered with a minimum of 1 m soil.
6. Close supervision of workmen must be maintained at all times.

6. FIRE PREVENTION

All regulations for forest fire prevention must be fully complied with.

Where, after due notice, any person fails to carry out any of the aforementioned requirements the District Manager may enter upon the land of which the debris, dead trees, stubs, inflammable material, refuse timber, slash, choppings or bush in question exist and carry out disposal and may recover the expenses incurred pursuant to Section 119 or the FOREST ACT.

In addition to the above, the following conditions will also apply to all clearing projects:

a. LITTER - The terms and conditions of the Litter Act will apply. No person shall dump or discard any rubbish, garbage, bottles, cans, equipment parts or waste materials on any land or fresh water.

b. ENVIRONMENT CONSIDERATIONS - In all phases of the clearing operation careful consideration should be given to the prevention of erosion, the prevention of stream siltation, and to the protection of the natural habitat of fish, game and other wild life.



Date: April 25, 1988

File: Hazard General

B.C. FOREST SERVICE - Prince Rupert Forest Region
Basic Slash Disposal Specifications for
Rights-of-way & Other Clearing Projects

The following are the requirements for slash disposal on any right-of-way or clearing projects.

1. MAIN RIGHT-OF-WAY AND OTHER CLEARING PROJECTS

All trees, snags and brush on the right-of-way shall be felled or pushed away from the marginal timber and all slash and debris created shall be disposed of as follows:

a. MATERIAL 20 CM AND UNDER:

All material 20 cm and under shall be piled not less than 5 m from marginal timber and burned concurrently as conditions permit.

b. MATERIAL 20 CM AND OVER:

All material over 20 cm shall be commercially disposed of wherever possible. For clarification, all material 2.50 m in length with 20 cm butt and a 14 cm top is considered as merchantable. Where this material cannot be commercially utilized, it shall be disposed of with the small material as mentioned above. Where this is not possible, the boles of trees 20 cm in diameter and over must be bucked so that they are in close contact with the ground throughout their entire length. Stumps, when pushed clear of the ground and not burned, may be scattered singly near the edge of the right-of-way.

c. WITHIN 0.4 KM OF ANY RIVER, RAILWAY OR PUBLIC ROAD CROSSINGS:

All material not utilized shall be completely disposed of by piling and burning. In NO CASE shall any trees, logs, logging debris or any other substance be felled or deposited within any lake or stream.

2. TEMPORARY ACCESS ROADS, TOTE ROADS, HAND CUT TRAILS, I.P. LINES ETC.

All trees felled during construction of any of the above must have the branches lopped and the slash scattered. The boles of the trees not utilized shall be bucked and flattened so as to lie in close contact with the ground.

Any tree that is pushed or knocked over or otherwise caused to lean during construction or exploratory work shall be felled. The tree shall be bucked into merchantable lengths, utilized and commercially disposed of if possible. The remaining bole shall be bucked into lengths so that each section lies close to the ground. All branches shall be lopped and scattered and disposed of as required by the FOREST OFFICER.

A P P E N D I X 5

C O S T S T A T E M E N T S

Invoice to United Cambridge Mines Ltd.
Suite 340 - 1414 - 8 St S.W., Calgary, Alta, T2R 1J6

RE: MOON CLAIMS FOR THE PERIOD MAY 16 THROUGH JULY 4, 1988

1. Wages - Crew + Supervisor (<i>crew-7 people.</i>)	\$17344.00
2. Helicopter	5167.20
3. Food	
4. Fuel	5142.42
5. Mob demob	
6. Archeologist	2100.00
7. Air fare/Archeologist	760.00
8. Assays	7511.00
9. Camp Rental 2 weeks at \$500/week	1000.00
10. Truck Rental 15 days @ \$50/day	750.00
11. Recording work	1700.00
12. Radio Rental	280.88
13. VLF EM Unit Rental	375.00
14. Telephone	410.25
15. Drafting & Consumables	812.64
16. Ribbon & Field Consumables Note books/pencils	
17. Expediting	599.29

SUBTOTAL	\$44137.64
10% Management Fee on items 2-17 inc.	2679.36

\$46817.00

de La Mothe

EXPLORATION SERVICES LTD.

1026 DEEP COVE RD., NORTH VANCOUVER, B.C. V7G 1S3

BUS. (604) 929-2989

RES. (604) 922-5829

October 22, 1987

INVOICE No. 87 D 26

Interex Development Corp.
1003 470 Granville Street .
Vancouver, B.C.
V6C 1V5

Project: Moon

Work done:

October 07 to 16th	
10 days @ \$200.00 per day (personally)	\$2,000.00
10 days @ \$150.00 per day (assistant)	\$1,500.00
Expenses (see attachment)	\$3,815.97

TOTAL	\$7,315.97
--------------	-------------------

ADVANCE

2,000.00

\$ 5,315.97

*Paid Cheque #
309
Oct 22/1987*

MOON

EXPENSES:

Meal	\$ 12.70
Meal	7.00
Equipment	52.06
Taxi	45.00
Map	2.00
Map	4.00
CP Air	884.80
Food	78.05
CP Air Cargo	120.00
Pictures	20.32
Beer	12.15
Food	277.71
Fly West	180.00
	290.00
	240.00
Trans Provincial Air	346.00
TPA Cargo	224.37
TPA	346.00
Beer	23.95
Note Pad	3.86
CP Air & TPA Cargo	607.80
Taxi	38.20
TOTAL	\$3,815.97

INVOICE

6 August 1988

To: Will Thompson
Interex Development Corp.,
500 - 789 West Pender Street
Vancouver, B.C. V6C 1R2

From: Leonard C. Ham
8980 Minler Road
Richmond, B.C. V7C 3T9

Re: Heritage Resource Overview & HRIA Moon Property

15 May	literature review & permit proposal	2.0 hrs
20 May	telephone Bob Powell 2x	0.5
24 May	transit Hatchau Lake	7.5
25 May	survey Moon Property	7.5
27 May	telephone Bob Powell	0.15
14 July	UBC library research	4.0
26 July	report writing	3.0
27 July	report writing	5.0
3 Aug	report writing	3.0
4 Aug	report writing	7.5
5 Aug	report writing	8.0

48 hrs

Fees due 6 days @ \$350.00 \$2,100.00

Total Fees Due

=====
\$2,100.00

*Paid
Aug. 15/1988*

Thank you

Leonard C. Ham

REFERENCES

1. Sillitoe R.H. Mineralism Deposita 1979 pp. 14: 161-174
Some Thoughts on Gold-Rich Porphyry Copper Deposits
2. Panteleyes A. Geoscience Canada Vol.13 No. 2
Ore Deposits #10 A Canadian Cordilleran Model for
Epithermal Gold-Silver Deposits
3. Holland Stuart S. B.C. Dept. of Mines Bull 48 1976
Landforms of British Columbia a Physiographic Outline
4. Gabrielse H. Dept of Mines Energy and Resources 1971
Open File: 707
5. (a) Souther J.G. Geological Survey of Canada 1958 -
1960
Map: 1262A Geology Tulsequah and Juneau.
(NTS: 104K, 104L)
- (b) Souther J.G. G.Sc. Memoir 302 1971 Geology and
Mineral Deposits of the Tulsequah Map Area.
6. Lisle T.E. B.C. Ministry of Energy Mines and Petroleum
Resources Assessment Report on the Moon Claims 1986.
7. Lisle T.E. A Review of the Gold and Copper Potential of
the Sheslay Area Atlin Mining Division NTS 104J/4E
July 29, 1986.
8. Boyle R.W. Energy Mines and Resources Canada
The Geochemistry of Gold and its Deposits Bull 280
9. Hutchinson R.W. & Hodder R.W. CIM Bull Feb 1972
a) Possible Tectonic and Metallogenic Relationships
Between Porphyry Copper and Massive Sulphide
Deposits.
- b) Structural Features of Porphyry Copper Deposits
and the Tectonic Evolution of Continents
10. Ham L.C. A Heritage Overview and Detailed Heritage
Resource Impact Assessment of the Moon Property,
Hackett River Northwestern British Columbia Aug 1988
11. B.C. Dept of Mines Assessment Reports:
No. 2805, 3514, 3515, 3516, 2512, 2061, 3925, 648,
8882, 2061

CERTIFICATION

I, WILLIAM H. THOMPSON of #570 - 789 West Pender Street, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, V6C 1H2, Telephone (604) 688-4155, do certify that:

(1) I am an Associate Member of the Geological Association of Canada.

(2) I attended the Universities of Victoria and Saskatchewan and studied Geology.


(3) I have been employed on a full time basis, and as a geological consultant throughout Canada and the U.S.A. by Mining and exploration companies such as Dekalb Mining Corporation, Essex Mineral corp. (U.S. Steel), American Smelting and Refining Co. (ASARCO), and Dumbarton Mines Ltd.

(4) This report is based on the personal examination of the property, and the supervision of the geophysical and geochemical program that was conducted during the 1988 program.


(5) I have free trading shares in United Cambridge Mines Ltd.

Dated at 570 - 789 West Pender Street, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, V6C 1H2

December 15, 1988



W H Thompson



Survey Carried Out By: COLUMBIA AIRBORNE GEOPHYSICAL SERVICES (1984) LTD.

LEGEND

H	LOCAL MAGNETIC HIGHS & LWS		GEOLOGICAL SHOWINGS
a	VLF-EM CONDUCTORS		GEOPHYSICAL LINEATIONS, SUGGESTIVE OF GEOLOGICAL STRUCTURE
	VERY WEAK		AREA OF RECONNAISSANCE SOIL SURVEYS
	WEAK		
	MODERATE		
	STRONG		

Note: Magnetic Base = 56,000 gammas





GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

18,158

To Accompany Report By: David G. Mark, Geophysicist & Patrick Cruickshank, Geophysicist.

UNITED CAMBRIDGE MINES		
MOON PROPERTY		
HATCHAU LK. & DEASE LK. AREA, ATLIN M.D., B.C.		
AIRBORNE MAGNETOMETER & VLF-EM SURVEY		
GEOTRONICS SURVEYS LTD.		
DATE: AUGUST 1987	SCALE: 1:10,000	FIG 4
NTS 104/J/4E	DRAWN: D.A., L.C.B./dw	





LEGEND

- 200-400 ppm Cu } in SOIL OR SILT (♣)
- 400-600 ppm Cu }
- ≥600 ppm Cu }
- ⊘ NO SAMPLE
- ⊘ DESIGNATING SILT SAMPLE
- TRAIL (OLD)
- ▲ CAMP SITE
- CREEK
- COPPER +200 ppm

**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

18,158

UNITED CAMBRIDGE MINES LTD.
MOON CLAIMS-HACKETT RIVER

MAP: NTS. 104J4E

Cu IN SOIL SURVEY-Cu IN PPM





Fig: 9



LEGEND

- 50-100 PPB Au } in SOIL OR SILT (S)
- 100-200 PPB Au }
- ≥200 PPB Au }
- N/S NO SAMPLE
- ⊕ DESIGNATING SILT SAMPLE
- - - TRAIL (OLD)
- ▲ CAMP SITE
- ~ CREEK

NOTE: Samples with less than 10ppb Au - not plotted.

**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

18,158

UNITED CAMBRIDGE MINES LTD.

MOON CLAIMS-HACKETT RIVER

MAP: NTS 104J4E

GOLD IN SOIL SURVEY-GOLD IN PPB






Fig. 10.



LEGEND

- CLIFF
- NO SAMPLE
- CLAIM LINE
- CLAIM POST
- TRAIL (OLD)
- CAMP SITE
- CREEK
- VLF - FRASER FILTERED DATA
- CONTOUR INTERVAL : 10
- POSITIVE NUMBERS INDICATE CONDUCTIVE ZONES.

**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

18,158

UNITED CAMBRIDGE MINES LTD.
MOON CLAIMS - HACKETT RIVER
MAP: NTS. 104J4E
SABRE-27 VLF SURVEY - STN: SEATTLE



Fig. 11.