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The Angel 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, $7 \& 8$ claims, 17 units in all, were staked in September 1988. An additional claim, Angel 3, totalling six units, was staked in July 1989. The claims are located on the south facing slopes of Devastator and Pylon Peaks, north of Meager Creek and about 60 kilometres west-north-west of the town of Pemberton. Private logging roads provide good access to the south side of the claims.

Pyrite mineralization occurs in rusty yellow hydrothermally altered rhyodacites of Lower Pleistocene age which occur between the mid-Pleistocene volcanic vents at the Devastator and the older Tertiary exhumed vent outcropping on the precipitous slopes east of Angel Falls. Pre-staking prospecting and sampling had indicated anomalous gold, lead and zinc assays from stream sediment samples taken from No Good Creek. The Angel claims were staked to cover the obviously pyritized rhyodacite volcanics on the Devastator ridge between No Good and Angel Creeks. Exploration target is disseminated Volcanogenic related gold and possible epithermal veins in the lower hydrothermally altered volcanic and plutonic 'basement' rocks.

After staking the Angel 4, 5, 6, $7 \& 8$ claims, a reconnaissance geological and sampling traverse was run up No Good Creek and along the upper south-east ridge of the Devastator in early September 1988. This was followed by staking of the Angel 1, $2 \& 3$ claims. A program of soil sampling and geological mapping was carried out in August 1989.

Although no highly anomalous gold assays resulted from these programs, there are a number of distinctly persistent gold anomalies in the 25 to 60 ppb range coincident with other indicator anomalies, associated with a zone of pyritized rhyodacites at the base of the Devastator assemblage.

This report covers the results of assessment work done on the Angel claims during the period August 19th to August 23 rd , 1989 and includes preliminary work done September 3rd and 4th, 1988. This work was done by R. Jordan and P. Jordan from a tent camp located on Pylon Creek on the south side of the logging access road 3 kilometres east of the claims.

### 2.1 Location and Access

The Angel claim group is located approximately 60 km northwest of Pemberton, on map sheet $92 \mathrm{~J} / 12 \mathrm{E}$. The claims are on the north side of Meager Creek, on the south side of Pylon Peak in the Mt. Meager volcanic complex.

The claim group is reached by following the Lillooet River Forest Road to milepost 24 ( 60 km by road northwest of Pemberton), then turning left on the Meager Creek logging road. This is followed to km 10.5 on the "Meager North Main" road.

The southern part of the claim group (Angel 3 and part of Angel 2) are reached by spur logging roads. The central and northern part of Angel 2 and Angel 4 to 8 are reached on foot through steep forest above the logging roads. Access to most of Angel 1 along No Good Creek, and the eastern part of Angel 2 along Angel Creek, is limited by steep, unstable cliffs, rockfall hazard, deep gullies and waterfalls.

### 2.2 Physiography and Geomorphology

The claim group ranges in elevation from 790 m to 2100 m . Most of it consists of steep, extremely rugged terrain on the south side of Pylon Peak, underlain by Quaternary and late Tertiary volcanic rocks, and by
the basement plutonic and metamorphic rocks at elevations below 1000 m . The claim group also includes part of the fairly flat Meager Creek valley bottom.

The landforms and soils of the Meager Creek valley reflect a history of Pleistocene and Holocene volcanic eruptions, and of numerous large landslides from the volcanic peaks along the north side of the valley.

The most recent major eruption took place 2350 year BP (before present), in the vicinity of Plinth Peak on the north side of the volcanic complex. This eruption did not directly affect the Meager Creek valley, although some landslides may have been associated with it. A concentration of radiocarbon dates in the Meager Creek valley at around 4000 years BP suggests that another eruptive period, or a major seismic event, may have occurred at that time. Drill hole data, and a section of Meager Creek canyon near the mouth of Angel Creek ${ }^{1}$, show over 250 m of valley fill consisting of numerous landslide and pyroclastic deposits.

A very large landslide, derived from P3 andesitic rocks of Pylon Peak, fills the Meager Creek valley in the area of lower Angel Creek and for several kilometres downvalley. This has been dated at 4000 years BP or younger, and the deposit may include two or more landslide units. This landslide swept down the Angel Creek valley and over the mountainside between Angel and No Good Creeks, stripping off most of the overburden and depositing a thin veneer of landslide debris in some areas of gentler slope. Most of the area covered by soil sampling lies within the area stripped by the landslide.

More recent landslide deposits, two of which have been dated 370 and 900 years BP form an irregular fan at the mouth of No Good Creek.

[^0]Much of the basin of this creek is swept by frequent rockfall, and large debris flows often descend the creek to its mouth during rainstorms. A large slump failure in P 1 dacite and tuff fills part of the east side of the No Good Creek valley.

An area of high cliffs on the east side of lower Angel Creek has apparently not been affected by major landslides. Gullies in these cliffs generate frequent, relatively small, debris flows which have formed a small debris fan below the cliffs.

No Pleistocene glacial deposits are to be found in the Meager Creek valley bottom or on the hillsides of the claim group. However, a thin cover may be present on the gentler mountainside east of the Angel Creek basin, which may not have been affected by landslides.

A small glacier has formed in the upper basin of Angel Creek, above 1675 m elevation. Neoglacial moraines from this glacier cover part of the basin. The remainder of the basin above 1675 m is covered by scree from Pylon Peak.

At lower elevations, the Meager Creek valley is heavily forested with Western Hemlock, Red Cedar, Balsam Fir, and Douglas Fir. Most of the forest below 1200 m has been logged since about 1979. Poorly drained areas in the valley bottom, and areas swept by avalanches along Angel and No Good Creeks, are covered with cottonwood and alder. Above about 1400 m the forest consists of Mountain Hemlock, Subalpine Fir and Yellow Cedar interspersed with subalpine meadow and Slide Alder in poorly drained or avalanche-swept areas. Land above treeline at about 1700 m is covered with alpine meadow or bare rock and scree.

### 2.3 Property Description and Previous History

The Angel claims are located in the Lillooet Mining Division in NTS map area 92 J 12 E . P. Jordan and R. Jordan and Associates Ltd. are co-
owners of the Angel 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, $7 \& 8$ claims. The Angel 3 claim is owned wholly by P. Jordan. These claims have been grouped for assessment work purposes. Recording data is listed below:

| Claim Name | Units | Rec. No. | Date Staked | Date Recorded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angel 1 | 3 | 4119(9) | Sept. 11/88 | Sept. 15/88 |
| Angel 2 | 9 | 4120(9) | Sept. 11/88 | Sept. 15/88 |
| Angel 3 | 6 | 4279(9) | July 28/89 | July 31/89 |
| Angel 4 | 1 | 4100(9) | Sept. 02/88 | Sept. 09/88 |
| Angel 5 | 1 | 4101(9) | Sept. 02/88 | Sept. 09/88 |
| Angel 6 | 1 | 4102(9) | Sept. 02/88 | Sept. 09/88 |
| Angel 7 | 1 | 4103(9) | Sept. 02/88 | Sept. 09/88 |
| Angel 8 | , | 4104(9) | Sept. 02/88 | Sept. 09/88 |

As far as is known, there has been no previous staking in the immediate area. In the mid and late 1970 's, B.C. Hydro carried out an extensive program of geothermal exploration in the Meager Creek area. A number of wells were drilled, however, only one at approximate UTM coordinates 5601730 North and 463740 East was completed as a potential power source. Most of the area now occupied by the Angel 1, 2, 3, $5 \& 7$ claims was, until it expired in October 1986, covered by Mineral and Placer Reserve O/C 1839.

Detailed mapping of the area was carried out in the late 1970's by B.P. Read (GSC Open File 603, Geology, Meager Creek Geothermal Area). At least seven exploratory geothermal wells have been drilled on or close to the Angel 3 claim, however, as far as we know none of the results of these activities is available in the public domain. Judging by the miles of insulated copper wire left on the Devastator ridge there must have been some geophysical work done, possibly IP, but again none of this data has been found in the public domain.

There has been extensive logging of the gentler slopes below 1200 m on the claims since 1979 after most of the geothermal exploration had ceased. Logging activities have exposed a number of outcrops probably
not seen by previous mappers and this has resulted in some modifications seen on the accompanying geological map (Fig. 3). There is no evidence that any previous attempt had been made to evaluate the mineral potential of this area. None of the samples taken along Meager Creek in the National Geochemical Reconnaissance Pemberton 92J program indicated anything anomolous.

### 2.41988 and 1989 Exploration

Exploration in 1988 was confined to a prospecting and sampling traverse on Sept. 3rd and 4th, up No Good Creek as far as the obvious dike in the upper basin at which point, because of the constant barrage of debris falling off the Devastator into the creek, it was deemed prudent to ascend the slopes to the east. Outcrops adjacent to the large slide between No Good Creek and Devastator ridge were examined and on the ridge, the traverse was extended above timber line to the base of the Devastator. Nine soil, rock chip and stream sediment samples were taken during this traverse (An1-9) and subsequently assayed for gold content.

During the period August 19th to 24th, a soil sampling program accompanied by geological mapping was carried out on the Angel 2 and 3 claims. During this program a total of 73 samples, 8 stream sediment and pan concentrate samples, 2 rock chip samples and 2 float samples were collected. These were assayed by Noranda Exploration Ltd. at the Acme Analytical Laboratories Ltd.

### 3.1 Regional Geology

The Angel claims are located at the southern edge of the Meager Volcanic complex, a Pliocene to Recent assemblage of andesite, basalt, dacite and rhyodacite flows, tuffs and breccias, contained in seven distinct assemblages. Mount Meager is the most northerly of a string of volcanic areas in the Garibaldi volcanic belt which include Mount Cayley, Mount Garibaldi, Mount Baker and Glacier Peak, the latter two in the state of Washington.

The Meager complex overlies Mesozoic plutonic and metamorphic rocks, predominantly quartz diorites of the coast pluton and pendants of Triassic Cadwallader group gneisses and amphibolites.

The regional geology is covered in detail in GSC Open File 603 (Geology Meager Creek Geothermal Area B.P. Read 1976, 77 \& 78).

### 3.2 Claim Geology

The Angel claims, for the most part, are underlain by a thick sequence (up to 650 m ) of hydrothermally altered rhyodacite flows and tuffs of the lower Pleistocene Devastator assemblage, dipping from 20 to 250 to the east. In the southwest corner of the Angel 5 and the northern part of the Angel 1 claims there is a considerable (about 150 m ) thickness of pyritized rusty yellowish to white rocks at the top of the rhyodacite $\mathbf{P 1}$ unit in the upper basin of No Good Creek below the steep and broken, disintegrating, porphyritic andesite vents of the Devastator P3i and P6i units. Where the P1 unit outcrops along the west edge of the Devastator ridge between elevations of 1600 and 1750 m these rocks are generally rusty whitish yellow, fine grained, siliceous and friable with a high feldspar and clay content and with up to two to three percent fine disseminated pyrite mineralization. Rock chip and soil samples from this unit gave assays of up to 30 ppb gold and 400 ppm zinc. It is quite
probable that this unit is the source of the anomalous gold, zinc and lead assays in stream sediment pans from the lower parts of No Good Creek. The eastern extension of this unit is obscured by glacier, scree and slide detritus on the Angel 5 and 7 claims.

The middle $P 1$ rocks, outcropping between elevations of 1300 and 1600 m on the west slopes of the Devastator ridge, are generally fine to medium grained, less altered, tuffaceous rhyodacites with little or no pyritization. There does, however, appear to be minor pyritization along fracture zones associated with the narrow P3i dikes outcropping just off the west side of the ridge at elevations 1500 to 1550 m , and accompanied by somewhat anomalous gold and zine assays in soil samples.

In the lower part of the $P 1$ unit which is poorly exposed along logging road cuts at the boundary of the Angel 2 and 3 claims and in a smaller rather questionable outcrop at elevation 900 m in the west part of the Angel 3 claim, there is evidence of extensive alteration in rusty yellowish white pyritized ryhodacite which produced gold assays as high as 60 ppb accompanied by high associated lead and zinc assays.

Mineralization in the quartz diorite basement rocks underlying the $\mathbf{P 1}$ unit was noted in only one place at elevation 1040 m in an exposure along the east side of No Good Creek where spotty pyrite and chalcopyrite mineralization occurs in a narrow fracture zone. A rock chip across 25 centimetres from this zone assayed less than 5 ppb gold.

Outcrops of the basal breccia ( P 2 x ) and the overling andesites $\mathbf{P 2 f}$ and P3x on the steep cliffs east of Angel Creek were not examined in any detail except to note that there were no obvious signs of mineralization.

The overlying P 3 f unit of the Pylon Assemblage is a massive, blocky, fine to medium grained, porphyritic grey to reddish andesite and is the source of a 4000 year old rock slide which crossed the eastern slopes of the Devastator ridge and into Meager Creek leaving behind large areas of debris. These rocks outcrop on the extreme northern edge of the claims.

### 4.0 GEOCHEMISTRY

### 4.1 Soils

Soils in the claim group are generally poorly developed, reflecting the young age and active geomorphic environment of the landscape. Podzolic soils are found in most forested areas with slopes gentler than about $30^{\circ}$. These typically have a thin ( 5 to 15 cm ) A horizon with a black organic layer and a light grey leached layer, and a poorly developed, slightly rusty coloured, B or B-C horizon. In wet areas, the surface organic layer is thicker. On steeper slopes, on areas of exposed bedrock, and on young landslide and alluvial deposits, the soils are regosols, with a thin organic A horizon over a relatively unweathered $C$ horizon consisting of fractured rock with a sandy or clayey matrix. The hydrothermally altered lava and pyroclastic rocks are very friable, and the C horizon material consists mainly of small rock fragments with abundant fine material. Most soil samples were collected at a depth of 10 to 25 cm in most cases, under the A horizon in the $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}$, or C horizon. (In the list of soil samples, all these samples are described as "B-horizon" samples, whether or not a true pedogenic B horizon exists.)

In most of the area covered by soil sampling, bedrock lies at or close to the surface, and the soil consists of colluvium derived from the underlying rock or from a short distance upslope. In some areas of traverses T1, T2, T3 and T6, the soil is derived from debris of the Pylon Peak landslide and is not representative of the underlying bedrock. In some such locations, the underling weathered bedrock is exposed in road cuts, and additional deep soil samples were taken of this material.

### 4.2 Field Program

A total of 73 soil samples were taken at 50 m intervals along lines T 1 to T6 inclusive. Samples were taken wherever possible from the ' $B$ ' layer and each consisted of approximately 500 grams of material stored in
cloth sample bags which were then transported in paniers on mountain bikes to the terminus of the vehicle accessible logging road below Angel Creek. Stream sediment samples were taken at five locations - because of recent disruptions in stream sedimentation due to logging activities and very recent debris flows these might very well be considered as unreliable. Two rock chip samples were taken from a small outcrop of rusty rhyodacite on the Angel 3 claim 400 m west of the Hydro steam well at elevation 900 m . One float sample was taken from quartz rubble at the base of a slide area just east of the $\mathrm{T}-4-11$ soil sample, another from a quartz diorite breccia boulder at the west end of line $\mathrm{T}-1$.

### 4.3 Analytical Techniques

Under the terms of a prior agreement assays were done through Noranda Exploration Limited. With the exception of three stream sediment samples which were assayed at the Noranda lab, all sample assays were done at Acme Analytical Laboratories Limited, in Vancouver, utilizing their 30 element ICP process plus AA determination for gold. Acme analytical procedures are outlined in Table IV.

### 4.4 Assay Results

A complete listing of assay results is included in Table III. Assays for gold, silver, zinc, lead, copper, iron, arsenic, antimony, manganese and potassium are plotted on 1:10,000 maps Figures 4 through 13.

Stream sediment and pan concentrate sample assays were disappointingly low, however, samples taken at location $A, B$ \& $C$ are probably contaminated by recent debris flows while the samples D3, 4, $5 \& 6$ may include debris from logging road construction. These low results are in contrast to the anomalous stream sediment pans taken from No Good Creek during pre-staking prospecting.

In the soil sampling program, relatively high and persistent gold and associated indicator assays occur in two distinct areas associated with the lower pyritized altered Pl rhyodacite unit, one at the junction of lines $T 1,6 \& 7$ and the other at the junction of lines $T 1,2 \& 5$. Scattered anomalous values occur in the upper mineralized P1 unit along the north half of line T-4. An anomalous area at stations T-4-23 \& 24 is adjacent to a number of narrow andesite dikes which cut through fractured and slightly pyritized rhyodacites.

### 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Anomalous zinc, silver and lead assays are associated with relatively anomalous gold values in two distinct areas in the lower rhyodacite zone on the Angel 2 and 3 claims. Results of samples taken from the upper zone are considered to be dissappointing, as were assays from the stream samples taken from Angel Creek.

Additional detail soil sampling is recommended across the lower rhyodacite zone adjacent to the Angel 2 and 3 claim boundary and although assay results in the upper mineralized rhyodacite zone were disappointing, reconnaissance soil sampling should be completed in the upper basin of Angel Creek on the Angel 5 and 7 claims and in the north half of the Angel 3 claim. Wherever topography permits detail mapping and sampling should be carried out in the No Good Creek drainage and along the contact of the basal breccia unit ( P 2 x ) with the underlying quartz diorite 'basement' rocks in the southeast quadrant of the Angel 2 claim.

Some consideration should be given to abandoning the five two post claims (Angel 4, 5, 6, $7 \& 8$ ) assuming that the area covered by Angel 5 and 7 can be retained in the Angel 2 claim.

### 6.0 REFERENCES

1. B.P. Read, 1978. Geology Meager Creek Geothermal Area. GSC Open File 603.
2. Woodsworth, G.J., 1977. Geology Pemberton (92J) Map Area. GSC Open File 482.
3. Regional Geochemical Survey, British Columbia, 1981 NTS 92J, Pemberton, B.C. RGS-9, GSC Open File 867.
4. Nevin Sadlier Brown Goodbrand, 1980. 1979 Drilling and Exploration Program, Meager Geothermal Area, British Columbia. Unpublished report prepared for B.C. Hydro.

### 7.0 STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES

Transportation Vancouver to site and return 698 kms at $.225 \times 23 / 27 *$ ..... \$ 133.95
Travel Time
10 hours x $2 \times 13.25$ ..... 265.00
Equipment Rental
$4 \times 4$ Rental: 5 days at $\$ 40.00$ ..... 200.00
Mountain Bike Rental: $2 \times 5$ days at $\$ 10.00$ ..... 100.00
Supply Costs
Meals: 10 man days at $\$ 9.50$ ..... 95.00
Camp Supplies ..... 45.00
Survey Costs
Drafting and Map Preparation ..... 225.00
Planning and Supervision: 1 day at $\$ 350.00$ ..... 350.00
Geology: 1.5 man days at $\$ 350.00$ ..... 525.00
Labour: 85 man hours at $\$ 13.25$ ..... 1,126. 25
Assays ..... 1,296.05
Report Preparation
1.5 days at $\$ 350.00$ ..... 525.00
TOTAL ..... $\$ 4,886.25$

- Pro-rated with assessment work done on the Engineer claims located atRailroad Pass 92J11E, 35 kms ENE.



### 8.0 AUTHOR'S QUALIFICATIONS

I, Robert P. Jordan, certify that:

I am the current holder of the Association of Professional Engineers of British Columbia Certificate of Registration No. 4707 (Professional Engineer, Geological).


ANGEL CLAIM GROUP : gEOCHEMICAL SAMPLES, 1989

| SAmple | LAB | COORDINATES (M) |  | ELEV. | TYPE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO. | ND. | NORTH | EAST | (H) |  |  |
| II-1 | 121601 | 5602110 | 4633215 | 1057 | S/8 | Line 1: logging road cut |
| T1-2 | 121602 | 2108 | 3215 | 1052 | $5 / \mathrm{C}$ | 5m deep, Pl rhyolite fragments |
| T1-3 | 121603 | 2120 | 3255 | 1056 | $5 / 8$ |  |
| T1-4 | 121604 | 2135 | 3305 | 1063 | 5/8 |  |
| II-5 | 121605 | 2140 | 3325 | 1064 | S/日 | P3 slide debris |
| 11-6 | 121606 | 2145 | 3375 | 1069 | 5/8 | P3 slide debris |
| T1-7 | 121608 | 2155 | 3425 | 1073 | S/B | P3 slide debris |
| T1-8 | 121609 | 2180 | 3460 | 1070 | $5 / 8$ | P3 slide debris |
| 11-9 | 121610 | 2230 | 3550 | 1076 | $5 / 8$ | P3 slide debris |
| 11-9.5 | 121611 | 2255 | 3590 | 1077 | S/C | 3m, PI rhyolite colluv., base of P3 slide debris |
| 11-10 | 121612 | 2290 | 3630 | 1083 | S/8 | in suall gully, surrounded by P3 slide debris |
| 11-11 | 121613 | 2350 | 3700 | 1097 | S/B | P3 slide debris |
| II-12 | 121614 | 2430 | 3730 | 1120 | S/8 | P3 slide debris |
| T1-13 | 121615 | 2435 | 3735 | 1116 | $5 / \mathrm{C}$ | 2m, Pl rhyolite colluv., base of P3 slide debris |
| I1-14 | 121616 | 2480 | 3725 | 1130 | S/C | 1.5m, P1 soil under P3 slide debris |
| T1-15 | 121617 | 2525 | 3745 | 1142 | 5/C | 0.41 l , weathered pl soil |
| 71-16 | 121618 | 2580 | 3750 | 1140 | 5/8 |  |
| 11-17 | 121619 | 2630 | 3760 | 1150 | 5/B |  |
| 11-1.5 | 121620 | 2110 | 3215 | 1055 | RC | Qtz diorite breccia float in P1 debris |
| T2-1 | 121621 | 2660 | 3710 | 1190 | $5 / 8$ |  |
| I2-2 | 121622 | 2680 | 3660 | 1235 | 5/8 |  |
| T2-3 | 121623 | 2640 | 3620 | 1235 | S/B | P1 colluv. with veneer of P3 slide debris |
| T2-4 | 121624 | 2605 | 3580 | 1247 | S/8 | Pl colluv. with veneer of P3 slide debris |
| T2-5 | 121625 | 2575 | 3530 | 1255 | $5 / B$ |  |
| 12-6 | 121626 | 2560 | 3480 | 1260 | S/8 |  |
| T2-7 | 121627 | 2565 | 3420 | 1275 | 5/B | 30. $N$ of line |
| 12-8 | 121628 | 2520 | 3385 | 1272 | 5/8 | amongst P3 slide blocks |
| T2-9 | 121629 | 2510 | 3335 | 1272 | S/B | vest edge of P3 boulder field |
| T2-10 | 121630 | 2500 | 3300 | 1265 | S/B | shallow gully, seepage area |
| T3-1 | 121631 | 2450 | 3305 | 1250 | S/B |  |
| 13-2 | 121632 | 2400 | 3305 | 1210 | 5/8 | Pl soil and P3 slide debris |
| T3-3 | 121633 | 2350 | 3325 | 1170 | $5 / 8$ |  |
| T4-1 | 121634 | 2035 | 3190 | 1050 | 5/8 | belou gtz diorite outcrep |
| T4-2 | 121635 | 2075 | 3140 | 1045 | S/B |  |
| T4-3 | 121636 | 2110 | 3120 | 1080 | S/B |  |
| T4-4 | 121637 | 2155 | 3095 | 1110 | $5 / B$ |  |
| T4-4A | 121638 | 2170 | 3115 | 1110 | S/B | Pl debris, in gully 30 E of line |
| T4-5 | 121639 | 2210 | 3080 | 1148 | S/8 | on blazed clai line |
| T4-6 | 121640 | 2260 | 3090 | 1160 | $9 / 8$ |  |
| 14-7 | 121641 | 2310 | 3100 | 1188 | S/B |  |
| T4-8 | 121642 | 2360 | 3110 | 1215 | 9/B |  |
| T4-9 | 121643 | 2415 | 2105 | 1235 | $9 / B$ |  |
| T4-10 | 121644 | 2465 | 3135 | 1250 | 9/B |  |
| T4-11 | 121645 | 2515 | 3140 | 1265 | S/B |  |
| T4-11A | 121646 | 2515 | 3170 | 1272 | RC | quartz float, botton of steep meadow |
| T4-12 | 121647 | 2565 | 3130 | 1297 | \$/B |  |
| T4-13 | 121648 | 2615 | 3125 | 1330 | S/8 |  |
| 14-14 | 121649 | 2665 | 3125 | 1363 | S/B |  |
| 14-15 | 121650 | 2715 | 3120 | 1397 | S/8 |  |
| 14-16 | 121651 | 2770 | 3110 | 1430 | S/8 |  |
| 14-17 | 121652 | 2820 | 3110 | 1450 | S/8 |  |
| T4-18 | 121653 | 2870 | 3105 | 1480 | S/B |  |
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Noranda Exploration Co. Lta. PROJECT 8909-030 127 File $\neq 89-3487$ Page 1
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|  | 5 | 31 | 326 | ． 8 | 4 | 3 | 476 | 1.21 | ？ | 5 | NO | 1 | 9 | $!$ | ？ | 2 | 25 | ． 08 | ．03？ | ； | 5 | ． 11 | 170 | ． 02 | 2 | ． 32 | ． 34 | ． 05 | 1 | ； |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1215i0 6 1 | 5 | 13 | 158 | 1.2 | 4 | 2 | 198 | 1.29 | 5 | 5 | vo | 1 | 9 | 1 | $?$ | ？ | 16 | ． 19 | ． 034 | 11 | 5 | ． 05 | 11. | ． 01 | 2 | ． 96 | ． 8 | ． | 1 | ？ |  |
| 121E4 71 | 6 | 40 | 236 | 1.0 | 4 | 6 | 612 | 2.35 | 2 | 5 | HD | ， | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 31 | ．！ | ． 055 | 5 | 4 | ． 52 | 103 | ． 01 | 2 | 1.13 | ． 04 | ． 5 ？ | 1 | 1 |  |
| 12154 e 1 | 10 | 51 | 324 | ． 1 | 6 | 1 | 152 | 2．05 | 5 | 5 | YD | 1 | 9 | ！ | ？ | 2 | 27 | ．$:$ | ． 055 | 1 | 5 | ． 30 | 19： | ． 01 | ： | 1．2？ | ． 64 | $\hat{A}^{\circ}$ | 1 | 12 |  |
| 1213ij T4．9 | i4 | 59 | 267 | 1.5 | 6 | 5 | 595 | 2.74 | 12 | 5 | ND | 1 | 11 | 1 | ？ | 2 | 30 | ． 07 | ． 013 | 9 | 7 | ． 27 | 13 ？ | ． 01 | ？ | 1.91 | ． 05 | ． 5 | 1 | 9 |  |
| 12.644 T4．バ1 | 11 | 38 | 157 | 1.1 | 5 | 4 | 331 | 2.13 | $!$ | 5 | ND | 1 | 3 | ， | ？ | 2 | ：3 | ． 06 | ． 138 | 6 | 4 | ． 20 | 109 | ． 81 | 2 | ． 85 | A5 | ． $0:$ | 1 | 5 |  |
| 121645 11 1 | 14 | 16 | 162 | 1.1 | j | 6 | 1989 | 2． 23 | $i$ | ； | ND | $!$ | ：2 | $?$ | ？ | 2 | 32 | ． 10 | ． 050 | 6 | 6 | ． 23 | 234 | ． 01 | 2 | 1.04 | ． 05 | ． 08 | 1 | ， |  |
| 121547121 | 28 | 100 | 304 | 1.1 | $!$ | 10 | 1855 | 3.29 | 8 | 5 | H0 | 1 | 14 | 1 | ？ | 2 | ：9 | ． 31 | ． 092 | 12 | 6 | ． 55 | 259 | ． 81 | ？ | 1.19 | ． 0 ： | ．$:$ | 1 | 13 |  |
| 121：18 13 1 | 32 | 170 | 294 | 1.8 | 3 | 10 | 2105 | 3.15 | 18 | 5 | $n \mathrm{~N}$ | 1 | 18 | ， | 2 | 2 | 30 | ． 32 | ． 081 | 30 | 6 | ． 67 | 228 | ． 3 | 2 | 1.35 | .05 | ．${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | $!$ | 12 |  |
| 121619 r4－．4 1 | 30 | 106 | 389 | 1.7 | 11 | 10 | 201： | 3.19 | 11 | $\cdots 5 \%$ | 10 | 1 | 1： | 1 | ： | 2 | 26 | ． 30 | ． 263 | 14 | 6 | ． 48 | 159 | ． 11 | 2 | 1.31 | ． 35 | $\therefore 5$ | 1 | 317 |  |
| 1215\％т4．151 | 17 | $3!$ | 259 | ． 9 | 7 | 1 | 1806 | 2.36 | 4 | 5 | N8 | 1 | 23 | 3 | ？ | ？ | 20 | ． 32 | ．1：9 | 12 | 3 | ． 26 | 25 | .01 | 6 | ． 95 | ． $0:$ | $\therefore 4$ | 1 | 9 |  |
| 12：531 心2 | $2!$ | 53 | 175 | 1.1 | 6 | 5 | 1312 | 2． 11 | 12 | 5 | ND | 1 | 25 | $!$ | － | 2 | 35 | ． 19 | ． 892 | 9 | 6 | ． 25 | 31 | ． 31 | 2 | 1.10 | ． i | ！ | 1 | i |  |
| 12：55 17 ！ | 18 | 67 | 157 | ． 9 | 6 | 7 | 1946 | 2.37 | ！？ | 5 | ND | 1 | 28 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 32 | ． 35 | ． 063 | 11 | 6 | ． 21 | 235 | ． 01 | 2 | ． 86 | ． 0 | ． $3!$ | 1 | ！ |  |
|  | 20 | 59 | 175 | ． 9 | 8 | 1 | 16 C, | 2.54 | 10 | 5 | ND | $!$ | 3 | 1 | ？ | 2 | 38 | ． 20 | ．071 | 10 | 9 | ． 25 | $29 ?$ | ． 01 | 3 | 1.43 | ． 17 | ．$\because$ | 1 | 8 |  |
| 1216：4 74.19 ： | 19 | 85 | 192 | 1.0 | 1 | 8 | 1807 | 2.82 | 13 | 5 | WD | 1 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 33 | ． 18 | ． 089 | 11 | 1 | ． 28 | $21 ;$ | ． 01 | 2 | 1.05 | ． 04 | .10 | 1 | 6 |  |
| 12155：Ta－20： | 29 | 51 | 160 | 1.1 | 9 | 5 | 180 | 3．5？ | 19 | 5 | \＄0 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 31 | ．12 | ． 069 | 11 | 10 | ． 35 | 151 | ． 01 | 2 | 1.50 | ． 85 | ． 6 | 1 | 8 |  |
| 1215ja 211 | 14 | 56 | 103 | 1.1 | ； | 3 | 358 | 2.15 | 8 | 5 | N0 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 36 | ．15 | ． 057 | 7 | 5 | ． 13 | 128 | ． 03 | 2 | 1.06 | ． $5:$ | ． 36 | 1 | ： |  |
| 1216： 23 ！ | 21 | 202 | ：80 | 1.0 | 8 | 8 | 1981 | 2.85 | $1:$ | 5 | N | 1 | 11 | 1 | $?$ | ？ | 28 | ． 18 | ．07\％ | 12 | 6 | ． 35 | ：94 | ． 01 | $i$ | 1.69 | ． 24 | ．${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 1 | \％ |  |
| 121508 24： | 23 | ．15？ | $2: 1$ | 1.4 | 9 | 8 | 1627 | 2.96 | 18 | 5 | WD | 1 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 32 | $\therefore 9$ | ． 094 | 11 | 6 | ． 31 | 215 | ． 01 | 4 | 1.03 | ． 0 S | ． $1:$ | 1 | 3 ？ |  |
| ！115： | 29 | 116 | ？ 29 | ： .1 | 9 | 10 | 2121 | $\therefore .10$ | 14 | 5 | ND | 1 | ？ | 1 | ？ | ？ | 31 | ．1！ | ． 885 | 21 | 1 | ． 51 | 144 | ． 01 | 3 | 1.11 | ． 4 | $\therefore$ | $!$ | ：9 |  |
| 12：550 T4．2C 1 | 38 | 116 | 218 | 2.2 | 8 | 9 | 1592 | 3.11 | 9 | 1 | N0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 19 | ． $1!$ | ． 05 | 19 | 3 | ． 43 | 149 | ． 01 | 0 | 1.18 | ．i4 | ． 0 | $!$ | 12 |  |
| $12: 501$ T5－1 1 | 11 | 58 | 251 | 1.1 | 3 | 5 | 392 | 3.12 | 3 | 5 | 10 | $!$ | 37 | $!$ | $!$ | 2 | 3 | ． 24 | ． 052 | 7 | 5 | ．2？ | 125 | ． 02 | ？ | 1．10 | ． 05 | ． 3 | ： | j |  |
| 12106？ 21 | 20 | ल－34 | 675 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 2080 | 3.09 | 33 | 5 | ND | 2 | 41 | ？ | $\dagger$ | i | 22 | ． 29 | ． 809 | 11 | 3 | ． 28 | 543 | ． 01 | 2 | ． 9 | ． 37 | ． | 2 | 2 | $\bigcirc$ |
| $121563-31$ | 15 | 134 | 481 | 2.1 | 4 | 1 | 128 | 1．9！ | 19 | 5 | 4D | 1 | 11 | 1 | $?$ | $?$ | 14 | ． 15 | ． 046 | 10 | 3 | ． 17 | 130 | ． 01 | ？ | ． 37 | ． 04 | ． 11 | 1 | \％ |  |
| 1215：1 TF－4 3 | 14 | 117 | 151 | 1.1 | $?$ | 2 | 424 | 1.86 | 8 | 5 | HD | 1 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | .05 | ．123 | 11 | ？ | ． 04 | 279 | ． 01 | 10 | ． 27 | ． 03 | ． 3 | 1 | 21 |  |
| 1！$!565$ 55．5 | 15 | 971 | 7185 | 12.35 | $!$ | 1 | 5232 | 2．93 | 34 | 5 | MD | 1 | 20 | 4 | （1） | 2 | 5 | ． 15 | ．08？ | 11 | ？ | ． 05 | ！17 | ． 01 | ？ | ． 52 | ． 05 | $\therefore 1$ | 3 | $36^{*}$ |  |
| 1215t：TCOI 1 | 9 | 222 | 215 | 2.0 | 7 | 3 | 487 | 3．32 | 50 | 5 | YD | 1 | 18 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 22 | ． 11 | ． 206 | 19 | 5 | ． 32 | 109 | ． 01 | 2 | ． 83 | ． 4 | ． 25 | 1 | 2？ |  |
| ：2160才 T6 ᄂ 1 | 41 | 216 | 613 | 1.1 | 11 | 1 | ：520 | 3.90 | 18 | ？ | HD | 1 | 17 | 1 | － | 2 | 31 | ． 19 | ． 139 | 9 | 11 | ． 36 | 39 | ． Cl | 2 | 1.70 | ． 35 | ． 0 | 1 | 28： |  |
| 12156：T6 ${ }^{3} 1$ | 10 | 200 | 68 | 1.1 | 2 | 1 | 116 | 2.17 | 6 | 5 | ND | 1 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 9 | ． 10 | ．05i | 15 | 1 | ． 19 | 97 | ． 01 | 2 | ． 53 | ． 03 | ． 95 | 1 | ¢ |  |
| 12！669 「¢．4 1 | 33 | 94 | 299 | 2.2 | 10 | 9 | 431 | 2.30 | 24 | 5 | HD | ！ | 35 | 1 | ： | 2 | 30 | ． 40 | ．11？ | 10 | 10 | ． 17 | 159 | ． 01 | ： | ！．42 | ． 24 | ． 39 | ， | 9 |  |
| 121670 TL．-1 | 22 | 30 | 129 | 1.0 | 10 | 7 | 154 | 2.18 | ； | 5 | V0 | $!$ | 28 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 19 | ． 19 | ． 013 | 7 | 7 | ． 25 | 210 | ． 01 | 2 | 2.02 | ． 05 | ． 87 | 1 | $?$ |  |
| 121671 r7－1 1 | 11 | 48 | 252 | 1.2 | 6 | f | 513 | 2.15 | 4 | ； | NO | 1 | 11 | 1 | ： | ？ | 27 | ． 12 | ． 058 | d | 5 | ． 26 | 148 | ． 01 | 2 | 1.04 | ． 3. | ． 3 S | 1 | $4{ }^{4}$ |  |
| 12159 5 － 1 | 18 | 82 | 340 | 1.2 | 5 | 1 | 186 | 3.07 | 8 | 5 | HD | 1 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 31 | ． 11 | ．083 | 10 | 6 | ． 29 | 112 | ． 01 | 3 | ． 92 | ． 04 | ． 57 | 1 | 35 |  |
| 12：673 $57-3$ 1 | 12 | 16 | 102 | 1.4 | 6 | 5 | 1938 | 2.15 | 9 | 5 | ND | 1 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3？ | ． 15 | ． 061 | 3 | 5 | ． 34 | 240 | ． 02 | 2 | 1.01 | ． 03 | ． 8 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 121574 T $7 \cdot 3 / 41$ | 18 | 45 | 112 | ． 5 | 8 | 1 | 1068 | 2.50 | 5 | 5 | ND | 1 | 23 | $!$ | 2 | 2 | 38 | ． 26 | ． 080 | 8 | 8 | ． 18 | 114 | ． 02 | 2 | 1.19 | ． 03 | ． 10 | 1 | 15 |  |
| 121675 Tフー4 1 | 11 | 61 | 373 | ． 8 | 6 | 6 | 613 | 2.92 | ； | ！ | WD | 1 | 34 | 1 | ？ | 2 | 31 | ． 15 | ． 088 | 8 | 1 | ． 19 | 140 | ． 01 | ？ | ． 97 | ． 04 | ． 23 | 1 | 7 |  |
| 570 C／Av－s 11 | 59 | 38 | 132 | 5.50 | 72 | 30 | 1028 | 3.97 | 41 | 22 | 1 | 36 | 47 | 18 | 14 | 20 | 59 | ． 45 | ． 093 | 33 | 56 | ． 90 | 175 | ． 07 | 34 | 1.88 | ． 20 | ． 1 | 11 | $5!$ |  |
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| SMASE: Mo | CH | ? 6 | 25 | ds | Ni | Co | nc | Fe | As | 0 | A4 | If | $5:$ | cd | Sb | Bi | $V$ | Ca | ? | Ld | cr | ng | 38 | $1 i$ | 3 | 11 | Ya | ! | 7 | 'vt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ? PR | ? | 29\% | P! | PPK | P! | PPM | PPY | $\}$ | ? P\% | P9! | PPY | PPM | P! M | Pon | PP! | \% 8.4 | PPY | \} | ; | P? | 99 | ! | \% 3 | $\}$ | \% $\%$ | \% | \% | 1 | ? $9 \times$ | 335 |
| Soul ises 97.51 | 15 | 51 | 326 | . 4 | 6 | 6 | $62 ?$ | 2.60 | ! | ; | HD | 1 | 18 | 1 | ? | ? | 35 | . 15 | . 351 | 6 | 7 | . 11 | 115 | . 01 | 2 | 1.12 | . 23 | . 05 | $!$ | 15 |
| Siltiscs o, ? | : | ! 3 | 609 | . 9 | 5 |  | 653 | 6.33 | 15 | , | Y 1 | : | 35 | 1 | 2 | ? | ! | . 13 | $\therefore 14$ | ? | 5 | . 41 | 131 | . 21 | ? | :.3i | . 11 | . 27 | 1 | 5 |
| Suil:38:5 D2: | 5 | 31 | .75 | . | 4 | 9 | 52: | 1.37 | 3 | 5 | SD | 1 | 20 | 1 | 2 | ? | 23 | . 15 | . 089 | ; | 8 | . 25 | 85 | . $0:$ | : | . 83 | . 2 ? | . 10 | 1 | 13 |
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| S3MP: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H0 | Cu | Pb | 20 | 29 | Ni | Co | Hn | Ie | As | U | Av | Th | 55 | Cd | Sb | $8 i$ | $V$ | ta | ? | La | C: | Ng | B8 | 7. | 8 | A! | $3_{1}$ | I | V | $14 \times$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ? | PPM | P? | PPM | PPM | 3 PH | PPY | P8! | PPK | 1 | PPM | PRK | PPY | PPK | ? P\% | SPK | PPM | ? | PPK | 3 | $\}$ | PPY | PPY | $\}$ | Pow | 1 | P! M | , | 1 | $\}$ | Prs | ! |
| V.380? Acol | 1 | 23 | 15 | 112 | $\therefore$ | 13 | 12 | 1090 | 4.14 | 5 | 5 | NT | 1 | 222 | 1 | ? | 2 | 56 | 4.32 | . 156 | 11 | 14 | 1.28 | 185 | . 03 | 6 | . 97 | . 01 | . 19 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 1 | 26 | 31 | $14 i$ | . | 11 | $1:$ | 1195 | 3.91 | 1 | 5 | NO | 1 | ! 11 | 1 | ? | , | 39 | 3.9? | . 111 | 11 | $1 i$ | :1.12 | :19 | . 92 | 1 | . 33 | . 01 | . 09 | 1 | 2 |
| -:005 $3=02$ | 1 | 36 | 20 | 100 | . | 12 | 12 | 963 | 3.61 | 3 | 5 | ND | 1 | 125 | ! | ? | 2 | ;8 | 2.69 | . 158 | 12 | 13 | . 90 | 112 | . 0 ? | 18 | . 91 | . 01 | . 0 | $!$ | ! |
| :13!: D3 | 1 | 15 | 10 | $\vdots 38$ | . 3 | ? | ? | 1919 | 2.29 | 2 | ; | W0 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 2 | ? | 31 | . 41 | . 015 | 1 | 9 | . 37 | 95 | . 02 | 2 | . 31 | . 01 | . 07 | 1 | 3 |
| :j9!: D4 | $!$ | 19 | 29 | 187 | . | 3 | 5 | 999 | :. 31 | 2 | ; | HD | 1 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 34 | . 31 | . 047 | 6 | 8 | . 36 | 67 | . 03 | 1 | . 13 | . 02 | . 08 | 1 | 2 |
| 233:2 D 5 | 1 | 33 | 31 | 242 | . 5 | 9 | 8 | $1: 12$ | 2.51 | 2 | 5 | MD | 1 | 14 | $!$ | 2 | 1 | 28 | . $5:$ | . 043 | 9 | 1 | .17 | 101 | . 01 | 1 | 1.04 | . 12 | . 09 | ! | $?$ |
| 3381? 19 C. | 1 | 15 | 31 | 195 | . 3 | 9 | 1 | 967 | 2.38 | ? | 5 | ND | 1 | $\vdots 0$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 29 | . 46 | .045 | 7 | 7 | . 16 | 107 | . 01 | ? | . 96 | . 02 | . 09 | 1 | - |
| 390 C/AU-s | 19 | 58 | 12 | 132 | -.5 | 71 | 31 | 1023 | 1.i5 | 42 | 18 | 1 | ¢1 | 48 | 18 | 15 | 20 | 60 | . 52 | . 092 | 38 | 55 | . 93 | 175 | . 07 | 31 | 2.03 | . 06 | . 13 | 12 | 49 |

Silts


Rocks
$\qquad$

-
N. E. Pari-cari: eritire sample used far Au determinaticorı. *Cu, Zri, Pb, Ag Values cibtairied frarn Aqua Regia sal'r. .
Pans

## ANALYTICAL METHOD DESCRIPTIONS FOR GEOCHEMICAL ASSESSMENT REPORTS

The methods listed are presently applied to analyse geological materials by the Noranda Geochemical Laboratory at Vancouver.

## Preparation of Samples:

Sediments and soils are dried at approximately $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sieved with a 80 mesh nylon screen. The -80 mesh ( 0.18 mm ) fraction is used for geocheraical analysis.

Rock specimens are pulverized to -120 mesh ( 0.13 mm ). Heavy mineral fractions (panned samples $*$ from constant volume), are analysed in its entirety, when it is to be determined for gold without further sample preparation.

## Analysis of Samples:

Decomposition of a 0.200 g sample is done with concentrated perchloric and nitric acid (3:1), digested for 5 hours at reflux temperature. Pulps of rock or core are weighed out at 0.4 g and chemical quantities are doubled relative to the above noted method for digestion.

The concentrations of $\mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{V}$ and Zn can be determined directly from the digest (dissolution) with a conventional atomic absorption spectrometric procedure. A Varian-Techtron, Model AA-5 or Model AA-475 is used to measure elemental concentrations.

Elements Requiring Specific Decomposition Method:
Antimony - $\mathrm{Sb}: \quad 0.2 \mathrm{~g}$ sample is attacked with 3.3 ml of $6 \%$ tartaric acid, 1.5 ml conc. hydrochloric acid and 0.5 ml of conc. nitric acid, then heated in a water bath for 3 hours at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sb is determined directly from the dissolution with an AA-475 equipped with electrodeless discharge lamp (EDL).

Arsenic - As: 0.2-0.3 g sample is digested with 1.5 ml of perchloric $70 \%$ and 0.5 ml of conc. nitric acid. A Varian $A A-475$ equipped with an As-EDL is used to measure arsenic content in the digest.

Barium - Ba: 0.1 g sample digested overnight with conc. perchloric, nitric and hydrofluoric acid; Potassium chloride added to prevent ionization. Atomic absorption using a nitrous oxide-acetylene flame determines Ba from the aqueous solution.

Bismuth - Bi: 0.2-0.3g is digested with 2.0 ml of perchloric $70 \%$ and 1.0 ml of conc. nitric acid. Bismuth is determined directly from the digest with an AA-475 complete with EDL.

Gold - Au: 10.0 g sample is digested with aqua regia (l part nitric and 3 parts hydrochloric acid). Gold is extracted with MIBK from the aqueous solution. $A A$ is used to determine $A u$.

Magnesium - Mg: $0.05-0.10 \mathrm{~g}$ sample is digested with 4 ml perchloric/nitric acid (3:1). An aliquot is taken to reduce the concentration to within the range of atomic absorption. The AA-475 with the use of a nitrous oxide flame determines $M g$ from the aqueous solution.

Tungsten - W: 1.0 g sample sintered with a carbonate flux and thereafter leached with water. The leachate is treated with potassium thiocyanate. The yellow tungsten thiocyanate is extracted into tri-n-butyl phosphate. This permits colourimetric comparison with standards to measure tungsten concentration.

Uranium - U: An aliquot from a perchloric-nitric decomposition, usually from the multi-element digestion, is buffered. The aqueous solution is exposed to laser light, and the luminescence of the uranyl ion is quantitatively measured on the UA-3 (Scintrex).
N.B.: If additional elemental deteminations are required on panned samples, state this at the time of sample submission. Requests after gold determinations would be futile.

LOWEST VALUES REPORTED IN PPM:

| $\mathrm{Ag}-0.2$ | $\mathrm{Mn}-20$ | $\mathrm{Zn}-1$ | $\mathrm{Au}-0.01$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cd}-0.2$ | $\mathrm{Mo}-1$ | $\mathrm{Sb}-1$ | $\mathrm{~W}-2$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}-1$ | $\mathrm{Ni}-1$ | $\mathrm{As}-1$ | $\mathrm{U}-0.1$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-1$ | $\mathrm{~Pb}-1$ | $\mathrm{Ba}-10$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-100$ | $\mathrm{~V}-10$ | $\mathrm{Bi}-1$ |  |















[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nevin Sadlier-Brown Goodbrand, 1980. 1979 drilling and exploration program, Meager Creek geothermal area, British Columbia. Report prepared for B.C. Hydro.

