Off Confidential: 90.12.11 Dis.rict Geologist, Nelson ASSESSMENT REPORT 19809 MINING DIVISION: Fort Steele **PROPERTY:** Fors 49 21 00 115 55 00 LOCATION: LAT LONG 11 5466710 578682 UTM NTS 082G05W CAMP: 001 Purcell Belt (Sullivan) CLAIM(S) : Puma, Puma 1-3, Cougar 1-3 OPERATOR(S): Placer Dome AUTHOR(S): Maheux, P.J. 1990, 45 Pages REPORT YEAR: COMMODITIES SEARCHED FOR: Lead, Zinc, Silver KEYWORDS : Proterozoic, Purcell Supergroup, Aldridge Formation, Quartz arenites Siltstones, Quartzites, Pyrite, Pyrrhotite, Galena, Sphalerite Chalcopyrite WORK DONE : Geological, Geochemical GEOL 2725.0 ha Map(s) - 2; Scale(s) - 1:10 000,1:200 110 sample(s) ;CU,PB,ZN,AU,AG,AS ROCK Map(s) - 1; Scale(s) - 1:10 000115 sample(s) ;CU,PB,ZN,AU,AG,AS SILT Map(s) - 1; Scale(s) - 1:10 000FILMED SOIL 751 sample(s) ;CU,PB,ZN,AU,AG,AS Map(s) - 24; Scale(s) - 1:2500RELATED **REPORTS**: 18575 082GSW035 MINFILE:

GEOLOGY AND GEOCHEMISTRY REPORT

on the

FORS PROPERTY

PUMA and COUGAR CLAIMS

LOG NO:	0321	RD
NO RUN:		
FILE NO:		

Fort Steele Mining Division N.T.S. 82 G/5W

Latitude: 49°21'N Longitude: 115°55'W

Owners: L.D. Morgan, J.E. Morgan, R.T. Banting, C.R. Kennedy

Operator: Placer Dome Inc.

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

P.J. Maheux

March, 1990

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SUMMARY	1
3.0	RECOMMENDATIONS	2
4.0	 PROPERTY DEFINITION 4.1 Location and Access 4.2 Physiography 4.3 Claim Status 4.4 Exploration History 4.5 Summary of Work 	2 2 5 5 6
5.0	ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT	6
6.0	REGIONALGEOLOGY	7
7.0	 PROPERTY GEOLOGY 7.1 Lithology 7.2 Structure 7.3 Alteration and Mineralization 	8 8 8 8
8.0	 GEOCHEMISTRY 8.1 Objective 8.2 Stream Sediments 8.2.1 Sample Collection, Preparation and Analysis 8.2.2 Results 8.2.3 Discussion and Interpretation 8.3 Soils 8.3.1 Orientation Survey 8.3.2 Sample Collection, Preparation and Analysis 8.3.3 Results 8.3.4 Discussion and Interpretation 8.4 Rock 8.4.1 Sample Collection, Preparation and Analysis 8.4.2 Results 8.4.3 Discussion and Interpretation 	11 11 11 12 12 13 13 14 14 15 15 15 16 16
9.0	STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES	17
10.0	CONCLUSIONS	18
11.0	REFERENCES	19

LIST OF FIGURES

PAGE

Figure	1:	Location of the Fors Property, 1:250,000	3
Figure	2	Claim Map, 1:50,000	4
Figure	3:	Geology of the Fors Property, 1:10,000	In Pocket
Figure	4:	Rock Sample Location Map, 1:10,000	In Pocket
Figure	5:	Main Showing Geology and Sample Locations, 1:200	In Pocket
Figure	6	Soil and Stream Geochemistry Sample Location Map, 1:10,000	In Pocket
Figure '	7a: 7b:	Main Showing Grid: Zinc in Soil Main Showing Grid: Lead in Soil	In Pocket
Figure	8a: 8b:	Main Showing Grid: Copper in Soil Main Showing Grid: Arsenic in Soil	In Pocket
Figure	9a: 9b:	Main Showing Grid: Silver in Soil Main Showing Grid: Gold in Soil	In Pocket
Figure	10a: 10b:	Boulder Soil Grid: Zinc in Soil Boulder Soil Grid: Lead in Soil	In Pocket
Figure	lla: 11b:	Boulder Soil Grid: Copper in Soil Boulder Soil Grid: Arsenic in Soil	In Pocket
Figure	12a: 12b:	Boulder Soil Grid: Silver in Soil Boulder Soil Grid: Gold in Soil	In Pocket
Figure	13a: 13b:	Little Lamb Creek Grid: Zinc in Soil Little Lamb Creek Grid: Copper in Soil	In Pocket
Figure	14a: 14b:	Little Lamb Creek Grid: Arsenic in Soil Little Lamb Creek Grid: Lead in Soil	In Pocket
Figure	15a: 15b:	Little Lamb Creek Grid: Silver in Soil Little Lamb Creek Grid: Gold in Soil	In Pocket
Figure	16a: 16b:	Gold Hill Creek Grid: Zinc in Soil Gold Hill Creek Grid: Lead in Soil	In Pocket
Figure	17a: 17b:	Gold Hill Creek Grid: Copper in Soil Gold Hill Creek Grid: Arsenic in Soil	In Pocket
Figure	18a: 18b:	Gold Hill Creek Grid: Silver in Soil Gold Hill Creek Grid: Gold in Soil	In Pocket

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:Claim Status

APPENDICES

- Appendix 1: Statement of Qualifications
- Appendix 2Stream Sediment Sample Analytical Results
- Appendix 3: Soil Sample Analytical Results
- Appendix 4: Rock Sample Analytical Results
- Appendix 5: Analytical Extraction and Detection Techniques

5

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Placer Dome Inc. conducted geological and geochemical surveys on the Fors property from May to July, **1989.** The Fors property comprises the Puma, Puma 1 to **3** and Cougar 1 to **3** mineral claims.

The Fors Property is in the Moyie Range of the Purcell Mountains about 17 kilometres southwest of Cranbrook, British Columbia in the Fort Steele Mining Division. Exploration work in **1989** was of **an** early stage designed to investigate the potential for Sullivan-style sedimentary exhalative Pb-Zn-Ag mineralization in sedimentary rocks of the Proterozoic Middle Aldridge Formation.

This report addresses the results from the geologic mapping, prospecting and geochemical sampling on the Fors Property and is submitted in fulfillment of provincial assessment requirements.

2.0 SUMMARY

The property is underlain by the oldest rocks exposed in the Purcell anticlinorium which is within the Proterozoic Purcell Supergroup. The units are dominantly siliciclastic sedimentary rocks of the Lower and Middle Aldridge Formations. In the the southeast the right-lateral, reverse Moyie fault juxtaposes Aldridge rocks with a conformable package of younger Creston Formation siltstones and argillites. Creston strata conformably overlie carbonates and clastics of the Kitchener Formation that in turn conformably overlie Lower Aldridge argillite, siltstone and quartzite. The Moyie Intrusives are gabbro sills that intrude the lower, and Lower part of the Middle Aldridge Formations. Regional metamorphism is upper greenschist facies.

Geologic mapping and prospecting confirmed two previously identified styles of mineralization. Base metal sulphide mineralization (pyrite, sphalerite, galena, chalcopyrite) is hosted by Middle Aldridge siliciclastic rocks at the Main Showing. Minor sulphide mineralization (pyrite, sphalerite, chalcopyrite) occurs in quartz veins hosted by the Moyie sills. Mapping could not trace the favourable horizon or structure that hosts the main showing mineralization. Similarily, the source of several mineralized arenite cobbles and boulders is still unknown. Detailed mapping and sampling of the main showing was conducted to establish the extent and nature of alteration and mineralization.

Stream sediment sampling was carried out on all drainages that traverse the claims. Only low base metal concentrations were returned by silt sampling though several bulk sediment samples have enriched values in gold, ranging from 50 to 765 ppb.

A soil orientation geochemical survey and limited soil geochemical surveys on four grids were carried out to trace outcropping and float mineralization. Geochemically enriched concentrations of Pb, Zn and As are present in samples from the Main Showing Grid. Geochemical values for all other elements analyzed in soils from the Main Showing and the other three grids are low and reflect background concentrations. Grab samples of main showing sulphide mineralization, a large float boulder, and a few smaller float samples of mineralized arenite have the highest concentrations of Pb, Zn and Ag; 0.41 to 4.2%, 0.36 to 7.1% and 4.2 to 130 ppm, respectively.

The **1989** exploration programme delineated no new geological or geochemical targets that could support additional work at this time. Surface mineralization at the Main Showing is not economic.

Total expenditures that may be applied to assessment on the Fors Property in **1989** are **\$109,288.71**.

3.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that no new work be undertaken on the Fors property and the option agreement be terminated.

4.0 PROPERTY DEFINITION

4.1 Location and Access

The Fors Property is centred at **49°21'** N latitude and **115°55'** W longitude, **17** kilometres southwest of Cranbrook, British Columbia in the Fort Steele Mining Division (Figure 1).Access is by paved Highway **3/95** to Green Bay on Moyie Lake, west to Monroe Lake and along a well-maintained, gravel logging road to the property. Several older logging tracks afford four-wheel drive access within the property boundary.

The Canadian Pacific Railway line is approximately 3 kilometres to the northeast and a B.C. Hydro right-of-way parallels Highway 3/95 approximately 4 kilometres east of the claims.

42 Physiography

The property is west of the Rocky Mountain Trench in the Moyie Range of the Purcell Mountains. The claims he west of Moyie Lake and encorporate Little Lamb Creek and Gold Hill Creek, the main drainages on the property. The two creeks flow southeast to Lamb Creek, which in turn flows southeast to Moyie Lake.

The climate is transitional between maritime and continental exhibiting low to moderate mean monthly precipitation totals of **30** millimetres. Mean seasonal monthly high temperatures are comparable to Cranbrook at 26° C in July and -5° C in January.

The entire property is below timberline and has a maximum relief of approximately **850** metres. The claims lie between **1067** metres elevation (A.S.L.) in the southeast and **1,920** metres in the north (Figure 2).





The slopes are well timbered with spruce, larch, lodgepole pine, white pine, alpine fir and sparse to very thick underbrush. Parts of the property have been clear-cut logged. Partial reforestation took place during the 1989 exploration season. At lower elevations the slopes are mantled by Quaternary and Recent sand and gravel resulting in poor outcrop exposure. The best exposure is afforded at higher elevations and along road cuts.

Glacial striae were mapped at several locations and trend northnortheast. The direction of ice advance **was** probably to the northeast down the valley of Lamb Creek.

43 Claim Status

The Fors Property consists of seven contiguous mineral claims comprising **119** units jointly owned by Messrs. L. Morgan, C. Kennedy and R.T. Banting of Cranbrook, British Columbia and Mr. J. Morgan of Victoria, British Columbia. Table **1** summarizes the claim status of the property.

Claim Name	No. Units	Record No.	Anniv. Date
Puma	16	2876	April 27, 1993
Puma 1	12	2877	April 27, 1993
Puma 2	20	3044	April 27, 1993
Puma 3	15	3046	April 27, 1993
Cougar 1	20	3065	April 27, 1993
Cougar 2	16	3058	April 27, 1993
Cougar 3	20	3059	April 27, 1993

Table 1: Claim Status

4.4 Exploration History

The Fors Property and immediately surrounding area has been prospected at various times, particularly following the discovery of Pb-Zn-Ag mineralization in **1893** at St. Eugene on Moyie Lake. Several small pits, trenches and adits probably date to this period. During **1966** H. Fors of Kimberley discovered float boulders containing significant Pb-Zn mineralization.

The property was worked intermittently by Cominco Ltd. in **1966,1967**, **1976, 1978, 1982** and **1983.** Limited soil geochemical, geophysical and geological surveys and diamond drilling were carried out in the area of the Main Showing. As of **1967** Cominco workers had documented "significant values in Pb and Zn" in float boulders. Their exploration programmes were directed toward locating the source of this material.

Records of much of the Cominco work were not available to Placer Dome but some of Cominco's conclusions are known: 1) an EM survey showed no significant conductors; 2) soil geochemistry outlined Pb and Zn anomalies in the vicinity of the main showing; and 3) vein mineralization found during geological mapping was not considered economically important due to "poor grade and lack of continuity" (Richardson and Gifford, 1967?). According to Webber (1978), in a summary of exploration in the area, 7 diamond drill holes totalling 944 metres encountered no economic mineralization. Apparently, Cominco did not analyze for gold during their exploration programmes on the Fors Property.

4.5 Summary of Work

From May **16** to July 15, **1989** 240 person-days were spent conducting geological mapping, prospecting and geochemical sampling on the Fors Property. The field crew consisted of a project geologist, geologist and two field **assistants**. Operations were based at Green Bay on Moyie Lake and at Cranbrook, British Columbia.

The **p**roperty was mapped and prospected at 1:10,000 scale. Detailed mapping of the Main Showing at 1:100 scale was completed over an area 150 by **25** metres. Figure 3 illustrates the geology at 1:10,000 and Figure **4** is the rock sample location map. Figure **5** is the Main Showing geology and sample location map reduced to 1:200 scale.

A total of **58** silt, **57** bulk stream sediment, **751** soil and 110 rock samples were taken during the course of geochemical surveys. Most samples were analyzed at the Placer Dome Inc. laboratory in Vancouver. A total of 57 organic soil samples were submitted to Activation Laboratories Ltd. of Brantford, Ontario for neutron activation analyses. All samples were analyzed for copper, lead, zinc, silver, gold and arsenic. Some samples of mineralized arenite from the main showing and several float boulders were also analyzed for antimony.

Figure 6 shows stream sediment sample sites and the location of the soil survey grids. Figures 7 to 18 are individual element plots of copper, lead, zinc, silver, gold and arsenic in soil for each grid.

5.0 ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT

There are three deposit types of **p**otential economic interest in the region: 1) stratiform Pb-Zn-Ag sedimentary **exhalative** mineralization associated with the Lower-Middle Aldridge contact; 2) base metal-silver vein deposits cross-cutting Aldridge units; and 3) mineralized (base metal-Au) quartz veins in the gabbroic Moyie intrusives.

The most important economic deposit in the region is the Sullivan orebody near Kimberley, British Columbia. The deposit is a large, gently dipping iron-leadzinc sulphide body lying conformably in Proterozoic clastic sedimentary rocks of the Aldridge Formation at the Lower to Middle Aldridge transition time horizon. The orebody is a stratiform lens with subordinate bands covering an area of 1.6 by 2.0 kilometres composed almost entirely of pyrrhotite, sphalerite, galena and lesser pyrite. It is estimated to have orginally contained 160,000,000 tonnes of **28%** iron, 6% lead, **6%** zinc and **67** g/t silver (Hamilton *et al.*, **1982).** Cominco Ltd. has recently halted production at the Sullivan Mine citing declining metal prices and increasing production costs. Several base metal-silver vein deposits in the area have been historically exploited. The most prominent example is the St. Eugene mine that lies about 2,000 metres above the base of the Middle Aldridge on the east shore of Moyie Lake. The deposit is a ladder vein striking west-northwest and dipping steeply south. The ore is argentiferous galena and sphalerite with some tetrahedrite and chalcopyrite in a gangue of dominantly quartz. When reserves were exhausted in 1916, Production had totalled 931,431 tonnes grading 12% lead, 1% zinc and 200 g/t silver (Hoy et al., 1985). The vein system can be traced for 3,500 metres along strike and 1,400 metres down dip.

In the past gold has also been an exploration target in the region. The target of greatest interest was gold in quartz-sulphide veins associated with shears in the metagabbro Moyie intrusives. At least two adits were driven on quartz veins hosting sulphide mineralization on the Fors Property. There is no known record of production from these workings.

6.0 REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The following synopsis of the re ional geology of the Purcell Supergroup is based on that of Hamilton *et* al. (**19827** and Hoy (**1982**). Rocks underlying the Fors property are in the Purcell anticlinorium of the Purcell Supergroup, laterally equivalent to the Belt Supergroup in the United States. The Purcell Supergroup in southeast British Columbia constitutes a thick prism of dominantly clastic sedimentary rocks deposited in a large epicratonic basin at the western margin of the North American craton during Middle Proterozoic time.

The maximum thickness of sedimentary rocks of the Purcell Supergroup exceeds **10,000** metres. Earliest known sedimentation resulted in upward-fining sequences of quartz arenite, quartz-wacke and mudstone that comprise the basal Fort Steele Formation. The Fort Steele Formation is at least **200** metres thick.

Fine-grained clastic beds at the top of the Fort Steele Formation grade into rust weathering, fine-grained quartz-wacke and mudstone of the Aldridge Formation. The Aldridge Formation is at least **5,000** metres thick in the Purcell Mountains and grades upward over **300** metres through a sequence of carbonaceous mudstone and fine-grained quartz-wacke to the 1,800 metre thick Creston Formation. Creston strata are composed of grey, green and maroon quartz-wacke and mudstone with minor white arenite.

Conformably overlying the Creston Formation are **1,200** metres of green and grey dolomitic mudstone, buff-weathering dolomite and minor quartz arenite of the Kithchener Formation. The Kitchener is in turn overlain by **200** to **400** metres of green, slightly calcareous mudstone of the Siyeh Formation. The Siyeh is conformably and locally unconformably overlain by 0 to 500 metres of basaltic to andesitic flows of the Purcell Lava, which are taken to mark the close of lower Purcell sedimentation (Hamilton *et al.*, **1982**).

Resting with apparent conformity on the lower Purcell rocks are about **1,200** metres of **grey** to dark grey, calcareous and dolomitic mudstone and minor quartzwacke of the Dutch Creek Formation. In the western Purcell Mountains, the Dutch Creek Formation is overlain by about 1,000 metres of grey, green and maroon mudstone and calcareous mudstone of the Mount Nelson Formation. The close of Purcell sedimentation is marked by folding during the East Kootenay Orogeny (825 to **900** Ma; Hoy, **1982**) and disruption **of** the basin by large-scale vertical faults. Middle Proterozoic igneous activity in the Purcell sedimentary basin is dominated **by intrusion** of gabbroic sills of two ages. The oldest are the Moyie sills (1,430 Ma; Hamilton *et al.*, 1982) which are most common in the Aldridge Formation. Gabbroic sills can total 2,000 metres of thickness in an Aldridge section and are most abundant in the Lower Aldridge. The youngest event of gabbro intrusion is thought to be comagmatic with the **Pu**rcell lavas (1,075 Ma) (Hamilton *et al.*, 1982).

Lower Purcell sedimentary rocks have everywhere undergone metamorphism to at least greenschist facies. Minor areas of amphibolite facies metamorphism are restricted to the cores of large magnitude fold structures.

Purcell rocks are folded about north-trending axes to form the Purcell anticlinorium. Folds comprising the large structure are open and gentle with northplunging axes. Major faults (e.g. Moyie and St. Mary's faults), with a history of complex movement, disrupt the Purcell rocks and separate large areas further disrupted by block faulting. Both the Moyie and St. Mary's faults repeat lower Purcell strata on their northwest upthrown sides. There is evidence for repeated movement along the major faults beginning as early as the time of Purcell sedimentation (Hoy, 1982).

7.0 PROPERTY GEOLOGY

7.1 Lithology

Much of the 1:10,000 geological mapping of the Fors Property (Figure 4) in **1989** relied heavily on **1:50**,000 scale regional mapping by provincial government geologists (Hoy *et al.*, **1980**). *An* attempt was made, wherever possible, to place the property-scale mapping within the context of the regional government map.

The Fors Property is underlain dominantly by clastic rocks of the Purcell Supergroup of Heliki an and Hadrynian age. Units of the Lower and Middle Aldridge and Kitchener Formations, **as** well **as** at least three Moyie sills or sill-like bodies can be mapped on the property.

The oldest rocks in the map area are rust-weathering siltstone, quartz arenite and quartzite of the Lower Aldridge that outcrop in the southwest, and to a lesser extent in the east, in the hangingwall of the Moyie fault.

Grey-weathering, graded, quartz (quartz-feldspar) arenite and quartzwacke beds are representative of the Middle Aldridge on the property. These rocks are in inferred fault and conformable contact with Lower Aldrige rocks in the east and west, respectively. The boundary between the Lower and Middle Aldridge is gradational and **Hoy** *et al.* (1980) place it at the surface above which grey-weathering quartz-wacke beds predominate over siltite. This boundary was not observed on the property.

Grey-weathering, graded, quartz-wacke beds interpreted to be turbidite deposits occur throughout the Middle Aldridge. In general, the Middle Aldridge **Hartz-wacke** beds become thinner, less pure, and less volumetric up-section (H_{oy} *et al.*, 1980).

Three large (ranging from 50 to 100 metres apparent thickness) sill-like bodies of metagabbro that intrude Lower Aldridge beds outcrop irregularly in the west and are juxtaposed with Middle Aldridge quartzite arenite and quartzite to the east by the inferred Little Lamb Creek fault.

In the southeast, the Moyie fault places highly deformed Lower and Middle Aldridge rocks against less deformed, buff-weathering dolomitic siltstone and green siltstone of the Kitchener Formation.

72 Structure

The structural grain of the rocks underlying the Fors Property is northeast, paralleling the pronounced trend throughout the Purcell Mountains. Two major structural elements in the region, the Moyie anticline and the Moyie fault, have altered this structural domain.

The Moyie anticline is the dominant structure south of the Moyie fault. It is a northeast-plunging, upright, anticlinal fold. North of the fault, Lower and Middle Aldridge rocks are folded into moderately tight to dominantly open, north to northeast-trending folds. On a regional scale the folds are outlined by gabbroic sills whereas on the property scale moderate to intense deformation is indicated by bedding attitudes rotated through more than 90° in the eastern part of the property. Bedding intersections, with what are assumed to be axial planar cleavages, indicate local parasitic structural domains with fold amplitudes of up to 100 metres plunging 10° to 20° to the north and northwest.

The Moyie fault is an east-northeast-trending, north-dipping, right lateral, reverse fault. The fault outcrops in the southern portion of the property as a wide (60 metres in roadcut) zone of intense shearing in Lower? Aldrige quartz-wackes of the hangingwall. In the shear, these rocks are intermixed with Kitchener siltstones from the footwall.

Smaller-scale shears (e.g. Main Showing) mapped on the Property appear to mimic the Moyie fault with east-northeast orientations and shallow to moderate northwest dips. The prominent structure at the Main Showing strikes approximately 035°-045° and dips about 40° northwest. The shear can be mapped over a strike length of 15 metres crosscutting quartzite and quartz-wacke beds at shallow to moderate angles. It approaches 2.5 metres projected true width. No markers are present to afford a measurement of offset along this and similar structures.

Transverse shears in gabbro sills trend north-northwest and dip steeply to the west. These structures are often associated with hydrothermal alteration and quartz veining.

73 Alteration and Mineralization

Two previously identified styles of mineralization were mapped in outcrop on the Fors Property. Base metal sulphide mineralization at the main showing occurs within Middle aldride quartzite, quartz-feldspar arenite and minor argillaceous intercalations. //uartz veins in metagabbro sills host less significant base metal sulphide mineralization. Three alteration facies are present at the main showing (Figure 5); sulphide mineralization, argillization/sericitization and locally, silicification. The intensity of alteration and mineralization increases toward the main shear indicating strong structural control. Alteration boundaries do not conform to bedding planes; discordant, irregular alteration fronts are observed in the limited exposure of the main showing (Figure 5).

Argillic and sericitic alteration of the clastic rocks is common and consists of weak to complete replacement of feldspar in the matrix by white mica and clay minerals. Clay alteration is more pronounced in the argillaceous horizons. Sericite development is readily observed in the arenaceous rocks as a bleaching and attendant reduction in hardness. Relict textures are rarely retained.

Sulphide mineralization and silicification occur together and are generally restricted to the major zone of shearing or within a few metres of it. However, minor deposition of sulphides has occurred throughout the main showing map area and is characterized by a few per cent pyrite with subordinate pyrrhotite as fine disseminations (*ca.* 0.5 mm) in the matrix of arenaceous rocks.

The modal volume and number of sulphide phases increase toward the shear. Within 30 metres, pyrite locally totals 10% of the rock with an attendant increase in silicification. In the shear, the rock has been **completely** replaced by a mixture of quartz and sulphides. Sulphides occur **as bedding** parallel disseminations (1.0 millimetre or less) and rePlacement Patches (to 1.0 centimetre) where aggregates of pyrite, pyrrhotite, sphalerite, galena and chalcopyrite, in decreasing order of abundance, may compose 20% of the rock.

Two lenses of semi-massive sulphides (30 to **40%** sulphide phases) occur in the shear zone. The lenses are about **0.4** metre wide and 1.0 metre long paralleling the trend of the shear.

Elsewhere on the **p**roperty, quartz vein sulphide mineralization is hosted by gabbroic sills and to a **lesser** extent in Aldridge units. Similar mineralization has been documented in Aldridge rocks to the north and northwest. Veins in the more competent intrusives are larger and sustainable over greater strike lengths (Hoy *et al.*, 1985).

At least three quartz veins on the property have been worked in the **past**. The vein material is typically medium to coarse-grained and highly **fractured** with much iron and manganese staining. Often vuggy in habit, subhedral to euhedral **q**uartz encloses clots of chlorite, iron oxides, and occasionally sulphides. In some instances, aggregates of sulphides, dominantly pyrite and sphalerite with minor chalcopyrite, measure up to 1.0 centimetre and total **5%** of vein material. More often, highly pitted, weathered vein material exhibits a great deal of secondary iron and manganese oxides and occasionally copper carbonate.

8.0 GEOCHEMISTRY

8.1 Objectives

The stream sediment, soil and rock geochemistry programme had several objectives. Sampling of stream sediment was conducted to appraise the property with respect to undiscovered anomalous areas **as** well **as** determine the efficacy of drainage sediment surveys in delineating known metal anomalies. A soil geochemical orientation survey was conducted to determine the necessary information upon which to base operational procedures. Four grid areas were soil sampled to better delineate areas of known mineralization in outcrop and float. It was hoped that rock analyses would identify the **types of** lithology/horizon, structures and/or alteration associated with high metal values.

82 Stream Sediments

82.1 Sample Collection, Preparation and Analysis

An in-house technique termed "bulk stream sediment sampling" was developed by Placer Dome exploration personnel. It is specifically designed for use in detailed and semi-detailed stream sediment geochemical surveys where metals and metal-bearing minerals that give long mechanical dispersion trains are exploration targets. This **exploration** technique was employed on the Fors Property. Bulk stream sediment samples were collected every 200 to 300 metres along water courses (Figure 6) from natural drop-out sites for heavy minerals in the stream channels. Examples of these sites included plunge pools, riffles and the upstream side of channel bars. Stream sediments from the selected sites were wet sieved through a 20 mesh stainless steel screen and caught in an aluminum basin. A steel shovel was used to dig the sediment. Approximately two to three kilograms of sieved sample were collected and transferred to a plastic bag to complete a sample.

Conventional silt samples were collected at each sample station to identify any hydromorphically dispersed metal anomalies. The samples weighing from 200 to 300 grams were taken from quiescent parts of the stream channel. *An* effort was made to collect material of the same fineness and organic content at each site. A steel trowel was used to transfer the sample to a **kraft** paper bag. The samples were air-dried in the bags and then shipped for analysis.

Descriptions of the sample sites for both bulk sediment and silt samples were recorded and are on file in the Placer Dome Vancouver office. Stream channels draining the Fors Property are first to fourth order streams (Figure 6). Abrading channels predominate in the drainages. Near-bank sites, channel and point bars were the most favourable locations for bulk sediment sample collection. Discharge conditions ranged from low to normal during sampling. All stream bulk sediment and silt samples were analyzed at Placer Dome's laboratory in Vancouver. The bulk sediment samples were ovendried and sieved to produce a -150 mesh fraction. This fraction was geochemically analyzed for Au, Ag, Cu, Pb, Zn and As. Each bulk sediment sample was analyzed three times for gold in an attempt to address the erratic gold distribution in natural materials, i.e. the "nuggeteffect". Silt samples were oven-dried and sieved to produce a -80 mesh fraction that was also analyzed for Au, Ag, Cu, Pb, Zn and As. The extraction and analytical procedures used in the laboratory are summarized in Appendix 5.

8.2.2 Results

A list of the analytical results for the fifty-seven bulk stream sediment and silt samples is given in Appendix 2. The small number of samples precludes a statistical treatment of these data sets. Consequently only a visual inspection of the results was carried out.

Gold concentrations of less than **15** to 20 **p**pb in the bulk sediment samples are considered to be threshold and background values. Gold from 20 to 50 ppb is weakly anomalous; from 50 to 100ppb is moderately anomalous; while values greater than 100 ppb are highly anomalous.

The triplicate gold analyses for each stream sediment bulk sample demonstrates the erratic distribution of gold in natural materials and the necessity for multiple determinations. Highly anomalous concentrations of gold were obtained in a single **sp**lit from each of six bulk samples and range from 125 to **765** ppb. All five moderately anomalous values reported are from samples taken in the Little Lamb Creek drainage. Weakly anomalous values are reported for twenty-two samples.

The bulk sediments with the best gold results were collected from the lower reaches of Little Lamb Creek and just below its confluence with Lamb Creek. Two samples, **04774** and **04786**, from the upper reaches of Little Lamb Creek also returned highly anomalous concentrations of gold in at least one split.

Geochemical results for all other elements analyzed in the sample set are low and reflect background concentrations. Similarly, results returned from analyses of all silt samples are low and reflect background concentrations. Though one sample, FSS-53, returned a weakly anomalous value of 112 ppm for zinc.

8.2.3 Discussion and Interpretation

The **1989** stream sediment sampling programme delineated no base metal anomalies in either silt or bulk sediment samples. The moderate to highly anomalous gold values returned by several bulk sediment samples are the only results of interest. The majority of the highly anomolous gold values are from sample sites on the lower reaches of Little Lamb Creek and below its confluence with Lamb Creek. Examination of their distribution reveals no clear pattern suggestive of upstream cut-offs for anomalous samples. These results probably do not indicate the presence of multiple "gold-only" input sources in the surface drainage. They more likely reflect inconsistencies in the quality of the sample sites or the naturally erratic gold distribution in the sample material. Consequent **y**, it is suspected that a single source is responsible for these anomalies. **There** are no assemblages of associated elements that may help to indicate the nature of the parent source.

83 Soils

83.1 Sample Collection, Preparation and Analysis

A total of **554** soil samples were taken over four small grid areas (Figure 6). Closely spaced samples were collected over the Main Showing to establish the extent of the known mineralization. The three other soil rids were laid out to cover areas where sulphide-bearing float had been found. The grids were oriented in such a way **as** to take into account direction of glacial advance, fluvioglacial runoff and local slope direction.

The Main Showing Grid consists of **8** lines of **400** metres spaced **40** metres apart. Sample stations are every **20** metres for a total of **160** sites. The Boulder Grid is 5 contour traverses of **12** stations spaced every **40** metres. The traverses are approximately **100** metres **apart**. A total of **60** sites were sampled. Little Lamb Creek Grid is located in the northeast comer of the property and consists of **10** lines spaced **100** apart. Each line is **1,000** metres long with sample spacings of **40** metres for a total of **250** samples. The smaller Gold Hill Creek Grid is located in the southwest comer of the property. A total of **84** samples were taken, one every **40** metres along 7 lines 500 metres in length. The line spacing is **100** metres.

A steel mattock, plastic spoon and kraft paper bag were used to obtain and package the samples. 'B' horizon soil was collected from most sites. Sample depths ranged from 10.0 to 50.0 centimetres and average 15.0 to 20.0 centimetres. Notes on the nature of the soil material taken and on site conditions were recorded in the field and used in the interpretation of geochemical data. The notes are on file at the Placer Dome office in Vancouver.

The soil samples were forwarded to the Placer Dome laboratory in Vancouver where they were oven dried and sieved to produce a -80 mesh fraction. A sub-sample was weighed for geochemical analysis. Each sample was analyzed for Au, Ag, Cu, Zn, Pb and As. The digestion and detection techniques used for each element are given in Appendix 5.

832 Orientation Survey

An orientation survey was completed to determine the character of geochemical dispersion in soils related to mineralization on the Fors Property. The objectives of the survey included: 1) define background and anomalous geochemical responses; 2) define optimum survey procedures; and 3) identify factors that influence dispersion and are thus criteria for the interpretation of survey results.

The survey consisted of 197 samples taken at **53** stations spaced 20 metres **ap**art along a chained line 1,060 metres in length. The survey line traversed the Main Showing mineralization and was continued well beyond the mineralization to adequately define background conditions.

Soils on the Fors Property are generally well drained and moderately well developed. Typical soil profiles encountered included the A, B_1 , B_2 , and B_3/C horizons. Results from the orientation survey indicate analyses of Pb and to lesser degrees Zn and As in B horizon material best trace mineralization in bedrock. The B horizon, the zone of mineral accumulation, is transitional to the underlying soil parent material. In typical soil profiles on the Fors Property, the B horizon is of variable thickness and grey-brown to orange-brown in colour.

The soils have developed on a variety of **c** arent materials including weathered bedrock, glacial till and colluvium. In areas of greater relief, **bedrock is the principal substrate**, while transported overburden dominates **in valleys and on shallower slopes.** Glacial till is recognized by poorly sorted **sub-rounded to rounded clasts** of varied lithology. In contrast, soil developed in situ and from down-slope mass-wasting of bedrock is **recognized** by a degree of lithological uniformity, grain size composition **and by** the angularity of the clasts.

833 Results

A list of the analytical results for the soil samples is given in Appendix 3. Plots for Pb, Zn, Cu, As, Ag and Au in soils for the four grids are presented in Figures 7 to 18.

Only soils from the main showing area returned significant metal concentrations. Results from the Boulder Grid, Little Lamb Creek Grid and the Goldhill Creek Grid are of background to weakly anomalous concentrations for all elements analyzed and will not be discussed further.

Limited statistical analyses were performed on the data. Lead (Figure 7a), zinc (Figure 7b) and arsenic (Figure 8b) data from the Main Showing Grid provide the only useful statistical populations. A single coherent anomaly for each of the three elements can be identified.

The lead, zinc and arsenic anomalies are approximately coincident. The anomalies are roughly centred on the Main **S**howing, trend northeastsouthwest and are oriented at an oblique angle to the slope. They vary little in length along their trend; the lead anomaly is about 350 metres long and the zinc and arsenic anomalies are both approximately 300 metres in length. The anomalies are approximately **100** metres across at their widest points representing from three to four adjacent sites of anomalous to highly anomalous values. Metal concentrations range from 200 to **960** ppm **Z**n, **100** to **660** ppm Pb and **50** to **160** ppm As. In each case, the anomalies are open to the northeast and, to a lesser extent, the southwest. At the northern end of the grid, the zinc anomaly extends downslope approximately **150** metres and is open to the east.

A second, weaker, zinc anomaly located in the southeast part of the grid is open to the east **as** well. This anomaly is small, roughly **50** by **100** metres, and dominated by two isolated, highly anomalous samples (**520** and **580** ppm). At its widest, the anomaly consists of eight adjacent anomalous sites.

In addition to the anomalies described above, there are a few isolated one to two-sample occurrences of anomalous to highly anomalous values in lead, zinc and arsenic.

83.4 Discussion and Interpretation

The zinc, lead and arsenic anomalies of the Main Showing Grid are adjacent to and slightly downslope from Main Showing sulphide mineralization (Figures 7a, 7b, 8b). The pattern of the soil anomalies parallels the northeast strike of the mineralized shear and appears to trace unexposed mineralization along strike. The downslope extension of the anomalies is likely attributable to mechanical dispersion of mineralized material. Hydromorphic processes probably account for the greater dispersion of zinc downslope in the surface environment.

The smaller zinc anomaly and the few spot anomalies are likely attributable to the presence of isolated mineralized float. These anomalies are not considered important exploration targets.

8.4 Rocks

8.4.1 Sample Collection, Preparation and Analysis

All rock samples submitted for analysis during the **1989** exploration programme were grab or **compos**ite samples of representative lithology, alteration or mineralization. The rock samples were sent to the Placer Dome Inc. laboratory in Vancouver for analysis. The samples were crushed and culverized; a sub-sample was weighed, digested and analyzed geochemically for Pb, Zn, Cu, As, Ag and Au. Several samples of mineralization from the main showing were also analyzed for Sb. Extraction and detection techniques used by the laboratory are summarized in Appendix **5**.

8.42 Results

The geochemical results for the rock samples are listed in Appendix **4. A** statistical analysis of the data was not carried out. Figure **4** shows the locations of the rock samples on a property scale while Figure **5** shows rock sample locations at the main showing. The element analyses are not plotted on the maps.

A total of **110** rock samples were submitted for analyses. Eleven samples returned anomalous to highly anomalous base metal concentrations. Seven grab samples of mineralization at the Main Showing returned values enriched in silver, lead, zinc, arsenic and antimony. The ranges of values for these samples are **as** follows: **15** to **130** ppm Ag; **0.41** to **4.2%** Pb; **2100** ppm to **7.1% Zn**; **460** ppm to **0.90%** As; and **240** ppm to **1.45%** Sb. Similarly enriched values were returned by two samples of a large float boulder (**53095** and **53096**; Boulder Grid) west of the Main Showing. A small float boulder of mineralized quartz arenite near the eastern boundary of the property (**76022**) returned values of **1.5** ppm Ag, **240** ppm Pb and **0.40%** Zn. A grab sample (**53056**) of quartz vein sulphide mineralizationjust off the property to the east returned **0.58%** Pb and **4.2** ppm Ag.

A number of float boulders of quartz-wacke and quartz arenite found on the property contain minor sulphide mineralization, usually as fine-grained iron sulphides. These samples are only weakly enriched in base metals.

Several samples of quartz veining in gabbro and quartz vein float with minor disseminated pyrite and chalcopyrite have elevated concentrations of copper that range up to 960 ppm. These samples (53062, 53063, 53064, 53068 and 53071) are from the vicinity of old exploratory trenches in the southern part of the property.

8.43 Discussion and Interpretation

The best results returned are from the sulphide mineralization at the Main Showing and from quartz-wacke and quartz arenite float boulders that contain similar sulphide mineralization. The surface mineralization at the Main Showing is limited and traceable to the zone of shearing that crosscuts bedding at a shallow angle.

A bedrock source was not traced for the samples of weakly mineralized float that are similar in lithology to the Aldridge units exposed on the property. Many of these samples are moderately to wellrounded, indicating possible transport from outside the claims.

Other minor base metal values are from the irregular sulphide mineralization associated with quartz veins in metagabbro dykes.

9.0 STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES

The following field expenditures were incurred by Placer Dome Inc. to conduct geochemical and geological surveys on the Fors Property during the period of May 16to July 15,1989.

Personnel Costs

G. Shevchenko (Senior Geologist) May 16-18/89; 2 days x \$375	750.00
M.B. Gareau (Senior Geologist/Geochemist) June 22/89; 1 day x \$375	375.00
P.J. Maheux (Project Geologist) May 16-July 15/89: 61 days x \$334	20 374 00
B. Madu (Geologist)	20,374.00
May 16-July 15/89; 61 days x \$244 B. Veilleux (Field Assistant)	14,884.00
May 16-July 15/89; 61 days x \$192 B. Leong (Field Assistant)	11,712.00
May 16-July 15/89; 61 days x \$167	10,187.00
Aralytical Costs (CuPb, Zn, Au, Ag, As)(Sb) Soils 698 x \$17.75 53 x \$12.50 Rocks 110x \$20.25 Stream 57 x \$21.75 Silt 58 x \$17.75	12,389.50 662.50 2,227.50 1,239.75 1,029.50
camp operations	2 5 4 1 2 2
Accommodation at Cranbrook • 7 days	5,541.52 880.00
Meals - 61 days x 4 persons x \$24/person/day	5,856.00
Vehicle Expense Rental - 3/4 ton 4x4 P/U @ \$45/day x 61 3/4 ton 4x4 wagon @ \$57/day x 61 Repairs	2,745.00 3,477.00 258.44
Fuel	2,453.97
Report Preparation P. Maheux 15 days x \$334 M.Gareau 3 days x \$360 Typist 2 days x \$105 Drafting 5 days	5,010.00 1,080.00 210.00 1,156.00
Miscellaneous Telephone and Teletype Freight Supply Purchase Map Production Report Production	408.52 271.00 5,810.71 200.00 100.00
TOTAL	\$109,288.71

10.0 CONCLUSION

The 1989 exploration programme on the Fors Property failed to find evidence of previously unknown base metal mineralization. Surface mineralization at the Main Showing appears to be structurally controlled and is not economic.

Respectfully submitted by,

P.J. Maheux

11.0 REFERENCES

- Hamilton, J.M., Bishop, D.T., Morris, H.C. and Owens, O.E., 1982, Geology of the Sullivan Orebody, Kimberley, B.C., Canada: <u>in</u> Hutchinson, R.W., Spence, C.D. and Franklin, J.M., eds., Precambrian Sulphide Deposits, H.S. Robinson Memorial Volume, Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper 25, p. 597-665.
- Hoy, T., 1982, The Purcell Supergroup in Southeastern British Columbia: Sedimentation, Tectonics and Stratiform Lead-Zinc Deposits: in Hutchinson, R.W., Spence, C.D. and Franklin, J.M., eds., Precambrian Sulphide Deposits, H.S. Robinson Memorial Volume, Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper 25, p. 127-147.
- Hoy, T., Berg, N., Fyles, J.T., Delaney, G.D., McMurdo, D. and Ransom, P.W., 1985, Stratabound Base Metal Deposits in Southeastern British Columbia: in Tempelman-Kluit, D., ed., Field Guides to Geology and Mineral Deposits in the Southern Canadian Cordillera, Geological Society of America Cordilleran Section Meeting, Vancouver, B.C., May, 1985, p. 11-1to 11-32.
- Hoy, T., Diakow, L. and Chicorelli, P., **1980**, Geology of the Moyie Lake Area: British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Preliminary Map No. **49**.
- Richardson, J. and Gifford, B., **1967?**, Assessment Report on the Fors Property: Cominco Ltd., unpublished company report, **5** p.
- Webber, G.L., **1978**, Geological Report, Vine Property, N.T.S. **82G/5**: Cominco Ltd., Kootenay Exploration, unpublished company report, **14** p.

APPENDIX 1

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS:

I, Pierre J. Maheux, of the City of Vancouver, British Columbia, do hereby certify that:

- 1. I **am** a geologist.
- 2. I am a graduate of Queen's University at Kingston, Ontario where I received a Bachelor of Science degree (Honours, Specialization) in geology dated October, **1983.**
- **3.** I am a graduate of The University of Alberta at Edmonton, Alberta where I received a Master of Science degree in geology dated June, **1989.**
- 4. I am a member in good standing of the Geological Association of Canada, The Geological Society of America, The Society of Economic Geologists, The Geochemical Society, The Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and The Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada.
- 5. I have been engaged in the study of, and exploration for mineral deposits throughout Canada on a full or part-time basis since **1980**.
- 6. I supervised and participated in the **1989** field programme on the Fors Property. I evaluated the results of this work and wrote this report.

+ en Maber

Pierre J. Maheux

APPENDIX2

STREAM SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

fors property	conventional	stream	sediment	geochemistry
---------------	--------------	--------	----------	--------------

SAMEJ	AG	AS	AU1	CU	PB	ZN
	PPM	PPM	PPB	PPM	PPM	PPM
FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF	<pre><0.2</pre> <pre><</pre>	<pre>2 4 2 6 2 3 2 2 2 3 6 2 3 4 5 4 4 3 2 2 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2</pre>	505500055555555555555555555555555555555	$\begin{array}{c} 9\\ 14\\ 13\\ 14\\ 13\\ 14\\ 13\\ 14\\ 16\\ 16\\ 16\\ 15\\ 16\\ 16\\ 18\\ 19\\ 27\\ 21\\ 16\\ 16\\ 18\\ 19\\ 27\\ 21\\ 16\\ 16\\ 18\\ 19\\ 10\\ 13\\ 6\\ 6\\ 11\\ 9\\ 10\\ 8\\ 8\\ 7\\ 7\\ 8\\ 8\\ 7\\ 6\\ 11\\ 13\\ 11\\ 12\\ 15\\ 11\\ 12\\ 10\\ 9\\ 10\\ 13\\ 10\\ 10\\ 22\\ 24\\ 38\\ 37\\ 31\\ 24\\ 7\\ 3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 23 \\ 27 \\ 25 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 17 \\ 21 \\ 11 \\ 21 \\ 12 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 16 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 307 \\ 367 \\ 19 \\ 50 \\ 21 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 16 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 307 \\ 367 \\ 19 \\ 50 \\ 21 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 307 \\ 367 \\ 19 \\ 50 \\ 21 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 28 \\ 10 \\ \mathbf$	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 40\\ 42\\ 35\\ 37\\ 35\\ 34\\ 32\\ 34\\ 35\\ 34\\ 37\\ 24\\ 57\\ 51\\ 51\\ 40\\ 70\\ 34\\ 35\\ 40\\ 40\\ 43\\ 74\\ 25\\ 36\\ 42\\ 43\\ 58\\ 40\\ 45\\ 30\\ 73\\ 30\\ 82\\ 73\\ 82\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73$

FSS57*	0.4	2	31	43	41	86
FSS58B	0.2	<2	15	20	22	62
FSS58B*	0.3	<2	10	20	22	60

fors property bulk stream sediment geochemistry

SAMP	AG PPM	AS PPM	AU-A PPB	AU-B PPB	AU1 PPB	CU PPM	PB PPM	ZN PPM
04753	<0.2	3	25	<5	<5	13	17	61
04754	<0.2	<2	<5	<5	<5	18	20	54
04755	<0.2	4	<5	15	10	18	22	58
04750	<0.2	2	5	5	20	22	20	59
04758	<0.2	<2	<5	50	15	20	20	58 58
04759	<0.2	<2	20	10	15	22	20	55
04760	<0.2	2	15	<5	<5	24	16	47
04761	<0.2	<2	10	5	10	29	22	56
04761*	<0.2	<2	<5	15	NSS	29	23	60 52
04763	<0.2	<2	5	5	5	23	20 19	47
04764	<0.2	<2	10	5	<5	21	17	40
04765	<0.2	3	10	5	10	20	31	72
04766	<0.2	<2	10	<5	25	15	18	53
04767	<0.2	⊃ <2	< 5 5	< 5 < 5	< 5 1 0	23 19	25	62 68
04769	<0.2	<2	5	NSS	<5	15	20	58
04770	<0.2	3	25	<5	<5	10	20	55
04772	0.2	4	35	NSS	55	18	25	70
04773	<0.2	4	50	15	<5	15	21	59
04775	0.2	2	<5	16	40	9	14	42 53
04776	<0.2	<2	15	<5	30	11	19	53
04777	0.5	<2	10	25	40	10	16	54
04778	<0.2	<2	35	<5	25	9	12	38
04779	<0.2	2	20	<5	30	8 12	15	40
04781	<0.2	<2	15	NSS	<5	10	14	40
04782	<0.2	4	20	<5	10	8	11	33
04783	<0.2	3	10	<5	5	6	10	28
04784	0.3	2	40	10	40	/	12	36
04785	<0.2	~2	125	N 5	<5	0 7	11	35
04787	<0.2	<2	50	<Š	<5	11	16	64
04788	<0.2	<2	19	NSS	<5	9	16	45
04788*	<0.2	<2	NSS	NSS	<5	9	16	41
04789	0.2	< <u>2</u>	<5	< 5 < 5	< 5 ~ 5	12	18	44 52
04790	<0.2	<2	20 45	<5	20	15	17	45
04792	<0.2	<2	60	15	<5	14	17	45
04793	<0.2	<2	35	30	<5	11	14	36
04794	0.3	<2	25 NGS	<5 <5	100	12	15 14	35
04795	0.2	<2	30	<5	20	13	13	34
04797	<0.2	<2	30	<5	550	16	18	60
04797*	<0.2	<2	10	<5	30	16	19	55
04798	1.3	<2	50	170	80	14	16	38
04799	0.3	< Z 4	< 5 < 5	30 <5	185	14	21	40 54
04826	<0.2	7	15	<5	20	17	25	52
04827	<0.2	3	<5	<5	<5	15	14	40
04828	<0.2	<2	765	<5	<5	14	19	33
04829 07820	<u.z< td=""><td>∠ 4</td><td>10</td><td><5 10</td><td>∠0 45</td><td>19 24</td><td>∠ 3 3 1</td><td>86</td></u.z<>	∠ 4	10	<5 10	∠0 45	19 24	∠ 3 3 1	86
04831	0.4	7	<5	NSS	40	27	28	81
04831*	0.5	4	13	NSS	35	27	29	81
04832	<0.2	<2	45	<5	5	20	26	61
04833	<0.2	<2	40 NGS	10 100	5 100	30	14 47	50 54
04835	1.6	<2	100	65	75	13	15	54

.....

APPENDIX3

SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

fors property soil geochemistry

SAMP	SMP2	AG PPM	AS PPM	AU1 PPB	CU PPM	PB PPM	ZN PPM
	PM1189	<0.2	3	30	12	12	56
FSC	Al	<0.2	2	40	22	19	105
FSC	A2	<0.2	2	30	16	20	138
FSC	A 3	<0.2	2	25	18	16	87
FSC	A4	<0.2	3	<5	23	21	112
FSC	A5	<0.2	5	< 5 < 5	15	14	83
FSC	A6 47		<2	< 5	10	14	140
FSC	A/ 48	< 0.2	~2	<5	0	23	87
FSC	A0 A9	<0.2	5	<5	14	16	60
FSC	A10	<0.2	3	<5	18	15	72
FSC	A 1 1	<0.2	3	<5	14	11	102
FSC	A12	<0.2	<2	<5	20	14	122
FSC	B1	<0.2	3	<5	14	12	62
FSC	B2	<0.2	3	<5	16	30	192
FSC	B3 B4		< Z 5	< 5 < 5	12	21	118
FSC	B5	$\binom{10.2}{0.2}$	5	15	17	28	142
FSC	B5*	0.2	3	10	17	$\frac{20}{28}$	140
FSC	B6	<0.2	10	15	16	$\overline{22}$	112
FSC	B7	<0.2	5	15	17	27	140
FSC	B8	<0.2	2	25	10	13	103
FSC	B9	<0.2	<2	25	8	11	35
FSC	BIU D11	<0.2	<2	25	19	18	83
FSC FSC	B11 B12	<0.2	4	43	10	12	84 54
FSC	C1	<0.2	11	15	19	30	136
FSC	$c\overline{2}$	<0.2	7	<5	18	36	84
FSC	c2*	<0.2	9	15	17	36	83
FSC	c3	0.3	44	<5	23	121	234
FSC	c4	<0.2	8	<5	10	23	80
FSC		0.2	39	< 5 ~ 5	15	140	193
FSC FSC	c7	<0.2	5	<5	13	52 15	7 S 64
FSC	C8	<0.2	3	<5	14	17	90
FSC	C9	<0.2	7	<5	13	16	100
FSC	C10	<0.2	<2	<5	11	11	67
FSC	C11	<0.2	<2	<5	8	11	46
FSC	C11*	<0.2	<2	<5	7	10	45
FSC	c12	0.2	<2	< 5	21	14	101
FSC FSC	בת 2ת	< 0.2	×2 6	<5	13	$\frac{13}{20}$	146
FSC	D3	<0.2	8	<5	16	33	140
FSC	D4	0.2	$2\tilde{2}$	20	11	142	185
FSC	D 5	<0.2	<2	<5	16	15	120
FSC	D6	<0.2	<2	<5	18	18	83
FSC	D7	<0.2	2	10	17	15	92
FSC	D8	0.2	4	<5 	19	18	82
FSC	50 DS* מת	0.2	6 5	5 10	19 26	1ð 21	00 136
гэс FSC	ور 10 ام	$0.2 \\ 0.2$	<2	<5	16	$\frac{21}{12}$	130
FSC	D11	<0.2	3	<5	18	16	110
FSC	D12	<0.2	<2	5	19	15	128
FSC	E 1	<0.2	5	<5	22	17	133
FSC	E2	<0.2	6	<5	21	12	118
FSC	E3	<0.2	3	<5	15	14	103
FSC	E4	<0.2	2	< 5 ~ 5	13	14 17	104
FSC FSC	ヒン ロ5*		5	<u> </u>	17	17	118
rsu FSC	E3* F6	<0.2	2	<5	17	16	86
FSC	E0 E7	<0.2	4	<5	19	15	80

FSC FSC	E8 E9	<0.2 <0.2	5 15	<5 <5	11 9	17 12 12	42 40
FSC	E11 E12	<0.2	4	10	16	13	120
FSC	EIZ Fl	<0.2	<2	10	16	12 14	70 50
FSC	F2	0.2	3	10	18	16	65
FSC	FZ^ F3	<0.2	<2 ⁴	10 5	13	17 17	66 68
FSC	F4	<0.2	<2	10	12	14	48
FSC	F5 F6	<0.2	<2	<5 <5	10	11	43 51
FSC	F7 58	<0.2	<2	10	8	16 15	48 50
FSC	F9	<0.2	<2	5	10	15	52
FSC FSC	F10 F11	0.2	9 <2	<5 15	33 13	32 16	76 45
FSC	F12	<0.2	<2	5	15	25	56
F'SC FSC	F13 F14	<0.2	2	<5 <5	14 11	19 15	58 46
FSC	F15	<0.2	<2	<5	12	17	51
FSC	F16 F17	<0.2	<2	<5 <5	10	13 19	33 52
FSC	F18 F19	<0.2	3	<5	18 12	23 15	57 48
FSC	F20	<0.2	3	<5	11	13	51
FSC FSC	F20* F21	<0.2 <0.2	2 <2	<5 <5	11 11	14 14	50 40
FSC	F22	<0.2	4	<5	18	19	63
FSC FSC	F23 F24	<0.2 <0.2	<2 <2	<5 <5	14 22	12 23	41 77
FSC	F25	<0.2	<2	<5	11	12	38 65
FSC	G2	<0.2	3	<5	14	18	92
FSC	G3 G4	<0.2	3	<5	17 22	16 19	75 58
FSC	G5	<0.2	<2	<5	10	11	36
FSC FSC	G6 G7	<0.2 <0.2	4 3	<5 <5	13 16	18 19	60 63
FSC	G8	<0.2	<2	<5	10	17	58
FSC	G10	<0.2	<2 4	<5 <5	1117	16 22	5∠ 97
FSC	G11	<0.2	3	<5	17	23	52
FSC	G12 G13	<0.2	<2	30 15	8	$11 \\ 15$	33 43
FSC	G13*	<0.2	2	25	7 8	15 11	43 34
FSC	G14 G15	<0.2	3	<5	10	12	33
FSC FSC	G16 G17	<0.2 <0.2	3	<5 <5	9 8	11 10	30 33
FSC	G18	<0.2	<2	<5	12	10	37
FSC FSC	G19 G20	<0.2	<2	<5 <5	13	11	41 52
FSC	G21	<0.2	2	<5	18 15	18 14	80 66
FSC	G22 G22*	<0.2	2	<5	15	15	69
FSC	G23	<0.2	2 <2	<5 <5	9 8	9 9	32 38
FSC	G24 G25	<0.2	2	10	10	12	36
FSC FSC	H1 H2	<0.2 <0.2	2 <2	<5 25	11 11	⊥4 14	44 45
FSC	H3	<0.2	2	15	11	13	40 46
F'SC FSC	н4 Н5	<0.2 <0.2	5 4	30 <5	8	11	33
FSC	H6 uc*	<0.2	3 <2	<5 <5	8 8	11 12	38 37
T DC	110		• •	. 🗕	-		

ESC	1.17	<0.2	10	~ 5	0	10	20
TSC FSC	117	NO.2	2	~5	9		39
FSC	H8	<0.2	<2	<5	9	12	39
FSC	H9	<0.2	<2	<5	10	12	41
FSC	H10	<0.2	<2	<5	9	13	44
FSC	H11	03	8	<5	31	32	82
FSC	ш1 Э	<0.2	2	~5	10	22	71
FSC	1112	10.2	2		13	23	/1
FSC	HL3	<0.2	2	< 2	8	16	37
FSC	HI4	0.2	4	<5	9	11	32
FSC	H15	<0.2	3	<5	10	13	40
FSC	H15*	<0.2	2	<5	10	13	40
FSC	H16	<0.2	<2	<5	9	10	33
FSC	н17	<0.2	<2	<5	8	11	40
FSC	и19	< 0.2	2	~5	14	11	-10 01
FEC	1110	<0.2	- C		12	12	01
FSC	нтэ	<0.2	<2	< 0	13	13	80
FSC	HZQ	<0.2	3	± 0	11	12	44
FSC	H21	<0.2	3	<5	10	12	71
FSC	H22	<0,2	<2	15	9	12	51
FSC	H23	<0.2	<2	10	12	15	63
FSC	H24	<0.2	<2	< 5	13	15	59
FSC	н25	< 0.2	< 2	15	10	24	70
FSC	T1	< 0.2	~2	~ S	16	10	70 C0
FSC		<0.2	~2	~5	10	10	60
FSC	12	<0.2	2	< 5	10	13	46
FSC	I3	<0.2	3	<5	14	20	60
FSC	I4	<0.2	3	<5	17	23	72
FSC	I5	<0.2	4	<5	15	2.2	65
ESC	тб	< 0.2	2	< 5	13	19	51
FSC	<u>т</u> 7	< 0.2	~2	~5	14	20	55
FSC	± / ± 0	<0.2	~2	20	11	20	50
FSC	18	<0.2	< 2	< 0		20	.46
FSC	T8*	<0.2	<2	< 5	10	18	44
FSC	I9	<0.2	<2	<5	13	24	56
FSC	I10	<0.2	<2	<5	12	22	64
FSC	I11	<0.2	<2	<5	13	21	50
FSC	T12	< 0 2	2	< 5	16	21	43
FSC	τ12	<0.2	1	~ 5	20	21	= J = 1
LPC LPC	11.J T14	\ 0.2			20	22 1 F	
FSC	114	<0.2	3	< 5	12	15	64
FSC	I15	<0.2	<2	<5	9	14	52
FSC	I15*	<0.2	<2	<5	9	16	51
FSC	I16	<0.2	3	<5	10	14	45
FSC	I17	<0.2	4	<5	16	23	78
FSC	T18	$< 0^{-2}$	<2	<5	14	20	55
FSC	T10	<0.2	~2	~5	12	10	61
FSC	113	\0.2	~2	_	10		
FSC	120	<0.2	< 2	5	10	15	8T
FSC	121	<0.2	<2	<5		13	54
FSC	I22	<0.2	<2	10	13	10	51
FSC	I23	<0.2	<2	<5	12	10	42
FSC	I24	<0.2	3	<5	11	8	36
FSC	I25	<0.2	< 2	<5	14	12	48
FSC	.11	<0.2	20	25	22	16	£1
LOC LOC	E 0		25	2J /5	22 17	10	01
Г 5 С	52	$\overline{}$	<u><u></u></u>	N 0	10	ΤQ	83
FSC	J2*	<0.2	<2	<5	18	20	88
FSC	53	<0.2	2	<5	18	17	58
FSC	54	<0.2	<2	<5	13	15	70
FSC	55	<0.2	<2	<5	11	13	47
FSC	16	<0.2	<2	<5	11	13	45
FSC	50	<0.2	٦ ک	< 5	16	20	86
TOC ESC	51	~0.2	エンク	~5	11	10	10
LPC	58	\mathbf{V}	<u><u></u></u>		1 1 1	14	43
FSC	J9	<0.2	5	<5	9	14	44
FSC	J10	<0.2	б	<5	21	32	100
FSC	J11	<0.2	<2	<5	9	14	47
FSC	<u> </u>	<0.2	<2	<5	8	14	47
FSC	510	<0 2	۲ ۲	30	11	13	43
LOC		~0.2	- つ	~~	11	10	21
FSC	513	$\langle \cup, Z \rangle$	< 4	\J 	ΤT	10 1/	54
FSC	514	<0.2	3	<5	8	14	58
FSC	515	<0.2	4	<5	11	12	44
FSC	516	<0.2	<2	<5	10	13	40
FSC	517	<0.2	<2	<5	16	17	48

 $\sigma_{M} = s_{0}^{-1} (s_{0}^{-1})^{-1}$

FSC	J18	<0.2	<2	<5	16	18	73
FSC	J19	<0.2	<2	<5	16	15	118
FSC	J20	<0.2	<2	<>> ~ -		14	100
FSC	JZU^ T 2 1	< 0.2	<2	< 0 ~ 5		⊥4 10	T00
FSC		<0.2	<2	< 5	10 17	17	53
FSC	522	< 0.2	<2	<5 <5	⊥/ 12	⊥/ 13	94 95
FSC	JZJ J24	<0.2	<2	<5	14	12^{13}	56
FSC	J25	<0.2	<2	<5	16	11	87
FSC	K1	<0.2	<2	< 5	12	14	75
FSC	K2	<0.2	2	<5	19	20	120
FSC	K3	<0.2	<2	<5	14	21	80
FSC	K4	<0.2	2	<5	18	23	95
FSC	K4*	<0.2	2	<5	17	22	94
FSC	K5 VG	< 0.2	<2	10	10 10	15 21	46
FSC	KO V7	10.2	3 1	20 25	20 10	3⊥ 26	110
FSC	K8	0.2	4	10	25	30	112
FSC	K9	0.3	<2	<5	27	31	106
FSC	K11	0.2	3	<5	18	32	91
FSC	K12	<0,2	<2	<5	15	27	83
FSC	K13	0.3	<2	<5	24	33	60
FSC	K14	<0.2	<2	<5	10	15	44
FSC	K14*	<0.2	2	<5	9	15	40
FSC	KL5 V16	0.2	3	< 5	∠3 17	25 25	
FSC	K10 K17		4	< 0 < 5	⊥/ 15	∠5 21	68 71
FSC	K18	< 0.2	<2	10	а Т.Э	14	58
FSC	K20	<0.2	<2	10	12	16	102
FSC	K21	0.2	<2	c5	11	15	70
FSC	K22	<0.2	<2	10	13	13	111
FSC	K23	<0.2	2	<5	11	17	67
FSC	K24	<0.2	<2	<5	22	13	45
FSC	K24*	<0.2	<2	<5 	22	12	41
FSC	K25	<0.2	<2 E	5	18 15	⊥3 14	62
FSC	цт Т.2	< 0.2	2	5 5	10	14 14	90 82
FSC	т.3	0.2	<2	<5	11	14	68
FSC	L4	0.2	3	<5	19	17	95
FSC	L5	0.3	4	<5	18	22	100
FSC	LG	<0,2	3	<5	13	24	124
FSC	L7	<0.2	<2	<5	12	25	127
FSC	L8	0.2	<2	<5	11	16	105
FSC	L9	<0.2	2	< 5 ~ 5	13	⊥4 1 ⊑	84
FSC		<0.2	<2	<5 10	⊥4 22	⊥5 27	59 109
FSC	T.12	0.2	<2	<5	27	42	97
FSC	T-13	0.2	<2	<5	17	25	71
FSC	L14	0.2	<2	<5	10	21	45
FSC	L15	0.2	<2	<5	25	25	60
FSC	L16	0.2	<2	<5	24	26	71
FSC	L17	0.6	<2	<5	27	27	124
FSC	LL'/	0.5	<2	< 0 < 5	29 10	29	128 124
FSC	LL8 т.19	(0.3)	<2	-5	10 10	20 15	124 66
FSC	т.20	0.2	<2	<5	9	22	122
FSC	L21	0.3	<2	<5	9	13	86
FSC	L22	0.2	<2	<5	11	11	105
FSC	L23	0.3	2	<5	11	22	76
FSC	L24	0.2	<2	< 5	20	18	75
FSC	L25	0.2	3	<5	12	15	56
FSC	M1	0.3	<2	< 5 < 5	⊥6 1 4	⊥4 1 7	96
FSC	M2	<0.2	<2	< 5 < 5	14 7	⊥/ 15	0/ 102
FSC FSC	MJ M⊿	<v.2 0 2</v.2 	<2	<5	9	15	92 92
rsu FSC	M2	<0.2	<2	<5	11	17	101
		-					

FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC	M6 M7 M8 M9 M10 M10 M10 M11 M12 M13 M14 M15 M16 M17 M18 M19 M19 M19 M20 M21 M22 M23 M24 M25 N1 N2 N3 *	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <	<pre>< 5 < 5 < 1 < <</pre>	10 12 15 13 15 13 15 13 15 13 12 12 12 30 23 30 29 10 8 11 13 9 14 15 12 14	18 17 19 17 25 26 30 20 18 16 14 15 27 32 31 30 12 14 13 11 14 13 17 16	$\begin{array}{c} 90\\ 102\\ 110\\ 104\\ 77\\ 80\\ 100\\ 50\\ 53\\ 70\\ 60\\ 76\\ 805\\ 103\\ 50\\ 66\\ 75\\ 74\\ 86\\ 113\\ 157\\ 160\\ 105\\ 105\\ 105\\ 105\\ 105\\ 105\\ 105\\ 10$
FSC	N4	c0.2	3	<5	13	16	60
FSC	N5	0.2	2	<5	12	15	74
FSC	N6	c0.2	3	<5	15	16	60
FSC	N7	<0.2	2	<5	13	16	113
FSC	N8	0.2	3	<5	15	19	66
FSC	N9	0.3	<2	<5	12	18	81
FSC	N10	0.2	3	50	19	17	93
FSC	N11	<0.2	<2	<5	17	16	86
FSC	N12	0.2	<2	<5	22	27	142
FSC	N12*	0.2	<2	<5	22	24	112
FSC	N13	<0.2	4	<5	19	18	64
FSC	N14	0.2	4	<>	30	29	74
FSC	N15	0.2	2	5	24	25	58
FSC	N16	0.3	<2	<5	17	30	112
FSC	N17	0.4	3	<5	24	25	66
FSC	N18	0.4	4	<5	32	31	82
FSC	N19	0.3	<2	<5	22	26	96
FSC	N20	0.2	<2	40	12	17	85
FSC	N21	0.2	<2	25	13	16	137
FSC	N22	0.2	2	<5	14	13	64
FSC	N23	0.3	<2	<5	12	14	101
FSC	N24	<0.2	<2	<5	8	14	61
FSC	N25	0.2	7	<5	12	18	60
FSC	01	0.2	<2	<5	11	15	72
FSC	02	<0.2	3	<5	27	22	100
FSC	03	<0.2	2	<5	16	37	92
FSC	04	0.2	10	<5	20	21	114
FSC FSC FSC	05 05* 06	0.2 0.2 <0.2	<2 <2 2	< 5 < 5 < 5	28 26 17 12	20 18 22 18	98 92 105
FSC FSC FSC FSC	07 08 09 010	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2	3 <2 2	<5 <5 <5 <5	13 13 12	15 14 13	92 100 97
FSC	011	<0.2	2	<5	19	18	100
FSC	012	<0.2	<2	<5	12	18	115
FSC	013	<0.2	<2	10	11	18	65
FSC FSC FSC	014 015 016	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2	<2 <2 <2 <2	20 <5 <5	16 17 11	28 18 12	74 86 70

FSC	017	<0.2	<2	<5	11	14	68
FSC	018	<0.2	<2	<5	10	15	60
FSC	019	0.2	<2	<5	27	23	115
FSC	020	<0.2	<2	<5	12	20	77
FSC	021	<0.2	<2	<5	8	9	38
FSC	022	<0,2	<2	<5	12	12	54
FSC	023	0.2	<2	<5	9	15	71
FSC	023*	0.2	<2	<5	9	13	72
FSC	024	<0.2	<2	<5	13	11	65
FSC	025	<0.2	<2	<5	9		56
FSC	P1	0.2	<2	<5	10	15	47
FSC	P1*	0.2	<2	<5	- 9	16	48
FSC	P2	<0.2	<2	< 5	22	18	53
FSC	Р3	<0.2	<2	<5	24	11	32
FSC	P4	<0.2	<2	20	11	13	34
FSC	P5	<0.2	5	<5	32	24	74
FSC	Рб	<0.2	<2	5	16	17	40
FSC	P7	0.3	<2	5	65	26	41
FSC	P8	0.2	<2	<5	12	15	70
FSC	P9	<0.2	<2	<5	15	16	37
FSC	P10	<0.2	<2	<5	14	15	44
FSC	P10*	<0.2	<2	<5	13	16	41
FSC	P11	<0.2	<2	< 5	11	15	37
FSC	P12	0.7	2	< 5	60	40	55
FSC	01	<0.2	<2	<5	20	40	136
FSC	02	0.2	3	< 5	18	20	90
FSC	03	0.2	<2	< 5	32	34	120
FSC	$\tilde{0}$	< 0.2	<2	< 5	20	26	71
FSC	05	0.2	2	< 5	24	68	60
FSC	Õõ	<0.2	<2	< 5	20	20	58
FSC	 7	<0.2	<2	< 5	15	14	28
FSC	08	0 2	<2	< 5	19	22	66
FSC	ñg	05	<2	<5	27	26	60
FSC	ດ້ຳ້	<0.2	2	< 5	20	20	70
FSC	011	0 4	<2	< 5	23	16	63
FSC	õ12	03	2	<.5	32	17	66
FSC	 	0 2	วิ	< 5	16	39	97
FSC	82	<0.2	2	< 5	12	22	48
FSC	R.3	0.4	3	< 5	21	33	80
FSC	R4	0.3	4	< 5	30	37	128
FSC	R4*	0.2	5	<5	29	35	128
FSC	R5	<0.2	3	<5	15	18	32
FSC	R6	0.2	15	< 5	29	18	48
FSC	R7	0.3	2	< 5	23	22	84
FSC	R8	0.2	4	<5	23	13	67
FSC	R9	<0.2	<2	<5	17	12	37
FSC	R10	<0.2	3	< 5	21	18	148
FSC	R11	<0.2	3	<5	30	36	90
FSC	R12	0.2	<2	< 5	22	20	55
FSC	<u>s</u> 1	<0.2	5	<5	32	27	102
FSC	S1*	<0.2	3	<5	33	26	107
FSC	s2	<0.2	3	< 5	30	25	78
FSC	s3	<0.2	2	< 5	64	14	56
FSC	s4	0.2	3	<5	45	16	74
FSC	s5	<0.2	<2	<5	34	20	41
FSC	SE	0.2	<2	<5	40	19	41
FSC		1.1	<2	<5	40	10	72
FSC	SS	0.2	<2	<5	94	12	83
FSC	50	<0.2	2	<5	2.2	19	57
FSC	s10	0 2	<2	<5	25	20	86
FSC	S10*	0.5	`ئ م	< 5	25	20	86
FSC	C11	0.3	2	<5	17	14	96
FSC	<u>911</u>	<0.3	2	<5	15 15	17	48
FSC	отд Т	<0.2	2	<5	62	14	68
FSC	ዛተ ጥኃ	< 0.2	5	< 5	38	20	78
FSC	4 Γ Γ	<0.2	2	$\overline{\langle 5}$	28	15	61
rse	τŲ	· · · 2	4	~~	20	10	

......

FSC	Ψ4	<02	2	< 5	15	14	25
FSC	тъ	< 0.2	6	< 5	21	17	50
FSC	тб	<0.2	5	< 5	17	13	20 27
FSC	10 T7	< 0.2	<2	< 5	12	12	27
FSC	工/ 丁7*	< 0.2	َحُ	< 5	11	12	20
FSC	т9 Т	0.6	2	< 5	78	30	70
FSC	το Φ	< 0.0	2	< 5	15	16	25
FSC	\overline{r}	05	2	< 5	28	32	82
FSC	T11	< 0.2	<2	< 5	21	12	20
FSC	т <u>т</u>	0 4	5	< 5	50	28	120
FSC	111	0.1	4	< 5	70	16	117
FSC	112	0.2	<2	< 5	40	20	53
FSC	113	0.2	<2	< 5	38	18	43
FSC	114	<0.2	<2	<5	10	14	22
FSC	115	<0.2	2	< 5	12	16	25
FSC	UG	<0.2	4	<5	26	21	42
FSC	117	0 2	<2	<5	20	20	74
FSC	U8	<0.2	<2	<5	20	16	38
FSC	U9	0.5	<2	5	65	16	55
FSC	U10	0.4	<2	<5	31	14	120
FSC	U11	0.2	<2	<5	18	13	41
FSC	u12	0.2	3	<5	27	21	100
FSC	v1	<0.2	3	<5	35	14	50
FSC	v^2	0.3	<2	<5	42	22	62
FSC	v3	0.2	<2	<5	30	13	55
FSC	v4	0.6	2	<5	18	21	83
FSC	v5	<0.2	<2	<5	17	13	44
FSC	VG	<0.2	2	<5	22	16	42
FSC	v7	<0.2	<2	<5	21	28	100
FSC	V8	0.2	4	<5	27	18	68
FSC	V9	<0.2	<2	<5	29	17	58
FSC	V10	0.2	2	<5	25	21	62
FSC	V10*	0.3	3	<5	25	21	62
FSC	V11	0.2	2	<5	27	17	63
FSC	v12	<0.2	2	<5	18	16	43
FSC	W1	<0.2	3	10	14	16	135
FSC	w2	0.3	2	5	14	23	108
FSC	w3	0.2	9	<5	23	21	242
FSC	w4	<0.2	10	<5	21	49	162
FSC	w5	0.3	26	<5	29	82	126
FSC	Wб	0.3	44	<5	28	120	217
FSC	w7,	0.3	53	<5	46	116	148
FSC	w7	0.4	50	<5	47	118	150
FSC	W8	<0.2	17	10	16	43	143
FSC	W9	0.2	8	<5	15	18	266
FSC	W10	<0.2	6	<5	26	23	156
FSC	W11	<0.2	5	<5	23	21	142
FSC	w12	<0.2	5	<5	30	31	143
FSC	WI3	0.2	4	<5	20	35	166
FSC	W14	0.2	6	< 5	24	23	94
FSC	W15	<0.2	3	<5	14	25	160 100
FSC	W16	<0.2	5	< 5	14 14	20	102 100
FSC	W16×	<0.2	6	< 5	14 14	20 1 0	TOO
FSC	W1 /	<0.2		< 5	14	70	89 56
FSC	W10	<0.2	2	< 5 < 5	22 11	20 1 E	50
FSC	419 7	< 0.2		< 5	12	17	01
r SC FCC	₩⊿U ∨1		4 10	~5	10 10	3 U T \	250
FSC FCC	⊼⊥ ~2	$\overline{)}$	⊥∠ ว∕I	<5	∠0 1 Q	20	225
FSC FRC	XZ		⊿4 1 0	<5	10 10	22	433 116
FSC	X3		10 20	 <5	∠0 27	55	110 07
FSC FRC	X4 		⊿0 1 0	~5	10	22	110
FSC FCC	x3 ~F*		10	<5	19 19	22	110
FCC	AJ V6		<u>د</u> ر	< 5	25	26	120
F SC F C C	хо х7	<0.2	<u>ک</u>	<5	2.8	21	108
r SC FSC	X2	<0.2	2	<5	2.7	17	103
T. D.C.	210	· · · 2	5		- /	÷ ,	

.

FSC	٧Q	< 0.2	1	~5	10	16	70
FSC	A 9 V10	< 0.2	4	<>>	20	10	72
FSC	×11	< 0.2			20 10	10	125
FSC	~12 ~12		т 6	~5	26	20	123
FSC	v12		~ 2	~5	16	ンム 1ワ	90
FSC	AL 3 3/1 4	<0.2	×2	< 5 2 c	10	1 /	90
FSC	X14 V1 F	<0.2	2		19	10	162
FSC	X15	<0.2	< 2	< 2		10	103
FSC FSC	ALO V17	<0.2	< <u>2</u>	< 0 2 F	TO	10	82
FSC FSC	AL / V10	<0.2	<2	< 0 / 5	10	10	52
FSC FSC	ALO V10	<0.2	<2		13	10	40
FSC FSC	713	<0.2	~2		o C	13	70
FSC ESC	XZU V1	< 0.2	~2	>0	10	1J	24 110
FSC FSC	V2	<0.2	2	>0	20	20	102
FSC	12 V3	< 0.2	2	>J	29 25	20	123 QA
FSC	 	<0.2	2	< 5	23	24	20 85
FSC	v4	<0.2	<2	< 5	15	21	93
FSC	Y5	< 0 2	<2	< 5	15	20	23 73
FSC	¥6	<0.2	<2	<5	17	25	75
FSC	Y7	<0.2	20	<5	30	47	138
FSC	Y8	<0.2	35	<5	27	90	148
FSC	¥9	<0.2	46	<5	24	88	157
FSC	Y10	<0.2	41	<5	24	87	232
FSC	Y11	0.9	160	<5	40	260	220
FSC	Y12	<0.2	42	<5	17	108	250
FSC	Y12*	<0.2	43	<5	16	105	248
FSC	Y13	0.2	38	<5	38	78	127
FSC	Y14	<0.2	40	<5	40	44	133
FSC	Y15	<0.2	17	<5	34	38	106
FSC	Y16	<0.2	11	<5	21	21	104
FSC	Y17	<0.2	15	<5	36	35	150
FSC	Y18	<0.2	15	<5	22	53	184
FSC	YI9	<0.2	19	<5	24	36	174
FSC	Y20	<0.2	.7	<5	23	30	105 104
FSC	21 _1+	<0.2	5	< 5	28	20	124
FSC		<0.2	/	< 5	29	20	117
FSC	22	<0.2	4		⊥8 1 2	19	11/
FSC	∠3 24	< 0.2	5	>0 25	10 10	20	140 97
FSC	24 75	<0.2	2	~5	30	20 19	91
FSC	20 76	< 0.2	6	< 5	22	15	83
FSC	20	<0.2	2	< 5	25	17	83
FSC	7.8	<0.2	5	5	28	18	84
FSC	29	<0.2	5	15	24	20	93
FSC	710	<0.2	7	<5	29	25	110
FSC	710×	<0.2	9	<5	31	28	118
FSC	z11	<0.2	22	<5	34	50	132
FSC	212	<0.2	39	<5	30	26	234
FSC	213	<0,2	52	15	10	230	430
FSC	214	0.3	27	10	9	325	900
FSC	215	0.6	46	5	32	320	760
FSC	Z16	0.4	15	<5	56	145	540
FSC	217	2.7	62	<5	40	660	430
FSC	218	0.5	31	< 5	21	88	540
FSC	Z19	0.4	32	< 5	37	155	360
FSC	220	0.6	69	<5	25	230	400
FSC	Z20*	0.6	74	<5	26	240	410
FSC	AA1	0.2	<2	< <u>5</u>	26	18	135
FSC	AA2	0.3	<2	<5 <u></u>	21	20	100
FSC	AA3	0.3	4	<5	30	16	138
FSC	AA4	0.2	7	<5	25	18	T00
FSC	AA5	0.2	13	<5	26	14	81
FSC	AA6	0.2	18	<5	31	15	95 1 2 C
FSC	AA7	0.2	32	< 5 ~ E	22	⊥3 1 <i>⊂</i>	05T 20
FSC	AA8	0.3	28	< 3 2 c	∠ŏ	от ОС	25 110
FSC	AA 9	0.3	20	< 3	24	20	TT0

FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC	AA10 AA11 AA12 AA13 AA14 AA15	0.2 0.3 1.1 0.4 0.3 0.6	36 11 97 8 13 7	<5 <5 100 5 5 <5	26 40 69 25 32 47	14 28 550 66 43 82	106 134 740 960 510 295
FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC	AA10 AA17 AA18 AA18* AA19	0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.2	0 14 11 9 6	<5 <5 <5 <5	37 56 52 44	203 123 117 36	367 325 320 328
FSC FSC FSC FSC	AA20 BB1 BB2 BB3	0.4 0.2 0.3 0.3	7 15 19 27	<5 <5 <5 <5	44 45 41 51	30 24 20 22	310 144 178 208
FSC FSC FSC FSC	BB4 BB5 BB6 BB7	0.3 0.3 0.3 <0.2	42 21 15 6	<5 <5 <5 <5	27 44 43 31	21 21 20 16	222 147 143 70
FSC FSC FSC FSC	BB7 BB8 BB9 BB10 BB11	0.3 <0.2 0.3 <0.2	3 18 4 5	NSS <5 <5 5	35 35 27 30	15 14 24 28	75 76 97 146
FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC	BB11 BB12 BB13 BB14 BB15	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2	6 2 7 <2	10 10 15 <5 <5	27 16 15 23 14	30 13 25 21 12	352 250 100 70
FSC FSC FSC FSC	BB16 BB16* BB17 BB18	0.3 0.2 <0.2 <0.2	7 6 15 5	<5 5 <5 <5	20 20 22 23	13 14 21 30	245 244 390 490
FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC	BB19 BB20 CC1 cc2	<0.2 <0.2 0.2 <0.2 <0.2	13 7 12 40 8	<5 <5 <5 <5	27 22 42 45 25	24 17 18 18	330 314 205 166 187
FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC	cc4 cc5 CC5* CC6	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2	31 11 11 8	<5 <5 NSS <5	40 43 47 45	22 18 19 18	295 520 530 214
FSC FSC FSC FSC	CC7 CC8 CC9 CC10	0.3 0.3 0.2 <0.2	7 12 8 4	<5 5 10 10	30 47 38 27	18 28 21 16	223 125 128 87
FSC FSC FSC FSC	CC11 CC12 CC13 CC14	0.2 0.2 <0.2 0.3	4 3 4 4 4	<5 <5 <5 5	23 19 20 21 25	17 20 25 122 72	133 168 178 222
FSC FSC FSC FSC FSC	CC16 CC17 CC18 CC19	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.5 0.2	4 3 3 4	<5 <5 <5 <5	26 20 20 13	26 35 77 11	155 220 320 220
FSC FSC FSC FSC	CC20 DD1 DD2 DD3	<0.2 0.2 <0.2 0.2	4 10 8 3	<5 <5 <5 <5	13 26 28 20	12 35 24 15	265 560 370 480
FSC FSC FSC FSC	DD3* DD4 DD5 DD6	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.4	3 2 5 <2 12	<pre></pre>	20 20 26 17 13	15 18 21 14 9	480 237 256 220 262
FSC FSC FSC FSC	DD8 DD9 DD10	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	<2 <2 <2 3	 5 <5 <5	34 32 22	26 17 17	264 238 150

17 N

FSC	DD11	0.2	<2	<5	19	31	176
FSC	DD12	0.3	4	<5	19	43	185
FSC	DD12*	0.4	4	<5	18	40	180
FSC	DD13	0.2	6	<5	32	28	170
FSC	DD14	0.4	<2	<5	21	70	830
FSC	DD15	0.2	3	5	30	30	303
FSC	DD16	0.2	<2	<5	20	26	235
FSC	DD17	0.3	<2	<5	17	23	294
FSC	DD18	0.4	4	<5	18	15	320
FSC	DD19	0.4	<2	<5	15	24	240
FSC	DD20	0.3	<2	<5	13	17	218

APPENDIX4

ROCK SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

f'ors property rock geochemistry

SAMP	AG	AS	AU 1	C U	PB	S B	ZN
	PPM	PPM	PPB	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM
SAMP 53051* 53052 53053 53054 53055 53056 53057 53058 53059 53060 53061 53062 53063 53064 53065 53066 53067 53068 53066 53067 53068 53067 53071 53071* 53071 53071 53071 53075 53076 53077 53078 53077 53078 53077 53078 53078 53079 53080 5	AG PPM 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	AS PPM <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2	AU1 PPB <55555555555555555555555555555555555	$\begin{array}{c} Cu\\ PPM\\ 114\\ 115\\ 10\\ 26\\ 4\\ 12\\ 680\\ 105\\ 33\\ 65\\ 32\\ 65\\ 32\\ 65\\ 32\\ 65\\ 32\\ 65\\ 32\\ 61\\ 520\\ 314\\ 63\\ 359\\ 66\\ 64\\ 500\\ 500\\ 6\\ 18\\ 15\\ 17\\ 16\\ 203\\ 6\\ 18\\ 19\\ 15\\ 50\\ 11\\ 100\\ 70\\ 33\\ 11\\ 520\\ 32\\ 7\\ 26\\ 7\\ 26\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\$	PB PPM 34 33 12 5 13 7 0.58% 190 12 44 43 19 8 16 12 6 10 9 15 7 5 5 7 7 7 19 23 42 29 21 58 20 10 34 36 9 13 18 43 43 28 210 57 7 55 7 7 7 19 23 42 29 21 58 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	SB PPM	ZN PPM 22 21 25 40 132 28 62 33 18 87 46 22 28 58 60 62 77 51 2 28 88 937 19 32 25 40 24 25 40 25 88 937 19 32 25 40 25 88 937 19 32 25 40 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
53091	8.0	42	<5	26	1760	3	039%
53092	<0.2	<2	<5	19	13	8	67
53093	<0.2	<2	<5	19	14	10	75
53094	<0.2	7	<5	12	28	17	54
53095 53096 53096* 53126 53127 53128 53129 53130 53131 53132 53133 53134 53135	25 6.0 6.0 <0.2 <0.2 0.3 <0.2 0.3 <0.2 0.5 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2	55 0.17% 0.16% 14 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2	<5 25 30 <5 <5 <5 <5 <5 <5 <5 <5 <5 <5 <5	104 66 88 26 36 41 40 40 41 46 31 30 25	0.44% 0.44% 0.44% 12 56 130 35 172 8 23 6 14 7	123 0.37% 0.36%	$\begin{array}{c} 0.98\% \\ 640 \\ 640 \\ 30 \\ 136 \\ 227 \\ 15 \\ 284 \\ 34 \\ 36 \\ 33 \\ 44 \\ 15 \end{array}$

53136 53137	<0.2 <0.2	<2 6	<5 <5	9 6	4 6		4 3
53138	<0.2	<2	<5	45	13		7
53139 53140	<0.2	8	<5 <5	12	4		7 50
53140	<0.2	2	15	3Z 21	52		20 38
53142	<0.2	<2	<5	17	24		12
53143	1.1	2	25	620	8		39
53144	0.2	<2	<5	520	5		40
53144*	0.3	2	<5	520	4	-0	40
53145 53146	<0.2	< <u><</u> 2	10	22	38 38	<2	10
53152	<0.2	<2	<5	10	31	<2	4 1
53158	0.8	40	10	4	305	2	15
53159	0.7	80	75	25	205	7	2100
53160	15	0.90%	35	43	0.41%	380	0.90%
53161	31	0.55%	45 60	9	0.54%	360	12
53163	130	0.36%	75	120	4.20%	240	7.10%
53163*	130	0.37%	75	118	4.20%	240	7.10%
53164	23	630	20	18	0.55%	8 1	173
53165	19	490	70	49	1430	1.45%	0.36%
53100 53173	0.3	3 5	<5 5	17	43	53	16 57
66726	<0.2	<2	5	3	40	00	7
66727	0.5	15	<5	13	60		116
66728	<0.2	8	25	47	7		18
66729	<0.2	5	20	109	9 12		43
66731	<0.2	о 5	15	22	6		2 I 50
66732	0.3	3	10	34	134		243
66733	<0.2	6	20	37	10		46
66734	<0.2	8	15	97	50		38
66735	<0.2	10	∠⊃ <5	98 10	50		36
66736	<0.2	<2	<5	18	6		37
66737	<0.2	5	<5	23	10		54
66738	<0.2	10	<5	13	5		20
66740	<0.2	8 ∕I	<5 10	12	8		32
66741	<0.2	23	<5	8	5		21
66742	<0.2	80	<5	11	6		20
66743	<0.2	4	<5	38	7		67
66743×	<0.2	2	<5	38 57	8 2010		66 1060
66745	<0.2	410	<5	16	2010		1000
66746	120	190	15	126	0.95%		0.77%
66747	<0.2	3	<5	386	10		26
66748	<0.2	<2	<5	37	21		84
66750	<0.2	< <u>2</u> 9	< 5 < 5	44 34	0 24		135
76019	<0.2	4	60	11	71		65
76020	0.3	3	30	17	90		970
76021	<0.2	<2	10	20	36		152
76022	1.5	<2	20	46 12	236		0.40% 0 <i>1</i>
76023	<0.2	3	<5	3	4		57
76024*	<0.2	2	15	3	4		57
76025	<0.2	5	<5	5	7		51

APPENDIX5

ANALYTICAL EXTRACTION AND DETECTION TECHNIQUES

Analytical Extraction and Detection Techniques in Use at the Placer Dome Inc. Vancouver Geochemical Laboratory

<u>Element</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Weight <u>{Grams</u>)	Digestion	Detection <u>Limit</u>	Instrumentation
cu	ppm	0.5	HC104/HN03 4 Hrs	2-4000	Atomic Absorption
Zn	ppm	0.5	HC104/HN03 4 Hrs	2-3000	Atomic Absorption
Pb	ppm	0.5	HC104/HN03 4 Hrs	2-3000	A.A. Background Cor.
Ag	ppm	0.5	HC104/HN03 4 Hrs	0.2-20	A.A. Background Cor.
Au1	ppb	10.0	Aqua Regia 3 Hrs	5-4000	A.A. Solvent Extract
As	ppm	0.5	Aqua Regia 3 Hrs	2-2000	DC Plasma
Мо	ppm	0.5	HC104/HN03 4 Hrs	1-1000	Atomic Absorption





























-

																									FORS PROPERTY LITTLE LAMB CREEK SOIL GRID COPPER IN PPM
																									LEGEND • <20 PPM COPPER
	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	9	•	FSC O	• 20 - 34
	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•			• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	FSC N	• 35 - 59 • >60 PPM COPPER
		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•					•	•		•	•	FSC M .	T.N.
		•		•		•		•	•	•	·		•	•								•		FSC L	
	• •	•		•						•						•	• •	• •	•	•	•		•	FSC K	E O
			•									•	•	•	•			• •		•			•	FSC J	DAIA PLOTTED ON THIS MAP: DIRECTORY: SEXPL/FORS/GCHM/LAMBCRK
		•	•	•		•						•		•	•		• •	• •	,					FSC I	FIELD FILE POINTS: CU LOCASY POINTS: CU LOCASY POINTS: CU LOCASY POINTS: CU LOCASY
	•										•		•	•	•	•	•						•	FSC H	
			•			· .	• •	• .•			•.	•.	•		•	•	•			•	· · ·			FSC G	METRES BO
		٥			۰F	SC F										•	•	• •	•				FSC	CF	PLACER DOME INC.





				FORS PROPERTY LITTLE LAMB CREEK SOIL GRID LEAD IN PPM
				LEGEND
			• FSC O	• <30 PPM LEAD
				• 30 - 59
			• FSC N	• 60 - 99
				100 - 299
24			. FSC M	>300 PPM LEAD
				I.N.
			. FSC L	
			• FSC K	
	*		• FSC J	DATA PLOTTED ON THIS MAP: DIRECTORY: SEXPL/FORS/GCHM/LAMBCRK
			• FSC I	FIELO FILE POINTS: PB LOCASY POINTS: PB LOCASY ZZ
			• FSC H	0 100 200 300 400 50 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
		* *	• FSC G	METRES ON
			*	Figure 14b
	• • • • • FSC F		FSCF	PLACER DOME INC. DRAWN MG FORS PROPERTY





-

																									FORS PROPERTY LITTLE LAMB CREEK SOIL GRID GOLD IN PPB
																									LEGEND
																								FSC O	• <5 PPB GOLD
																									• 5 - 19
					• •										· ·									FSC N	• 20 - 49
																									\$50 - 99
					•															•				FSC M	>100 PPB GOLD
																									·.N.
		•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•		•	FSC L	
		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		0	•	•	•	•	•	FSC K	
							×																	500 1	ZO
	•	•	•	·	•	• •	•		·	•	·	•	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	0	F20 J	DATA PLUTTED ON THIS MAP: DIRECTORY: SEXPL/FORS/GCHM/LAMBCRK
																								ESC 1	FIELD FILE
	•		•	•	•	• •		•	•	·	•			•					·					-	POINTS: RUI LOCASY
																				0				FSC H	I S S S
																									0 100 200 300 400
							• .				•.		•										()	FSC G	METRES
												•	•	•	•	• •	• .								Figure 15b
				·	• 1	FSC F													•	•		•	FSC	CF	PLACER DOME INC.
					1																				DRAWN MG FORS PROPERTY



FSC P	. FSC Q	FSC R	. FSC S	. FSC T	• FSC U	. FSC V	FORS PROPERTY GOLDHILL SOIL GRID ZINC IN PPM LEGEND • <200 PPM ZINC • 200 - 499 • >500 PPM ZINC
			•	•		•	T.M.
	•	•		•	•	•	DATA PLOTTED ON THIS MAP: DIRECTORY: SEXPL/FORS/GCHM/GOLDHILL FIELD FILE POINTS: ZN LOCASY POINTS: ZN LOCASY
		•	•	•	•	•	0 <u>100</u> 200 <u>300</u> METRES Figuro 160
	•	•		•	•	•	FIGURE TOA PLACER DOME INC. DRAWN MG FORS PROPERTY DATE 90:01:25 GOLDHILL SOIL GRID SCALE 1:2500 ZINC IN PPM NO. PLATE











