

### 1.0 SUMMARY

A program of stream sediment sampling, prospecting, and geological mapping was carried out on the Dev 1-4 mineral claims by a four person crew from the 17 th of June, 1990 to the 15th of August, 1990. The targets of this program were skarn, structure related, disseminated, and vein gold mineralization.

The Dev 1-4 claims overly a geologically complex area containing a number of strong gossans, largely related to contact metamorphic events. A Permian and prePermian sequence of meta-argillite, meta-siltstone, silicic ash-tuff, and limestone of the Stikine Assemblage is unconformably overlain by meta-andesites of the Upper Triassic Stuhini Group. These bedded rocks strike northerly and dip moderately easterly. They have been intruded by three major intrusive bodies. Two plugs related to the Middle Jurassic quartz monzonite Strata Mountain Pluton are present in the southern part of the claim block. Two plugs related to the Middle Jurassic granodiorite Strata Glacier Pluton are present in the northern part of the claim block. The majority of the western third of the claims is underlain by Eocene granite of the Sawback Pluton. All of these intrusions have skarn mineralization associated with them, where they are in contact with more limey members of the Stuhini Group and Stikine Assemblage.

Considerable past work, including a 300 foot adit, two shorter adits, and at: least 18 open cuts, was completed on 20 zinc, silver, lead skarn showings located approximately 1 km north of the northern boundary of the Dev claim block. These showings are described in detail by F.A. Kerr (Kerr, 1928, See Appendix F). The
showings do not contain appreciable gold values and are similar in mineralogy and grade to two of the Continental showings. In general, these showings are discontinuous sulphide pods in limestone near or on an intrusive contact. The largest recorded surface dimensions are a few meters width by a few tens of meters strike length. The sulphide pods on the intrusive contact are magnetite-pyrrhotite with sphalerite-galena-chalcopyrite pods more distal from the contact. This style of mineralization, as described by Kerr and where observed in the Continental showings, is too low grade and discontinuous to be of economic interest.

This observation led to a decision to test the property using a more regional approach, specifically, paired pan concentrate and silt samples. The object of this approach was to re-evaluate the whole of the property, with an emphasis on the eastern slope facing Dokdaon and Brydon Creek. This area has seen relatively little exploration due to more difficult access and the past emphasis on the known skarn showing. The initial pan concentrate-silt sampling was very successful in that gold was observed in pans taken from two creeks draining the north-eastern quarter of the property. A program of contour soil sampling and prospecting was immediately undertaken to cover the area between the two gold bearing creeks. The contour soil sampling returned anomalous values in gold ( $>30 \mathrm{ppb}$ ) in two areas with five spot highs outside of these areas.

Reconnaissance prospecting and more detailed contour soil sampling were carried out at a later date to better define soil anomalies and locate bedrock sources of these anomalies. At this time, prospecting of a drainage on the southern edge of the property was also
carried out. This drainage returned a value of 825 ppb Au in the initial pan concentrate sampling. During the course of this phase of work a 1.0 metre diameter angular float boulder containing pyrite, chalcopyrite, actinolite, and magnetite was sampled in the northeastern area. This boulder was located approximately 2.0 metres up slope from the site of a soil sample which ran 405 ppb Au. The sample of the float boulder assayed $104.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{t} \mathrm{Au}$. An in-situ rock sample taken 150 metres away ran 120 ppb Au . In addition to these samples, detailed contour soil sampling 1.0 km to the north-west outlined two gold bearing linear features.

### 2.0 CONCLUSIONS

The sphalerite-galena-chalcopyrite and magnetitepyrrhotite skarn mineralization hosted in the calcareous units of the Stikine Assemblage are not of high enough grade or continuous enough to be of economic interest.

The area underlain by Stuhini volcanics in the north eastern quadrant of the property does have considerable potential to host gold mineralization wi.th economic potential. The nature, size, and location of the float boulder which assayed $104.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{t} \mathrm{Au}$ indicates it could not have travelled more than a few hundred metres from its source.

### 3.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

A program consisting of detailed prospecting, further contour soil sampling, and VLF-EM / total magnetic field geophysical surveying should be carried out in
the area of the two anomalous drainages in the northeastern quadrant of the claim block. Trenching should be carried out on any in-situ showings outlined. Prospecting should concentrate on locating the source of the $104.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{t} \mathrm{Au}$ float boulder and defining and sampling the linear features outlined by detailed soil sampling in the northern part of the area of interest. Contour soil sampling should expand on the work to date, particularily with at least two lines run northwest and south of the area sampled to date. Mag-VLF surveying should be concentrated on the area up-slope from the $104.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{t}$ Au float boulder.

In general, the area of interest is largely below tree line and is covered by a thick undergrowth of stunted spruce. This vegetation greatly impedes work by hindering access and obscuring outcrop. Because of this, the recommended work will take substantially longer to complete than in areas of more benign vegetation.

The recommended program should take a two person crew one month to complete and is best carried out in two stages. This would allow the second stage of work to be directed by assay results from the first stage.

The recommended program should cost approximately $\$ 45,000.00$.

Respectfully submitted,
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### 4.0 INTRODUCTION

The Devil's Elbow Project (NTS 104 G/12) encompasses the Dev 1-4 claims totalling 80 units. The claims were staked by Continental Gold Corp. in July, 1988 and are presently under option to the Northair Group. The claims cover large gossanous zones that exist within Permian sediments and Triassic volcanics near intrusive contacts. The initial phase of the project consisted of pan concentrate and silt sampling the major drainages on the property. This localized an area between the two northeast flowing creeks on the Dev 2 claim where further prospecting, rock, and contour scree / soil sampling took place. Thirteen pan concentrate samples, 21 silt samples, 265 soil samples, 108 rock samples were taken. Nine square kilometers were geologically mapped at a scale of $1: 5,000$. The emphasis was to concentrate on the areas distal to the sediment intrusive contact where gold mineralization is more likely to be present and less work has been done in the past.

The work program was carried out by a four person crew from the 17 th of June, 1990 to the 15th of August, 1990.

### 4.1 Location and Access

The Dev 1-4 claims are located approximately 50 km southwest of Telegraph Creek in northwestern British Columbia (See Figure 1). The claims are situated within the drainage basin of the Stikine River near the eastern margin of the Coast Range Mountains.
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The Dev 1 - 4 claims are centered near latitude $57^{\circ}$ $32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and longitude $131^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ on NTS map sheet $104 \mathrm{G} / 12$. Access to the property was gained by helicopter from Telegraph Creek.

### 4.2 Topography, Vegetation and Climate

The Dev 1 - 4 claims are situated in moderate alpine terrane with elevations ranging from 300 to 1900 meters. The tree line is at 1200 meters. Minor grass and alpine shrubs cover portions of the higher elevations. The remainder of the claims are covered by spruce and pine with dense undergrowth. Outcrop exposure on the property is approximately $35 \%$.

The climate is generally unpredictable. Snow is on many north facing slopes until late July. Exploration can be carried out from mid - May to mid - October.

### 4.3 Claim Status

The property consists of four contiguous claims, Dev 1 - 4, totaling 80 units ( 2000 ha). The mineral claims are owned by Continental Gold Corp. They were originally registered in the name of D.B. F'orster, Vice President and Director of Continental Gold Corp. The claim record date for Dev 1 (Record No. 5073) - Dec 4 (Record No. 5076) is August 18, 1988. On acceptance of this report the expiry date will be August 18, 1992. The property is presently under option to the Northair Group, the operator.

### 4.4 Exploration History

The area of base metal showings to the north of the Dev claims was first staked in 1914 as the Stikine No.'s 1,2,3, and 4 by Mr.'s Dixon and Bodd. These claims were optioned to the Stikine Mining Company in 1915. This company completed at least 20 trenches and drove three adits of 10 metres , 20 meters, and 90 metres length. This work did not develop any mineralization of economic importance and work was suspended. At this time, part of the Dev claim block was staked by Pete Hamlin as the Tonapah and Vesuvius claims. No work was recorded in the area of the Dev claims, but there is evidence some trenching was carried out.

Activity was renewed in 1950-51 when tungsten mineralization was recognized associated with the base metal skarn mineralization. At this time, Pete Hamlin owned all of the old showings. The property was optioned to Tungsten of British Columbia Ltd. which rehabilitated the adits, conducted further trenching, and re-sampled all old workings. The best results were obtained from a trench on the Bodell No. 1 Mineral Claim at an elevation of 701 meters. Chip samples returned contiguous values of $2.39 \% \mathrm{WO}_{3}$ over 1.22 meters and $1.05 \% \mathrm{WO}_{3}$ over 6.10 metres (Legg, R.E. 1952). No further work was carried out at this time.

The area was restaked in 1970 by John Oliver who carried out extensive prospecting, trenching and sampling in 1971-72.

No further work was carried out until 1977, when Chutine Mining and Development Co. Ltd., a private Alberta company, extended the trenches and carried out further sampling and assaying.

Chutine Resources Ltd. acquired the claims in 1981 and extended old trenches and completed one new trench. (Keep, G. 1983 Ass. Report 11, 262).

The claims were allowed to lapse and Continental Gold Corp. re-staked them in 1988. A brief prospecting program was carried out by Continental that year.

### 5.0 GEOLOGY

### 5.1 Regional Geology

The Dev Project area is located on the eastern flank of the main belt of the Coast Plutonic Complex and on the western margin of the Intermontane Belt within the Stikine Arch. The Stikine Arch consists of Permian to Middle Triassic ocean sediments unconformably overlain by rocks of the Upper Triassic Stuhini Group island arc volcanics and sediments. These volcanics and sediments have been intruded by syenitic stocks and by quartz diorite and granodiorite plutons of the Coast Plutonic complex (Brown et al. 1990). Brown's mapping of map sheet 104 G/12E, where the Dev claims are located, show the Coast Range Intrusions as being post Middle Jurassic to Eocene age.

### 5.2 Property Geology

The regional geology of the Telegraph Creek map area has been recently discussed in detail by Brown (1990) and in the past by Souther (1972) and by Kerr (1948). The Devil's Elbow Project area is predominantly underlain by Stikine assemblage Permian or older
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Figure 30
sediments. This assemblage is unconformably overlain by Upper Triassic Stuhini Group volcanic:s near the eastern boundary of the claims. The Stikine rock types are meta-argillite, meta-siltstone, recrystallized limestone, calcareous siltstone and chert. The Stuhini rocks consist of augite andesite flows and lapilli tuffs with minor limestone and argillaceous limestone. The general attitude strikes north-south and dips moderately to the east. Minor folds and foliation were observed in all the bedded units. The sediments and volcanics are intruded by Middle Jurassic quartz monzonite in the southern portion of the project area, Middle Jurassic granodiorite in the northern portion, and by Eocene granite in the west. It has not been determined if mineralization is dominantly associated with one of the intrusive events. Shear zones, faults, and fault breccias have been recognized throughout the claim group and generally trend north-south to northeast (See Map 1).

### 5.3 Mineralization

Mineralization observed on the property falls into two broad categories which are skarn or structure related. The structure related mineralization was strictly pyrite, where observed. No values of economic interest have been returned from samples taken of structurally controlled mineralization on the Dev property, to date. Skarn mineralization can be subdivided into two categories based on host rock. Skarn mineralization in Stikine Assemblage rocks consist of pyrrhotite magnetite pods on or within a few metres of the intrusive contacts with sphalerite, galena, and chalcopyrite pods more distal to the intrusive contact, but still within a few tens of metres. Chip samples
from the sphalerite, galena, chalcopyrite pods returned values up to $10 \%$ lead, $10 \%$ zinc, and $15 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{t}$ silver (See Appendix F). The largest of these pods average one to two metres in width and have strike lengths of a few tens of metres. Skarn mineralization hosted in Stuhini Group rocks, of which there is only one example to date, differs from the Stikine Assemblage skarns by its lack of sphalerite and galena and it high gold content ( $104.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{t} \mathrm{Au}$ ). This mineralization consists of pyrrhotite, magnetite, actinolite, pyrite, and chalcopyrite.

### 6.0 GEOCHEMISTRY

A geochemical program of paired pan concentrate and silt samples was carried out on all flowing secondary drainages on the Dev claims (See Appendix $B$ for Sampling Methodology). Samples sites were chosen to be on or close to the first major break in slope of the creeks. Moss samples were washed in pans and the residue panned to a black sand concentrate. As only 20 pan concentrate and ten silt samples were taken, not enough data was available to carry out a meaningful statistical treatment. Anomalous values for silts were for the National Geochemical Reconnaissance program carried out in this area. Anomalous levels for pan concentrates were set based on consjderable past experience using this method in similar terrains. Anomalous levels are listed below:

SILT SAMPLES

| Cu | 207 ppm | 200 | ppm |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pb | 59 ppm | 100 | ppm |
| Zn | 345 ppm | 400 | ppm |
| Au | 318 ppm | 250 | ppb |
| Ag | 1.17 ppm | 1.5 | ppm |

Five pan concentrate samples were anomalous in Au, four in the north-east quadrant of the property and one in the southern border. No source was found in prospecting above the southern anomaly. Work was concentrated above the two initial anomalies in the north-east quadrant, 104151 and 104158, as gold colours were observed in the pans when the samples were collected. One silt sample was anomalous in $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Ag}$, and Zn . This sample reflects known skarn showings above the sample site (See Kerr, F.A. Appendix F)

The area above the two samples in the north-east quadrant of the claims was prospected and soil sampled along contour lines. Samples were collected as described in Appendix B. More detailed descriptions of soil condition, topography, and vegetation are included in Appendix G.

261 soil samples were taken and analyzed for $A u, A g$, $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$, and Zn . Anomalous levels were set at the levels listed below. As gold was the target of the program, anomalous levels were based, in part, on correlations between gold and the other elements.
$\mathrm{Au}-25 \mathrm{ppb}$
$\mathrm{Ag}-1.1 \mathrm{ppm}$
$\mathrm{Cu}-100 \mathrm{ppm}$
$\mathrm{Pb}-50 \mathrm{ppm}$
$\mathrm{Zn}-200 \mathrm{ppm}$

Copper is associated with the higher gold values in rock. Fort this reason, only gold and copper values are noted at sample sites on the sample map.

Sampling was carried out on three contour lines, 3500 ft., 4000 ft. and 4600 ft. Some short infill lines were sample in the latter stage of the program. Sampling is too widely spaced to contour. It is recommended that the upslope areas of all soil samples anomalous in Au , and/or Cu be prospected in detail.
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## APPENDIX A <br> Certificates of Analysis

## ECD－TECH LABORATORIES LTD．

ASSAYING－ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy．．Kamioops．B．C．V2C 2 J3（604）573－5700 Fax 573－4557

JUNE 27． 1990
CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS ETK 90－192

ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ
SOBCOLL
HI－TEC RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
1500－609 GRANVILLE STREET
Devils Elbow．

P．O．BOX 10362
VANCOUVER，BC．
U7X GS

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION： 4 ROCK samples received June 25， 1990 PROJECT：90－BC－ 016 SHIPMENT NO．： 1
Au Au Ag Cu Pb An W ET\＃Description（ $g / t$ ）（ $o z / t$ ）（ppm）（ppm）（ppm）（ppm）（ppm）


| $192-1$ | 104003 | .05 | .001 | .4 | 71 | 8 | 44 | 14 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $192-2$ | 104109 | 2.03 | $<.001$ | .2 | 82 | 5 | 61 | 35 |
| $192-3$ | 104110 | .03 | $<.001$ | .6 | 64 | 19 | 81 | 26 |
| $192-4$ | 104160 | 6.03 | $<.001$ | .9 | 50 | 23 | 54 | 15 |

NOTE：$<=$ less than

FAX：D．DUNN
C／O TRANS NORTH AIR


SC90／HIGH TEL

```
10041 east trans camad hut.
kanl oops. 8.C. v2C 213
PHONE - 601-573-5700
FAI - 601-573-4557
```

1500-609 GRANUILLE ṠTREE:
P.0. 80110362
vancouver. 8.c.
U71 165

PROJECI: $90-86-016$
SHIPMENT NO.: 1
13 SILI SAKPLES RECEIVED JUK 2S. 1990

| Elt | DRSCRIPITONS AUS PPB) |  |  |  |  | AS |  |  | $81 \mathrm{CA}(8)$ |  |  |  |  | (0) FE( P ) |  | K( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | LA M6(I) |  | M | no Ma( 1 ) |  | W | $p$ | P8 | 58 | SK | SR If( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |  |  | $V$ | V | 1 IN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |
| 193 | - 1 | 104002 | 20 | . 6 | 1.20 | 15 | 176 | 65 | $(5$ | . 87 | 1 | 13 | 21 | 14 | 2.91 | . 08 | 30 | . 50 | 795 | 7 | . 04 | 41 | 750 | 40 | 15 | Q0 | 103 | . 01 | 30 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 189 |
| 193 | - 2 | 104004 | 5 | . 8 | 2.39 | 60 | 190 | 130 | 15 | 1.21 | 2 | 21 | 46 | 月 | 1.21 | . 27 | 10 | 1.29 | 711 | 8 | . 08 | 51 | 980 | 76 | 15 | $(20$ | 101 | . 11 | 10 | 101 | 10 | 5 | 227 |
| 193 | - 3 | 104054 | 15 | . 2 | 1.57 | 20 | 132 | 115 | 15 | . 68 | 11 | 14 | 21 | 24 | 3.11 | . 05 | 10 | 1.03 | 174 | 1 | . 01 | 19 | 811 | 16 | 5 | 120 | 51 | . 06 | 110 | 81 | 110 | 1 | 18 |
| 193 | - 1 | 104102 | 5 | . 6 | 3.66 | 205 | 226 | 70 | 15 | 2.02 | 1 | \% | 67 | 52 | 5.34 | . 08 | 10 | 1.34 | 796 | 1 | . 18 | 01 | 10111 | 24 | is | 120 | 267 | . 08 | 110 | 101 | 110 | 8 | 287 |
| 193 | - 5 | 104104 | 5 | 1.2 | 2.65 | 115 | 208 | 180 | is | 1.18 | 1 | 26 | 32 | 14 | 1.68 | . 12 | 10 | 1.39 | 970 | 1 | . 09 | 35 | 10\%) | 72 | 5 | 20 | 112 | . 07 | 10 | 81 | 10 | 8 | 273 |
| 193 | - 6 | 104106 | 20 | 1.2 | 3.58 | 85 | 232 | 125 | is | 1.13 | 1 | 37 | 61 | 68 | 4.56 | . 05 | 20 | . 87 | 1951 | 1 | . 05 | 68 | 14010 | 48 | 10 | 20 | 67 | . 09 | 110 | 89 | 10 | 16 | 299 |
| 19 | - 7 | 104108 | 10 | . 2 | . 87 | 60 | 266 | 10 | 15 | 10.18 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 21 | 2.10 | . 03 | 110 | . 16 | 967 | 3 | . 05 | 30 | 370 | 40 | 15 | 00 | 388 | . 01 | 110 | 20 | 10 | 5 | 220 |
| 193 | -8 | 104152 | 20 | . 2 | 1.19 | 20 | 170 | 70 | 15 | 1.20 | 1 | 21 | 61 | 57 | 3.67 | . 08 | 10 | 1.10 | 659 | 1 | . 46 | 81 | 960 | 24 | 5 | (20 | 71 | . 07 | 110 | 98 | 110 | 1 | 111 |
| 193 | - 9 | 104154 | 60 | . 8 | 1.78 | 90 | 210 | 15 | 15 | 5.52 | 11 | 18 | 31 | 34 | 1.69 | . 05 | 110 | . 78 | 537 | 1 | . 10 | 66 | 791 | 38 | S | $(20$ | 317 | . 05 | 110 | 71 | 10 | 1 | 265 |
| 193 | -10 | 104157 | 15 | 1.0 | 2.76 | 70 | 236 | 145 | (S | 1.71 | 1 | 29 | 59 | 87 | 1.82 | . 27 | 10 | 1.66 | 1158 | 5 | . 07 | 57 | 12311 | 81 | 5 | $(20$ | 81 | . 16 | 110 | 123 | 110 | 7 | 253 |
| 193 | -11 | 104159 | 15 | . 6 | 3.16 | 125 | 231 | 50 | 15 | 2.14 | 2 | 27 | 50 | 68 | 5.38 | . 08 | 10 | 1.02 | 1316 | 3 | . 11 | 79 | 9111 | 36 | 5 | $(20$ | 283 | . 07 | 110 | 69 | 10 | 10 | 295 |
| 193 | -12 | 101162 | 40 | 7.2 | . 62 | 10 | 336 | 10 | 15 | 3.40 | 9 | 63 | 33 | 847 | 1.33 | . 04 | 110 | . 37 | 1513 | 4 | . 04 | 272 | 9710 | 24 | is | 20 | 95 | . 02 | 110 | 39 | 20 | 17 | 396 |
| 193 | -13 | 101052 | 15 | 1.0 | 3.75 | 105 | 230 | 120 | 15 | 2.50 | 1 | 24 | 60 | 13 | 5.38 | . 08 | 10 | 1.40 | 793 | 6 | . 21 | \% | 142) | 54 | 10 | 00 | 363 | . 68 | 110 | 89 | 10 | 9 | 257 |

MOIE: $:=$ LESS THAM
saz: 0. OUWM
c/o iRANS NORTH AIR


SC9OMIEH TEC

# ECD-TECH LABORATORIES LTD. <br> ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING <br> 10041 East Trans Canada Hwy.. Kamloops, B.C. V2C $2 J 3$ (604) 573-5700 Fax 573-4557 

JUNE 28, 1990
CERTIFICATE. OF ANALYSIS ETK 90-194

hi-tec Resource management 1500-609 GRANUILLE STREET
PRO. BOX 10362
VANCOUVER, BC.

```
UTX 1GS
```

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION: 13 PAN CON samples received June 25, 1990 PROJECT: 90 - BC - 016 SHIPMENT NO.: 1
$\mathrm{Au} \mathrm{Ag} \mathrm{Cu} \quad \mathrm{Pb} \quad \mathrm{Zn} \quad W$
ET\# Description (ppb) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm)


| $194-$ | 1 | 104001 | 10 | 1.2 | 44 | 78 | 81 | 50 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $194-$ | 2 | 104005 | 10 | 1.4 | 197 | 57 | 131 | 31 |
| $194-$ | 3 | 104051 | 35 | .3 | 29 | 18 | 99 | 36 |
| $194-$ | 4 | 104053 | 825 | .5 | 27 | 16 | 72 | 24 |
| $194-$ | 5 | 104101 | 5 | .5 | 32 | 17 | 113 | 9 |
| $194-$ | 6 | 104103 | 10 | .5 | 48 | 20 | 140 | 25 |
| $194-$ | 7 | 104105 | 5 | .3 | 37 | 48 | 210 | 33 |
| $194-$ | 8 | 104107 | 20 | .4 | 22 | 44 | 117 | 45 |
| $194-$ | 9 | 104151 | 2390 | .2 | 42 | 12 | 65 | 36 |
| $194-$ | 10 | 104153 | 10 | .7 | 35 | 13 | 169 | 30 |
| $194-$ | 11 | 104156 | 15 | 1.3 | 58 | 30 | 94 | 20 |
| $194-$ | 12 | 104158 | 315 | 1.5 | 42 | 42 | 288 | 55 |
| $194-$ | 13 | 104161 | 25 | .6 | 68 | 17 | 190 | 41 |

FAX: D. DUNN

c/o TRANS NORTH AIR

SC9O/HIGH TEL


ECD-TECH LABQRATDRIES LTD.<br>ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING<br>10041 East Trans Canada Hwy.. Kamloops. B.C. V2C 2J3 (604) 573-5700 Fax 573-4557

JULY 5, 1990
CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS ETK 90-206
==================================
HI-TEC RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
1500-609 GRANUILLE STREET
P.O. BOX 10362

UANCOUUER, B.C.
UTX 1GS
ATTENTION: VICTORIA KURAN
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION: 155 SOIL samples received June 26,1990
 SHIPMENT NO.: 2
$A U \quad A G \quad C U \quad P B \quad Z N \quad A S$ (ppb) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm)
ET\#
Description

| 206 | - | 1 | 3500 | $0+00$ | N | 10 | . 7 | 22 | 16 | 57 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 206 | - | 2 | 3500 | $0+25$ | N | 10 | . 1 | 17 | 15 | 48 | 24 |
| 206 | - | 3 | 3500 | $0+50$ | N | 405 | . 8 | 439 | 34 | 129 | 29 |
| 206 | - | 4 | 3500 | $0+75$ | N | 20 | 1.0 | 51 | 42 | 178 | 20 |
| 206 | - | 5 | 3500 | $1+00$ | N | 10 | . 3 | 36 | 16 | 78 | 24 |
| 206 | - | 6 | 3500 | $1+25$ | N | 30 | . 3 | 29 | 31 | 62 | 25 |
| 206 | - | 7 | 3500 | $1+50$ | $N$ | 10 | . 2 | 22 | 19 | 58 | 22 |
| 206 | - | 8 | 3500 | $1+75$ | N | 10 | . 2 | 34 | 20 | 59 | 31 |
| 206 | - | 9 | 3500 | $2+00$ | N | 5 | . 6 | 37 | 39 | 166 | 45 |
| 206 | - | 10 | 3500 | $2+25$ | N | 10 | . 3 | 28 | 24 | 115 | 31 |
| 206 | - | 11 | 3500 | $2+50$ | N | 10 | . 1 | 15 | 19 | 51 | 30 |
| 206 | - | 12 | 3500 | $2+75$ | N | 5 | . 4 | 46 | 26 | 91 | 49 |
| 206 | - | 13 | 3500 | $3+00$ | N | 10 | . 6 | 25 | 22 | 68 | 32 |
| 206 | - | 14 | 3500 | $3+25$ | N | 15 | . 1 | 13 | 19 | 41 | 14 |
| 206 | - | 15 | 3500 | $3+50$ | N | 10 | . 5 | 27 | 17 | 69 | 33 |
| 206 | - | 16 | 3500 | $3+75$ | N | 10 | . 3 | 19 | 22 | 54 | 40 |
| 206 | - | 17 | 3500 | $4+00$ | N | 10 | . 4 | 19 | 20 | 67 | 31 |
| 206 | - | 18 | 3500 | $4+25$ | N | 5 | . 4 | 31 | 15 | 60 | 38 |
| 206 | - | 19 | 3500 | $4+50$ | N | 10 | . 3 | 28 | 19 | 73 | 36 |
| 206 | - | 20 | 3500 | $4+75$ | N | 5 | . 2 | 9 | 14 | 64 | 28 |
| 206 | - | 21 | 3500 | $5+00$ | N | < | 1.0 | 78 | 17 | 201 | 100 |
| 206 | - | 22 | 3500 | $5+25$ | $N$ | 5 | く. 1 | 16 | 11 | 77 | 33 |
| 206 | - | 23 | 3500 | $5+50$ | N | 45 | . 3 | 19 | 23 | 62 | 30 |
| 206 | - | 24 | 3500 | $5+75$ | $N$ | 5 | . 3 | 17 | 16 | 58 | 27 |
| 206 | - | 25 | 3500 | $6+00$ | $N$ | 5 | . 3 | 28 | 15 | 57 | 40 |
| 206 | - | 26 | 3500 | $6+25$ | N | 5 | . 2 | 29 | 14 | 66 | 31 |
| 206 | - | 27 | 3500 | $6+50$ | $N$ | 5 | . 2 | 23 | 21 | 122 | 41 |
| 206 | - | 28 | 3500 | $6+75$ | N | 10 | . 4 | 70 | 10 | 66 | 20 |
| 206 | - | 29 | 3500 | $7+00$ | $N$ | 5 | . 2 | 45 | 14 | 59 | 25 |
| 206 | - | 30 | 3500 | $7+25$ | $N$ | 10 | く.1 | 39 | 14 | 84 | 84 |



ECD-TECH LABDRATDRIES LTD.
ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy.. Kamlooos. B.C. V2C $2 \mathrm{J3}$ (604) 573.5700 Fax 573.4557
hi-tec resource management

| ET\# |  | Description |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} A U \\ (p p b) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AG} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{CU} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{PB} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} Z N \\ (p p m) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} A E \\ (\mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 206 | - | 31 | 3500 | $7+50$ | N | 15 | . 7 | 55 | 9 | 37 | 8 |
| 206 | - | 32 | 3500 | $7+75$ | $N$ | 10 | 1.1 | 105 | 12 | 84 | 92 |
| 206 | - | 33 | 3500 | $8+00$ | $N$ | 25 | . 8 | 78 | 11 | 92 | 76 |
| 206 | - | 34 | 3500 | $8+25$ | $N$ | 50 | . 9 | 101 | 9 | 67 | 31 |
| 206 | - | 35 | 3500 | $8+50$ | $N$ | 15 | . 6 | 171 | 10 | 42 | 29 |
| 206 | - | 36 | 3500 | $8+75$ | N | 10 | . 1 | 41. | 12 | 39 | 24 |
| 206 | - | 37 | 3500 | $9+00$ | $N$ | 15 | . 8 | 79 | 13 | 101 | 695 |
| 206 | - | 38 | 3500 | $9+25$ | $N$ | 10 | . 2 | 31 | 10 | 88 | 78 |
| 206 | - | 39 | 3500 | $9+50$ | $N$ | 10 | . 3 | 29 | 17 | 41 | 6 |
| 206 | - | 40 | 3500 | $9+75$ | $N$ | 15 | . 5 | 34 | 20 | 60 | 13 |
| 206 | - | 41 | 3500 | $10+00$ | $N$ | 10 | . 4 | 49 | 18 | 53 | 5 |
| 206 | - | 42 | 3500 | $10+25$ | $N$ | 15 | <. 1 | 47 | 12 | 50 | 10 |
| 206 | - | 43 | 3500 | $10+50$ | N | 5 | . 5 | 26. | 21 | 69 | 88 |
| 206 | - | 44 | 3500 | $10+75$ | $N$ | 10 | . 3 | 22 | 14 | 55 | 26 |
| 206 | - | 45 | 3500 | $11+00$ | $N$ | 10 | . 2 | 27 | 18 | 90 | 14 |
| 206 | - | 46 | 3500 | $11+25$ | $N$ | 10 | . 1 | 21 | 18 | 56 | 20 |
| 206 | - | 47 | 3500 | $11+50$ | $N$ | 5 | 1.4 | 87 | 13 | 72 | 20 |
| 206 | - | 48 | 3500 | $11+75$ | $N$ | 10 | . 7 | 46 | 22 | 54 | 4 |
| 206 | - | 49 | 3500 | $12+00$ | $N$ | 10 | . 3 | 24 | 20 | 51 | 26 |
| 206 | - | 50 | 3500 | $12+25$ | $N$ | 5 | . 6 | 52 | 17 | 45 | 21 |
| 206 | - | 51 | 3500 | $12+50$ | $N$ | 10 | . 5 | 38 | 16 | 118 | 13 |
| 206 | - | 52 | 3500 | $12+75$ | $N$ | 15 | . 5 | 52 | 17 | 65 | 9 |
| 206 | - | 53 | 3500 | $13+00$ | $N$ | 15 | . 2 | 34 | 19 | 66 | 8 |
| 206 | - | 54 | 3500 | $13+25$ | $N$ | 20 | . 4 | 39 | 14 | 62 | 10 |
| 206 | - | 55 | 3500 | $13+50$ | $N$ | 10 | . 1 | 26 | 16 | 49 | 9 |
| 206 | - | 56 | 3500 | $13+75$ | $N$ | 15 | . 2 | 20 | 20 | 141 | 14 |
| 206 | - | 57 | 3500 | $14+00$ | $N$ | 5 | . 2 | 16 | 23 | 132 | 37 |
| 206 | - | 58 | 3500 | $14+25$ | $N$ | 10 | . 2 | 32 | 22 | 56 | 9 |
| 206 | - | 59 | 3500 | $14+50$ | N | 10 | . 4 | 48 | 21 | 51 | 7 |
| 206 | - | 60 | 3500 | $14+75 N$ | $N$ | 10 | . 2 | 37 | 18 | 63 | 11 |
| 206 | - | 61 | 3500 | $15+00$ | $N$ | 10 | . 1 | 18 | 22 | 47 | 7 |
| 206 | - | 62 | 3500 | $15+25$ | N | 15 | . 4 | 46 | 18 | 60 | 7 |
| 206 | - | 63 | 3500 | $15+50$ | N | 15 | . 3 | 17 | 21 | 48 | 6 |
| 206 | - | 64 | 3500 | $15+75$ | $N$ | 10 | . 1 | 22 | 19 | 40 | 18 |
| 206 | - | 65 | 3500 | $16+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 15 | . 1 | 21 | 18 | 56 | 10 |
| 206 | - | 66 | 3500 | $16+25$ | $N$ | 10 | <. 1 | 52 | 21 | 93 | 10 |
| 206 | - | 67 | 3500 | $16+50$ | $N$ | 15 | <. 1 | 14 | 20 | 30 | 8 |
| 206 | - | 68 | 3500 | $16+75 N$ | $N$ | 20 | く.1 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 3 |
| 206 | - | 69 | 3500 | $17+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 15 | 1.2 | 33 | 25 | 118 | 141 |
| 206 | - | 70 | 4000 | $0+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 10 | . 8 | 23 | 26 | 55 | 122 |
| 206 | - | 71 | 4000 | $0+25 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 15 | . 2 | 8 | 37 | 34 | 6 |
| 206 | - | 72 | 4000 | $0+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 10 | . 8 | 19 | 56 | 155 | 91 |
| 206 | - | 73 | 4000 | $0+75$ | $N$ | 10 | 1.6 | 37 | 228 | 95 | 36 |
| 206 | - | 74 | 4000 | $1+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 5 | 2.3 | 54 | 54 | 71 | 28 |
| 206 | - | 75 | 4000 | $1+25 N$ | $N$ | 10 | 1.2 | 33 | 28 | 78 | 126 |

Page 2

ECO-TECH LABOAATDAIES LTD.<br>ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING<br>10041 East Trans Canada Hwy.. Kamloods. B.C. V2C 2J3 (604) 573-5700 Fax 573.4557

hi-tec resource management

| ET\# | Description |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} A U \\ b \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AG} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{CU} \\ \mathrm{ppm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{PB} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ZN } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AS} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 206 | 76 | 4000 | $1+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 35 | . 5 | 12 | 27 | 44 | 24 |
| 206 | 77 | 4000 | $1+75 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 15 | 1.2 | 38 | 57 | 90 | 77 |
| 206 | 78 | 4000 | $2+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 25. | 1.1 | 93 | 41 | 79 | So |
| 206 | 79 | 4000 | $2+25 N$ | N | 10 | 1.6 | 171 | 22 | 57 | 17 |
| 206 | 80 | 4000 | $2+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 5 | . 6 | 89 | 19 | 59 | 26 |
| 206 | 81 | 4000 | $2+75 N$ | N | 5 | 1.2 | 104 | 18 | 73 | 14 |
| 206 | 82 | 4000 | $3+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 10 | . 5 | 31 | 16 | 61 | 30 |
| 206 | 83 | 4000 | $3+25 N$ | N | 15 | . 4 | 13 | 21 | 55 | 52 |
| 206 | 84 | 4000 | $3+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 10 | 1.5 | 21 | 28 | 69 | 22 |
| 206 | 85 | 4000 | $3+75 N$ | $N$ | 5 | . 7 | 28 | 25 | 70 | 27 |
| 206 | - 86 | 4000 | $4+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 10 | . 8 | 22 | 24 | 73 | 48 |
| 206 | - 87 | 4000 | $4+25 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 10 | 1.8 | 27 | 25 | 61 | 12 |
| 206 | 88 | 4000 | $4+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 5 | 1.1 | 21 | 68 | 52 | 8 |
| 206 | - 89 | 4000 | $4+75 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 5 | . 9 | 35 | 19 | 91 | 52 |
| 206 | 90 | 4000 | $5+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 30 | . 7 | 32 | 20 | 65 | 17 |
| 206 | - 91 | 4000 | $5+25 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 20 | . 5 | 40 | 24 | 58 | 10 |
| 206 | - 92 | 4000 | $5+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 5 | . 6 | 14 | 33 | 53 | 14 |
| 206 | - 93 | 4000 | $5+75 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 10 | 1.4 | 44 | 62 | 211 | 31 |
| 206 | - 94 | 4000 | $6+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 20 | . 6 | 35 | 20 | 68 | 22 |
| 206 | - 95 | 4000 | $6+25 N$ | $N$ | 5 | . 9 | 81 | 19 | 201 | 40 |
| 206 | - 96 | 4000 | $6+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 20 | . 7 | 43 | 35 | 88 | 31 |
| 206 | - 97 | 4000 | $6+75 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | < | . 3 | 21 | 22 | 94 | 32 |
| 206 | - 98 | 4000 | $7+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 20 | . 7 | 19 | 20 | 69 | 37 |
| 206 | - 99 | 4000 | $7+25 N$ | N | 15 | . 4 | 24 | 35 | 68 | 22 |
| 206 | - 100 | 4000 | $7+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 25 | 1.5 | 82 | 38 | 177 | 47 |
| 206 | - 101 | 4000 | $7+75 N$ | N | < 5 | . 8 | 69 | 37 | 81 | 12 |
| 206 | - 102 | 4000 | $8+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 5 | . 3 | 28 | 23 | 104 | 21 |
| 206 | - 103 | 4000 | $8+25 N$ | N | 10 | . 5 | 21 | 19 | 70 | 22 |
| 206 | - 104 | 4000 | $8+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 10 | . 3 | 23 | 18 | 84 | 22 |
| 206 | - 105 | 4000 | $8+75$ | N | 15 | . 3 | 39 | 23 | 100 | 14 |
| 206 | - 106 | 4000 | $9+00$ | $N$ | 10 | . 4 | 29 | 21 | 69 | 14 |
| 206 | - 107 | 4000 | $9+25 N$ | N | 15 | . 4 | 20 | 13 | 53 | 12 |
| 206 | - 108 | 4000 | $9+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 65 | . 5 | 61 | 14 | 69 | 13 |
| 206 | - 109 | 4000 | $9+75 N$ | N | 25 | . 5 | 46 | 34 | 274 | 97 |
| 206 | - 110 | 4000 | $10+00$ | N | 5 | . 5 | 45 | 56 | 504 | 78 |
| 206 | - 111 | 4000 | $10+25 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 35 | . 1 | 16 | 18 | 68 | 41 |
| 206 | - 112 | 4000 | $10+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 25 | . 4 | 29 | 26 | 52 | 9 |
| 206 | - 113 | 4000 | $10+75 \mathrm{~N}$ | $N$ | 5 | . 3 | 21 | 24 | 129 | 85 |
| 206 | - 114 | 4000 | $11+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 30 | <. 1 | 12 | 18 | 37 | 7 |
| 206 | - 115 | 4000 | $11+25 N$ | N | < | . 2 | 24 | 17 | 73 | 15 |
| 206 | - 116 | 4000 | $11+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 60 | . 8 | 44 | 20 | 64 | 24 |
| 206 | - 117 | 4600 | $0+25 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 5 | . 5 | 158 | 21 | 107 | 55 |
| 206 | $-118$ | 4600 | $0+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | <5 | . 6 | 81 | 31 | 103 | 39 |
| 206 | - 119 | 4600 | $0+75 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 5 | . 9 | 57 | 25 | 86 | 64 |
| 206 | - 120 | 4600 | $1+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | N | 55 | 1.0 | 206 | 38 | 235 | 124 |

ECD-TECH LABORATORIES LTD.<br>ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING<br>10041 East Trans Canada Hwy., Kamioops, B.C. V2C 2J3 (604) 573-5700 Fax 573-4557

hi-TEC RESOURCE MANAGEMENT


NOTE: $<=$ less than

FAX: D. DUNN
c/o TRANS NORTH AIR



## ECD-TECH LABORATORIES LTD.

ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy., Kamloops, B.C. V2C 2J3 (604) 573-5700 Fax 573-4557

JULY 6, 1990
CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS ETK 90-218


HI-TEC RESOURCE MANAGEMENT 1500-609 GRANUILLE STREET
P.O. BOX 10362

VANCOUVER, BIC.
U7X GS

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION: 16 ROCK samples received June 26, 1990 PROJECT: 90-BC-016 DEVILS ELBOW SHIPMENT NO.: 2


NOTE: < = less than

FAX: D. DUNN @ 235-3290


CC: DAVID DUNN
C/O TRANS NORTH AIR
TELEGRAPH CREEK, BC.
SC9O/HI-TEC-016

# ECD－TECH LABORATORIES LTD． 

ASSAYING－ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy．．Kamloops．B．C．V2C 2J3（604） 573.5700 Fax 573.4557

$$
\text { JULY 6, } 1990
$$

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS ETK 90－218 A ＝ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニーニーニニニニ：ニニニ＝ニニニ＝ $A S S A Y S$
HI－TEC RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
1500－609 GRANUILLE STREET
P．O．BOX 10362
VANCOUVER，B．C．
U7X GS

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION： 16 ROCK samples received June 26， 1990 PROJECT：90－BC－016 DEVIL＇S ELBOW SHIPMENT NO．： 2


NOTE：＜＝less than

FAX：D．DUNN 235－3290
cc：DAUID DUNN


C／O TRANS NORTH AIR
TELEGRAPH CREEK，BC．
SC90／HI－TEC－016

# ECD－TECH LABORATORIES LTD． 

ASSAYING－ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hiwy．，Kamloops．8．C．V2C 2 J 3 （604）573－5700 Fax 573－4557

JULY 6， 1990
CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS ETK 90－221A
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニッ：ニニニニニニニニ
A S S A Y S

```
HI-TEC RESOURCE MANAGEmENT
1500-609 GRANUILLE STREET
P.O. BOX 10362
UANCOUUER, B.C.
U7X 1GS
```

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION: 1 ROCK sample received June 26, 1990
PROJECT: 90-BC-016 DEUIL'S ELBOW
SHIPMENT NO.: 2

AU
ET\＃Description

$$
221-1104155 \quad<.03<.001
$$

NOTE：$<=$ less than

FAX：D．DUNN＠ CC：DAVID DUNN C／O TRANS NORTH AIR TELEGRAPH CREEK．B．C．

SC90／HI－TEC－016


## ECD－TECH LABORATORIES LTD．

ASSAYING－ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy．，Kamiooos．B．C．V2C 2 J 3 （604）573－5701 Fax 573－4557

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS ETK 90－221
ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝＝＝

```
HI-TEC RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
1500-609 GRANUILLE STREET
P.O. BOX 10362
UANCOUVER, B.C.
U7X 1GS
```

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION: 1 ROCK sample received June 26, 1990
PROJECT: 90-BC-016 DEUIL'S ELBOW
SHIPMENT NO.: 2
$\begin{array}{llll}A G & C U & P B & Z N\end{array}$
ET\# Description (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm) (ppm)

$\begin{array}{llllllll}221-104155 & \text { 6.1 } & 32 & 5 & 47 & 11\end{array}$
NOTE: < = less than

FAX：D．DUNN＠235－3290

## CC：DAVID DUNN

 IoTA JEALDUSE／
Bic．Certified Assayer C／O TRANS NORTH AIR TELEGRAPH CREEK，BIC．

SC90／HI－TEC－016


## ECD-TECH LABORATORIES LTD.

ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy.. Kamtoops. B.C. V2C 2J3 (604) 573.5700 Fax 573.4557

```
HI-TEC RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
1500-609 GRANVILLE STREET
F.O. BOX 10362
VANCOUVER, B.C.
v7X 1G5
```

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION: 87 ROCK samples received AUGUST 23,1990 PROJECT: 90-BC-016 DEVIL'S ELBOW
$A U \quad A U \quad C U \quad$ ZN
ET\# Description (g/t) (o/t) (\%) (\%)

482 - i $93190 \quad 104.2$ 3.039 .74
482 - 24 93225 . 92

482 - 303231 . 17
482 - 323233 . 46
482 - 77 93701 . 18
482 - 81 93705 . 15
482 - 8293706 . 22

FAX: HI-TEC VAN.


SC90/HI-TEC-016

# ECD－TECH LABORATORIES LTD． 

ASSAYING－ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy．Kamtoops．B．C．V2C 2 J 3 （604）573－5700 Fax 573－4557

AUGGUST 31， 1990
EERTIFIGATE DF ANALYSIS ETK 90－482
ニニッニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ＝ニニニニニーッ

HI－TEC RESIUREE MANAGEMENT
1500－609 GRANUIILE STREET
P．O．BOX 10362
VANCUUVER，B．C．
U7X 16．

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION： 87 ROCK samples received AUGUST 23， 1990
$\qquad$ PROJECT： $90-B C-016$ DEVIL＇S ELBOW

AU AG CU PB ZN
ET\＃Description（ppb）（ppm）（ppm）（ppm）（ppm）


## ECD-TECH LABDRATORIES LTD.

ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canadatiwy., Kamloops, B.C. V2C $2 \sqrt{3}$ (604) 573-57.00 Fax 573-4557

AUGUGT 31, 1990



## ECD-TECH LABDRATDRIES LTD.

ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy.. Kamloops. B.C. V2C 2J3 (604) 573-5700 Fax 573.4557


FAX: HI-TEC UAN.

SC9O/HI-TEC-016

KAMLDOPS, B.C. V2C 2J3
CHOHE - 604-573-5700
FAX - 604-573-4557

HI-TEC RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ETK 90-483
1500-609 granville st.
P.D. BOX 10362
vancouver, g.c.
V17 165
values in pan ukless otherwise reporteo

FROJECI: 016
i10 Süll samples received aufust 23,1930

| ET/ |  |  | desteletion |  | $A \cup$ (pgb) |  | A( 1 ( 1 | AS | 8 | 9 9 |  | CA(\%) | CO | CO | r |  | FE(2) | $K(2)$ |  | ri(\%) | NN |  | HA(\%) | N! | 9 | P? | S8 | SN |  | (\%) | $1)$ | $\eta$ | \% | 7 | i4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 193 |  |  | 078 A. 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | + | 036 0 | r | ${ }_{15}$ | . 3 | 1.30 | 31 | 5 | 63 | 10 | . 53 | ! 1 | 12 | 48 | 41 | 3.76 | . 03 | (10) | . 76 | 411 | $!$ | . 02 | 28 | 717 | 9 | ¢5 | <20 | 26 | . 12 | (10) | 116 | (10) | 5 | 48 |
| 483 | - | 2 | 036040 | N | 15 | . 6 | 2.40 | 38 | 7 | 38 | 11 | . 38 | (1) | 10 | 49 | 42 | 4.33 | . 04 | <10 | . 87 | 449 | 2 | . 02 | 28 | 304 | 10 | (5 | <20 | 24 | . 14 | 10 | 117 | 10 | 3 | 50 |
| 483 | - | 3 | 0350030 | N | 5 | . 7 | 5.59 | 41 | 5 | 25 | 11 | . 24 | ! 1 | 8 | 57 | 31 | 4.58 | <.01 | (10) | . 62 | 213 | (1 | . 02 | 18 | 173 | 7 | (5) | <20 | 14 | . 14 | 12 | 87 | 10 | 5 | 31 |
| 482 | - | 4 | D36 0. 40 | N | 4 | 1.5 | 2.89 | 35 | 12 | 39 | 13 | 1.06 | 11 | 11 | 51 | 38 | 3.89 | . 03 | 23 | . 73 | 368 | 6 | . 01 | 30 | 781 | 51 | 15 | (?) | 44 | . 15 | 10 | 98 | 10 | 15 | 104 |
| 437 | - | 5 | Dis do 50 | N | < ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.3 | 3.38 | 42 | 6 | 21 | 19 | .24 | (1) | 10 | 11 | $31)$ | 7.16 | . 03 | <10 | . 59 | 26.5 | 2 | . 02 | 20 | 540 | 13 | ¢ 5 | :20 | 14 | . 42 | (10 | 168 | ! | 14 | ! |
| 482 | - | $\varepsilon$ | D3s 0: 60 | : | (5 | 1.2 | 2.51 | 43 | 6 | 16 | 13 | . 24 | <1 | 6 | 58 | 25 | 7.06 | . 01 | (10 | . 55 | 235 | 1 | . 01 | 15 | 683 | 13 | (5) | 20 | 15 | . 26 | 11 | 164 | 10 | 4 | 30 |
| 482 | - | 1 | $0350+70$ | N | (5 | 2.2 | 3.42 | 35 | <2 | 34 | 14 | . 94 | 1 | 24 | 48 | 64 | 4.47 | . 06 | 52 | . 62 | 478 | 5 | . 03 | 62 | 1078 | 53 | (5) | 20 | 43 | . 08 | (10) | 16 | (10) | 33 | 136 |
| 483 | - | 8 | 036 Ot 80 | $N$ | (5) | . 7 | 4.84 | 45 | 5 | 36 | 5 | . 28 | (1) | 7 | 48 | 26 | 4.37 | ¢. 01 | (10 | . 53 | 213 | 1 | . 01 | 18 | $66!$ | 9 | 15 | (2) | 19 | . 15 | 14 | 85 | 10 | 5 | $3!$ |
| 433 | - | ? | 035 0, 90 | H | 5 | . 8 | 3.90 | 53 | $\epsilon$ | 37 | 15 | . 28 | 11 | 7 | 60 | 22 | 6.42 | (.1)1 | <10. | . 57 | 221 | ? | . 01 | 18 | 56.5 | 11 | 5 | 120 | 19 | . 22 | 12 | 138 |  | 5 | 31 |
| 127 | . | 10 | [13 1 $1+00$ | N | 10 | 1.4 | 3.17 | 53 | <2 | 39 | 13 | 1.01 | $?$ | 26 | 57 | 71 | 5.41 | . 02 | 47 | . 82 | 1339 | 4 | . 01 | 49 | 997 | 98 | (5) | (20 | 44 | . 15 | 40 | 99 | i19 | 49 | $3 \times$ |
| 18 ? | - | 11 | D? $0+30$ | N | 10 | !.2 | 1.83 | 44 | 5 | 17 | 20 | . 24 | !! | 5 | 63 | $2!$ | B. 12 | . 02 | 110 | . 47 | 212 | 3 | . 01 | 13 | 1378 | 14 | c 5 | $\therefore$ | 15 | . 27 | $1!$ | 182 | 19 | 1 | 3: |
| $\because ?$ | - | 12 | $\underline{197}$ it 40 | N | ! 0 | 1.7 | 4.03 | 35 | $?$ | 16. | 12 | . 2 | 1 | 9 | 78 | 35 | 7.68 | . 03 | (10) | . 62 | 264 | 1 | .02 | 23 | 421 | 11 | '5 | ? 20 | 14 | . 34 | 12 | 155 | \% | :0 | ? |
| 433 | - | 13 | 037 of 511 | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ | 9 | 3.0 | 1.54 | 26 | ? | 33 | 61 | . 29 | 'i | 7 | 34 | 34 | 5.2? | . 03 | (i0) | . 38 | 197 | $i$ | .it | 15 | 497 | 115 | ¢ 5 | 20 | 2 | . 29 | (19) | :4! | [19 | 2 | '! |
| $15:$ | - | :1 | S\% 9. 5 | Y | 5 | !.3 | 3.65 | 38 | 5 | E: | 12 | . 37 | (1) | 12 | $E$ | 55 | 4.78 | . 0 ? | 45 | .76 | 392 | 16 | . 01 | 68 | 637 | 8.5 | \% | ? 2 | 26 | .13 | 10 | 94 | ! | 34 | 215 |
| $18:$ | - | . 5 | ¢7 in 70 | N | 5 | 2.3 | 5.21 | 59 | 3 | 45 | 18 | . 1 | 1 | 23 | b! | 84 | 4.82 | ¢.01 | 89 | . 59 | 696 | 7 | . 01 | 140 | 390 | 57 | is | : 20 | 52 | . 13 | 10 | 59 | 10 | $6!$ | 1? |
| $48 ?$ |  | E | [37 0 0 0 | N | 5 | 1.0 | 2.11 | ¢ 5 | 5 | 20 | 24 | . 34 | 1 | 12 | 15 | 27 | 5.28 | . 04 | 10 | .70 | 36 ? | 3 | . 02 | 30 | 448 | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ | 5 | 120 | 20 | . 21 | 910 | 113 | (1) | ? | 51 |
| 18 | - | \% | C3? of 70 | N | ¢ | . 8 | 1.58 | 6 | 4 | ¢ 5 | 23 | . 21 | 1 | 5 | 45 | 16 | 6.86 | . 03 | ¢ 10 | .41 | 204 | 1 | . 01 | 12 | 331 | 5 | ¢5 | (2) | 14 | . 27 | 110 | 185, | ! 10 | 5 | ? |
| 182 | - | is | n? 1+00 | N | c | 1.3 | 2.19 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 34 | .13 | 1 | 8 | 55 | 46 | 7.35 | . 02 | 10 | . 43 | 214 | 7 | . 01 | 14 | 623 | 8 | ¢ 5 | 20 | 13 | . 28 | :10 | 133 | 10 | $1:$ | 411 |
| 483 | - | 14 | $01011+80$ | N | ¢ 5 | . 3 | 4.14 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 27 | . 16 | 1 | 3 | 58 | 2 ? | 6.82 | . 03 | (10 | . 60. | 415 | $?$ | 4.01 | 22 | 623 | $\varepsilon$ | :5 | $\therefore 20$ | 14 | . 15 | 10 | 85 | 410 | 6 | 63 |
| 483 |  | 2 | DStill 10 | $N$ | 40 | . 8 | 4.49 | 25 | (2 | 26 | 19 | 1.42 | 1 | 12 | 51 | 25 | 5.36 | . 03 | $\bigcirc 10$ | . 81 | 937 | 3 | . 06 | 32 | 1110 | 9 | 15 | ¢ 20 | 214 | . 08 | $\bigcirc 10$ | 61 | 10 | $!7$ | !9? |
| 453 |  | 21 |  | N | 5 | . 5 | 2.9? | 17 | ? | 45 | 20 | 1.18 | <1 | 10 | 50 | 20 | 4.35 | . 03 | 10 | . 76 | 401 | 1 | ¢.01 | 29 | ¢,51 | $?$ | 4 | -20 | 5 ? | .12 | \% | 19 | :15 | $\stackrel{3}{7}$ | :! |
| [ES | - | $\because$ | 144) 11+ 0 | N | i0 | . | 2.43 | 5 | 5 | $3!$ | 17 | .88 | ! | 5 | 50 | 14 | 4.68 | . 12 | (10 | . 44 | 200 | 1 | 8.01 | 19 | 46.4 | 5 | 4 | ? 0 | 20 | . 16 | (10) | 93 | 10 | , | 45 |
| 13? | - | 23 | -4, ! ! 00 | , | 5 | . 6 | 3.03 | 24 | 3 | 32 | 23 | . 56 | :1 | 8 | 54 | 26 | 5.73 | . 03 | \%10 | .72 | 303 | 2 | . 01 | 24 | 833 | ? | C | 20 | 25 | .1: | (10) | 101 | 10 | 4 | 45 |
| fes |  | 31 | 24(1) $12+10$ | + | 10 | . 7 | 3.6E | 5 | $+$ | 25 | 25 | . 34 | (1) | 10 | 70 | 26 | 6.82 | .03 | 10 | . 79 | 459 | ! | ¢.01 | 31 | 827 | E | 65 | 20 | 15 | . 15 | $\bigcirc 10$ | 86 | 14 | 5 | 11 |
| 43 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 240 : 2120 | N | : 5 | . 8 | 2.44 | ¢5 | 5 | 40 | 19 | . 16 | <! | 4 | 40 | 24 | 4.60 | . 03 | 10 | . 21 | 197 | $?$ | ¢. 01 | 12 | 443 | 13 | 15 | ? 20 | 17 | . 15 | 10 | $9!$ | 10 | 9 | 45 |
| $42^{2}$ |  | . | 040 12, 30 | N | 14 | . 8 | 3.80 | 178 | '2 | 21 | 19 | 1.10 | 1 | 18 | 32 | 52 | 5.34 | ¢.01 | 71 | . 25 | 964 | 2 | $\therefore 01$ | 19 | 1572 | 3 | (5 | 20 | 44 | . 08 | 610 | 59 | :19 | : | i3 |



| PAGE ELI |  | OESCRIPTION |  | AU(ppb) |  | Al( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ) | AS | B | BA |  |  | CD | CO | CR |  | FE( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | K(l) |  | M6(2) | M ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | NA(l) | MI | $p$ | PB | 58 | SH |  | (1) | U | $V$ | Y | $\gamma$ | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 483 | 64 | D46 $10+00$ | N | 5 | . 9 | 3.05 | 34 | 5 | 5 | 43 | . 20 | <1 | 7 | 63 | 22 | 8.86 | . 02 | <10 | . 61 | 378 | 2 | . 01 | 25 | 123 | 13 | (5 | (20) | 15 | . 19 | (10 | 146 | <10 | 6 | 43 |
| 483 | 65 | 046. $10+10$ | N | 5 | . 5 | 1.01 | 16 | 3 | 6 | 16 | . 17 | 11 | 3 | 21 | 10 | 2.59 | . 02 | <10 | . 23 | 122 | 1 | <.01 | 8 | 305 | 15 | < 5 | (20 | 17 | . 15 | (10 | 79 | <10 | 6 | 24 |
| 483 | - 66 | $04610+20$ | $N$ | 10 | 1.0 | 2.63 | 24 | 6 | 31 | 33 | . 22 | <1 | 10 | 55 | 19 | 7.16 | . 03 | <10 | . 58 | 646 | 2 | (.01 | 24 | 667 | 13 | (5 | <20 | 24 | . 19 | <10 | 97 | (10 | 9 | 70 |
| 483 | 67 | $04610+30$ | N | 5 | . 9 | 2.58 | 33 | 6 | 23 | 29 | . 21 | 11 | 14 | 60 | 28 | 7.10 | . 03 | <10 | . 74 | 1310 | 3 | . 01 | 25 | 628 | 15 | < 5 | <20 | 23 | . 15 | 12 | 123 | <10 | 6 | 67 |
| 483 | - 68 | $04610+40$ | N | 5 | . 8 | 4.45 | 24 | 7 | 21 | 23 | . 32 | 11 | 15 | 53 | 47 | 4.95 | . 02 | <10 | . 77 | 647 | 1 | . 01 | 35 | 1106 | 3 | (5 | <20 | 17 | . 08 | 11 | 66 | (10 | 7 | 51 |
| 483 | - 69 | $04610+50$ | $N$ | < | . 1 | 2.56 | 22 | 6 | 21 | 33 | . 22 | <1 | 13 | 48 | ?! | 6.95 | - 03 | 40 | . 47 | 617 | 1 | ¢.01 | 22 | 650 | 10 | (5) | 20 | i $\frac{1}{}$ | . 15 | (iou | 80 | (10) | 8 | 65 |
| 483 | - 70 | $04610+50$ | $N$ | 4 | 1.0 | 1.60 | 365 | (2 | 34 | 27 | . 37 | (1) | 3 | 32 | 26 | 5.68 | <. 01 | (10 | . 23 | 233 | 2 | <. 01 | 15 | 679 | 14 | (5 | (20) | 24 | . 06 | (10) | 82 | 10 | 5 | 36 |
| 483 | 11 | 046 10, 70 | $N$ | 10 | 1.3 | 1.71 | 46 | 4 | 11 | 27 | . 24 | 11 | 3 | 33 | 30 | 5.28 | . 01 | <10 | . 23 | 119 | 2 | ¢.01 | 14 | 1231 | 13 | < 5 | (20) | 13 | . 02 | $\bigcirc 10$ | 57 | ¢10 | < | 3 |
| 483 | - 72 | 046 10+ 30 | N | 5 | 1.3 | 1.12 | 28 | 6 | 17 | 28 | . 13 | <1 | 4 | 36 | 20 | 6.04 | . 02 | <10 | . 20 | 135 | 3 | <.01 | 12 | 516 | 20 | < 5 | <20 | 18 | . 27 | (10 | 130 | (10 | 8 |  |
| 483 | - 73 | 046 11+10 | N | 10 | . 9 | 2.54 | 26 | 6 | 12 | 29 | . 17 | <1 | 5 | 54 | 23 | 6.41 | . 02 | <10 | . 45 | 163 | 2 | . 01 | 19 | 504 | 12 | < 5 | <20 | 14 | . 15 | $(10$ | 96 | (10 | 5 | 3 |
| 483 | 74 | 046 11+20 | N | 15 | 1.1 | 2.84 | 22 | 5 | 34 | 25 | . 29 | (1 | 1 | 49 | 44 | 4.81 | . 03 | <10 | . 65 | 223 | (1) | . 01 | 30 | 918 | 12 | < | (20) | 21 | . 05 | (10 | 71 | (10 | 5 | 5 |
| 483 | - 75 | 046 11+30 | N | (5 | . 3 | 1.72 | 20 | 6 | 21 | 33. | . 26 | (1 | 21 | 28 | 29 | 7.28 | . 04 | (10 | . 25 | 1908 | , | <. 01 | 14 | 1174 | 22 | <5 | <20 | 32 | . 06 | 12 | 74 | (10 | 2 | 55 |
| 483 | - 76 | D46 11+ 40 | N | ¢5 | . 7 | 4.13 | 32 | 5 | 25 | 37 | . 75 | <1 | 17 | 48 | 27 | 7.58 | . 02 | <10 | . 59 | 617 | 2 | <. 01 | 27 | 1375 | 74 | (5 | <20 | 36 | . 07 | (10 | 73 | <10 | 11 | 11 |
| 483 | - 71 | 046 11+50 | N | 55 | . 9 | 3.64 | 25 | 6 | 14 | 35 | . 20 | 11 | 7 | 41 | 37 | 6.23 | . 02 | (10) | . 46 | 404 |  | <.01 | 22 | 818 | 10 | < 5 | <20 | 21 | . 09 | 110 | 80 | (10 | 5 | 61 |
| 483 | - 76 | D46 11+60 | N | 5 | . 7 | 3.58 | 35 | 3 | 25 | 34 | . 89 | $\leqslant 1$ | 26 | 52 | 27 | 7.84 | . 03 | <10 | . 98 | 3368 | 1 | . 02 | 34 | 1355 | 31 | (5 | <20 | 89 | . 06 | 12 | 67 | (10 | 16 | 253 |
| 483 | - 79 | D4612+10 | $\cdots$ | < | . 6 | 2.44 | 25 | 4 | 43 | 32 | . 42 | 11 | 7 | 53 | 35 | 8.39 | . 03 | <10 | . 62 | 400 | 1 | . 01 | 25 | 1035 | 14 | (5 | <20 | 24 | . 08 | $(10$ | 98 | (10 | 5 | 62 |
| 483 | - 80 | D46 12+20 | N | 10 | . 7 | 3.70 | 30 | (2 | 36 | 32 | . 96 | (1) | 13 | 49 | 24 | 6.69 | . 04 | <10 | . 76 | 737 | 2 | <.01 | 31 | 992 | 8 | < 5 | <20 | 43 | .10 | (10 | 81 | 110 | 9 | 96 |
| 483 | - 81 | 046 12+30 | N | 5 | . 9 | 3.83 | 93 | (2 | 33 | 31 | 1.03 | (1) | 19 | 51 | 63 | 6.67 | . 01 | (10 | . 95 | 428 | 2 | . 03 | 44 | 1146 | 11 | 15 | (20) | 64 | . 10 | :10 | 103 | 110 | 15 | 25 |
| 483 | - 82 | D46 12+40 | N | 5 | . 9 | 2.04 | 35 | 3 | 43 | 30 | . 25 | <1 | 6 | 56 | 24 | 6.63 | . 02 | <10 | . 56 | 268 | 1 | . 02 | 20 | 588 | 14 | (s) | ¢20 | 21 | . 14 | 14 | 151 | <10 | 6 | 5 |
| 483 | - 83 | D46 12+50 | N | 5 | 1.0 | 3.93 | 87 | 5 | 74 | 54 | . 43 | <1 | 7 | 21 |  | 11.63 | . 11 | (10 | . 73 | 375 | 1 | . 02 | 11 | 2974 | 5 | 15 | <20 | 37 | . 21 | (10) | 82 | (10) | 10 |  |
| 483 | - 84 | 046 12+80 | N | 5 | . 9 | 2.63 | 10 | 6 | 23 | 28 | . 14 | <1 | 3 | 44 | 19 | 6.18 | . 02 | <10 | . 28 | 123 | 2 | <. 01 | 13 | 435 | 11 | (5) | (20) | 15 | . 14 | (10 | 83 | (10) | 6 |  |
| 483 | 85 | 048 12+ 30 | N | 5 | . 7 | 3.93 | 37 | 7 | 20 | 35 | . 2 ? | <1 | 3 | 60 | 29 | 6.69 | .02 | (10 | .6? | 542 | 3 | <. 01 | 25 | 797 | 8 | <5 | (2) | 15 | . 12 | (10) | 87 | (19) | I |  |
| 483 | - 86 | D47 0+ 30 | $N$ | 5 | 1.0 | 4.04 | 31 | 4 | 17 | 39 | . 42 | <1 | 42 | 60 | 379 | 8.35 | . 01 | <10 | 1.26 | 368 | (1) | . 02 | 87 | 865 | 18 | (5 | (20) | 23 | .14 | (10) | 119 | (10 | 11 | 8 |
| 483 | - 87 | 0470.40 | + | 5 | 1.5 | 3.76 | 47 | 4 | 26 | 38 | . 50 | (1) | 72 | 6. | 306 | 8.20 | . 04 | (10 | 1.19 | 1226 | , | . 04 | 67 | 1254 | 434 | < 5 | <20 | 42 | . 12 | 10 | 110 | 110 | 3 | 35 |
| 483 | - 88 | $0470+50$ | V | (5 | 1.2 | 3.57 | 39 | 3 | 33 | 27 | . 67 | ${ }^{1}$ | 43 | $46^{\circ}$ | 126 | 7.14 | . 06 | <10 | . 84 | 1216 | 1 | :02 | 52 | 1416 | 22 | < 5 | $(20$ | 38 | . 08 | 12 | 91 | 10 | 6 | 12 |
| 483 | - 83 | 04770 | N | <5 | . 9 | 3.04 | 24 | 5 | 45 | 28 | . 54 | 1 | 21 | 68 | 66 | 6.40 | . 07 | (10 | 1.18 | 486 | 2 | . 04 | 42 | 651 | 11 | < 5 | <20 | 23 | . 17 | 10 | 113 | (10) | 8 | 14 |
| 483 | - 90 | $0470+80$ | N | (5 | . 7 | 3.55 | 32 | 7 | 78 | 28 | . 48 | <1 | 25 | 79 | 80 | 6.67 | . 06 | (10 | 1.60 | 652 | 2 | . 02 | 80 | 726 | 8 | < 5 | <20 | 27 | . 11 | (10 | 111 | (10) | 8 | 146 |
| 483 | - 91 | 047 0. 90 | N | 10 | 1.1 | 4.14 | 38 | 7 | 13 | 38 | . 36 | <1 | 19 | 50 | 145 | 1.44 | . 06. | <10 | 1.04 | 307 | 1 | . 03 | 48 | 1047 | 6 | < 5 | ¢20 | 23 | . 12 | $(10$ | 30 | (10 | 8 | 22 |
| 483 | - 92 | D47 1+00 | N | < 5 | . 9 | 3.34 | 30 | 5 | 24 | 42 | . 45 | <1 | $2!$ | 44 | 71 | 9.16 | . 07 | (10 | . 88 | 525 | 2 | . 04 | 37 | 1172 | 10 | (5 | (20) | 32 | . 12 | (10) | 115 | (10 | 8 | 10 |
| 463 | - 93 | 017 Ti 20 | $N$ | < 5 | 1.8 | 4.43 | 38 | 7 | 38 | 71 | . 34 | (1 | 38 | 50 | 223 | 18.66 | . 30 | <10 | 1.32 | 465 | (1) | . 07 | 36 | 2059 | 3 | is | (20) | 30 | . 11 | 110 | 151 | 10 | 3 | 6 |
| 483 | - 94 | D47 1+30 | N | (5 | 1.1 | 3.16 | 81 | 3 | 58 | 44 | . 74 | 1 | 29 | 49 | 64 | 8.61 | . 05 | <10 | 1.13 | 1395 | (1 | . 04 | 68 | 688 | 44 | <5 | (2) | 48 | . 08 | ¢10 | 73 | \10 | 11 | 17 |
| 483 | - 35 | 047 i + 40 | \% | 10 | 1.5 | 1.75 | 34 | < | ¢5 | 16 | 3.61 | 2 | 7 | 22 | 15 | 3.82 | . 02 | $(10$ | . 43 | 563 | 3 | ¢.01 | 26 | 356 | 37 | 13 | 120 | 315 | . 03 | :10 | 18 | <10 | 1 | 24 |
| 483 | - 96 | $0471+60$ | , | 5 | .7 | 2.56 | 26 | 3 | 34 | 22 | . 71 | 5 | 16 | 91 | 50 | 5.59 | . 04 | (10 | 1.54 | 504 | <1 | . 03 | 94 | 512 | 12 | ¢5 | (20) | 59 | . 13 | 10 | 89 | 110 | 11 | 125 |
| 483 | - 97 | $0471+70$ | $N$ | 40 | 1.2 | 3.16 | 44 | (2) | 19 | 10 | 1.30 | 13 | 14 | 53 | 34 | 7.07 | . 10 | (10 | 1.50 | 628 | 1 | . 06 | 34 | 434 | 12 | < 5 | (20 | 154 | . 11 | :10 | 53 | (10) | d | 115 |
| 483 | - 98 | 047 1+80 | N | 15 | 1.8 | 3.86 | 86 | <2 | 38 | 33 | 1.24 | <1 | 20 | 71 | 51 | 6.80 | . 03 | (10 | 1.53 | 890 | 2 | . 07 | 67 | 1090 | 71 | 4.5 | <20 | 127 | . 03 | 10 | 71 | 110 | 13 | 30 |
| 433 | - 99 | 047 1+30 | ${ }^{\text {N}}$ | 10 | 1.4 | 4.17 | 45 | \2 | 6 | 30 | 1.38 | 11 | 14 | 72 | 33 | 6.61 | . 03 | <10 | 1.13 | 1219 | 2 | . 16 | 52 | 904 | 30 | (5 | <20 | 363 | . 03 | 610 | 65 | (10) | 15 | 23 |
| 483 | - 100 | D47 $2+00$ | N | 5 | . 7 | 3.80 | 47. | $\therefore 2$ | 13 | 40 | 1.95 | 3 | 22 | 46 |  | 10.06 | . 02 | (10 | . 98 | 1445 | 1 | . 13 | 60 | 624 | 7 | < 5 | $(20$ | 150 | . 09 | 110 | 56 | (10) | 18 |  |
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| PAGE 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EI |  | DESCRIPTION |  | $A \cup(p p b)$ |  | AG AL(1) |  | AS | B | BA | 81 CA(3) |  | CD | co | CR | CU FE( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ) |  | (1) | LA M6(3) |  | MN | M[ Ma(l) |  | M1 | $p$ | 98 | 58 | 5* | SR Ti(t) |  | $\downarrow$ | V | \# | ¢ $:=: \pm=$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 483 | - $10!$ | 047 | $2+10$ | N | (5 | . 6 | 3.45 | 65 | <2 | 38 | 35 | 1.74 | <1 | 23 | 50 | 44 | 8.50 | . 02 | (10 | 1.04 | 1237 | (I | . 09 | 65 | 621 | 4 | < 5 | <20 | IIB | . 08 | 110 | 61 | (10 | 16 | 113 |
| 483 | - 102 | 047 | $2+20$ | N | < 5 | . 7 | 3.67 | 55 | (2) | 24 | 37 | 1.66 | $(1$ | 21 | 29 | 47 | 8. 13 | <,01 | (10 | . 60 | 1671 | 2 | . 06 | 50 | 939 | 9 | (5 | <20 | 121 | . 06 | 110 | 33 | <10 | 19 | 162 |
| 483 | - 103 | 047 | 2+30 | N | <5 | . 5 | 3.83 | 28 | 12 | 16 | 30 | 3.13 | $(1$ | 22 | 31 | 39 | 6.77 | . 03 | (II) | . 79 | 1127 | 1 | . 02 | 75 | 867 |  | < 5 | <20 | 114 | . 05 | $(10$ | 4 | (10 | 12 | 105 |
| 483 | - 104 | 041 | 2+40 | $N$ | 5 | . 9 | 4.07 | 84 | <2 | 9 | 34 | 1.67 | (I | 18 | 40 | 43 | 7.46 | . 01 | (10 | 1.11 | 997 | 2 | . 13 | 58 | 926 | 13 | <5 | <20 | 173 | . 09 | (10 | 52 | (10 | 16 | 246 |
| 483 | - 105 | D4] | 2+50 | N | 5 | 1.1 | 4.12 | 63 | <2 | (5) | 42 | 2.04 | (1) | 21 | 39 | 41 | 8.87 | . 05 | (10 | 1.00 | 985 | <1 | . 14 | 75 | 783 | 14 | < 5 | <20 | 148 | . 07 | (10 | 42 | (10 | 11 | 156 |
| 483 | - 106 | 047 | 2+60 | i | 10 | . 7 | 4.25 | 32 | <2 | (5 | 28 | 3.68 | (1) | 13 | 41 | 25 | 6.27 | . 01 | (10 | 1.07 | 928 | , | . 17 | 52 | 428 |  | (S | (20) | 144 | . 07 | ¢10 | 12 | (10 | 11 | 167 |
| 483 | - 107 | D47 | 2+ 70 | H | 5 | . 6 | 3.22 | 36 | <2 | 13 | 25 | 3.80 | $(1$ | 10 | 29 | 19 | 5.08 | . 02 | <10 | . 60 | 922 | 2 | . 08 | 32 | 643 | 4 | < 5 | (20) | 110 | . 06 | 410 | 26 | $(10$ | , | 116 |
| 483 | $\cdot 108$ | 047 | $2+30$ | K | 5 | . 5 | 3.89 | 61 | 12 | 1 | <5 | 5.26 | (1) | 11 | 58 | 21 | 3.37 | . 02 | <10 | 1.71 | 593 | <1 | . 20 | 60 | 193 | 15 | 6 | (20) | 155 | . 03 | (1) | 13 | <10 | 9 | 51 |
| 483 | - 109 | D47 | 2+90 | $N$ | 5 | . 5 | 3.42 | 54 | く2 | 52 | (5) | 3.30 | 1 | 13 | 37 | 19 | 3.07 | <.01 | (10 | 1.20 | 2275 | 2 | . 07 | 35 | 1114 | 24 | 22 | (20 | 143 | . 05 | <10 | 33 | 11 | 16 | 128 |
| 483 | - 110 | 047 | $3+00$ | N | (5 | . 5 | 6.02 | 82 | 12 | 23 | ( 5 | 1.52 | (1) | 11 | 59 | 25 | 3.56 | . 04 | (10 | 1.72 | 386 | <1 | . 25 | 30 | 583 | 26 | (s | <20 | 144 | . 13 | 10 | 73 | (10 | 13 | 60 |
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## APPENDIX B

## SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

## A. STREAM SEDIMENTS

## Silt Samples

Approximately 0.5 kg of silt was collected from the active stream channel, placed in a standard gusseted kraft bag and shipped to Eco-Tech Laboratories in Kamloops. These samples were then dried and sieved to -80 mesh. A ten gram split of the sample was analyzed for gold by fire assay with atomic absorption finish. A one gram split of the remainder of the sample was analyzed for 30 elements using Aqua Regia extraction and ICP.

## Heavy Mineral Samples

A sample of between 5 gm and 30 gm was panned in the field from two pans of -1.4 cm gravel and one pan of moss. The panned material was placed in 6 mil plastic bags and shipped to Eco-Tech Laboratories Ltd. in Kamloops. A one gram split of this material was analyzed for silver, lead, copper and zinc using wet extraction and atomic absorption. The remainder of the sample was analyzed for gold using fire assay and atomic absorption finish.

## B. IITHOGEOCHEMICAL SAMPLING

Approximately 2 kg of rock was collected and placed in 6 mil plastic bags and shipped to Eco-Tech Laboratories in Kamloops. This material was crushed and pulverized to -140 mesh and a 1 assay ton split taken. The split was analyzed for gold using fire assay and atomic absorption finish. Another 10 gm split was analyzed for copper, lead, zinc and silver using wet extraction and atomic absorption finish.

## C. SOIL SAMPLES

Approximately 0.5 kg of "B" horizon soil, where available, or talus fines where not, was placed in standard gusseted kraft bag and shipped to Eco-Tech Laboratories in Kamloops. This material was dried and sieved to -80 mesh. A 14 gram sample was analyzed for gold using fire assay and atomic absorption finished. Another one gram split was analyzed for 30 elements using Aqua Regia extraction and ICP.



ECU-TELH LAELHA:UHIED レiص.
ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy.. Kamloods. B.C. V2C 2J3 (604) 573.5700 Fax 573.4557

## GEOCFEMICAL LABORATORY METHODS

## SAMPLE PRPRPARATION (STANDARD)

1. Soil or Sediment: Samples are dried and then sieved through 80 mesh nylon sieves.
2. Rock, Core: Samples dried (il necessary), crushed, rifiled to pulp size and pulverized to approximately -140 mesh.
3. Heavy Mineral Separation:

Samples are screened to -20 mesh, washed and separated in Tetrabromothane.
(SG 2.96)

## IETHODS OF ANALYSIS

All methods have either certifled or in-house standards carried through entire procedure to ensure validity of results.

1. Multi-Element $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Fe}$ (acid soluble), $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Mo}$

Digestion
Hot aqua-regia

Finish
Atomic Absorption, background correction applied where appropriate
A) Multi-Element ICP

Digestion Finish
Hot aqua-regia ICP
2. Antimony

## Digestion

Hot aqua regia
3. Arsenic

Digestion
Hot aqua regia
4. Barlun

Digestion
Lithium Metaborate Fusion
Finish
I.C.P.
5. Berylliua

Digestion
Hot aqua regia
6. Bismuth

## Digestion

Hot aqua regia
7. Chromius

## Digestion

Sodiun Peroxide Fusion
8. Fluorine

## Digestion

Lithium Metaborate Fusion
9. Mercury

## Digestion

Hot aqqua regia

## 10. Phosphorus

## Digestion

Lithium Metaborate Fusion
11. Seleniue

Digestion
Hot aqua regia
12. Telluriun

Digestion
Hot aqua regia
Potassium Bisulphate Fusion

Finish
Atomic Absorption

## Finish

Atomic Absorption

## Finish

Atomic Absorption

## Finish

Ion Selective Electrode

## Finish

Cold vapor generation A.A.S.

## Finish

I.C.P. finish

## Finish

Hydride generation - A.A.S.

## Finish

Hydride generation-A.A.S. Colorimetric or I.C.P.

## Digestion

Amoniun Iodide Fusion
14. Tungsten

## Digestion

Potassiua Bisulphate Fusion
15. Gold

## Digestion

Fire Assay Preconcentration followed by Aqua Regia
16. Platinum, Palladiun, Phodiun

## Digestion

Fire Assay Preconcentration lollowed by Aqua Regia

## Finish

Hydride generation - A.A.S.

## Finish

Colorimetric or I.C.P.

## Finish

Atomic Absorption

## Finish

Graphite Furnace - A.A.S.


## STATEMENT OF COSTS

NORTHAIR MINES LTD.
JOB 90BC016
DEVIL'S ELBOW PROJECT
Salaries
Dave Dunn, Geologist, 8.00 days @ $\$ 400 /$ day ..... \$ 3,200.00D. Bahrey, Assistant Geologist, 17.00 days @ $\$ 300 /$ dayG.Mowatt, Technician I, 15.00 days @ $\$ 300 /$ dayA.Kriberg, Technician II, 11.00 days @ $\$ 250 /$ day
Project Expense
Project Preparation ..... $3,830.56$
5,100.004,500.00$2,750.00 \$ 15,550.00$
Base Map preparation 1:5000 digital manuscript 3,600.002, 750.00 \$ $15,550.00$
Mobilization/Demobilization
3,888.85
Domicile 51.00 man days @ $\$ 75 /$ day ..... 3,825.00
Geochemistry and Laboratory Service
Soils
265 Samples @\$1.00/sample preparation \$ ..... 265.00
265 Samples @\$6.75/sample Au Geochem ..... 1,788.75
155 Samples @\$5.50/sample Ag, $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Zn}$ Geochem ..... 852.50
155 Samples @\$4.50/sample As Geochem ..... 697.50
110 Samples @\$7.00/30 element ICP ..... 770.00
Bulk Stream
13 Samples @ $\$ 2.25 /$ sample preparation ..... 29.25
13 Samples @\$5.50/sample Au (A.A.) ..... 71.50
13 Samples $\mathfrak{Q} \$ 5.50 /$ sample $\mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Zn}$ Geochem ..... 71.50
13 Samples @\$4.50/sample W. Geochem ..... 58.50
Rocks
108 Samples @\$3.75/sample preparation ..... 405.00
107 Samples @\$5.50/sample Ag, Cu, Pb, Zn Geochem ..... 588.50
1 Sample @\$6.50/sample Ag, $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Mo}$ Geochem ..... 6.50
20 Samples @ $\$ 4.50 /$ sample $W$. Geochem ..... 90.00
23 Samples @\$9.41/sample Au Assay ..... 216.50
87 Samples $@ \$ 7.25 /$ sample Au Geochem ..... 630.75
4 Samples @\$6.50/sample Cu Assay ..... 26.00
4 Samples @\$6.50/sample Zn Assay ..... 26.00
Silts
21 Samples @\$1.00/sample preparation ..... 21.00
21 Samples @\$6.75/sample Au Geochem ..... 141.75
21 Samples @\$7.00/sample 30 ICP ..... 147.00
Fax services 10 pages $₫ \$ .50 /$ page0.00
Freight charges Bus from Smithers
70.46$6,973.96$
Helicopter Support
Helicopter 9.30 hours @ $\$ 677.16 /$ hour ..... $6,297.56$
Page one (1) of two (2) ..... pages
Field Supplies ..... 1,166.47
Radio Rental .71 month @ $\$ 250 /$ month ..... 177.50
Walkie Talkie
4 units @ \$5/day/unit 51 man/days ..... 255.07
Expediting ..... 434.98
Government filing (Not including filing fees) ..... 224.00
Accounting, Communications, and Freight ..... 1,074.02
Report Preparation, drafting and compilation ..... 4,000.00
15\% Management Fees(Not on Salaries) ..... 5,362.20
TOTAL COSTS\$ $56,660.17$
Page two (2) of two (2) pages

## APPENDIX E

 Statement of Qualifications
## STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, David St. Clair Dunn, with a business address of \#1500 609 Granville Street, Vancouver, B.C. to hereby certify that:

1. I am a consulting geologist registered with the Geological Association of Canada (Fellow \#4943).
2. I am an Affiliate member of the Association of Exploration Geochemist.
3. I hold a B. Sc. degree (1980) in geology from the University of British Columbia.
4. I have been practising my profession as a prospector and geologist for over 20 years.
5. I personally supervised the work on Continental Gold Corp.'s Lev 1 - 4 claims.
6. I do not hold any equity interest in the Nev claims, Continental Gold Corp.; or the Northair Group.


# APPENDIX F <br> Kerr, F.A. Second Preliminary <br> Report on the Stikine River <br> Area, B.C. G.S.C. 1928, Pt. A <br> pg 21 - 34 

## ECONOMIC GEOLOGY

The map-area presents the same general geological relationships as exist in some of the important mining districts at or near the coast-notably Portland Canal, Alice Arm, and Atlin. It lies diagonally across the eastern contact of the Coast Range batholith, the zone to the east of which has long been known to be favourable for the occurrence of mineral deposits. Sufficient discoveries have been made in Stikine area to show that it, also, is well mineralized. Free milling gold has been found on the west bank oi the Stikine just north of the contact. In a corresponding position on the opposite side silver-lead-zinc and copper deposits have been worked. Higher up the river on the east side copper prospects (said to be bornite) have also been somewhat developed, and at a fer other localities small mineral deposits, mainly of copper, have been discovered.

## DEVLL ELBOW DEPOSITS

Situated on a mountain, locally called Devils Elbow mountain, are a number of deposits that have, probably, attracted more attention and been worked more extensively than any others in the area. Devils Elbow mountain is on the east side of Stikine river between Grand rapids and Devils Elbow. The properties are reached by trail from the Jackson ranch on Stikine river, at the mouth of Green (Tsuhini) river. The deposits are distributed over a considerable area. The highest observed occur at an elevation of 3,600 feet, whereas the lowest, about a mile nearer the river, are at 1,800 feet (Figure 1). Similar deposits, which belong probably to the same period of mineralization, are said, on good authority, to occur even higher, and farther from the river, and also at the river itself, giving a distribution of 2 to 3 miles horizontally and about 4,000 feet vertically.
$\therefore$ Considerable work has been done on one claim betreen 2,000 and 2,200 feet elevation. A good trail has been cut and graded to this point. Uniortunately, a fire swept this area in the summer of 1926 and by destroying the vegetation on the steep slopes, left the ground so bare that rain and snow will, probably, obliterate much of the trail. Development at the property consists of numerous open-cuts and three adits in the face oi a clifi at $1,980,2,128$, and 2,205 feet elevation. All three are driven approximately north; the lowest (No. 3) is 300 feet long, the middle (No. 2) 60 feet, and the upper (No. 1) 20 feet. Two cabins, one near the adits and the other higher up on the hill, are located on the property. The claims $\therefore$ are orned by Peter Hamlin. Dan McShane, and John Bodel of Telegraph Creek, C. A. Ferro of Victoria, and others.
as. The most important geological feature of the area is the contact of the Coast Range batholith, a granitic intrusion. The strata making up the series into which the igneous rock is intruded are mainly sediments; but the small mass betreen localities 19 and 20 (Figure 1) appears to be volcanic. On the map the strata are divided according to lithology into two groups; one mainly calcareous and the other mainly non-calcareous. The stratigraphic sequence, from top to bottom of the series, in general conforms with the vertical disposition on the hill-eide, the highest beds occur-


IFigure !. Mincralized area, Devily Elbow momíain, Cabsiar distriet, B. O.
ring on the top to the east and the lorest at the bottom to the west. This, however, is not necessarily a fixed rule, for in some places there may be repetition of parts of the series due to minor folds. The top group, localities 5 to 9 , is mainly grey limestone, some oi which is massive and some bedded. The purer limestones are crystalline; some which are arenaceous are granular, and others which are argillaceous are compact. The second group is of non-calcareous strata, mainly hard, light and dark grey argillites and quartzites. West of this is a band of calcareous beds similar to the firsi group; then a wide band, mainly argilites and quartzites, with some calcareous beds. Lower down, in the section that includes the adits, is a great thickness of bedded and massive limestone similar to that higher on the hill. Below this there is exposed a small area of hard, dense, dark green material which closely resembles some of the volcanics of the area. This is thought to lie below all the himestone, being exposed here on the crest oi a small anticline, or it has been cut off at irs lower contact by a fault. On the opposite side of the river, where the limestone series is well exposed, no very dark band occurs within it, but one does occur at its base. These rocks are all considerably altered. They are much distorted by minor folds and cut by many small faults.

The intrusive is granitic in character, made up largely of feldspar. It is variable in composition and texture. In some places it shows an abundance oi hornblende, where elsewhere this mineral seems to be absent. The quartz content does not seem to be constant; in some places it is fairly large. The rock was not examined microscopically. It is not likely that the phases along the contact would be representative of the whole mass. The composition is probably that of a granodiorite. The rock is in the main fine-grained with, in places at the contact, a dark grey aphanitic phase. It is considerably altered, sheared, and faulted. On the upper part of the hill there are numerous small inclusions of sediments in the intrusive.

Both the intrusive and the sediments are cut by dykes, probably acid in composition, wnich though less deformed than the rock containing them, are also somewhat sheared, inulted, and sinuous.
2. The batholithic material and the sediments are both considerably altered by dynamic metamorphism. Near the contact and in certain other zones the rocks have been subjected to considerable metasomatism, which will be discussed later. The dybes, though sheared, were not affected in this way.
$\therefore$. On the rest side oi the river, from the top of the hill to the river severai miles away, the contact of the granite and of the limestone series, whici seems to coniorm with it, dips about 45 degrees north. The general dip of the contact on the east side of the river is probably about the same. In the area mapped (Figure 1) the dip is northward, but the angle may be greater than 45 degrees. The strike of the limestone formation is slightly inclined to the contact and the dip is somerwhat less steep to the north; in other words the beds are truncated obliquely to the strike and dip by the batholith. It is not thought that the present dip of the contact represents the original attitude. The whole appears to have been con-
siderably deformed: the dip in general was probably steepened, and what was originally a fairly uniiorm and sharp contact has been greatly distorted. Many small faults cut the contact, so that in places sediments and igneous rock are considerably intermixed.

The later dykes filled fractures about at right angles to the strike of the contact. Along these fractures there may have been some movement. Indeed it seems that one dyke occupied a fault along which there was considerable displacement. This has been indicated on the map. A rather pronounced depression cuts the cliff and parallels the westerly sloping hill-side. It is filled with loose material except where exposures of a dyke stand up prominently in the bottom. The absence of altered limestone along the cliff where exposed above locality 15 suggests that the igneous rock is some distance away. If this is correct there must be two abrupt swings in the contact, a feature which might well be the result of faulting. The dykes are themselves sinuous and are displaced by faults.

For 50 feet or more along the contact with the volcanics west of locality 18 there is a breccia zone-angular fragments of volcanics cemented with intrusive material which here, in places, even in the interstices between the fragments, is medium grained.

As previously described the contact is irregular. Also in certain sections mineraiizing fluids have considerably altered both the sediments and the igneous rocks, so that the contact is now not very clearly defined. All the deposits observed in the area occur near the contact: most of them are at the contact. but some seemingly lie within the granite and others within the sediments. One deposit (locality 14) appears to be well within the sediments, but at the base of the cut in this deposit, a hard, grey, aphanitic rock is found which is probably a contact phase of the granite. The presence of igneous rock near the suriace but away from the main contact may be the result of an original protuberance of the batholith: The deposits at locality 12 and those southrest of the upper cabin which are not indicated on the map are probably of the same nature. The only deposits which clearly occur away from the contact are those in the limestone above the adits (localities 15 to 19).

All the deposits except those of localities 1 to 4 and 13 are in highly altered limestone, or between it and the igneous rock. Those which appear to be wholly within the batholith are of the same type-the sedimentary roof abundant remnants of which are found in the adjacent igneous rocis, having been removed from above the mineral masses by erosion. All are essentially contact deposits.

The shape of the deposits as exposed is more or less indicated on the map, but their size is somewhat exaggerated. They are not continuous. Though overburden may obscure their continuity in some places, in many there are sufficient outcrops to make the relationship clear. Deposits 5, 6 , and 7 may possibly be linked together; at locality 14 there is a continuous exposure ior $2 S 5$ feet, and at locality 15 there are two long exposures. Elsewhere the mineral masses seem to be more or less isolated.

There are four different binds of deposits iound in the area: magnetitepyrriotite, zinc, lead, and copper. In some places the various hinds are
completely isolated, whereas in others two or more kinds may be present intermingled or grading into one another. Deposits 1 to 4,13 , and the base of 10 are of the magnetite-pyrthotite class; 5 to 12,14 to 18 , and 20 are of the zinc, lead, or zinc-lead class; 19 is of the copper class.

Magnetite-pyrrhotite occurs in solid mineralized masses (lenses) at the contact. On casual inspection these would seem to be made up of only the two minerals. However, there is some pyrite apparent and analyses show small percentages of copper and lead which are probably present as chalcopyrite and galena. Also there is some gangue: quartz, garnet, epidote, and other silicates. The percentage of each of the metallic minerals is variable, not only in different deposits but within the same deposit. In places there are fairly large, irregular masses, as much as a few feet across on the exposed suriace, which appear to be almost entirely coarsely crysiailine pyrriocite; elsewtiere there are similar masses of coarsely crystalline magnetite. In some places there is an intermingling of smaller masses and again of fine grains of the two minerals. Pyrrhotite is found in places as distinct veins cutting the magnetite. Pyrite occurs in relatively small, irreguiar masses with both of the other minerals. Gangue is present in varying amounts, probably not exceeding 50 per cent anywhere. It occurs either in small, irregular masses, rarely exceeding a few inches in diameter; or as fine grains. Altered sediments which are closely associated with the mineral masses resemble the gangue and are probably made up of about the same minerals and have the same origin.

Deposits of the magnetite-pyrrhotite type appear to lie directly on the igneous rock or in it, rather than in the sediments. Altered sediments are generally found associated with these, though in some places the mineralized masses seem to occur as lenses in the granite itself. All the deposits are covered with an iron cap-a few inches of rusty brown material, largely limonite and gangue minerals. Their size is not very great; the largesti exposed is probably not much more than 50 feet long and only a ferw feet thick. None of them would seem to have any economic value at present.

Deposit, 1 has not been opened up. There is a small exposure of iron capping such as occurs over the other deposits, and broken pieces show similar mineralization.
$\therefore$ Deposit 2 has an iron cap, the shape and size of which is shown rcughly on the map (Figure 1). This deposit has been opened by a small cut a few feet wide and just deep enough to show the character of the mineralization which has no noteworthy fentures.

Deposit 3 is similar to 2. Deposit 4 is somemhat larger than the first three described and is opened up by a cut about 4 feet wide and of the same depth. The deposit has an iron cap similar to that at 2. In the base of the cut there is exposed what appears to be mainly magnetite. An analysis of this by the Deparment of Mines, Ottawa, showed no zinc or lead, 0.09 per cent copper, and 0.07 ounce of silver per ton. Another Bample, of which the ormers had an analysis made, carried no values, but one taken from above the magnetite, oi altered sediment or gangue-like material, is said to have carried about $\$ 5$ in zinc. The depth to which this and the other small deposits extend is not knomn, but it is unlikely that it
is greater than the length and probably it does not exceed 20 feet in any of these deposits. Each deposit can be seen to be fairly well surrounded by igneous rock, with some small masses of sediments. Other small deposits of the same nature probably occur in the vicinity of the known deposits; their presence in some cases may be already indicated by exposures of iron cappings.

At the base of deposit 10 there is exposed about 1 foot of magnetitepyrrhotite. Deposit 13 is exposed by an open-cut about 50 feet long and 2 to 3 feet deep. Near the centre of the cut and just beyond either end there are exposures of the batholith. Just above the cut and even at several places in the cut there are sediments and altered sediments. The total length of the deposit along the direction exposed is not much over 50 feet and its thickness though variable probably does not average more than a fer feet. The width of the body is probably not very great. In the deposit there are large exposures of both magnetite and pyrrhotite in irregular masses. Some oi the latter has a peacock tarnish which gives it somewhat the aspect of chalcopyrite. Two samples from this deposit, which the owners had analysed, showed no values.

- Galena and sphalerite usually occur intermingled or closely associated, though in a fer places one alone is present. They show little intermingling with the magnetite-nyrriotite deposits, though in places they are very closely associated. In such places they always occur on the side aray from the igneous rock. The mineralization is generally in the sediments, at or near the contact. Some deposits are clearly replacements of the sediments by gangue and sulphides-either selectively along the bedding or in masses regardless of bedding, or by dissemination. Others minch appear to be lenticular bodics of gangue and sulphides lying between the igneous rocks and the sediments are probably of the same type, but in them replacement has proceeded so iar as to destroy any evidence of the previous existence of any other kind of material. The sulphides occur irregularly in the gangue. In some places they are disseminated through it in small crystals. Galena is more commonly found in this form. than sphalerite. In many instances the crystals are more abundant along definite lines, creating an enect somewhat similar to veins. More often, however, the concentration of the suiphinies is in irregular masses. These are generally small-of oniy a ferw inches in thichness and of somerwhat greater length. No large masses of soiid sulphides were noted. As a consequence of the irregularity of distribution, values are greatly variable. Sampiing on a small scale is, thereiore, not very reliable as an indication of what a mineralized mass may average.

The gangue associated with the galens and sphalerite is similar to that with the magnetite and pyrinotite. It is mainly green; though in the adits it is brown. Whether or not this difference in colour is due to weathering was not determined. The gangue is made up mainly of quartz, garnet, and other silicates.

Deposits $7,8,9,10,11$, and 20 , and that in the cut southwest of deposit 10 , are clearly at the contact. Deposits $5,6,12$, and 14 also are
probably at the contact. Deposits $15,16,17$, and 18 are all probably within 100 feet of it.

The rock at deposits 5 and 6 is largely obscured. In both cases there are only a ierv square feet of iron capping exposed. Material broken from this thougn badly weathered shows some galena. These deposits are probably similar to 7,8 , 9 : or 10 .

Deposit 7 is similar in cxtent of exposure to 5 and 6, but is opened up by a small cut about 3 feet wide and 1 foot deep. Rock of the batholith is exposed a few feet away from the cut. The material taken from the cut is badly weathered, but shows some scattered galena. No accurate knowledge oi the size or value of the deposit was obtained, except that toward the batholith it does not extend farther than the few feet between the cut and the igneous rock exposed. It is probably comparable in size and nature to 8,9 , or 10 .

Though deposits 5,6 , and 7 may be continuous so far as it is possible to judge from the exposures, it is not likely that they are-in fact it seems probable that they are similar in this respect to 8 and 9 , and 10 and 11.

At deposit 8 there is a fairly large cut about 5 feet wide and deep. In it there is mineralized altered strata over a width of about 5 feet, from the contact in the southeast corner where igneous rock is exposed to the top at the face oi the cut where unaltered or slightly altered sediments occur. The rock in the face, except at the top, as well as that on both sides of the cut. is mineralized. That beyond the cut on either side is partly obscured, but although the relationships along the contact are not entirely clear it seems that the mineralized mass does not continue for more than a few feet on either side. Betreen deposits 8 and 7 where the contact is exposed in places there is no distinct iron cap, which would seem to indicate a lack of continuity of these deposits. Deposit $S$ is made up of a massive body of gangue and sulphides. irregularly shaped and as much as 4 feet thich, and selectively replaced beds. It mould appear that the former is a more highly developed stage oi the latter. Above the mineralized section the bedded limestone is apparently not greatly altered. The distribution oi the sulphides, both galena and sphalerite, is irregular. The massive body of gangue and suiphides which lies adjacent to the contact is not always richer than the selectively replaced beds which are farther from the contact, although in the outer part of this zone there seems to be a tendency toward impoverisnment with increase of distance from the igneous rock. Two analyses from this deposit gave:


Deposit 9 is somerhat similar to 8 , but is not as highly mineralized. The rock is fairly well exposed for a few square yards, but owing to distortion and shattering of the beds the relationships are not clear. Practically no development work has been done on it. There are a few small, rusty spots and some mineralized material has been broken out, but the rock appears to be mainly unaltered or slightly altered. It seems that there may be a slight general mineralization with some small masses which may be similar in content to the samples from deposit 8. No definite mass like that at 8 or 10 could be outlined. The deposit does not appear to be continuous with 8 , though there may be patchy mineralization in between. In the direction away from 8 there is nothing that would seem to indicate that it is continuous for any distance.

Deposit 10 and that of the cut southwest of it are similar to 8 , but the mineralization seems to be confined more to a narrow, lens-like mass or masses. The openings at these tro deposits are about 2 to 3 feet wide and 3 to 4 feet deep. In the unnumbered deposit the contact can be seen. whereas in the other no igneous rock is exposed. In both, the mineralized masses occur in bedded limestone which in places is partly altered and may contain some sulphides. On one side of the southern of the two cuts the lens peters out in $1 \frac{1}{2}$ feet from a thichness of 8 inches, whereas on the other side 3 feet away it is about 2 feet thick. It is also exposed in the face of the cut and probably has a dip in the direction in which the cut has been driven. The other cut exposes a similar mass. In the side the mineralized body can be seen cutting across the bedding. It clearly comes to a blunt point toward the outer edge of the cut and dipping in the direction in which the cut is driven thickens fairly rapidly. The mineralized bodies in both cuts are similar to the more massive material in 8 , except that some magnetite-pyrrhotite is exposed at the base of. 10. Between these cuts and north of deposit 10 the limestone in places is altered and there are small exposures of iron capping suggestive of underlying mineral bodies which might be continuations of those exposed, or separate masses. The sulphides seem to be confined to very small lenses, with possibly some scattered mineralization beyond.

| - | Silver (02s. Troy per ton of 2.000 lbs.) | $\underset{\%}{\text { Lead }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathrm{Zinc}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { Copper }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis of sample from 10. | 5.94 | 2.29 | $3 \cdot 99$ | trace |
| Sampie irom cut southrest of 10. | 14.88 | 18.85 | 10.11 | trace |

Deposit 11 is similar to 9, and its relationship to the tro just described is akin to that of 9 to 8 . The contact is shattered; there is no definita sulphide-bearing body, but there is some scattered mineralization that appears to be mainly galena. It is probably comparable to the mineraliza-
tion which occurs beyond the definite lens-like masses previousiy described. Beyond deposit 11 toward 10 the contact is exposed, but there is no definite mineralization, which shows that the masses found to the north are not continuous southward for any great distance.

At locality 12 galena occurs in a few small, stringer-like masses. These are not over 1 inch thick. Oniy a little of this material is exposed in loose blocks taken from a cut in which the rock is in part at least altered limestone.

Southwest of locality 12 there is mineralization over a small area which is not indicated on the map. The rock, which is badly weathered, appears to be an altered limestone. Some galena can be seen even in the much rusted suriace material. This deposit is probably somemhat similar to 12 , also to 11 and 9 , with the igneous rock lying close below the suriace.

Deposit 14 is similar to 8 and 10 . Both sphalerite and galena are present, but they are not so closely intermingled as in some other deposits. The sphalerite occurs at the base of the deposit, which is thought to be in contact with igneous rock, and the galena above. There is a lens-like body with some scattered mineralization as at 8 and 10 . The scattered mineralization, which appears to be mainly galena, can be traced on the surface for some distance. The band appears to be about 2 feet wide.

| Silver (ounces Troy per ton of $2,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.) | Lead | Zinc | Copper |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | \% | \% |
| 1.07... | none | 21.04 | trace |
| 8.10... | 7-6 | 16.2 |  |

Deposits $15,16,17$, and 18 can best be described as a group. The limestone clini into which the tro upper adits are driven shoms in the main a light grey suriace. Across the face oi it, however, are some irregular, dark patches. Owing to its precipitousness these could not be examined in detaii. The upper patch as shown on the map was examined at locality 15, minere it is about 4 feet thick. At this point it was found to Le made up of highly aitered and mineralized material which is similar to that found in the deposits higier on the hill. Analysis showed the suriace portion, which is somemhat weathered, to carry:

| Siiver (ounces Troy per ton of 2,000 lbs.) | Lead | Zinc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| 1.15.. | 1.04 | 1-19 |

$\because$ On the restern part of the lower large patch there is a small cut. This shows mineralization which appears to be considerably richer than the specimen from 15 and is said to carry values similar to those oi other
deposits tested. The thickness here appears to be less than 2 feet. The patches apparently represent exposures of irregular, mineralized bodies. The maximum thickness of any body exposed is less than 6 feet. The length and shape are shown on the map. The dip is not bnown. If it follows that of the bedding, of which there is some slight suggestion, it would be steep north. The strike of the deposits across the face of the cliff seems to follow roughly the bedding. The character of the material in these masses resembles, probably, that found in the lenses already described, but since they occur at some distance from the contact there may be some differences. The downward extension of the mineralized bodies exposed on the suriace may be cut in the adits, but as little is known of their dip and they are extremely variable in shape and extent, it is, therefore, hazardous to draw any definite conclusions from the available data.

Of the three adits driven in this limestone that at locality 16 is located on the original discovery; the others were driven to cut the "vein" at depth. At locality 16 masses of quartz and other gangue minerals, with chalcopyrite, sphalerite, and galena, have been developed irregularly as if along fractures, leaving bouider-like masses of unaltered, or slightly altered, crystalline limestone. The distribution of sulphides is irregular. They occur as very small masses scattered through the gangue or altered limestone, which here is light brown rather than the green that characterizes most of the other deposits. Driits of a ferw feet east and west and a winze (which was full of water) apparently failed to show more consistent mineralization. A piched sample from here mas analysed.

| Siiver (ounces Troy per ton of $2,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. | Lead | Zinc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\%$ |
| 47-46.. | 4.99 | 21.73 |

The copper, lead, and zinc contents probably differ greatly in the various small masses. The deposit differs from those elsewhere, although it may be the downward extension of one of the bodies exposed on the suriace of the ciiin jusi above the adit. The mineralization seems to be comparable to the selective replacement along bedding planes, but here replacement has occurred along other lines, possibly so induced by fractures.

The walls of the adits at localities 17 and 18 were covered with dirt and no accurate data could be obtained from them. In the adit at locaiity. 17 there are iairly broad zones which are entirely altered. Quartz-chalcopyrite and quartz-galena-sphalerite mineraiization mere noted in zones several feet thick. It is said that zinc. lead, and copper occur in bands, in the above order. A number of specimens rere taken from a mineralized zone about 10 feet wide, and analysed.


By picking a number of small pieces from across the zone it was hoped to get some idea of its value. The result, however, may be too low. The mineralized zones appear to be mainly altered limestone with sulphides confned more or less in bands. This is suggestive of selective replacement along the bedding. These zones may be continuous with the mineralized bodies exposed on the face of the cliff above the adits.

In the adit at locality 18 there is said to be no mineralized zone similar to that in the other two. but there are some narrow zones, one of which occurs at the face. These narrow bands may correspond to the thicher bands noted in the other adits and exposed on the suriace. The surface showings, also, narrow toward the west. A short distance from the portal there is some black, slate-like material mineralized with galena and chalcopyrite. This is oi an appearance somewhat different from the other bodies, but whether the sulphides occur in the slate or in adjacent calcareous beds is doubtful. The sulphide-bearing rock in the dump is similar to that found in other adits. Both galena and chalcopyrite were noted. The rock in the adit is in part slate or argillite and quartzite. It is cut by several faults which, though striking at various angles, msinly parallel the adit. Their dip varies, but is, in general, steep.

The whole section represented on the suriace by the area betrreen localities $15,16,19$, and the cut above this last point. and penetrated belor the suriace by the adits, is more or less mineralized. The zone of which it is a part seems to parallel the contact of the batholith and to extend not much more then 100 feet from it. In this zone an irregular distribution of altered limestone carries sulphides confined to somerrhat definite, but irregularly shaped, bodies, some of which are exposed on the surface and follow the bediding. Besides these definite bodies there are scattered sulphides, such as occur in the adit oi 16. Although there are fairly good suipiide concentrations in the mineralized parts, as shomm by analysis of the sample from the adit at 17 , it is probable that the average for the whole zone would be low.

Deposit 20 is similar in size and character to 10.
Deposit 19, which is the only one designated as of the copper class, is a mineralized lens in bedded limestone. It is the only deposit that shows a relative abundance of conper. In an irregular mass of altered limestone similar to those at 8,10 . and other localities, there is a lens-like body which appears to be largely chalcopyrite. This is small and does not seem to exceed a ferv ieet in any direction. Associated with it in the altered limestone mass, which has a maximum thickness of about 6 feet, are galena and sphalerite. Except for the chalcopyrite the deposit resembles those at $8,10,14$, and other localities higher on the hill.
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All the deposits of the copper, lead, and zinc classes occur apparently at the contact with limestone or in limestone, though in many places the gangue or altered rock in no way resembles the original. No definite evidence of mineralization was noted in the argillites, quartzites, or volcanics, and they do not seem to have been altered as was the limestone. The rock of the batholith near the contact in mineralized areas is rusty. It contains in places considerable pyrite, and appears to have been somewhat altered by the mineralizing solutions. No deposits seem to have been formed wholly within the igneous rock.

The sulphides are accompanied in most places by a green rock which is used by the prospector as a criterion for the location of the deposits. This material is probably largely the result of alteration by the mineralizing fluids, though in some places it has the appearance of having been introduced into cavities as a dyke or vein, which has led the miners to refer to the masses as dykes. It occurs both as a gangue for the sulphides and beyond them, and in places where there are practically no sulphides at all.

Mineralization is undoubtedly associated with the batholith. The mineralizing fluids were, probably, a final dif̈erentiate from the intrusive. They seem to have migrated to some slight extent along the contact before precipitation took place. The presence of magnetite and pyrrhotite indicates that while these were being deposited, the temperature (and pressure) was probably high. The sphalerite lies beyond these minerals and the galens beyond the sphalerite-that is, farther away from the igneous rock. The method of deposition is mainiy by replacement of the limestone, which was more susceptible to alteration than the other rocis; hence the deposits are confined to this material. The mineral-bearing fluids were highiy siliccous. Silicification of the limestone with the development of quartz, garnet, epidote, wollastonite, and other minerals, accompanied the mineralization. However, in some places these changes took place mithout the deposition of sulphides, either because in certain sections the solutions failed to deposit their sulphides, or they carried none. If the former was the case the altered barren zones might be traceable to mineralized masses; at any rate they can be considered as probably indicating deposits nearbr.

Silver is found with both zinc and lead, but bears no very definite relationship to either. Pyrite is not abundant, but occurs with some oi the magnetite-pyrrhotite deposits.

The mineralization is patchy. Although there are deposits at many points along the contact, the greater part of them show no mineralization. The face of the hill-side must be considered as affording as good a crosssection of the zone likely to be mineralized as any that may be developed by underground exploration. The lack of continuity of mineraiization at the suriace seems to indicate that the exposed individual deposits are probably not continuous for any great distance below the surface. It might be possible, however, to outline and trace to some depih a zone such as that between localities 15 and 18 or between localities 5 and 9 , inwhich there are numerous mineralized sections, and, thereiore, in which mineralization may be expected to continue. To assume that deposits
reoccur at depth in such a zone would, of course, be extremely hazardous; definite knowledge of the extent or richness of the zone can be ascertained only by thorough exploration. There is no reason to suppose that the deposits will be richer or more extengive at depth. If the structure is such that at depth, along the contact, the limestone is replaced by other rocks; then it seems probable that the deposits, too, would end.
:... Considering the visible extensive mineralization it is not unreasonable to expect that, somewhere, large deposits exist, but whether they do exist, and where, can be ascertained only by further exploration. Nuthing as yet observed points very definitely to their location. The two zones described above ( 15 to 18 and 5 to 9 ) seem to offer the best location for any underground exploration. The old workings, including the three adits and:
$=-\cdots$ : the several small cuts, can hardy be considered as testing fairly the deposits at depth. As the mineralized zone tends to parallel the contact and, therefore, in general has a steep dip north, the horizontal drifts diverge from it at a considerable angle and hence prove nothing very conclusive. However, the fact that some mineralization occurs at the end of the long adit may indicate that belory this point, nearer the contact, more extensive mineralization exists. Later explorations, on claims higher on the hill, have been well planned. An attempt has been made to follow the mineralization on the suriace and open it up by small cuts. Unfortunately, much time was spent on the small deposits isolated in the igneous rock, which, probably, have little or no value.

In future exploration an effort should first be made to fix the location - of the contact and to work from that into the sediments, more particularly the limestone. But the other sediments should not be overlooked as they may contain veins. It is reported that on the Apex, higher up the hill, a vein was discovered. Snow prevented a visit to this property. The deposit there is said to be some distance from the contact, distinctly veinlike in character, and oi considerable size. Analysis of a picked sample from this gave:

| Silrer (ounces Tros per ton of 2,000 lbs.$)$ | Lead |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | $\%$ |
| 138.60... | 58.0 |

If the information in regard to this discovery is correct it would seem: to have greater possibilities than the others. Any underground exploration of the two most favourable zones should follow the contact as far as possible, either by means of adits driven about northeast or by slopes with a dip corresponding, as far as possible, to that of the contact. In either case the workings would thus be confined to the zone likely to be mineralized, and the small individual deposits discovered could be stoped out to such a distance as their size and value warranted.

OTHER PROPERTIES
Within the map-area there are several other prospects that have been worked, but none of these was visitcd in 1926.

Considerable placer mining was done on the bars of the Stikine in the early days. Some yielded very good returns. The Clearwater (Chutatine) also has yielded some gold and continues to do so. Reports indicate that last summer about 15 ounces were taken out. Two separate outfits plan to test sections along this river during the summer of 1927.

## CONCLUSION

There are undoubtedly certain sections within the map-area that warrant the consideration of the prospector. There is a broad zone bordering the Coast Renge batholith in which there are numerous small satellites either exposed on, or indicated to be close to, the surface. This whole zone is worth careful prospecting. The lonown deposits occur in various kinds of rock: Devils Elbow prospects mainly in limestone; the Fourmile (Cataline) Creek copper deposit in volcanics; Stikine River gold in volcanics or non-calcareous sediments; and bornite in the Bear (Tsilhini) Creek intrusive. Any of the various types of sediments and volcanics may carry mineral deposits and even parts of the plutonic masses which have been re-intruded have possibilities. Except for the testing of stream gravels for placer gold the area docs not seem to have been very thoroughly prospected. Fairly good transportation facilities are available. Stikine river forms a means of entering the area and could be used for export of ores. Though the country on either side is very rugged, there are long, wide valleys that penetrate it and may afford suitable locations for roads or railways. The area is not one that can be easily prospected. Trails are scarce; travel very difficult; the climate is rigorous, and precipitation is heavy. The obstacles are great, but the possibility of reward appears to warrant the effort to overcome them.


Three soil lines were contour sampled on the Devil's Elbow property at 1) 4,600' 2) 4,000'; and 3) $3500^{\prime}$ between two creeks with promising initial gold results.

Soil line 1) at $4,600^{\prime}$ feet is above tree line except for some small sections of scrubby spruce trees. The terrain from $0+00 \mathrm{~N}$ to $4+50 \mathrm{~N}$ is relatively flat and easy to traverse. The section from $0+00 \mathrm{~N}$ to $2+50 \mathrm{~S}$ is cliffy \& awkward to traverse. The ground itself is covered with moss \& low scrubby bush. The soil is not well developed. The samples were a brown to brown - red in colour; coarse grained with $15 \%$ small rock chips present, and were taken at 15 - 30 cm below the surface.

Soil line 2) at $4,000^{\prime}$ is below tree line. The topography is not terribly steep and the trees are generally spaced enough to allow fairly easy walking. The line extends from $0+00 \mathrm{~N}$ to $11+50 \mathrm{~N}$. The soil tended to be brown \& gravelly, but was also a grey-gravel where it appeared to be less well developed. Average sample depth would be approximately 20-25 cm below the surface. The graduation between $a \operatorname{B} \& C$ horizon was not clear and mostly the samples appeared to be taken just above or at bedrock.

Soil Line 3) at $3500^{\prime}$ extended from $0+00 \mathrm{~N}$ to $17+00 \mathrm{~N}$. The terrain was steep with a profusion of tight small spruce trees and deciduous trees with a decided prediction for helping one down the hill quickly and most appropriately named: slide alder. At the northern end of the line (15+50N to $17+00 \mathrm{~N}$ ) there is a large patch of blown down trees which could relatively easily be cleared to allow helicopter access; besides this area there is now here at present to land a helicopter. The soil was best developed on this line. A humous - like A horizon generally existed with a Brown dirt sample lying beneath it with some gravel (5\%) present. Kids, this line should not be attempted at home. It has taken years of experience to be able to attempt such a traverse; so don't try this in your back yard or up on the roof. It's not as easy as we make it look.

## G. Mowatt

Chief Soil Technician



