### PROSPECTING REPORT FOR THE MGM 8 MINERAL CLAIM

735 Atj neok explorations lini

## **PROSPECTING REPORT FOR THE MGM 8 MINERAL CLAIM**

.

Golden Mining Division

NTS 83D/1

Lat: 52°02' Long: 118°14'

Owner: Teck Corporation (Operator)

Author: G. Thomson Date Submitted: August 12, 1991

LOG NO:	AUG	20	1991	RD.	
ACTION:					

FILE NO:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

•	ITILE Page
A. Introduction	
1. Access	1
2. Location and Physiography	1
3. History	2
4. Claim Status	2
B. Geology	
1. Regional	2
2. Property	3
C. Work Done	
D. Results	
E. Cost Statement	4
F. Authors Qualifications	5
G. Appendix	
1. Soil Sample Geochemical Certificate	
FIGURES (fo	ollowing page)
1. Index Map	1
2. Claim Map	2
3. Geology Map (with soil sample locations)	3

# GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

ζ

21,59

#### **INTRODUCTION**

On May 13, 1991 a one day field examination of the MGM 8 Mineral Claim was made by three personnel employed by Teck Corporation Ltd.

The MGM 8 Claim is part of a larger group of claims optioned from John M. Leask -White Knight Resources Ltd. under the terms of an agreement signed on April 12, 1991.

The MGM and TSAR claim groups surround a "SEDEX" style mineral prospect known as the Bend lead-zinc Showing, currently owned and under exploration by Cominco Ltd.

#### 1. Access

The claim area may be reached by several modes. Large freight must be brought in by a barge service out of Bush Harbour, located approximately 50km southeast of the claim area. This is usually a 4 hour one-way trip. Alternately, the area can be reached via helicopter services out of Golden or Revelstoke. A float plane service from Golden is also available. Flying time from Golden or Revelstoke is approximately one hour.

#### 2. Location and Physiography

The claim area lies on the east side of the Rocky Mountain Trench approximately 100km northwest of Golden, B.C. The MGM 8 Claim is a 6 unit claim located near the confluence of Cummins Arm and Columbia Reach. Only a portion of the claim (N.E. corner) occurs above the 2500' waterline and for the most part is covered by thick alluvial cover.

Climatologically the property lies within the Interior Wet Belt where precipitation exceeds 40 inches per year. Vegetation is thick and lush at lower elevations where devil's club constitutes a large portion of the underbrush. Common evergreens are cedar, douglas fir and hemlock at lower elevations giving way to lodgepole pine and balsam fir above 4500 feet.

Treeline is approximately 6,000 feet.

Winters in the area are usually long and severe with snowfall often exceeding 30 feet.



#### 3. History

Mineralization was first discovered in the Cummins River Canyon in the late 1940's. In 1966, Cominco staked 45 units and tested the grade of the Canyon zone with eight short holes and the MGM with five short holes. Cominco still retains twelve claims straddling the Canyon.

Cominco geologists considered the occurrence to be of "fissure vein" type and considered it to have small tonnage potential.

In August 1979, Leask and Associates carried out a preliminary reconnaissance of the McNaughton Lake area and staked the MGM claim group on the strength of evidence which indicated that in fact the occurrence was of the shale-hosted type.

#### 4. Claim status

The MGM 8 claim as described below was optioned from John M. Leask under the terms of an agreement signed April 12, 1991.

Claim	Record No.	Units	Record Date	Expiry Date
MGM 8	2156	6	May 27, 1990	May 27,1991

#### <u>GEOLOGY</u>

#### 1. Regional Geology

The MGM property overlies a Mid-to Lower Cambrian age sequence of metamorphosed clastic sediments.

The Cambrian section is represented by three lithological elements: the Mid to Upper Cambrian Kinbasket Limestone, the Mid to Lower Cambrian Tsar Creek Argillites, and the Lower Cambrian Sullivan Quartzites. All lithologies have been metamorphosed to middle and upper greenschist assemblages. Under these conditions, the Kinbasket Limestone appears as a buff weathering, biotitic and locally garnet-rich unit. Dark to reddish grey mica schists characterize the Tsar Creek metapelites. Quartz mica schists, garnetiferous quartizites, pale pink grits and quartz wackes remain as the vestiges of the metamorphosed Sullivan Quartzite.

Regional structure in the area is dominated by the presence of the Columbia River -



Purcell Fault systems. Within the Cummins River area, at the Purcell Thrust juxtaposes Hadrynian Windermere Group lithologies against Cambrian sequences east of the Rocky Mountain Trench.

#### 2. Property Geology (MGM 8)

The property was devoid of rock outcrop except along the south wall of Cummins Arm and immediately north of the MGM 8 Claim boundary. Lithologies present were pelitic schists and minor quartz feldspathic psammites possibly belonging to the Upper Proterozoic Age Windermere Supergroup. These rocks are interpreted to be emplaced by means of a thrust fault onto micaceous and locally garnetiferous micritic limestones of the Middle Cambrian Age Kinbasket Formation. These buff to rusty weathering limestones make up the major proportion of float boulders observed throughout logging cuts on the MGM 8 claim.

It is assumed that Kinbasket limestones underlie a portion of the MGM 8 claim, however, this is difficult to substantiate as much of the claim lies below the 2500' water level of the Mica Dam reservoir.

#### WORK DONE

General prospecting was carried out through the logging cut which occurs at the northeast corner of the MGM 8 claim. A north-south line of soil sampling was carried out from a logging road to lake level to test the background geochemical signature of soils on the claim area.

#### **RESULTS**

No rock outcrop or mineralization were observed on the MGM 8 mineral claim. Of the twelve soil samples taken for analysis, no indication of prospective mineralization was detected.



BEND COMINCOLAINAS
9040
`, \ LEGEND
Upper Proterozoic – Windemere Supergroup -brown, reddish to purple weathered, thinly bedded quartz rich schists to protogneissic psammites (many layers are gametiferous)
Middle Cambrian - Kinbasket Formation -micaceous, locally garnetiferous micritic limestone
∽ → Thrust Fault (assumed)
TECK EXPLORATION LTD.
MGM PROPERTY Golden Mining Division
GEOLOGY
SCALE: 1:10,000 DATE: JULY 1991 DRAWN BY: GT/SA

## **COST STATEMENT**

r

## MGM 8 Mineral Claim

1.	Wages (1 Day - May 13, 1991)		
	Fred Daley (Exploration Mgr.) Greg Thomson (Geologist) Bing Lovang (Prospector)	\$ 300.00 252.48 <u>236.90</u>	
			\$ 789.38
2.	Helicopter (Canadian Helicopters - Revelstoke)		
	2.6 Hours		<b>\$2,186.8</b> 1
3.	Soil Sampling Analyses (Eco-Tech Laboratories Ltd.)		
	12 Samples @ \$6.16 (30 Element I.C.P.)		\$ 73.92
4.	Report Preparation, Drafting		
	2 Days @ \$252.45		<u>\$ 504.96</u>
		TOTAL	\$3,555.07

## STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, Gregory R. Thomson, hereby certify that:

- 1. I am currently employed as a geologist by Teck Explorations Ltd. with offices at #960-175 Second Ave., Kamloops, B.C.
- 2. I graduated from the University of British Columbia in 1970 with a major in Geology.
- 3. I have worked continuously as a geologist in British Columbia.
- 4. The work described herein was done under my direct supervision.

he Mon Greg Thomson

## **APPENDIX I**

\*

Soil Sample Geochemical Certificate

#### 10041 BAST TRANS CANADA UVT. Ramloope, B.C. V2C 223 Phonk - 604-573-5700 Pax - 604-573-4557

#### TECK EXPLORATIONS LTD. - ETK 91-285

960, 175 SECOND AVENUE EANLOOPS, B.C. V2C SW1

ATTENTION: PRED DALEY

#### VALUES IN PPH UNLESS OTHERVISE REPORTED

HAT 23, 1991

#### PROJECT NUMBER: 1703 12 SOIL SAMPLES RECEIVED MAY 22, 1991

671	DESCRIPTION	AU(ppb)	1G	AG(1)	15		11	<b>H</b> (	a(\$)	CD	ĊŨ	CI	CU	FE(3)	E(1)	LL 1	IG(%)	NII -	20 I	H(1)	10	8	PB	58	51	SR 1	fI (1)	U	Ŧ	1	Y	57
\$31172255222	**====**********			=======	******		*****		*****		*****	*****		******	******		******	******				******	======	******	======		\$22882S		=====			222323
245 - 1	8 + 00 S	(5	<.2	3.17	5		<b>ST</b>	(5	.51	đ	14	28		3.03	.11	28	.0	282	a	C.11	21	668	28	(5	(21	23	.12	đ	21	<b>(1)</b>	1	1#8
285 - 2	1 + 00 5	5	<.2	2.31	<b>(5</b>	1	<b>65</b>	<5	.15	a	13	11	•	3.11	. #1	28	. 45	244	a	(. <b>1</b> 1	20	538	28	- (5	(21	1	.14	<10	24	a	đ	19
285 - 3	2 + 00 5	(5	<.2	4.07	<5	- <b>t</b>	45	<5	.30	-ct	- 10	15	1	2.17	.11	28	.35	200	a	(.01	- 16	1590	16	(5	<28	13	.13	a	11	(10	1	12
285 - 4	3 + 00 £	5	<.1	3.51	<5	- <b>Ş</b>	59	<b>(5</b>	.51	1	-13	22	1	2.79	.17	21	.51	547	1	.11	32	718	16	(5	(21	35	.11	<b>(1)</b>	22	d	1	92
285 - 5	4 + 00 \$	<5	<.2	1.50	- (5	1	68	(5	.23	a	- 18	12	1	1.93	.16	10	.30	493	a	<. <b>1</b>	17	798	12	<5	a	10	.#	(II)	22	(1)	a	95
285 - 6	5 + 00 5	(5	<.2	3.11	<5	1	-54	(5	.15	a	10	- 14	1	2.64	.04	24	.34	264	a	<.11	- 16	1130	16	<5	<b>(2</b> )	12	.14	(10	21	(18	1	78
285 - 7	5 F 38 S	<5	<.2	3.75	<5	- 1	70	(5	.26	4	- 28	20	10	3.34	.07	20	.54	101	- <1	.#1	35	688	16	(5	(28	24	.13	(1)	21	<10	đ	124
285 - 8	8 ÷ 58 \$	<5	<.2	3.44	<5	10	55	(5	.11	4	11	12	6	3.11	. #3	28	.28	196	1	<.∎	13	1260	18	<5	(21	1	.19	(II)	28	(18	1	17
285 - 9	1 + 50 8	<5	<.2	3.22	<5	- F	118	(5	.1	4	-14	21	12	3.27	. 86	21	.0	203	1	(. <b>11</b>	25	1070	16	<5	<b>(1</b> )	10	.12	(10	29	(1)	<1	115
285 - 18	2 4 58 5	<5	<.2	5.25	- (5	- 14	51	(5	.31	0	•	11	1	3.30	. 83	38	.21	a	4	CH .	14	758	20	(5	(21	12	.15	<b>di</b>	12	(1)	7	55
245 - 11	3 + 50 8	<5	<.2	1.79	<5	1	11	(5	.11	a	12	- 16	9	2.66	. 85	20	.41	192	(1	.#1	19	588	12	(5	(21	10	.11	(1)	24	(1)	đ	91
285 - 12	<b>€ + 59 S</b>	<5	<.2	2.40	(5	1	70	(5	.12	a	12	13	1	2.62	.#	20	.28	137	a	<. <b>1</b> 1	15	1110	14	<5	(2 <b>1</b>	9	.11	d.	24	đ	a	17

BOTE: < = LESS TEAM

RCO-TECH LABORATORIES LTD. CLINTON ATERS LABORATORI HANAGER

SC\$1/TECE1