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## SUMMARY

The Shag property consists of the Shag 1-7 mineral claims totalling 82 units. The property is located within the Rocky Mountains, roughly 40 kilometres east of Radium Hot Springs, B.C.

The 1991 program consisted of grid expansion and concurrent soil sampling followed by limited prospecting and 1:5,000 scale mapping. The purpose of the program was to delineate the full extent of the zinc soil anomlay identified in 1990. Prospecting and mapping was carried out in the areas of the four main, previously identified IP anomlies, and along a favourable geological contact. In addition, three rock samples were collected to test for potential economic gallium and germanium.

The expanded grid soil survey failed to trace the existing zinc anomaly northwestward. Only local, weak zinc soil results were returned, except for a high contrast two sample zinc anomaly ( $4000+\mathrm{ppm}$ ).

The western grid line expansion returned two weakly anomalous zinc zones, one of which correlates with an IP anomaly. These two zones remain open upslope to the southwest. The erractic soil responses could be due to the characteristic discontinuous and poddy mineralization ( $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ ) commonly encountered on the property.

Prospecting and mapping in the anomalous IP and soil areas failed to reveal any surface mineralization. Investigation of the favourable dolostone/limestone contact (C5-C6) also failed to identify $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ mineralization.

Three rock samples collected from known $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ showings returned non-economic gallium and germanium values. Three moss mat creek samples collected on the property returned no significant base metal results.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

No further work is recommended on the Shag property at this time due to:

1) Lack of extensive anomalous $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ soil results.
2) Erratic nature of known $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ mineralization (narrow, poddy, discontinuous).
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

During 1991, a program consisting of grid expansion followed by soil sampling and limited prospecting, geological mapping and rock sampling was carried out on the Shag property. The purpose of the program was to extend the previously established 1990 soil grid anomalies. Prospecting and mapping was carried out in areas of previous IP and soil anomalies and favourable geological areas. This report describes the program and results.

## 2. LOCATION AND ACCESS (Figures 1,2 )

The Shag mineral claims are located along the Albert River drainage, roughly 40 kilometres east of Radium Hot Springs, B.C. and 14 kilometres west of the Alberta border. The property is located on NTS map sheets $82 \mathrm{~J} / 11 \mathrm{~W}$ and $82 \mathrm{~J} / 12 \mathrm{E}$, with an approximate lattitude and longitude of $50^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $115^{\circ} 30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ respectively.

The western and northern portions of the property are road accessible via well maintained forestry service roads originating from Canal Flats $(65 \mathrm{~km})$ and Radium Hot Springs ( 60 km ). The remainder of the property and the higher elevations are best accessed via helicopter, based locally at either Invermere or Fairmont Hot Springs.

## 3. TOPOGRAPHY AND VEGETATION

Relief on the property is rugged as the claims are situated within the Park Ranges of the Rocky Mountains. Elevations range from $4000^{\prime}(1220 \mathrm{~m})$ in the valleys to $8500^{\prime}$ ( 2591 m ) on the mountain peaks. Vertical cliffs, steep slopes and avalanche chutes are common along Shag Creek, a northwest trending valley running through the central property area.

Vegetation is thick and consists of mature spruce, fir and cedar with thick underbrush below elevations of 7000' $(2134 \mathrm{~m})$. Above $7000^{\prime}$ (treeline) rock outcrop and talus predominate.

## 4. CLAIMS (Figure 3)

The property, located in the Golden Mining Division, consists of the Shag 1-7 mineral calims totalling 82 contiguous units ( $\approx 2050$ hectares). The claims are registered in the name of Ecstall Mining Corporation
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and were grouped in 1983 as the Shag Group. The following table lists all pertinent claim data.

TABLE 1

## CLAIM RECORDS

| Claim Name | Record No. | Units | Record Date | Expiry Date * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shag 1 | 213091 | 20 | August 15, 1977 | August 15, 1992. |
| Shag 2 | 213092 | 12 | August 15, 1977 | August 15, 1992* |
| Shag 3 | 213093 | 12 | August 15, 1977 | August 15, 1992 |
| Shag 4 | 213094 | 20 | August 15, 1977 | August 15, 1992* |
| Shag 5 | 213095 | 4 | August 15, 1977 | August 15, 1992 |
| Shag 6 | 213096 | 8 | August 15, 1977 | August 15, 1992. |
| Shag 7 | 213097 | 6 | August 15, 1977 | August 15, 1992* |

Note" = Expiry Date based on acceptance of this report.

## 5. PREVIOUS WORK

The Shag claims were staked in 1977 by Riocanex (Rio Tinto Canadian Exploration) in response to the discovery of two small $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ showings (C-3 and $\mathrm{C}-4$ ) and associated stream silt anomalies discovered during the Graf $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ reconnaissance program.

In the summer of 1978, Rio Tinto carried out a program of 1:10,000 scale mapping, prospecting and soil sampling within the Shag claims. This work resulted in the division of the host Cathedral Formation into nine mappable units and the discovery of an additional eight $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ showings (BM, BM Extension, Pieces, RedBed, Crackle, Box, Bush and Christmas), mainly along two stratigraphic horizons known as the C-4 and BM horizons. Several small soil anomalies were outlined as well.

A follow-up drill program in the fall of 1978 tested the main BM showing with three short diamond drill holes (DDH 78-1 to 78-3) totalling 159.5 metres. Only low grade, spotty zinc mineralization was encountered, with the best intersection being $4 \% \mathrm{Zn}$ over 0.5 metres in hole 78-1.

In the summer of 1979, Rio Tinto prospected soil anomalies not yet associated with known mineralization, remapped a number of sections of the C-4 horizon and remapped and soil sampled the C-4 showing in detail. Three new showings, the Stripes, BM Fractures and RedBed-type float were discovered. Many soil anomalies remained unexplained.

A follow-up drill program in the fall of 1979 tested the two main mineralized horizons with six diamond drill holes (DDH 79-1 to 79-6) totalling 460 metres. The first four holes tested the $\mathrm{C}-4$ horizon with only weak mineralization being encounterd. The final two holes $(79-5,6)$ tested the BM mineralized horizon with hole 79-5 encountering no mineralization and 79-6 being abandoned due to inclement weather. Two additional showings were identified during prospecting around these holes ( 15 total showings to date).

In 1980, Rio Tinto turned the property back to Chris Graf who subsequently optioned the Shag claims to Esso Resources Canada Ltd. in the spring of 1981.

During the summer of 1981, Esso Minerals Canada carried out a program consisting of geological mapping in areas of known showings and favourable stratigraphy and the collection of 68 heavy mineral stream sediment samples. No additional mineralization was discovered, however two areas of anomalous heavy mineral concentrations were identified.

In the fall of 1981, investigation of the RedBed horizon along the east side of Shag creek resulted in the discovery of two new showings (proximal to Christmas showing and the Vug show) along a 600 metre continously mineralized zone (RedBed horizon). Subsequently, a four hole (DDH 81-1 to 81-4) diamond drill program totalling 152 metres tested the downdip extension of this mineralized trend. Overall, only weak mineralization was encountered but hole $81-2$ yielded an intersection of $10.25 \% \mathrm{Zn}$ and 1 opt Ag over 3.3 metres. Hole 81-3 was aborted due to poor drilling conditions.

A program consisting of prospecting followed by a short six hole (DDH 82-1 to 82-6) diamond drill program totalling 458.1 metres was carried out by Esso in the summer of 1982. Prospecting concentrated on evaluating the RedBed horizon trend. The 1982 drill program followed-up DDH 81-2 and continued testing the downdip extension of the main RedBed horizon. The best intersection was hole 82-3, with 3.07\% Zn over 6.3 metres (located $\approx 90$ metres northwest of hole 81-2).

In 1988, Ecstall Mining Corporation acquired the property and in the summer of 1988 a 10.9 line-km cut grid was established upon which an IP survey (chargeability and resistivity) was carried out by Delta Geoscience Ltd. This resulted in the delineation of four main IP anomalies within the grid.

In the summer of 1990, Toklat Resources Inc. carried out a program of prospecting, geochemical soil sampling, silt sampling and a limited VLF-EM geophysical survey over the 1988 grid area. In addition, 1.5 line-km of blazed and flagged grid was added. This work resulted in the delineation of one ( $150 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $180 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{Zn}$ anomalous zone and several smaller zinc soil and stream anomalies. Prospecting failed to reveal
any major zinc or lead mineralization.

## 6. 1991 PROGRAM

In 1991, 17 mandays were spent on the Shag property between August 1 and August 8 . The program consisted of 2.9 line-km's of grid expansion followed by soil sampling ( 121 soils) and limited prospecting and 1:5,000 scale geolgical mapping (Figure 4-Grid Location map).

The purpose of the program was to delineate the full extent of the zinc soil anomaly located near the north end of the 1990 soll grid and to prospect and map both the IP anomalies identified in 1988 and a favourable geological contact located west of the grid. In addition, three rock samples from showings were collected and analysed for gallium and germanium. Mapping was done by topofil, compass and altimeter utilizing the existing grid lines where possible. Outcrop exposure was variable, ranging from large areas of no outcrop to steep, well exposed cliffs.

## 7. GEOLOGY

## A. Regional Geology (Figure 5)

The regional geology is taken largely from a 1981 assessment report (\#9678) on Geological Mapping and Geochemical Sampling by M.H. Lenters of Esso Minerals and the 1979 G.S.C Open File Report 634 - Geology of the Kananaskis Map Area by G. Leech. The reader can refer to these reports for a more detailed regional geological account of the area.

The Shag property is located within the southern end of the Main Ranges Subprovince of the Rocky Mountain Fold and Thrust Belt, along a line that separates gently dipping, resistant Middle to Upper Cambrian carbonates in the east from recessive, cleaved and locally contorted Middle to Upper Cambrian shales in the west.

The eastern, shallow water platformal shelf carbonate facies is known as the Cathedral (and associated) Formations and the laterally equivalent western, deep water basin shale facies is known as the Chancellor Formation. These two facies represent a lower section of a Paleozoic miogeoclinal-platform sedimentary assemblage that appears to have accumulated as a continental terrace wedge prograding into a transgressing ocean basin.
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Leech's 1979 map depicts the Shag property occurrring on the eastern side and near the southern end of the broad northwest trending Porcupine Creek Anticlinorium whose axis roughly separates the western shale facies from the eastern carbonate facies. The Cambrio-Ordovician McKay Group shales overie both facies.

Structurally, the Chancellor and McKay shales are commonly cleaved, isoclinally folded and internally thrust faulted in response to compression with the structural complexity increasing near the contacts with the Cathedral and associated carbonates. Deformation of the carbonate facies is characterized by a large, monoclinal flexure running parallel to the facies front. Rock type and position in the section relative to the McKay Group influence the styles of deformation in the carbonates, with the uppermost limestone members of the eastern carbonate facies indicating an east-west compression perpendicular to the facies front.

Numerous mineral occurrences are located in the surrounding region and are dominated by Cambrian carbonate-hosted $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ mineralization. They are often associated with dolomitized portions of prominent blogenetic-bioclastic carbonate complexes and are commonly located close to a carbonate-shale facies front.

## B. Property Geology (Figures 6,7)

The following lithological descriptions are taken from Bendings 1979b inhouse report and Lenters (1981a) assessment report. The reader can refer to the above reports for a more detailed breakdown of the property lithologies. Originally, a large portion of the property was believed to be underlain by the Cathedral Formation and was divided into 9 mappable units. Subsequently, Bending believed the units to be higher in the regional stratigraphy than initially thought; the result being the seven main units ( $\mathrm{C}-1$ to $\mathrm{C}-7$ ) were reallocated equivalent formation names which Lenters (Esso) later used in his interpretation of the property geology.

The property is largely underlain by seven carbonate units, with the McKay Group shales found locally at higher elevations and Chancellor Formation shales being identified in the western property area. Figure 6 depicts the stratigraphic columns and correlation of the formations in the Shag claim area.

FIGURE: 6 STRATIGRAPHIC COLUMN AND CORRELATION CHART FOR GEOLOGIC FORMATIONS IN THE SHAG CLAIMS AREA


## I. Lithology

## Unit C1 : Albert River Dolostone = Top of Cathedral Formation

This basal unit (of which the base is not exposed on the property) is comprised of pale grey to white, crystalline, massive dolostone and thin to thick bedded grey limestone.

## Unit C2 : Thin Limestone = Stephen Formation

Unit C2 is dominately dark grey, finely crystalline, uniformily thinly bedded argillaceous limestone with lesser massive pale grey sucrosic dolostone.

## Unit C3: BM Host Dolostone = Eldon Formation

This unit is a white to light grey, burrowed, sucrosic, massive cliff-forming dolostone. The upper part of the formation hosts the BM horizon mineralization and is characterized by burrows, styolites, intraclastic zones and birdseye texture.

## Unit C4 : Dividing Limestone = Pika and Arctomys Formations

This Middle Cambrian limestone unit is thin bedded, usually recessive, quite often shaley and contains minor dolostone.

## Unit C5 : Second Dolostone = Waterfowl Formation

Unit C5 is a medium to thick bedded, massive, light colored, fine to medium grained, sucrosic dolostone with interbedded dolomitic limestone and dark grey limestone. The top of this Middle to Upper Cambrian formation is characterized by breccia pods, zebroid and vuggy textures with zones of orange ferroan dolomite. The upper part of this dolostone unit hosts the C-4 type and RedBed horizon mineralization (C-4, Pieces, RedBed, Crackle, Rush, Stripes, Red bed type float and Christmas showings).

## Unit C6: Cliff and Step Limestone = Sullivan Formation

This Upper Cambrian limestone unit is thin to medium bedded, medium grey, banded, argillaceous and silty. It contains minor calcareous shale and characteristically shows sedimentary boudinage structures.

## Unit C7: Top Dolostone = Lyell Formation

The cliff-forming Lyell Formation is a massive, sucrosic, coarsely crystalline, pale tan to grey dolostone with minor limestone.

## Unit C8 : Cyclic Dolostone

Dark algal and pale sucrosic texture is common in this unit which appears to abut on the more widespread and uniform units. Laterally equivalent to the Second Dolostone (C5), Dividing Limestone (C4) and upper parts of the BM Host Dolostone (C3).

## II. Mineralization and Geochemistry (Figure 7)

Prospecting and mapping by Riocanex and Esso has identified seventeen $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ showings on the property. Fifteen of the showings are located along a five kilometre length of Shag creek and are associated with two main stratigraphic horizons. One favourable horizon is the top of the Eldon (Unit C3) dolostone which hosts the BM style showings including the BM, BM Extension (float), BM Fractures and Galena (float). A second favourable stratigraphy is the Waterfowl (Unit C5) dolostone. The top of this unit, just below the overlying argillaceous limestone (C6), hosts the RedBed horizon showings including the Rush, Crackle, RedBed, Pieces (float), Vug and Christmas. The C-4 type mineralization, including the C-4, Stripes (fioat) and Pad showings, occurs stratigraphically below the RedBed horizon within the Waterfowl Formation.

Three rock samples were collected; two from the mineralized BM horizon $(27080,27122)$ and one from the main C-4 showing (27079). They were sent to Eco-Tech Labs in Kamloops and analsyed for gallium and germanium. No anomalous results were obtained and the Certificates of Analysis are included in Appendix III and Analytical Procedures in Appendix IV.

Prospecting and mapping was concentrated around the four main IP anomalies outlined in 1988 (Figure 7). No additional mineralization was discovered and outcrop exposure was variable.

The second area prospected and mapped was the favourable C5/C6 contact. This contact (or immediately below the contact) hosts the RedBed mineralized horizon located on the eastern slopes above Shag valley. It was hoped that prospecting the contact on the western side of the Shag valley would delineate additional $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ showings. However, no mineralization was discovered in the course of this investigation.

Three moss mat samples were collected during the 1991 program. Two samples, M27119 and M27120, were taken from a main stream and tributary about 100 metres downstream from the favourable C5/C6 contact and upslope from the strongest IP (chargeability) anomaly. No anomalous results were obtained. In addition, a silt collected by Toklat Resources in 1990 located roughly 100 metres downstream
returned a value of 1130 ppm Zn , but strangely was not duplicated by the upstream moss mats. A third moss mat sample (M27121) was collected from a stream located north and downslope of the above stream and it also returned a sub anomalous Zn result.

## 8. GRID PREPARATION

The existing grid (1988 IP survey and 1990 soil survey) was expanded northwestward by 2.9 linekilometres of cross lines. Flagged lines were run at $320^{\circ}$ using topofil and compass with slope corrected stations established every 25 metres and marked on tyvex tags. Lines were run concurrent with soil sampling.

The existing grid was expanded and subsequently soil sampled during the 1991 program in order to delineate the full extent of the existing zinc anomaly located near the northern margin of the grid (see Figure 4 for Grid Location). Line $1+00 \mathrm{~W}$ was extended 400 metres northwest (stopped 100 metres short because of steep cliffs) while lines $2+00 \mathrm{~W}$ to $4+00 \mathrm{~W}$ were extended 500 metres northwest. The existing cut grid lines ended at $22+00 \mathrm{~N}$. A one kilometre line ( $\mathrm{L} 5+00 \mathrm{~W}$ ) was added to the southwest $(16+00 \mathrm{~N}$ to $27+00 \mathrm{~N}$ ) to cover previous soil anomalies (1978 Riocanex) and to cover the possible upslope extension of an IP anomaly ( $\mathrm{L} 4+00 \mathrm{~W}, 16-17 \mathrm{~N}$ ).

## 9. SOIL GEOCHEMISTRY (Figure 8)

A total of 121 soil samples were collected and sent to Eco-Tech Laboratories Ltd. in Kamloops, B.C. and analysed for 29 elements by ICP (Ag, Al, As, B, Ba, Bi, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, La, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, $\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Zn}$ ) and gold by fire assay and atomic absorption. Samples were collected using a shovel from the ' B ' horizon, which generally occurred at a depth of $20-40$ centimetres. Quite often holes had to be dug 50-70 centimetres deep in order to penetrate the thick organic cover or talus. All soils were collected in Kraft bags and allowed to air dry before shipment to the lab. Three moss mats from local creeks were collected and also analysed for ICP and Au. Sample locations and zinc (ppm) results of the soils are shown on Figure 7. For a complete list of results see Appendix III-Certificates of Analysis. Analytical procedures are included in Appendix IV.

A statistical analysis of the rather small population (excluding the two $4000+$ zinc results - obvious outliers) produced a mean of 174 ppm Zn and a standard deviation of 253 ppm Zn . A threshold of $2 \times$ the standard deviation was used as the first contour ( $500-1000 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Zn}$ ). Subsequent contours of 1000-2000
ppm Zn and $\mathbf{> 2 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m ~} \mathrm{Zn}$ were visually estimated from the data. Toklat Resources 1990 Zn soil results (from $16+00 \mathrm{~N}$ to $22+00 \mathrm{~N}$ ) are plotted, using the same contour intervals, and along with the 1991 zinc soil results are shown on Figure 7. They were plotted in order to correlate the two years results and to see if the 1990 anomalies continued north and west (the two years of soils were analysed by the same laboratory, therefore correlation of results is possible).

## A. Results (Figure 8)

Soil geochemical results of the 1991 program did not significantly extend the large zinc soil anomaly outlined in 1990 northwesterly. A high contrast two sample Zn anomaly ( 4285 and 4959 ppm Zn ) was identified at line $4+00 \mathrm{~W}, 22+00 \mathrm{~N}$ to $22+25 \mathrm{~N}$. It is possible this could be an extension of the 1990 large $\mathbf{Z n}$ soil anomaly located to the southeast but correlation is speculative due to 100 metres of no samples from $21+00 \mathrm{~N}$ to $22+00 \mathrm{~N}$ along L $4+00 \mathrm{~W}$. This two sample anomaly correlates with the northwestern end of the large zinc soil anomaly outlined by Riocanex in 1978.

In addition, only two single point zinc anomlies were identified in the northwestern grid expansion area; a 1651 ppm Zn located at $\mathrm{L} 3+00 \mathrm{~W}, 22+75 \mathrm{~N}$ and a 802 ppm Zn located at $\mathrm{L} 5+00 \mathrm{~W}, 24+75 \mathrm{~N}$. No lead soil anomlies were identified in this area. A 1978 Riocanex $150 \times 50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{Zn}$ soil anomaly located at L $4+00 \mathrm{~W}, 23+00 \mathrm{~N}$ to $24+50 \mathrm{~N}$ was not duplicated.

Two anomalous Zn zones were identified along $\mathrm{L} 5+00 \mathrm{~W}$ from $16+00 \mathrm{~N}$ to $22+00 \mathrm{~N}$. The first is a three station weakly anomalous ( $600-1136 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Zn}$ ) zone located from $19+25 \mathrm{~N}$ to $19+75 \mathrm{~N}$. A 100 metre long zinc anomaly from $21+00 \mathrm{~N}$ to $22+00 \mathrm{~N}$ along L $5+00 \mathrm{~W}$ identified by Riocanex in 1978 was not duplicated.

The second anomalous area is from $16+50 \mathrm{~N}$ to $17+25 \mathrm{~N}$ along $\mathrm{L} 5+00 \mathrm{~W}$. The highest anomaly is 1198 ppm Zn at $17+25 \mathrm{~N}$ and correlates with the highest lead value returned in 1991 ( 110 ppm ). This zone can be traced northeasterly through L $4+00 \mathrm{~W}$ to $\mathrm{L} 3+00 \mathrm{~W}$ (using the 1990 soil results on L $3+00 \mathrm{~W}$ and $4+00 \mathrm{~W}$ ). This zone also correlates with an 1988 IP anomaly centered at $16+25 \mathrm{~N}$ along $\mathrm{L} 5+00 \mathrm{~W}$. The two weakly anomalous zones remain open upslope to the southwest. Prospecting in these areas did not uncover any mineralization and outcrop exposure was poor. Possible follow-up work could consist of extending the grid lines westward.

The erratic, and generally weak, Zn soil anomalies identified in 1991 could be caused by thin, discontinuous and poddy zinc mineralization.

## 10. CONCLUSION

Results from the 1991 program did not outline economic zinc mineralization.

Soil sampling of extensions to the existing grid effectively closed off the zinc anomaly outlined in 1990. The anomaly was found not to continue northwestward, with only sporadic, weak zinc anomalies found within the expanded grid area. The strongest geochemical response was a two point zinc anomaly which returned values of 4959 and 4285 ppm Zn respectively. These results correlate with the northwestern edge of a large 1978 zinc soil anomaly. The two point anomaly, along with the other weaker zinc anomalies, could be explained by the presence of weak, erractic zinc mineralization, common to the property area.


#### Abstract

A western soil grid line returned two weakly anomalous zinc zones. One of the zones correlates with a previously defined IP anomaly and both zones remain open upslope to the southwest. Possible follow-up could consist of extending the grid lines westward.

The results of the limited prospecting and mapping were inconclusive. No significant new mineralization was discovered during investigation of the four main IP anomalies and the favourable geological contact (C5-C6). Three moss mat samples collected during the course of this investigation returned subanomalous Zn results.


Three rock samples were collected from known $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Pb}$ showings and analysed for gallium and germanium. Again, no anomalous results were returned.
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APPENDIX I
Statement of Qualifications

1, Steve Jensen, do hereby certify that:

1) I am a geologist and have practised my profession for the past five years.
2) I graduated from University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia with a Bachelor of Sciences degree in Geology (1987).
3) I was actively involved in the Shag Property program and authored the report contained herein.
4) All data contained within this report and conclusions drawn from it are true and accurate to the best of my knowiedge.
5) I hold no personal interest, direct or indirect in the Shag Property which is the subject of this report.


Steve Jensen
Project Geologist
September, 1991

## APPENDIX II

Cost Statement

## SHAG PROPERTY

COST STATMENT

1. Soil sampling, prospecting and mapping (includes preparation, travel days, field plotting)
a) Steve Jensen (Geologist)

| 8 days @ $\$ 211.46 /$ day | $\$ 1,691.68$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Aug 1,8 ) Aug 2-7, 1991 |  |

b) Peter Procter (Geologist) 9 days @ \$181.25/day
(Aug 1, 8,10) Aug 2-7, 1991
c) Randy Farmer (Geologist) 1 day @ \$241.67/day 241.67
(Aug 1, 1991)
d) Chris Graf (Geological Engineer) 2 days © $\$ 250 /$ day 500.00 Aug 1,2, 1991
() Denotes non-field days

## Subtotal

$\$ 4,064.60$
2. Analytical $=$ Eco-Tech Lab, Kamloops, B.C.
a) Soil samples 121 @ \$12.61 each \$1,525.81 (29 el. ICP \& Au)
b) Moss mats 3 @ $\$ 17.37$ each 52.11 (29 el. ICP \& Au)
c) Geochemical gallium, germanium 3 © $\$ 8.33$ each 24.99
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { d) } 586 \text { Soil Samples/Rock Samples } \\ \text { Minen Labs } 1990 & 2,330.89\end{array}$
Subtotal
$\$ 3,933.80$
3. Helicopter $=$ Frontier Helicopters, Invermere, B.C.

| a) Aug 2, 1991 : $1 \mathrm{hr} @ \$ 635 / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fuel \& $\mathrm{Oil}=\$ 74.50$ |  |$\quad \$ 709.50$

4. Food and Accommodation
Groceries
$($ Aug 2-7, 1991) $\quad \$ 146.51$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { M) Motel \& meals } \\ \text { (Aug } 1,7,8) & 182.60\end{array}$
Subtotal $\$ 329.11$
5. Radio rental
a) 2 - Handheld IC-U16 radios

|  | $\$ 50.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\$ 50.00$ |

6. Report writing
a) Steve Jensen (Geologist)

2 days @ $\$ 211.46 /$ day

|  | $\$ 422.92$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subtotal | $\$ 422.92$ |

7. Drafting and Typing
a) Steve Jensen (Geologist) 1 day © $\$ 211.46 /$ day

|  | $\$ 211.46$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Subtotal | $\$ 211.46$ |
|  | $\$ 4,965.00$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 4,965.00$ |

APPENDIX III
Certificates of Analyses

## co-trch laboratorieg lid 10041 kAst trans camada mint. <br> RAMLOOPS, 日.C. V2C $2 \sqrt{3}$ <br> phonk - 604-573-5700 <br> FAX - 604-573-4557

## ugust 26, 199

alugs in pph unless otherwise rgportkd


| TECK EXPLorations eit 91-605 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ET* Description | AJ | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{G}$ | AL( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ | B | BA | BI | CA(t) | co |  |  |  | ) | $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{t})$ | LA | me( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | м | мо | ma(t) | HI | P | PB | sB | 8 N | SR | TI( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | 0 | $\checkmark$ | * | Y | ${ }^{\mathbf{N H}}$ |
| 27-L2 | < | <. 2 | 6.27 | 5 | 6 | 95 | <5 | 1.22 | $<1$ | 9 | 11 | 13 | 2.44 | . 02 | 20 | . 67 | 343 | <1 | <. 01 | 11 | 270 | 22 | 5 | $<20$ | 4 | . 07 | $<10$ | 14 | $<10$ | 11 | 49 |
| 28-52 w $24+508$ | <5 | $<.2$ | 5.70 | 10 | - | 60 | $<5$ | . 60 | <1 | 11 | 10 | - | 2.92 | . 01 | 20 | . 32 | 259 | <1 | <. 01 | 10 | 310 | 28 | <5 | $<20$ | 8 | . 08 | $<10$ | 11 | $<10$ | 10 | 69 |
| 29-L2 W 24 + 758 | <5 | <. 2 | . 83 | 10 | 4 | 20 | <5 | 6.68 | <1 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 1.11 | <. 01 | 10 | 3.72 | 511 | <1 | <. 01 | 3 | 570 | 8 | 10 | $<20$ | $<1$ | <. 01 | $<10$ | 2 | $<10$ | 4 | 47 |
| 30-L2 w 251 | < | $<.2$ | 4.30 | 10 | 6 | 65 | <5 | . 21 | $<1$ | 11 | 13 | 11 | 4.09 | . 02 | 10 | . 41 | 128 | <1 | . 01 | 15 | 280 | 34 | < | $<20$ | 5 | . 06 | $<10$ | 18 | $<10$ | <1 | 96 |
| 31-12w $25+258$ | < | $<.2$ | 3.88 | 5 | 4 | 60 | <5 | 2.12 | <1 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 2.87 | . 01 | 20 | 1.30 | 326 | <1 | <. 01 | 13 | 500 | 26 | 5 | $<20$ | <1 | . 04 | $<10$ | 12 | $<10$ | 13 | 77 |
| 32-52 w $25+50 \mathrm{~s}$ | <3 | <. 2 | 3.22 | 10 | 6 | 55 | <5 | 1.65 | <1 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 2.57 | . 02 | 20 | . 45 | 274 | <1 | $<.01$ | 11 | 330 | 20 | 5 | $<20$ | 18 | . 04 | $<10$ | 10 | $<10$ | 7 | 77 |
| 33-12 * $25+75 \mathrm{~K}$ | < | $<.2$ | . 80 | 15 | 4 | 20 | $<5$ | 4.90 | <1 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 1.31 | $<.01$ | 10 | 2.96 | 683 | $<1$ | <. 01 | 6 | 390 | 10 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | <. 01 | $<20$ | 5 | $<20$ | 5 | 41 |
| 34-12 M $26 \times$ | <5 | $<.2$ | . 33 | 5 | 4 | $<5$ | <5 | 10.17 | <1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | . 72 | $<.01$ | <10 | 6.18 | 268 | <1 | <. 01 | 1 | 340 | 2 | 15 | $<20$ | <1 | $<.01$ | $<10$ | 1 | $<10$ | 1 | 32 |
| 35-12 w $26+25 \mathrm{~m}$ | <5 | $<.2$ | 1.78 | 45 | 4 | 30 | <5 | 2.85 | <1 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 3.20 | . 01 | 10 | 2.14 | 378 | <1 | $<.01$ | 11 | 420 | 28 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | $<.01$ | $<10$ | 16 | $<10$ | $<1$ | 84 |
| $36-12 \times 26+50 M$ | < | $<.2$ | . 70 | 10 | 6 | 20 | $<5$ | 5.29 | $<1$ | 4 | 5 | 7 | 1.27 | . 01 | 10 | 2.71 | 357 | <1 | <. 01 | 5 | 460 | 10 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | $<.01$ | $<10$ | 3 | $<10$ | 5 | 43 |
| 37-12 W $26+75 \times$ | < 5 | $<.2$ | 1.64 | 25 | 4 | 25 | $<5$ | 3.63 | $<1$ | 9 | 11 | 7 | 2.69 | . 01 | 20 | 2.37 | 617 | <1 | $<.01$ | 11 | 400 | 22 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | $<.01$ | $<10$ | 14 | $<10$ | B | 57 |
| 38-52 \# $27 \%$ | <5 | $<.2$ | 2.46 | 20 | 4 | 45 | < | 2.33 | <1 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 3.47 | . 02 | 20 | 1.90 | 314 | $<1$ | $<.01$ | 16 | 480 | 38 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | $<.01$ | $<10$ | 17 | $<10$ | 3 | 57 |
| 39-13 w $22+50 \mathrm{~m}$ | <5 | $<.2$ | 2.39 | 10 | $<2$ | 55 | $<5$ | . 32 | <1 | B | 13 | 9 | 2.65 | . 02 | 10 | . 47 | 101 | <1 | . 01 | 4 | 210 | 26 | <5 | $<20$ | 6 | . 02 | <10 | 30 | $<10$ | $<1$ | 710 |
| 40-13 \| $22+75$ | < | <. 2 | 1.71 | 10 | 6 | 35 | <5 | 4.95 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 27 | 1.70 | . 01 | 10 | 2.31 | 972 | <1 | <. 01 | 4 | 520 | 18 | 15 | $<20$ | <1 | . 03 | $<10$ | 5 | $<10$ | 6 | 1651 |
| $41-23$ m $23+008$ | <5 | <.2 | 4.30 | <5 | 2 | 30 | <5 | . 60 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 2.46 | . 02 | 10 | . 48 | 59 | <1 | <. 01 | 4 | 250 | 26 | 5 | $<20$ | 5 | . 10 | $<10$ | 18 | $<10$ | 5 | 420 |
| 42- 23 w $23+258$ | $<5$ | <. 2 | 1.50 | 30 | 4 | 30 | <5 | . 31 | $<1$ | 7 | 9 | 13 | 2.24 | . 01 | 10 | . 56 | 83 | <1 | <. 01 | 5 | 400 | 38 | 5 | $<20$ | 5 | . 01 | $<10$ | 14 | $<10$ | 1 | 150 |
| $43-23$ m $23+508$ | <5 | <. 2 | 1.84 | 10 | 6 | 50 | <5 | 5.35 | $<1$ | 10 | 11 | 10 | 2.47 | . 02 | 20 | 3.48 | 627 | <1 | <. 01 | 7 | 420 | 16 | 15 | $<20$ | <1 | . 01 | $<10$ | 11 | $<10$ | 9 | 84 |
| $44-13$ w $23+758$ | <5 | <. 2 | 4.48 | 5 | 6 | 100 | $<5$ | . 69 | <1 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 3.61 | . 03 | 20 | . 59 | 201 | 1 | $<.01$ | 12 | 370 | 36 | 5 | $<20$ | 8 | . 04 | $<10$ | 20 | $\leqslant 10$ | 7 | 100 |
| 45-23 W $24+008$ | $<5$ | <.2 | 4.24 | < 5 | 6 | 70 | < 5 | . 56 | <1 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 2.99 | . 02 | 20 | . 48 | 294 | <1 | . 01 | 9 | 420 | 26 | 5 | $<20$ | 10 | . 05 | $<10$ | 15 | $<10$ | 8 | 82 |
| 46-L3 w $24+25$ M | <5 | $<$ | 3.90 | <5 | 6 | 80 | < 5 | 2.38 | <1 | 11 | 17 | 10 | 3.14 | . 06 | 20 | 1.46 | 244 | $<1$ | <. 01 | 12 | 350 | 28 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | . 04 | $<10$ | 18 | $<10$ | 6 | 116 |
| $47-13$ w $24+508$ | < 5 | <. 2 | 5.37 | 5 | 4 | 55 | <5 | . 46 | <1 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 3.05 | <. 01 | 10 | . 49 | 216 | <1 | <. 01 | - | 310 | 28 | <5 | $<20$ | 4 | . 06 | $<10$ | 12 | $<10$ | 6 | 75 |
| $48-13$ w $24+758$ | < 5 | <. 2 | 3.52 | 10 | 2 | 60 | $<5$ | . 31 | <1 | , | 10 | 13 | 2.71 | . 01 | 10 | . 35 | 1324 | <1 | $<.01$ | 4 | 610 | 24 | 5 | $<20$ | 7 | . 07 | $<10$ | 16 | $<10$ | - | 117 |
| 49-23 w 25 + 008 | $<5$ | <.2 | 2.48 | 20 | 6 | 65 | <5 | . 54 | $<1$ | 13 | 13 | 12 | 3.76 | . 02 | 30 | . 46 | 380 | $<1$ | <. 01 | 13 | 1050 | 32 | 5 | $<20$ | 10 | . 02 | $<10$ | 17 | $<10$ | 14 | 105 |
| 50-13 w $25+258$ | <5 | <. 2 | 2.39 | 10 | 2 | 70 | < 5 | . 54 | $<1$ | 13 | 13 | 11 | 3.80 | . 02 | 20 | . 56 | 282 | $<1$ | <. 01 | 15 | 400 | 38 | 5 | $<20$ | 6 | . 01 | $<10$ | 18 | $<10$ | 3 | 105 |
| 51-23 w $25+508$ | <5 | <.2 | 2.56 | 15 | 4 | 80 | <5 | 1.75 | <1 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 4.01 | . 04 | 30 | 1.38 | 836 | <1 | <. 01 | 17 | 680 | 32 | 5 | $<20$ | 3 | . 01 | $<10$ | 15 | $<10$ | 11 | 145 |
| $52-23$ w $25+758$ | <5 | <. 2 | 1.62 | 15 | 4 | 40 | <5 | 3.61 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 2.66 | . 01 | 20 | 1.83 | 845 | <1 | <. 01 | 7 | 600 | 28 | 20 | $<20$ | <1 | . 01 | $<10$ | 11 | $<10$ | 9 | 203 |
| 53-1.3 w $26+008$ | <5 | <. 2 | 3.01 | 30 | 4 | 65 | < 5 | 2.12 | <1 | 14 | 18 | 18 | 3.73 | . 03 | 30 | 1.63 | 808 | 1 | $<.01$ | 14 | 440 | 34 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | . 01 | $<10$ | 32 | $<10$ | 5 | 81 |
| 54-23 \% $26+258$ | $<5$ | <. 2 | 3.82 | < 5 | 6 | 60 | <5 | 3.71 | <1 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 2.39 | . 03 | 20 | 2.09 | 426 | <1 | $<.01$ | 6 | 290 | 24 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | . 06 | $<10$ | 11 | $<10$ | 11 | 51 |
| 55-1.3 w $26+508$ | <5 | <. 2 | .73 | <5 | 2 | 10 | <5 | 7.01 | <1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | . 86 | $<.01$ | 10 | 3.97 | 533 | $<1$ | <. 01 | $<1$ | 280 | B | 15 | $<20$ | $<1$ | $<.01$ | $<10$ | 4 | $<10$ | 5 | 64 |
| 56-13 w $26+758$ | < | <. 2 | 4.36 | 5 | 4 | 70 | < 5 | . 99 | <1 | 12 | 17 | 13 | 3.67 | . 03 | 20 | . 96 | 207 | <1 | $<.01$ | 14 | 270 | 40 | 5 | $<20$ | 4 | . 05 | $<10$ | 24 | $<10$ | 4 | 56 |
| $57-23 \times 27+009$ | <3 | <. 2 | 3.68 | 5 | 2 | 70 | <5 | 2.12 | <1 | 12 | 17 | 10 | 3.48 | . 03 | 20 | 1.61 | 282 | <1 | <.01 | 12 | 310 | 34 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | . 03 | $<10$ | 19 | $<10$ | 5 | 60 |
| $58-14 \times 22+008$ | <5 | <. 2 | 1.89 | 10 | 6 | 30 | <5 | 4.49 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 27 | 1.73 | . 01 | 10 | 1.92 | 453 | $<1$ | <. 01 | 4 | 430 | 16 | 10 | $<20$ | 3 | . 02 | $<10$ | 4 | $<10$ | 7 | 4285 |
| 59-54 \% $22+258$ | <5 | < 2 | 2.94 | <5 | 6 | 30 | < 5 | 3.06 | 12 |  | 7 | 28 | 1.62 | . 01 | 10 | . 63 | 885 | $<1$ | $<.01$ | 4 | 450 | 12 | 5 | $<20$ | 16 | . 06 | $<10$ | 3 | $<10$ | 13 | 4959 |
| $60-54 \times 22+50 \mathrm{~m}$ | <5 | <.2 | 2.79 | 15 | 2 | 40 | <5 | 2.46 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 3.33 | . 02 | 20 | 1.58 | 508 | <1 | <. 01 | 12 | 290 | 24 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | . 02 | $<10$ | 13 | $<10$ | 7 | 326 |
| $61-14{ }^{\text {w }} 22+758$ | <5 | <.2 | 2.94 | 15 | 2 | 30 | <5 | 1.68 | 1 | 8 | 9 | . 7 | 2.46 | . 01 | 10 | . 66 | 505 | <1 | $<.01$ | 4 | 320 | 20 | 10 | $<20$ | 4 | . 05 | $<10$ | 11 | $<10$ | 7 | 179 |
| $62-54 \times 23+0018$ | $<5$ | <.2 | 1.91 | <5 | B | 30 | $<5$ | 4.56 | <1 | 4 | 7 | 20 | 1.43 | . 01 | 10 | 1.74 | 398 | $<1$ | $<.01$ | 1 | 490 | 14 | 10 | $<20$ | 7 | . 04 | $<10$ | 2 | $<10$ | 9 | 182 |
| $63-54$ w $23+50 \mathrm{M}$ | $<5$ | <. 2 | 2.55 | so | 4 | 25 | < 5 | 1.12 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 23 | 3.70 | $<.01$ | 20 | . 46 | 579 | 2 | $<.01$ | 8 | 410 | 34 | 5 | $<20$ | 6 | . 04 | <10 | 23 | $<10$ | 2 | 159 |



| teck explorntions eix 91-605 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ET* DE | cripipiow | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | $\boldsymbol{n}$ |  | as | 8 | m | bI | CA(t) | co |  | CR | cu | FE(8) | K(t) | L4 | $\boldsymbol{\mu G}(\mathrm{l})$ | MN | \% | Na(t) | NI | P | PB | 6B | SN | sR | TI( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | U | $v$ | * | Y | 2N |
| 101 - I 5 | $22+008$ | <5 | <. 2 | 4.39 | <3 | 2 | 75 | < 5 | . 15 | $<1$ | 17 | 10 | 15 | 3.50 | . 02 | 20 | . 34 | 142 | <1 | . 01 | 18 | 430 | 22 | < 5 | $<20$ | 9 | . 05 | $<10$ | 11 | $<10$ | 10 | 80 |
| 102-L5 | 22 + 258 | < | <. 2 | 1.56 | 10 | $<2$ | so | $<5$ | . 14 | <1 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 3.58 | . 03 | 20 | . 28 | 107 | <1 | $<.01$ | 8 | 430 | 16 | <5 | $<20$ | 4 | $<.01$ | <10 | 20 | $<10$ | $<1$ | 62 |
| 103 - L 5 | $22+508$ | < 5 | <. 2 | 1.96 | <5 | $c 2$ | 70 | <5 | . 10 | $<1$ | 5 | 7 | 6 | 2.80 | . 02 | 10 | . 26 | 165 | <1 | . 01 | 4 | 360 | 18 | <5 | $<20$ | 5 | . 01 | $<10$ | 22 | $<10$ | <1 | 371 |
| 104-L5 | 22 + 75M | <5 | <. 2 | 3.33 | <5 | 2 | 75 | < 5 | . 09 | <1 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 3.81 | . 03 | 10 | .33 | 87 | <1 | . 01 | 13 | 510 | 36 | <5 | $<20$ | 5 | . 02 | $<10$ | 11 | $<10$ | $<1$ | 96 |
| 105-I 5 | $23+008$ | <5 | $<.2$ | 2.57 | 5 | $<2$ | 60 | $<5$ | . 05 | <1 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 3.90 | . 01 | 20 | . 33 | 109 | <1 | $<.01$ | 12 | 400 | 26 | 5 | $<20$ | 3 | . 01 | $<10$ | 17 | $<10$ | $<1$ | 69 |
| 106 - L 5 | 23 + 25N | <5 | <. 2 | 1.25 | 25 | $<2$ | 30 | $<5$ | . 05 | $<1$ | 15 | 10 | 24 | 4.84 | . 01 | 30 | . 35 | 117 | <1 | $<.01$ | 15 | 230 | 18 | <5 | $<20$ | 3 | $<.01$ | $<10$ | 16 | $<10$ | <1 | 76 |
| 107 - L 5 | 23 + 508 | $<5$ | <. 2 | 2.22 | 15 | $<2$ | 60 | <5 | . 31 | <1 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 4.35 | . 01 | 20 | . 30 | 150 | <1 | 4.01 | 11 | 270 | 30 | < 5 | $<20$ | 8 | $<.01$ | $<10$ | 17 | $<10$ | 3 | 248 |
| 108 - L 5 | 23+75m | <5 | <. 2 | 1.12 | 10 | 6 | 50 | <5 | 3.29 | <1 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 1.78 | <.01 | 10 | 1.27 | 1616 | <1 | $<.01$ | 7 | 800 | 14 | 5 | $<20$ | <1 | <. 01 | $<10$ | 6 | $<10$ | B | 72 |
| 109 - L 5 | 24 + 008 | $<5$ | $<.2$ | 1.15 | 75 | 6 | 25 | $<5$ | 5.02 | $<1$ | 6 | 4 | 9 | 2.01 | $<.01$ | 10 | 3.78 | 497 | <1 | $<.01$ | 2 | 670 | 38 | 10 | $<20$ | $<1$ | . 01 | $<10$ | 6 | $<10$ | 2 | 31 |
| $110-15$ | 24+25m | $<5$ | <. 2 | . 34 | 25 | 4 | <5 | <5 | 6.05 | <1 | 2 | <1 | 4 | . 68 | <.01 | $<10$ | 3.69 | 327 | <1 | $<.01$ | $<1$ | 250 | 10 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | $<.01$ | $<10$ | 2 | $<10$ | <1 | 26 |
| 111 - L 5 | $24+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | <5 | <. 2 | 2.61 | 85 | 6 | 75 | <5 | 2.51 | <1 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 3.81 | . 02 | 30 | 2.90 | 954 | 1 | $<.01$ | 12 | 370 | 58 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | . 01 | $<10$ | 17 | $<10$ | 2 | 129 |
| 112 - 15 | $24+758$ | <5 | <. 2 | 2.37 | 55 | 6 | 65 | $<5$ | 4.10 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 3.02 | . 01 | 20 | 3.75 | 1127 | 1 | $<.01$ | 11 | 320 | 32 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | . 01 | $<10$ | 15 | $<10$ | 2 | 802 |
| 113-5 5 | $25+008$ | <5 | $<.2$ | 4.01 | 20 | 4 | 55 | < 5 | 1.77 | $<1$ | 13 | 17 | 14 | 3.38 | . 03 | 40 | 1.87 | 660 | 1 | $<.01$ | 13 | 340 | 34 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | . 04 | $<10$ | 16 | $<10$ | 13 | 73 |
| 114 - I 5 | $25+2518$ | <5 | < 2 | 1.30 | 20 | 6 | 45 | $<5$ | 5.52 | $<1$ | 6 | 7 | 11 | 1.76 | . 01 | 10 | 3.31 | 1113 | <1 | $<.01$ | 3 | 440 | 20 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | . 01 | $<10$ | 6 | <10 | 3 | 36 |
| 115-15 | $25+50 \mathrm{~N}$ | <5 | < 2 | 1.31 | 15 | 6 | 40 | <5 | 6.27 | $<1$ | 6 | 8 | 11 | 1.66 | . 01 | 10 | 3.67 | 654 | <1 | $<.01$ | 2 | 540 | 16 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | . 01 | $<10$ | 8 | $<10$ | 4 | 30 |
| 116 - I 51 | $25+75 \mathrm{~B}$ | $<5$ | <. 2 | 2.65 | 40 | 8 | 70 | $<5$ | 2.04 | $<1$ | 11 | 17 | 12 | 3.48 | . 04 | 20 | 2.08 | 1188 | 1 | $<.01$ | 14 | 360 | 36 | 15 | $<20$ | <1 | . 02 | $<10$ | 23 | $<10$ | 3 | 50 |
| 127 - I 51 | $26+001$ | $<5$ | $<.2$ | 4.92 | 5 | 8 | 50 | <5 | . 44 | $<1$ | 14 | 17 | 9 | 3.62 | . 03 | 30 | . 93 | 200 | 1 | . 01 | 13 | 290 | 34 | 5 | $<20$ | 8 | . 07 | <10 | 17 | $<10$ | 12 | 37 |
| 118 - It 5v | 26 + 258 | $<5$ | $<.2$ | 3.75 | 35 | 8 | 65 | <5 | . 49 | $<1$ | 14 | 20 | 9 | 4.00 | . 03 | 20 | 1.07 | 202 | 1 | $<.01$ | 17 | 280 | 32 | 5 | $<20$ | 6 | . 04 | $<10$ | 21 | $<10$ | 3 | 46 |
| 119-5 51 | $26+50 \%$ | <5 | $<.2$ | 4.53 | <5 | 4 | 55 | <5 | 1.38 | $\leqslant 1$ | 9 | 11 | 14 | 2.84 | . 03 | 20 | . 69 | 194 | <1 | $<.01$ | 7 | 310 | 28 | 5 | $<20$ | 10 | . 10 | $<10$ | 11 | $<10$ | 12 | 34 |
| $120-\mathrm{L}$ 5v | $26+75 \mathrm{~N}$ | <5 | $<.2$ | 2.47 | 75 | 4 | 55 | <5 | 2.83 | $<1$ | 12 | 13 | 12 | 3.49 | <. 01 | 30 | 1.84 | 687 | 1 | $<.01$ | 10 | 380 | 34 | 10 | 20 | <1 | . 03 | $<10$ | 13 | $<10$ | 8 | 40 |
| 121-I 5v | $27+00 \mathrm{~N}$ | $<5$ | $<.2$ | 2.62 | 40 | 6 | 60 | $<5$ | 2.99 | $<1$ | 13 | 16 | 16 | 3.31 | . 03 | 20 | 2.15 | 2334 | 1 | $<.01$ | 11 | 590 | 24 | 10 | $<20$ | <1 | . 03 | $<10$ | 22 | $<10$ | 7 | 45 |

HOTE: < = Less Than

# ECD-TECH LABORATORIES LTD. 

ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy., Kamloops, B.C. V2C 2 J 3 (604) 573-5700 Fax 573-4557

## SEPTEMBER 9, 1991

CERTIFICATE OF ASSAY ETR 91-607

## 

```
TECR EXPLORATION LTD.
960 - 175 2nd. AVE.
RAMLOOPS, B.C.
v2c 5Wl
ATTENTION: FRED DALEY
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION: 3 ROCK SAMPLES RECEIVED AUGUST 9, 1991
----------------------PROJECT: 021 - SHAG PROPERTY
```



```
NOTE: < = LESS THAN
```



ECO-TECH LABORATORIES LTD Perero-tech laporator B.C. CERTIFIED ASSAYER

SC9 1/TECK2

## ECO-TECH LABORATORIES LTD.

TECK EXPLORATIONS LTD.- EIK 91-606

AUGUST 27, 1991
VALUES IN PPM UNLESS OTHERUISE REPORTED
960, 175 SECOND avenue
KAMLOOPS, B.C.
V2C SWI
ATIENIION: FRED DALEY

PROJECT NUMBER: O21- SHAG PROPERTY
3 MOSS MAT SAMPLES RECEIVED AUGUST 9, 1991

| ETI | DESCRIPIION | AU( ppb ) |  | AL (\%) | AS | B | BA |  | A( 8 ) | CD | CO | CR |  | E( 1 ) | $k(x)$ |  | $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{y})$ | M | MO NA( s ) | NI | P | PB | SB | SN | SR | II ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | U | V | W | Y | IN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-1427119 | 15 | 1.2 | . 63 | $(5$ | 8 | 25 | (5 | 4.23 | 1 | 6 | $(1$ | 11 | 2.21 | . 03 | 10 | 1.78 | 435 | 118.01 | 11 | 650 | 22 | 5 | <20 | 12 | (.01 | 10 | 3 | 110 | 10 | 43 |
|  | 2- H 27120 | 5 | <.2 | 2.21 | 15 | 6 | 30 | < 5 | 1.61 | 11 | 13 | 4 | 16 | 4.73 | . 06 | 10 | 1.65 | 541 | 111.01 | 12 | 890 | 22 | 5 | (20 | 17 | (.01 | 10 | 5 | (10 | 13 | 59 |
|  | 3-M 27121 | 5 | 1.2 | . 39 | ( 5 | 8 | 20 | 15 | 5.81 | (1) | 1 | 11 | 8 | . 75 | . 02 | (10 | 2.66 | 524 | 111.01 | 11 | 460 | 20 | 5 | 120 | 3 | 1.01 | 10 | 2 | (10 | 5 | 33 |



ECO-TECH LABQRTTORIES LTD.
CLINTON AYERS
LABORAIORY MANAGER
apenoxv
anancat Poosesures


# ECO-TECH LABORATORIEB LTD. 

ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy., Kamioops, B.C. V2C 2ل3 (604) 573-5700 Fax $573-4837$

GEOCHEMICAL LABORATORY METHODS

## SAMPLEPRREARATION (STANDARD)

1. Soil or Sediment: Samples are dried and then sieved through 80 mesh sieves.
2. Rock, Core: Samples dried (if necessary), crushed, riffled to pulp gize and pulverized to approximately -140 mesh.
3. Humus/Vegetation: The dry sample is ashed at 550 C. for 5 hours.

## MRTHODS OF ANALYSIS

All methods have either canmet certified or in-house standards carried through entire procedure to ensure validity of results.

1. MULTL ETPEMENT ANALYSES
(a) ICP Packages (6,12,30 element).
Digestion Finish

Hot Aqua Regin ICP
(b) ICP - Total Digestion (24 element).


Hot HC1O4/HNO3/HF ICP
(c) Atomic Absorption (Acid Soluble) Ag*, $\mathrm{Cd}^{*}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Co}$, $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Pb}$, $\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{Ni}$, Zn .

Digestion Finish
-------
Hot Aqua Regia
(d) Whole Rock Analyses.

Lithium Metaborate
fusion

```
Digestion
```

```
Digestion
```

fusion

ICP
Finish
------ICP

Atomic Absorption * = Background corrected


## ECO-TECH LABORATORIEB LTD,

ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy., Kamloops, B.C. V2C $2 \mathrm{L3}$ (604) 573.6700 Fax $573-4887$
2. Antimony

Digestion

Hot aqua regia
3. Arsenic

Digestion
4. Barium
5. Beryllium

Digestion
---------
Hot aqua regia
6. Bismuth

Digestion

Hot aqua regia
7. Chromium

Digestion

Sodium Peroxide Fusion
8. Flourine

> Digestion

Lithium Metaborate Fusion
3. Arsenic

Hot aqua regia

Digestion

Lithium Metaborate
---------
-----.---

## Finish

-------
ICP

Finish
-------

## ICP

Hydride generation - A.A.S.

Finish

Atomic Absorption

Finish
-------
Atomic Absorption (Background Corrected)

## Finish

-------
Atomic Absorption

## Finish

Ion Selective Electrode


## ECD-TECH LABORATORIEE LTD.

ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy., Kamloops, B.C. V2C 2J3 (604) $673-5700$ Fax 573-4667
9. Gallium

## Digestion

Hot HCl O4/HNO3/HF
10. Germanium

## Digestion

Hot HClO4/HNO3/HF
11. Mercury

Digestion
Hot aqua regia
12. Phosphorus

Digestion

Lithium Metaborate Fusion
13. Selenium

Digestion

Hot aqua regia
14. Tollurium

Finish


Hot aqua regia Potassium Bisulphate Fusion
Digestion
-------

Finish
------
Atomic Absorption

## Finish

Atomic Absorption

Finish
-------
Cold vapor generation A.A.S.

Finish


ICP finish

Finish
-------
Hydride generation A.A. 3 .

Hydride generation - A.A.S. Colorimetric or I.C.P.



