

LOG NO:	FEB 0 11 1992	RD.
ACTION:		
FILE NO:		

**REPORT ON GEOLOGY
AND GEOCHEMISTRY
of the
FIN CLAIMS**

Latitude: 57°35'N
Longitude: 126°15'W
NTS: 94E 9E/9W
Mining Division: Omineca

Owners:
Major General Resources Ltd.
1000 - 900 West Hastings St.
Vancouver, B.C.
V6C 1E5

Operators:
Kennecott Canada Inc.
#138 - 200 Granville Street
Granville Square
Vancouver, B.C.
V6C 1S4

SUB-RECORDER
RECEIVED
JAN 19 1992
M.N. #
VANCOUVER, B.C.

**GEOLOGICAL BRANCH
ASSESSMENT REPORT**

22,768

Prepared by: K.M. Curtis

November, 1992

**REPORT ON GEOLOGY AND GEOCHEMISTRY
of the
FIN CLAIMS**

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 LOCATION, ACCESS AND PHYSIOGRAPHY	1
3.0 CLAIM DATA.	3
4.0 PROPERTY HISTORY	3
5.0 REGIONAL GEOLOGY	5
6.0 PROPERTY GEOLOGY	5
6.1 Mineralization	6
7.0 GEOCHEMISTRY	6
7.1 Soil Geochemistry	7
7.1.1 Discussion	7
7.2 Trace Element Geochemistry	7
7.2.1 Discussion	7
8.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	8
REFERENCES	9
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS	10

**REPORT ON GEOLOGY AND GEOCHEMISTRY
of the
FIN 1-3 CLAIMS**

List of Figures

	<u>Page</u>
In Text	
Figure 1 Property Location	2
Figure 2 Claim Location, Regional Geology and Mineral Occurrences	4
In Sleeve	
Figure 3 Fin Claim-Geology and Geochemistry (1:10000)	In Sleeve

List of Appendices

APPENDIX 1	STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES
APPENDIX 2	ANALYTICAL RESULTS
	2A - Soil Geochemistry
	2B - Rock Geochemistry
APPENDIX 3	ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents geological and geochemical data collected on the Fin claims, located in the Omineca Mining Division, during the period of July 3 to July 6, 1992.

Working from a helicopter-supported fly camp, the 1992 program focused on reconnaissance mapping and geochemistry in and around areas of previously determined soil anomalies. These areas were however restricted to locations accessible by foot from the base camp or by availability of helicopter transportation to higher elevations. The goal of the 1992 program was to identify and sample stratigraphy prospective for stratiform lead-zinc mineralization.

During the course of mapping a total of 4 rock chip samples were collected in conjunction with 38 contour soil samples- collected on 50m or 100m intervals parallel to established strike of units.


Mapping, geochemical sampling and project supervision were carried out by Kennecott personnel (K.Curtis, H.Smit) and included consulting geologist D.L. Craig and his assistant. Helicopter transportation and logistical support was provided by Pacific Western Helicopters located at a temporary base at the Finbow Airstrip.

2.0 LOCATION, ACCESS AND PHYSIOGRAPHY (Figures 1 and 2)

The Fin claims are located some 350 km northwest of the community of Mackenzie B.C. within the relatively remote Omineca Mountain ranges-west of the Rocky Mountain Trench. Four wheel drive access to the region was provided via the Omineca Mining Road and Finlay Forest Service access roads to the Finbow Logging camp where helicopter transportation to the claims was provided. The total one-way flight to the property approximated 40 kms.

The Fin claims are situated on, and about, the northeastern slopes of Cutoff Creek which is itself an eastward draining tributary of the Finlay River. The claims cover portions of mineral claim maps 94E 9E and 94E 9W. Topographic elevations range from 1,000m to a high point of 1,800m.

The Fin claim is located on the relatively dry, south facing slope of Mount Finlay. The claim covers all vegetation zones from the open pine forest in the lower valley to the alpine scrub on the ridge tops. Approximately fifty percent of the claim is situated above the treeline of 1,100m.



© Kennecott Canada Inc.

FIGURE 1
FIN
CLAIMS

LOCATION MAP

October 1992

Drawn by: MJD

3.0 CLAIM DATA

The Fin claim comprises one modified grid mineral claim, totalling 15 units (Figure 2). The claim falls under the jurisdiction of the Omineca Mining Division.

The following is a table of pertinent data regarding the claim.

<u>Claim</u>	<u>Record No.</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Expiry</u>
Fin	307801	15	March 6, 1996

4.0 PROPERTY HISTORY

The Finlay River District has been sporadically prospected over the past seventy years. While many mineral occurrences have been documented, none have ever reached an advanced or production stage. In general the area has been bypassed by travellers both to and from the Toodogone gold and Gataga Pb-Zn camps. Several companies (UMEX and Serem) are known to have initiated regional reconnaissance programs in the area during the 1970's and early 1980's, however, this data is not publicly available.

The first recorded activity in the area of the Fin claims was a program of soil sampling and rock geochemistry over the present claim submitted for assessment by UMEX Inc. in 1981. This program outlined a significant coincident Pb-Zn-Cu soil anomaly over a one kilometre strike. (Felder, 1981). No further work is recorded after this date.


The Fin claims were staked in March 1992 by Major General Resources Inc. of Vancouver, B.C. under agreement with Kennecott Canada Inc.

5.0 REGIONAL GEOLOGY (Figure 2)

The Fin claims are situated within the Omineca morphogeological belt of the Cordilleran orogen.

The geology to the northwest of the northern Rocky Mountain Trench, in the region of the claims, is assigned to the Cassiar Terrane, part of the displaced and metamorphosed continental margin from ancestral North America, which spans the Proterozoic to Paleozoic time.

Regional strata proximal to the Fin claims has further been divided into Ingenika Group; which spans the upper Proterozoic or Hadrynian period; and the overlying Boya Formation, Rosella Formation and Kechika group which includes all Paleozoic strata in the region. All divisions are dominated by sedimentary derived sequences.



PELLY FAULT

94E/15W 94E/16E
94E/9W 94E/9E

PROTEROZOIC
LOWER CAMBRIAN
SEDIMENTS

RUBY CREEK
(Cu)

EARN CLAIMS

ERN
Zn(Pb,Cu,Ag)

FIN CLAIMS

FINLAY

PROTEROZOIC
LOWER CAMBRIAN
SEDIMENTS

KEC
(Cu, Ba)

RIVER

PELLY FAULT

RPM
Cu, Pb
(Ag, Zn, Au)

DOLLY
Pb, Zn, Cu

BOWER CLAIMS

94E/9E
94E/8E

94E/9W
94E/8W

PINE
(Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag)

BOW CLAIMS

RICH
Cu, Pb, Zn

LEGEND

PALEOZOIC
KECHIKA GROUP
CAMBRIAN-ORDOVICIAN

BOYA, ROSELLA FORMATIONS—LOWER CAMBRIAN
CARBONATES AND QUARTZITES

● MINERAL OCCURRENCE



© Kennecott Canada Inc.

FIGURE 2
FIN CLAIMS
REGIONAL GEOLOGY
AND
MINERAL OCCURRENCES
OMINECA M.D., B.C.

October 1992
DRAWN BY: MJD FINSTAKE

Geology after Gabrielse, 1978

The Proterozoic Ingenika Group includes four distinct formations, in ascending order these are; Swannell, Tsaydiz, Espee and Stelkuz Formations (Gabrielse and Mansy, 1978). The lower three formations comprise a greater than 2,100m thick sequence of argillite and quartzite, grey phyllites, and grey limestone, respectively. The uppermost Stelkuz Formation comprises a 300 - 1,500m thick series of varicoloured lithologies which include distinctive green and maroon pelites, siltstone, limestone and sandstones. This succession reflects the shallowing conditions of sedimentation perhaps along a prograding continental margin during the uppermost Proterozoic time. (Gabrielse and Campbell, 1991).

The lower Cambrian Boya Formation is described as a 300 - 1,000m thick sequence of quartzite and siltstone. At the base of this succession a minor disconformity is tentatively considered as the Precambrian-Cambrian boundary (Fritz, W.H. et al, 1991).

The overlying (Cambrian) Rosella Formation comprises an up to 700m thick succession of limey siltstones and interbedded limestone, and light grey to white limestone with archeocyathids. The contact between the Rosella and overlying Kechika Group is seen as a fault contact (Fritz, W.H. et al, 1991)

The Cambro-Ordovician Kechika Group forms an upwards succession of phyllitic limestone, calcareous shale, limestone, and phyllite within the region (Gabrielse, O.F. 483). Total thickness of the unit is unknown due an eroded an upper contact. Sections of the Kechika Group are inferred to be time equivalents to the Ordovician Road River Formation, host to the Howards Pass Pb-Zn deposits.

Regional structure is dominated by a major south plunging anticlinorium with Cambrian and upper Proterozoic rocks in the core (Gabrielse,H. et al, 1976). Westward verging, refolded, isoclinal folds form a second phase of deformation in the area. Regional faulting is northwest directed, generally parallel to regional topographic lows, and of significant normal and reverse motions.

Metamorphic grade in the area achieves lower greenschist assemblages with one or more associated foliations.

6.0 PROPERTY GEOLOGY (Figure 3)

As previously stated mapping and sampling on the Fin claim was limited several helicopter supported traverses with the remainder of work completed from the base camp by foot. All reconnaissance mapping was performed at 1:10,000 scale.

The Fin claim is underlain by a regionally westward facing, near vertically dipping stratigraphic assemblage which includes equivalents of the Stelkuz and

7.1 Soil Geochemistry (Figure 3)

Contour soil geochemistry was selected as a prospecting tool within lower topographic areas of the property where shales were identified.

A total of 38 soil samples were collected during the program. Samples were taken from the B-horizon or talus fines at 50m and 100m intervals on contour lines were established with an altimeter. Sample areas were selected after stratigraphic correlations were established in the field.

7.1.1 Discussion

Contour soil sampling returned values for lead and zinc and associated pathfinder elements below anomalous levels and generally consistent with background levels.

Geochemical anomalies outlined by UMEX Inc. during 1981 are attributed to bedding parallel quartz vein hosted Cu-Pb-Zn mineralization as seen at rock sample locations FKG-001,002 and 003, (described in Section 7.2) which are located above treeline in an area of good bedrock exposure.

7.2 Rock Geochemistry (Figure 3)

A total of 4 rock samples were collected from the Fin claim.

Rock chip sampling of several showings on the Fin claim was performed. Sample locations and associated lithologies with analytical results are provided in Figure 3. All rock samples were sent to Eco-Tech Labs of Kamloops, B.C. for 12 element ICP analysis. A summary of analytical techniques and complete analytical results are provided in Appendices.

7.2.1 Discussion

Of the four samples taken on the property, three samples of mineralized quartz (FKG - 001,002,003) returned values appropriate to the visible grade (see Figure 3). Copper values of greater than 1% and silver values of 5.8 g/T were obtained from grab samples of the mineralization.

8.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Results from the 1992 field program at the Fin claim are only moderately encouraging. While potential stratiform Pb-Zn time-stratigraphy was suggested on the property subsequent soil sampling failed to identify associated lead or zinc anomalies within this stratigraphy.

Visible mineralization encountered on the Fin claim consists of quartz vein hosted copper - silver occurrences. These veins appear as roughly bedding parallel boudins surrounded by discrete gossan envelopes. Sulphide mineralogy includes malachite, tetrahedrite, chalcopyrite with minor specular hematite. While locally extensive these zones do not appear to have economic significance.

REFERENCES

- Best, M.G. (1982). *Igneous and Metamorphic Petrology*. W. and H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, U.S.A.
- Evenchick, C.A. (1988). *Stratigraphy, Metamorphism, Structure, and their Tectonic Implications in the Sifton and Deserters Ranges, Cassiar and Northern Rocky Mountains, Northern B.C.*; Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 376.
- Fritz, W.H., Cecile, M.P., Norford, B.S., et al. (1991). *Cambrian to Middle Devonian Assemblages*; *in* *Geology of the Cordilleran Orogen in Canada*, H. Gabrielse and C.J. Yorath (ed.), Geological Survey of Canada, *Geology of Canada*, No. 4, p. 151-218.
- Gabrielse, H. et al. (1976). *Geology of Toodogone River (94E) and Ware West-Half (94F) GSC Open File 483.*
- Gabrielse, H. and Mansy, J.L. (1978). *Structural Style in Northeast Cry Lake map area, North-Central B.C.*, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 78-1A, p. 33-34.
- Gabrielse, H. and Campbell, R.B. (1991). *Upper Proterozoic Assemblages, Chapter 6* *in* *Geology of the Cordilleran Orogen in Canada*, H. Gabrielse and C.J. Yorath (ed.); Geological Survey of Canada, *Geology of Canada*, no.4, p.125-150.
- Nadeau, I. (1982). *Assessment Report, Rock Geochemical Survey on the Urn B Claim Group, B.C. Assessment Report #10, 930.*
- Sevensma, P.H. (1973). *Rev and RPM Claims - Geochemical Report, B.C. Assessment Report #4571.*
- Sevensma, P.H. (1970). *Ground Electromagnetic Survey on the Rev and RPM claims, B.C. Assessment Report #2470.*
- Turna, R. (1981). *Geochemical Exploration Report on the Urn Claim Groups, B.C. Assessment Report #9051.*
- Wilkinson, W.J. (1980). *Geochemical Report - Bassnett, Boffo, Dave's Delight, and Dog Fr. Mineral Claims. Serem Ltd. B.C. Assessment Report # 9093.*

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

KERRY M. CURTIS, Geologist

I, KERRY M. CURTIS, of 203 - 1012 Richelieu Avenue, Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, DO HEREBY CERTIFY:

1. THAT I am a Geologist in the employment of Kennecott Canada Inc., of Suite 138, 200 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 1S4.
2. THAT I am a graduate of the University of British Columbia with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Geology.
3. THAT my primary employment since 1985 has been in the field of mineral exploration.
4. THAT my experience has encompassed a wide range of geologic environments and has allowed considerable familiarization with prospecting, geophysical, geochemical and exploration drilling techniques.
5. THAT this report is based on field work, conducted by myself, and field data compiled myself, during June and July, 1992.
6. THAT I have no interest in the property described herein, nor in securities of any company associated with the property, nor do I expect to receive any such interest.

DATED at Vancouver, B.C., this 31ST day of DECEMBER, 1992.


Kerry M. Curtis, Geologist

APPENDIX 1

STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES

ITEMIZED COST STATEMENT

PROJECT: FINLAY
CLAIM GROUP: Fin
DATE OF FIELD WORK: July 3 - July 6, 1992

GEOLOGY:

K. Curtis	Project Geologist (2 days @ \$210)	\$410
H. Smit	Geologist (2 days @ \$255)	510
D. Craig	Consulting Geologist (2 days @ \$285)	590
D. Jones	Assistant (2 days @ \$150)	300

GEOCHEMISTRY (Eco-Tech Labs, Kamloops, B.C.):

Rock Samples	(12 Element I.C.P.) _____ samples @ \$7	
Soil Samples	(12 Element I.C.P.) 38 samples @ \$7	375
Silt Samples	(12 Element I.C.P.) _____ samples @ \$7	

FOOD/LODGING (Finbow Logging Camp):

5 man days @ \$75	375
-------------------	-----

HELICOPTER (Pacific Western Helicopters, Ft. St. James, B.C.):

(3.3 hours @ \$710) Applicable Costs for Assessment	1,765
--	-------

MAPS:	150
-------	-----

VEHICLE RENTAL:	150
-----------------	-----

EQUIPMENT RENTAL:	200
-------------------	-----

DRAFTING:	150
-----------	-----

REPORT: (K. Curtis, 2 days @ \$210)	420
-------------------------------------	-----

TOTAL COSTS APPLICABLE	<u>\$5,296</u>
------------------------	----------------

APPENDIX 2 - ANALYTICAL RESULTS

2A - Soil Geochemistry

ECO-TECH LABORATORIES LTD.
 10041 EAST TRANS CANADA HWY.
 KAMLOOPS, B.C. V2C 2J3
 V2C 2J3
 PHONE - 604-573-5700
 FAX - 604-573-4557

KENNECOTT CANADA ETK 92-312
 138 - 200 GRANVILLE STREET
 VANCOUVER, B.C.
 V6C 1S4
 ATTENTION: KERRY CURTIS/HANS SMIT

Y 18 , 1992

UES IN PPM UNLESS OTHERWISE REPORTED

PROJECT: 05-414
 481 SOIL/SILT SAMPLES RECEIVED JULY 14,

DESCRIPTION	AG	AS	BA	CO	CU	FE(%)	MN	MO	NI	PB	SB	ZN
1- 1001	.2	5	70	6	5	2.05	307	<1	9	8	<5	46
2- 1002	<.2	25	80	8	11	2.55	253	<1	16	8	5	47
3- 1003	.2	10	90	8	10	2.45	335	<1	13	8	<5	45
4- 1004	<.2	15	135	16	20	3.18	427	<1	38	6	<5	49
5- 1005	.2	15	85	10	11	2.73	436	<1	16	8	<5	63
6- 1006	.2	25	135	10	13	2.87	414	<1	17	10	<5	51
7- 1007	.2	25	115	10	17	2.76	313	<1	19	10	<5	54
8- 1008	<.2	15	125	9	13	2.42	334	<1	18	6	<5	49
9- 1009	.2	20	100	8	8	2.34	245	<1	16	8	<5	55
0- 1010	<.2	20	115	17	17	3.71	430	<1	22	8	5	53
1- 1011	.2	10	100	8	11	2.34	334	<1	14	8	<5	48
2- 1012	<.2	20	95	10	13	2.63	389	<1	17	8	5	45
3- 1013	.2	15	70	8	14	2.41	502	<1	13	8	<5	35
4- 1014	.2	20	115	10	17	3.43	1422	<1	17	12	<5	46
5- 1015	<.2	25	80	9	13	2.77	524	1	17	14	<5	48
5- 1016	.2	15	90	8	14	2.55	509	<1	15	8	<5	41
7- 1017	.2	10	70	6	9	1.91	330	<1	11	2	<5	33
8- 1018	.2	15	75	8	10	2.38	487	<1	14	8	<5	47
9- 1019	<.2	15	80	6	8	1.95	168	<1	10	6	<5	32

KENNECOTT CANADA ETK 92-312

JULY 18, 1992

ECO-TECH LABORATORIES LTD.

DESCRIPTION	AG	AS	BA	CO	CU	FE(%)	MN	MO	NI	PB	SB	ZN
1020	.2	10	75	7	8	2.20	272	<1	12	10	<5	38
1021	<.2	15	60	8	9	2.27	208	1	13	10	<5	32
1022	.2	10	40	6	8	1.95	419	<1	9	6	<5	24
1023	<.2	15	55	6	8	2.09	232	<1	12	8	<5	30
1024	.2	25	75	8	10	3.28	659	<1	13	22	<5	46
1025	.2	15	65	9	9	2.73	286	<1	14	14	<5	65
1026	.2	35	85	10	13	3.97	1086	<1	16	16	<5	50
1027	<.2	10	95	8	7	2.39	290	<1	14	14	<5	55
1028	.2	10	70	7	7	2.33	241	<1	13	10	<5	53
1029	<.2	20	80	7	3	2.12	256	<1	13	8	<5	62
1030	<.2	20	65	8	9	2.79	684	<1	15	14	5	50
1031	.2	15	65	8	8	2.53	353	<1	14	10	<5	65
1032	<.2	20	65	7	3	1.94	514	<1	8	12	5	55
1033	.2	15	85	7	6	2.20	512	<1	11	6	<5	55
1034	.2	10	65	7	7	2.19	262	<1	13	4	<5	41
1035	<.2	15	80	7	6	1.93	364	<1	12	6	<5	46
1036	.2	10	50	8	5	1.98	179	<1	11	10	<5	44
1037	.2	5	80	7	7	2.56	379	<1	10	12	<5	68
1038	<.2	25	55	11	13	2.79	287	1	17	14	5	40
1039	.2	5	90	6	4	1.84	403	<1	9	10	<5	38
1040	.2	15	75	9	11	3.19	284	<1	16	16	<5	48
1041	.2	15	35	8	17	1.92	2088	<1	8	4	10	30
1042	<.2	65	70	18	19	4.42	319	3	30	16	25	87
1043	.6	10	70	12	20	3.06	245	1	17	6	<5	61
1044	.2	30	55	12	25	5.18	181	7	27	10	5	102

JULY 18, 1992

ECO-TECH LABORATORIES LTD.

DESCRIPTION	AG	AS	BA	CO	CU	FE(%)	MN	MO	NI	PB	SB	ZN
2238	<.2	10	170	11	9	3.20	680	<1	17	10	<5	63
2239	<.2	10	120	10	6	2.68	770	<1	14	10	<5	56
2240	<.2	10	115	10	5	2.66	970	<1	13	8	<5	57
2241	<.2	10	110	10	7	2.78	435	<1	14	8	<5	60
2242	<.2	10	140	12	11	3.17	890	<1	16	10	<5	57
2243	<.2	5	60	4	12	1.15	468	<1	7	<2	<5	61
2244	<.2	10	55	10	9	2.82	1162	<1	13	6	<5	43
2245	<.2	15	90	14	16	3.06	509	<1	18	18	<5	47
2246	<.2	5	145	11	8	2.78	541	<1	17	10	<5	58
2247	<.2	5	405	12	19	2.22	3519	<1	10	12	<5	99
2248	<.2	20	310	24	17	5.05	1778	<1	17	20	<5	106
2249	<.2	10	150	13	7	3.43	760	<1	13	8	<5	52
2250	<.2	15	135	16	16	4.15	638	<1	23	14	<5	59
2251	<.2	<5	115	10	10	2.73	497	<1	13	8	<5	49
2252	<.2	<5	110	12	9	3.04	637	<1	15	10	<5	69
2253	<.2	<5	140	11	12	3.21	400	<1	17	10	<5	36
2254	<.2	<5	190	10	6	2.75	822	<1	12	10	<5	42
2255	<.2	<5	110	12	13	3.07	353	<1	17	10	<5	46
2256	<.2	<5	200	12	11	2.76	950	<1	17	14	<5	90
2257	<.2	<5	130	10	10	2.75	354	<1	15	8	<5	35
2258	<.2	15	135	13	15	3.92	650	<1	18	22	<5	41
2259	<.2	20	105	15	41	4.71	821	<1	20	14	<5	44
2260	<.2	<5	135	13	9	4.07	679	<1	17	14	<5	71
2261	.2	5	65	14	28	3.19	2065	<1	15	8	5	38
2262	.2	<5	235	15	17	4.53	2754	<1	20	10	<5	66

ECO-TECH LABORATORIES LTD.
 10041 EAST TRANS CANADA HWY.
 KAMLOOPS, B.C. V2C 2J3
 PHONE - 604-573-5700
 FAX - 604-573-4557

KENNECOTT CANADA INC. ETK 92-329
 #138-200 GRANVILLE STREET
 VANCOUVER, B.C.
 V6C 1S4

JULY 30, 1992


ATTENTION: K. CURTIS/ H. SMIT

VALUES IN PPM UNLESS OTHERWISE REPORTED

24 ROCK SAMPLES RECEIVED JULY 14, 1992
 PROJECT: 05-414

PAGE 1

Sample #	AU(ppb)	AG	AS	BA	CO	CU	FE(%)	MN	MO	NI	PB	SB	ZN
1 - FKG - 001	-	5.8	210	30	1	4197	1.40	2464	4	1	258	540	130
2 - FKG - 002	-	.8	410	35	8	>10000	3.43	368	5	36	14	375	150
3 - FKG - 004	-	1.0	20	5	6	2604	.54	366	5	7	<2	5	10
4 - FKG - 005	-	<.2	25	60	22	149	5.44	172	1	38	14	5	101
5 - FKG - 006	-	<.2	<5	25	6	42	2.07	226	<1	10	<2	5	40
5 - FKG - 007	-	3.2	50	50	3	54	1.82	46	16	14	48	10	21
7 - FHG - 001	35	.2	20	5	3	503	.48	355	6	6	4	10	14
8 - FHG - 002	5	<.2	165	75	14	94	14.43	1957	3	9	10	<5	23
9 - FHG - 003	5	<.2	155	70	12	61	14.00	1484	3	2	10	<5	22
10 - FHG - 004	5	<.2	40	40	9	47	3.05	441	2	10	24	15	17
11 - FHG - 005	15	<.2	40	<5	11	2177	.72	186	3	9	<2	<5	4
12 - FHG - 006	5	.6	10	5	11	3762	1.33	210	5	8	8	15	42
13 - FHG - 007	5	.4	15	25	7	37	3.86	3544	1	<1	<2	10	8
14 - FHG - 008	-	<.2	25	65	12	31	5.36	140	6	41	12	5	115
15 - FHG - 009	-	<.2	<5	5	<1	4	.51	514	<1	<1	<2	<5	3
16 - FHG - 010	-	<.2	<5	<5	<1	6	.41	224	4	1	<2	<5	2
17 - FHG - 011	-	<.2	15	45	13	18	4.14	129	1	29	4	5	72
18 - FHG - 012	-	<.2	55	20	2	3	2.72	738	<1	<1	24	10	116
19 - FHG - 013	-	<.2	20	75	11	22	3.65	72	13	32	14	5	49
20 - FHG - 014	-	<.2	20	20	2	4	1.58	685	<1	<1	20	10	89
21 - FHG - 015	-	<.2	20	40	6	15	4.07	128	1	18	16	<5	39
22 - FHG - 016	-	<.2	15	40	3	18	3.41	99	2	8	18	<5	44
23 - FHG - 017	-	<.2	10	70	10	13	2.07	442	1	12	12	<5	40
24 - FHG - 018	-	<.2	20	50	7	17	3.66	201	7	9	6	<5	46


 JUTTA JALOUSE, B.C. Certified Assayer

ECO-TECH LABORATORIES LTD.
 10041 EAST TRANS CANADA HWY.
 KAMLOOPS, B.C. V2C 2J3
 PHONE - 604-573-5700
 FAX - 604-573-4557

KENNECOTT CANADA INC. ETK 92-329
 #138-200 GRANVILLE STREET
 VANCOUVER, B.C.
 V6C 1S4

JULY 30, 1992


ATTENTION: K. CURTIS/ H. SMIT

VALUES IN PPM UNLESS OTHERWISE REPORTED


24 ROCK SAMPLES RECEIVED JULY 14, 1992
 PROJECT: 05-414

PAGE 1

ET#	AU(ppb)	AG	AS	BA	CO	CU	FE(%)	MN	MO	NI	PB	SB	ZN
1 - FKG - 001	-	5.8	210	30	1	4197	1.40	2464	4	1	258	540	130
2 - FKG - 002	-	.8	410	35	8	>10000	3.43	368	5	36	14	375	150
3 - FKG - 004	-	1.0	20	5	6	2604	.54	366	5	7	<2	5	10
4 - FKG - 005	-	<.2	25	60	22	149	5.44	172	1	38	14	5	101
5 - FKG - 006	-	<.2	<5	25	6	42	2.07	226	<1	10	<2	5	40
6 - FKG - 007	-	3.2	50	50	3	54	1.82	46	16	14	48	10	21
7 - FHG - 001	35	.2	20	5	3	503	.48	355	6	6	4	10	14
8 - FHG - 002	5	<.2	165	75	14	94	14.43	1957	3	9	10	<5	23
9 - FHG - 003	5	<.2	155	70	12	61	14.00	1484	3	2	10	<5	22
10 - FHG - 004	5	<.2	40	40	9	47	3.05	441	2	10	24	15	17
11 - FHG - 005	15	<.2	40	<5	11	2177	.72	186	3	9	<2	<5	4
12 - FHG - 006	5	.6	10	5	11	3762	1.33	210	5	8	8	15	42
13 - FHG - 007	5	.4	15	25	7	37	3.86	3544	1	<1	<2	10	8
14 - FHG - 008	-	<.2	25	65	12	31	5.36	140	6	41	12	5	115
15 - FHG - 009	-	<.2	<5	5	<1	4	.51	514	<1	<1	<2	<5	3
16 - FHG - 010	-	<.2	<5	<5	<1	6	.41	224	4	1	<2	<5	2
17 - FHG - 011	-	<.2	15	45	13	18	4.14	129	1	29	4	5	72
18 - FHG - 012	-	<.2	55	20	2	3	2.72	738	<1	<1	24	10	116
19 - FHG - 013	-	<.2	20	75	11	22	3.65	72	13	32	14	5	49
20 - FHG - 014	-	<.2	20	20	2	4	1.58	685	<1	<1	20	10	89
21 - FHG - 015	-	<.2	20	40	6	15	4.07	128	1	18	16	<5	39
22 - FHG - 016	-	<.2	15	40	3	18	3.41	99	2	8	18	<5	44
23 - FHG - 017	-	<.2	10	70	10	13	2.07	442	1	12	12	<5	40
24 - FHG - 018	-	<.2	20	50	7	17	3.66	201	7	9	6	<5	46


 JUTTA JEALOUS, B.C. Certified Assayer

APPENDIX 3 - ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES



ECO-TECH LABORATORIES LTD.

ASSAYING - ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING
10041 East Trans Canada Hwy. Kamloops. B.C. V2C 2J3 (604) 573-5700 Fax 573-4557

October 27, 1992

KENNECOTT CANADA INC.
#138-200 Granville Street
VANCOUVER, B.C.
V6C 1S4

ATTENTION: Kerry Curtis

Dear Kerry,

With reference to our telephone conversation, the following are the geochemical procedures which were used for your samples this summer:

Gold - 10 gram Fire Assay - A.A. Finish

ICP packages - Aqua Regia digestion - ICP Finish

We thank you for using our Laboratory for your work this summer. We really appreciated the work.

Please do not hesitate to call me if we can be of further assistance.

Sincerely yours,

ECO-TECH LABORATORIES LTD.
Frank J. Pezzotti,
President

FJP/vsc

EXPLANATION

KECHIKA GROUP (CAMBRO-PROVINCIAN)

8 Shale

ROSELLA FORMATION (CAMBRIAN)

6 Limestone

4 Limestone

BOYA FORMATION (LOWER CAMBRIAN)

2 Quartzite

STELKUZ FORMATION (PROTEROZOIC)

1 Phyllite chloritic, green with 1m quartzite beds

--- Quartz carbonate veins

- - - Geologic contact

○ Outcrop

∕ Bedding

∕ Foliation

⊗ Archeocyathid Location

FGK-003 Rock geochemical sample


• Silt sample

2257 Soil sample traverse

┌ Claim boundary

└ Legal corner post

└ Corner post, initial post



FIN CLAIMS - ROCK SAMPLES

SAMPLE	AG	AS	BA	CO	CU	MO	NI	PB	SB	ZN
FGK-001	5.8	210	30	1	4197	4	1	258	540	130
FGK-002	0.8	410	35	8	>10000	5	36	14	375	150
FGK-003	1.0	20	5	6	2604	5	7	<2	5	10
FGK-017	<.2	10	70	10	13	1	12	12	<5	40

FIN CLAIMS - CONTOUR SOIL RESULTS

SAMPLE	AG	AS	BA	CR	CU	MO	NI	PB	SR	ZN
1015	<.2	25	80	14	13	1	17	14	11	48
1016	0.2	15	90	12	14	<1	15	8	11	41
1017	0.2	10	70	9	9	<1	11	2	9	33
1018	0.2	15	75	12	10	<1	14	8	11	47
1019	<.2	15	80	9	8	<1	10	6	7	32
1020	0.2	10	75	12	8	<1	12	10	10	38
1021	<.2	15	60	9	9	1	13	10	7	32
1022	0.2	10	40	5	8	<1	9	6	9	24
1023	<.2	15	35	5	5	<1	9	6	6	24
1024	0.2	25	75	10	10	<1	13	22	8	46
1025	0.2	15	65	14	9	<1	14	14	9	65
1026	0.2	35	85	12	13	<1	16	16	13	50
1027	<.2	10	95	14	7	<1	14	14	10	55
1028	0.2	10	70	13	7	<1	13	10	10	53
1029	<.2	20	80	10	3	<1	13	8	5	62
1030	<.2	20	65	11	9	<1	15	14	9	50
1031	0.2	15	65	12	8	<1	14	10	11	65
1032	<.2	20	65	8	3	<1	8	12	8	55
1033	0.2	15	85	10	6	<1	11	6	8	55
1034	0.2	10	65	12	7	<1	13	4	11	41
1035	<.2	15	80	9	6	<1	12	6	8	46
1036	0.2	10	50	10	5	<1	11	10	11	44
1037	0.2	5	80	10	7	<1	10	12	12	58
1038	<.2	25	55	11	13	1	17	14	8	40
1039	0.2	5	90	8	4	<1	9	10	9	38
1040	0.2	15	75	13	11	<1	16	16	10	48
2257	<.2	15	135	17	15	<1	18	22	17	41
2258	<.2	20	105	18	41	<1	20	14	15	44
2259	<.2	<5	135	25	9	<1	17	14	17	71
2260	0.2	5	65	8	28	<1	15	8	47	38
2261	0.2	<5	235	33	17	<1	20	10	34	66
2262	<.2	<5	95	20	18	<1	20	10	41	60

* all values in ppm unless otherwise stated.

NOTE - Contour interval in 100 metres

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT

22,768



Kennecott Canada Inc.
 138 - 200 Granville Street, Vancouver, B.C. V6C 1S4

FIN CLAIM 1992 GEOLOGY and GEOCHEMISTRY

MINING DIVISION

COMPILED BY: K. Curtis, D. Craig, H. Smith / DATE: October 1992

SCALE: 1:10,000 NTS: 94E 9E MAP NO: 3