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## SUMMARY

The Tulsequah Chief property, situated in Northwestern British Columbia, is located 100 km south of Atlin, B.C. and 64 km northeast of Juneau, Alaska.

The Tulsequah Chief deposit was discovered in 1923 and the nearby Big Bull deposit in 1929. Cominco Ltd. acquired the properties in 1948. Mining began in 1951 and continued until 1957 at which time low metal prices forced its closure. Production during that period totalled 935,536 tonnes grading $1.59 \%$ copper, $1.54 \%$ lead, $7.0 \%$ zinc, 3.84 grams/tonne gold, and 126.52 grams/tonne silver. Of that total, 575,463 tonnes were mined from the Tulsequah Chief and the remainder from the Big Bull.

The Tulsequah Chief property lay dormant from 1957 to 1971 . In 1971, the deposits were interpreted as volcanogenic massive sulphide (VMS) deposits similar to the "Kuroko" deposits in Japan. A similar deposit in British Columbia is the Myra Falls deposit (Westmin Resources Ltd.) on Vancouver Island. Total production (January, 1993) from the Myra deposits is 13.5 million tonnes grading $1.8 \%$ copper, $0.6 \%$ lead, $5.9 \%$ zinc. 2.3 grams/tonne gold and 63 grams/tonne silver. Geological reserves now total 12.9 million tonnes grading $2.1 \%$ copper, $0.4 \%$ lead, $6.3 \%$ zinc, 2.1 grams/tonne gold and 43 grams/tonne silver.

Using the VMS model, significant new tonnage was defined by diamond drilling ( 57 hoies totalling $26,245 \mathrm{~m}$ ) at the Tulsequah Chief deposit between 1978 and 1992 by Cominco Ltd. and Redfern Resources Ltd. In June, 1992, Redfern Resources Ltd. purchased Cominco's interest ( $60 \%$ ) in the Tulsequah Chief property.

The Tulsequah Chief deposits are precious metal-rich massive sulphide deposits hosted within the Devonian to Permian Mount Eaton suite. The Mount Eaton suite is primarily a bimodal volcanic suite that is mainly subalkalic and calc-alkaline in composition typical of an island-arc setting. It has been subdivided into three major series -Footwall series (unit 1), Mine series (unit 2), and Hanging Wall series (unit 3). Within the Mine series, the I, H, $\mathrm{AB}_{2}, \mathrm{AB}_{1}$, massive sulphide lenses and their faulted extensions ( F - and G-zone) are spatially and genetically related to felsic volcanic rocks. The deposits consist of thinly banded chert, barite, gypsum and massive sulphides. Local debris flow facies containing clasts of altered volcanics, massive sulphide, chert and barite indicate deposition in an unstable slope environment. The sulphides in order of abundance are pyrite, sphalerite, chalcopyrite, galena and tetrahedrite. Native gold is a common accessory.

The Mount Eaton suite is folded into a northwesterly plunging anticlinal-synclinal fold pairs in the vicinity of the Tulsequah Chief Mine. These upright to steeply overturned parasitic folds are on the western limb of the regional Mount Eaton anticline. Faulting sub-parallel to the axial plane of these folds has offset stratigraphy right laterally across the 4400 E and 5300 E faults by a small amount. These faults divide the mine area into three mine blocks-Western Mine Block (west of 4400E fault), Central Mine Block (between 4400E and 5300E fault) and Eastern Mine Block (east of 5300E fault).

In 1994 underground diamond drilling totalled 5940 meters and focussed on extending the northeastern flanks of the H and G deposits. Surface drilling ( 1700 meters) concentrated on felsic stratigraphy to the west of the Central Mine Block. This drilling extended the main ore horizon a further 300 m down from previous interpretations and outlined a significant area of exploration potential for future drilling.

In conjunction with diamond drilling, underground and surface mapping and sampling programs were completed in 1994. Rehabilitation of the 5200 level allowed for detailed mapping of over 1000 m of drift and allowed access to significant sulphide zones exposed during the 1950's production era. Detailed structural and lithological mapping of the 5400 level was also completed in 1994.

Surface mapping and geochemical sampling during the 1994 field season concentrated on the altered felsic volcanic package exposed around the 5200 level portal and its southward strike projection.

Inclined plan polygonal reserve estimates were prepared for the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{AB}_{2}, \mathrm{AB}_{1}$ and G sulphide lenses. Probable reserves for these lenses total $5,170,905$ tonnes, grading $1.43 \%$ copper, $1.21 \%$ lead, $6.47 \%$ zinc, 2.62 grams/tonne gold and 105.89 grams/tonne silver. Possible reserves are $2,905,902$ tonnes grading $1.05 \%$ copper, $1.12 \%$ lead, $5.95 \%$ zinc, 2.35 grams/tonne gold and 104.74 grams/tonne silver. In addition to the reserves calculated in this report, the probable and possible reserves remaining above the 5000 Level from the 1950's mining operation total 707,616 tonnes grading $1.30 \%$ copper, $1.60 \%$ lead, $8.00 \%$ zinc, 2.40 grams/tonne gold and 116.5 grams/tonne silver. The total reserve for the Tulsequah Chief deposit (all horizons and classes of reserve) is $8,784,424$ tonnes grading $1.30 \%$ copper, $1.21 \%$ lead, $6.42 \%$ zinc, 2.51 grams/tonne gold and 106.36 grams/tonne silver.
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## A. INTRODUCTION

## A. 1 Location and Access

The Tulsequah Chief property is situated along the Tulsequah River in Northwestern B.C. (Fig.. 1). It is centered on latitude $58^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and longitude $133^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ (NTS $104 \mathrm{~K} / 12$ ). Access is by air from Atlin, B.C. 100 km to the north, or by air from Juneau, Alaska, 64 km to the southwest. The exploration base camp is situated on the east bank of the Tulsequah River at an elevation of 108 m above sea level. A gravel airstrip beside the Tulsequah River 7 km south of the Tulsequah Chief Mine site is suitable for aircraft up to DC-3 or Shorts SkyVan in size. The property is comprised of a total of 53 located mineral claims and 25 reverted crown granted mineral claims for a total of $16,638.69$ ha. (Fig.. 2)

## A. 2 History of Exploration

The Tulsequah Chief deposit was discovered in 1923 by W. Kirkham of Juneau. He located high-grade barite, pyrite, sphalerite, galena, and chalcopyrite mineralization outcropping in a gully above the 6500 Level adit. Development of this showing between 1923 and 1929 attracted about 40 prospectors to the area. In 1929, V. Manville discovered the Big Bull massive sulphide deposit. Other discoveries that year included the Potlatch (Sparling), Banker and the Whitewater (Polaris Taku) vein deposits. The Erickson-Ashby sulphide deposit was discovered later in 1930.

Cominco Ltd. acquired the Tulsequah Chief and Big Bull deposits in 1946. Production started in 1951 and continued to 1957 when low metal prices closed the mine. Production averaged 482 tonnes ( 530 tons) per day. Total production was 935,536 tonnes comprised of 575,463 tonnes from the Tulsequah Chief and 360,073 tonnes from the Big Bull deposit. Average grade of ore was $1.59 \% \mathrm{Cu}, 1.54 \% \mathrm{~Pb}, 7.0 \% \mathrm{Zn}, 3.84$ $\mathrm{g} /$ tonne Au , and 126.52 g /tonne Ag . The mines produced 14,756 tons $\mathrm{Cu}, 11,439$ tons $\mathrm{Pb}, 54,910$ tons Zn , $95,340 \mathrm{oz} \mathrm{Au}$, and $3,329,938 \mathrm{oz} \mathrm{Ag}$ at a recovery of about $88 \% \mathrm{Cu}, 94 \% \mathrm{~Pb}, 87 \% \mathrm{Zn}, 77 \% \mathrm{Au}$, and $89 \%$ Ag. At shutdown, ore reserves at the Tulsequah Chief were 707,616 tonnes grading $1.3 \% \mathrm{Cu}, 1.6 \% \mathrm{~Pb}$, $8.0 \% \mathrm{Zn}, 2.40 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Au , and $116.50 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Ag , and at the Big Bull were 57,541 tonnes grading $1.1 \%$ $\mathrm{Cu}, 1.5 \% \mathrm{~Pb}, 5.6 \% \mathrm{Zn}, 3.43 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Au , and $154.3 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Ag . Tulsequah Chief reserves consisted of 73,408 tonnes in the Upper Deposits (I horizon) and 634,208 tonnes in the Lower Deposits (H, AB2, AB1 horizons). In the Lower Deposits, 307,063 tonnes were above, and 327,145 tonnes were below the 5200 Level.

The Tulsequah Chief and Big Bull deposits lay dormant until 1971. At this time the deposits were interpreted as volcanogenic massive sulphides, rather than hydrothermal veins as originally described. Geological mapping ( $1: 2500$ ) over the Tulsequah Chief and Big Bull deposits was completed in 1981 . The property was flown by Dighem and Input EM/Mag in 1982, however, these surveys failed to define any significant conductors. A joint venture between Cominco Ltd. and Redfern Resources Ltd. led to extensive exploration programs from 1987 to 1991.

The 1987 Exploration Program (Casselman, 1988) was funded by Redfern Resources Ltd. (100\%). Surface mapping was completed over the property and five surface diamond drill holes $(3,524 \mathrm{~m})$ tested the down dip extension of the Tulsequah Chief deposit. The mineralized horizon was intersected on approximately 90 m spacings, 450 to 600 m below surface, and $40-240 \mathrm{~m}$ below previous drilling.

The 1988 Exploration Program (Casselman, 1989) was funded by Redfern Resources Ltd. (100\%). Outside the Tulsequah Chief Mine area, mapping, prospecting, and soil sampling were completed over areas of felsic volcanic units. Inside the mine area, 900 metres of underground workings were rehabilitated on the 5400 Level and $3,530 \mathrm{~m}$ of underground and surface diamond drilling were completed. Nine drill holes tested areas below the old workings, of which, eight holes intersected significant base and precious metal mineralization. Four holes tested other targets on the property.



The 1989 Exploration Program (Casselman, 1990) was jointly funded by Redfern Resources Ltd. ( $40 \%$ ) and Cominco Ltd. $(60 \%)$. The program consisted of re-ballasting track, 175 m of drifting in the 5400 Level crosscut, and $4,890 \mathrm{~m}$ of underground drilling. Ten drill holes from the extended 5400 Level crosscut tested the down dip extension of the $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}$, and G sulphide bodies. Eight holes intersected significant base and precious metals. Specific gravity measurements were made on all 1987, 1988, and 1989 mineralized drill intersections. Redfern calculated a possible resource including previous reserves above the 5200 Level of 5.27 million tonnes grading $1.6 \% \mathrm{Cu}, 1.3 \% \mathrm{~Pb}, 7.0 \% \mathrm{Zn}, 2.74 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Au , and $99.43 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Ag .

The 1990 Exploration Program (Aulis, 1991) jointly funded by Redfern Resources Ltd. (40\%) and Cominco Ltd. ( $60 \%$ ) consisted of underground rehabilitation, 180 m of drifting, slashing two drill stations on the 5400 Level and $5,908 \mathrm{~m}$ of underground drilling. Seven drill holes tested the down-dip extension of the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{AB}$ sulphide bodies. An eighth drill hole was abandoned due to ground problems. A resource estimate by Cominco Ltd. totalled 6.27 million tonnes grading $1.58 \% \mathrm{Cu}, 1.33 \% \mathrm{~Pb}, 7.59 \% \mathrm{Zn}, 2.74 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Au , and $114.86 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Ag , including the 1957 reserve. Redfern prepared their own estimate, using different cutoffs, which totalled 7.3 million tonnes grading $1.55 \% \mathrm{Cu}, 1.23 \% \mathrm{~Pb}, 6.81 \% \mathrm{Zn}, 2.74 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Au , and 109.37 $\mathrm{g} /$ tonne Ag .

The 1991 Exploration Program was operated and funded by Redfern Resources Ltd. (100\%). The program was restricted by agreement with Cominco to infill drilling on the $H$ and $A B$ lenses between the 3400 and 4900 Levels. Six drill holes ( $3,090 \mathrm{~m}$ ) were collared from the 5400 Level crosscut. All holes intersected the targeted massive sulphide horizon. Cambria Data Services Ltd. (M ${ }^{c}$ Guigan et al., 1991 and 1992) prepared a probable and possible reserve estimate of 7.60 million tonnes grading $1.62 \%$ copper, $1.19 \%$ lead, $6.51 \%$ zinc, $2.88 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Au and $116.57 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Ag , inclusive of Cominco's 1957 shutdown reserve.

Redfern Resources Ltd. purchased Cominco's interest (60\%) in the Tulsequah Chief property in June, 1992. Consequently, Redfern Resources became the $100 \%$ owner of the Tulsequah Chief and Big Bull deposits and adjacent ground.

The 1992 Exploration Program ( $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{c}}$ Guigan et al., 1993) consisted of surface and underground geological mapping, core re-logging (1987-1991) and underground diamond drilling ( $4,579 \mathrm{~m}$. in 13 holes). Cambria Geological Ltd. prepared a reserve estimate (all horizons and classes) of $8,500,592$ tonnes grading $1.48 \%$ copper, $1.17 \%$ lead, $6.85 \%$ zinc, 2.56 grams/tonne gold and 103.42 grams/tonne silver. Tonto Mining Ltd. completed a Pre-Feasibility study which outlined a fully diluted mineable reserve (at 1993 metal prices) of 6.93 million tonnes grading $1.40 \%$ copper, $1.07 \%$ lead, $6.42 \%$ zinc, 2.40 grams/tonne gold and 93.37 grams/tonne silver (M'Latchy, 1993).

Redfern conducted a comprehensive exploration program in 1993 consisting of 6,238 metres of underground drilling ( 14 holes) at the Tulsequah Chief Mine and 5,368 metres of surface drilling - $1,812 \mathrm{~m}$ in 6 holes in the Tulsequah Chief Mine area and $3,556 \mathrm{~m}$ in 12 holes in the Big Bull Mine area (Chandler et al, 1994;Carmichael et al, 1994). Extensions were added to existing grids at the Tulsequah Chief Mine and the Big Bull Mine areas as well as new grids covering prospective stratigraphy south of Tulsequah Chief and at the Banker prospect (Curtis, 1994). This work generated an additional 76 line-kilometres of grid which was geologically mapped at $1: 2000$ scale and covered by various combinations of gradient array IP, magnetometer and VLF-EM geophysical surveys. The geophysical surveys also covered the previous grid areas at Tulsequah Chief and Big Bull. Reconnaissance geological mapping was conducted in selected areas .

Based on these results Redfern calculated a revised polygonal sectional and longitudinal reserve in all categories totalling $8,489,885$ tonnes grading $1.41 \% \mathrm{Cu}, 1.23 \% \mathrm{~Pb}, 6.65 \% \mathrm{Zn}, 2.52 \mathrm{~g} /$ tonne Au and 105.66 g /tonne Ag.

## A. 31994 Exploration Program

In 1994 Redfern completed 4,241 meters of underground diamond drilling in 11 holes and 1,700 meters of surface diamond drilling in 4 holes - for a program total of 5942 m in 15 holes (see Fig. 5, Map 2).

Underground and surface mapping and sampling programs were completed on the 5400 level main drift (Map 64). Over 1 km of underground rehabilitation was completed on the 5200 level main drift which allowed for detailed geological mapping and sampling programs in an area not accessible since the 1950's production era (Map 65).

Surface work included the establishment of an additional 10.7 kilometers of I.P. standard cut survey grid over altered felsic volcanic rocks exposed to the south of the 5200 level portal. During the course of geological mapping (Map 55) and sampling (Maps 56 to 63) over this and adjacent parts of the existing grid a total of 71 trace element and 14 lithogeochemical samples were collected from selected rock outcrops.

During 1994 underground drilling (see Maps 2, and 5 to 51) at the Tulsequah Chief mine was directed primarily at exploring the northwestern flanks of the $H / A B_{2}$ and $G$ horizons (holes TCU94$061,062,063,064,066,067$ ). All holes intersected massive to semi-massive sulphide horizons of limited $(<4 \mathrm{~m})$ widths and generally lower grades than intersected in the central deposits. Drill hole TCU94-065 was directed at an area of the central $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ deposit with lower drill density. This hole successfully intersected a thick and high grade portion of the H deposit ( 13.55 m grading $2.92 \% \mathrm{Cu}, 1.15 \% \mathrm{~Pb}, 11.65 \% \mathrm{Zn}, 159.02$ $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T} \mathrm{Ag}$ and $3.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{T} \mathrm{Au}$ ). Four underground drill holes were directed at infill drilling the G deposit. Three of these holes (TCU94-063, 069 and 071) intersected ore grade material and are included in the revised mineral reserve calculation. Drill hole TCU94-070 intersected a late, crosscutting quartz-porphyritic dyke emplaced at the mineralized horizon (see Map 54).

Surface drilling at Tulsequah Chief concentrated on felsic volcanic horizons to the west of the 4400 E fault (Fig. 5, Map 2). Holes TC94-015 and TC94-017 were fence drilled to delineate the western projection of the F-Horizon. These holes were successful in extending the altered and weakly mineralized horizon a further 300 m down dip from previously known limits (Maps 11 to 32). Hole TC94-016 (Map 3) was directed at altered felsic stratigraphy located immediately to the east of the 5200 level portal. This hole intersected a narrow section of altered felsic fragmentals and flows near the collar. A wider than expected series of footwall mafic volcanics and lesser intrusives was intersected throughout the remaining hole effectively closing the area for future drilling. Surface hole TC94-018 (see Map 4) was collared approximately 200 m south of the 5200 Level portal and was designed to intersect the southward strike projection of the altered volcanics located at this portal. This hole collared in weakly mineralized (disseminated chalcopyrite, sphalerite and pyrite) mafic fragmentals of Unit 1 (footwall basalts) and continued into a series of quartzphyric massive and lesser fragmental ryholites identical to those exposed at the 5200 L portal. The hole ended by crossing a wide fault zone (called the Chief Splay fault) and intersecting carbonate rich sediments interpreted as part of the older Mount Stapler suite.

## A. 4 Regional Geology

The regional geology of the Tulsequah area is characterized by fault juxtaposition of several diverse Paleozoic to Mesozoic tectonostratigraphic terranes in fault juxtaposition which have been variably deformed, intruded by Jurassic to Cretaceous age Coast intrusions and unconformably overlain by Tertiary Sloko volcanics. The regional geology is discussed in more detail in a companion Redfern report (Curtis, 1994) that draws heavily on interpretations from recent field work by the B.C. Geological Survey Branch (Mihalynuk et al, 1994) as well as eariier workers (Fig. 3).


The dominant structural feature of the region is the Llewellyn fault (known locally as the Chief Fault) which divides higher grade metamorphic rocks of Paleozoic and older ages on the west and weakly metamorphosed Paleozoic and Mesozoic rocks on the east. West of the fault three suites of rocks are recognized: the Whitewater suite which consists of an amphibolite grade metamorphic sequence of sedimentary origin, the Boundary Ranges suite (not shown on Fig 3), consisting of schists of volcanic and sedimentary origin, and the Mount Stapler suite, a low-grade metamorphic package which shares characteristics of both the Whitewater and Boundary Range suites and may be gradational to both. East of the fault Paleozoic rocks of the Stikine Assemblage include the Mount Eaton block - low metamorphic grade volcanic rocks of island arc affinity which host the Tulsequah Chief and Big Bull sulphide deposits. South of the Taku river the Sittakanay block appears to be more deformed but lithologically similar to the Mount Eaton block whereas the Mount Strong block, located west of the Tulsequah river, is more sediment dominated and thought to represent a more distal equivalent of the Mount Eaton and Sittakanay volcanics.

Deformation and metamorphic grade in the Tulsequah region decreases from west to east. It ranges from polyphase deformed high grade gneisses in the Boundary Ranges suite to lower greenschist grade volcanics of the Mount Eaton block. The latter has been affected by an upright to steeply overturned north trending, open to isoclinal fold event. A second, less well developed, fold event overprints the first. North trending, steeply dipping faults show evidence of numerous re-activations and intrusion by late Tertiary Sloko dykes.

## A. 5 Property Geology

The Tulsequah property is dominantly underlain by rocks of the Mount Eaton Block, an island arc volcanic sequence of Devono-Mississippian to Permian age (Mihalynuk et al, 1994; Curtis, 1994). These rocks are located east of the Chief (Llewelyn) fault and are predominantly located east of the Tulsequah River and north of the Taku River. Other Stikine Assemblage rocks on the property are represented by the sediment dominated Mount Strong block which hosts the advanced exploration Polaris-Taku gold deposit on the west side of the Tulsequah River and extends southward to underlie the southwestern portions of the property (Fig. $2,3,4$ ). West of the Chief fault, older more deformed rocks of the Mount Stapler and Whitewater suites impinge on the northern and western extremities of the property.

The Mount Eaton block hosts the Tulsequah Chief and Big Buil volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits and a number of other similar occurrences and prospects. Work by the BCGS (Mihalynuk et al ,1994), Mineral Deposits Research Unit (MDRU) (Sherlock et al, 1993) and Redfern (Curtis, 1994) has crudely defined the stratigraphy of the Mount Eaton block (Fig. 4,7) based on recent mapping, biochronology, lithogeochemistry and isotopic age determinations. This work has subdivided the stratigraphy into three divisions. The Lower Division is dominated by Devonian to early Mississippian age bimodal volcanic units which include the Mine series felsic rocks (unit 2) hosting the Tulsequah Chief deposit and tentatively correlated with the felsic rocks hosting the Big Bull deposit. The Middle Division, Mississippian to Pennsylvanian in age, is composed dominantly of pyroxene bearing mafic breccias and agglomerates with locally extensive accumulations of mafic ash tuffs and volcanic sediments. The transition from the Middle to Upper Divisions is marked by polymictic debris flows and/or conglomerate. The Pennsylvanian to Permian Upper Division rocks primarily consist of volcanic derived and clastic sediments with lesser mafic flows. Distinctive bioclastic rudite and intercalated chert, shales and occasional sulphidic exhalite occur near the top of the Upper Division. Late Tertiary Sloko rhyolite and mafic dykes cut the Paleozoic units and commonly intrude along re-activated north-trending faults.


Structure in the Mount Eaton block is dominated by the north trending, eastward verging Mount Eaton anticline which plunges moderately north and dips steeply west (Fig. 4). A number of parasitic, upright to overturned, folds ( $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ ) that which range from open to near isoclinal occur on the western limb of this anticline . Penetrative fabric is weak or poorly developed except in extremely appressed folds. This first phase of folding ( $F_{1}$ ) is refolded by a second, east-west fold phase ( $F_{2}$ ) that is irregularly expressed across the property and locally produces a cross-cutting cleavage $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$. The $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ folds are generally upright and open. $F_{1}$ folds are not significantly re-oriented by the $F_{2}$ second phase of folding although they do exhibit variable plunge attitudes. $F_{1}$ fold axes generally plunge to the north in the northern half of the property with southern plunges more common in the southern areas. In the Tulsequah Chief mine area folds are open, and plunge at 55 to 60 degrees to the north with steep westerly dipping axial planes.

North to northwest trending fauits are most common and generally exhibit long-lived, complex displacement histories. Displacement appears to be small on these faults except for the major Chief fault. Most faults are marked by topographic depressions in the form of steep-sided gullies and ravines. The north trending faults are commonly associated with the hinge zones of $F_{1}$ folds, as at the Tulsequah Chief deposit, and intruded by Sloko rhyolite dykes.

Younger east-west faults are less common on the property. However, based on regional mapping (Mihalynuk et al, 1994), these faults may have significant displacements. In particular, the Chief Cross fault was identified as potentially offsetting the regional Llewellyn (Chief) fault in a dextral sense by as much as two kilometres. This fault has important economic implications for extensions of the Tulsequah Chief Mine series stratigraphy across the fault to the north.

## B. TULSEOUAH CHIEF MINE - GEOLOGY

## B. 1 Introduction

The Tulsequah Chief Mine is a precious metal-rich massive sulphide deposit hosted by the Devonian to Permian Mount Eaton suite (Fig. 3,4). In the mine area, the Mount Eaton suite forms a northward younging package of felsic and mafic rocks that are sub-divided into the Footwall series (unit 1), the Mine series (unit 2) and the Hanging Wall series (unit 3) (Fig. 7).

Footwall series (unit 1) forms the lowest stratigraphic unit in the Tulsequah Chief Mine area. It consists primarily of amygdaloidal mafic flows with minor interflow ash tuff, volcanic sediment and chert. Mine series (unit 2) forms a laterally extensive, mainly felsic unit that stratigraphically overlies unit 1. It consists of felsic volcaniclastics, flows and sills that are host to a number of en echelon sulphide deposits that include the $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{AB}_{1}$ horizons. The I zone, previously called the Upper Deposits, was mined from 1951 to 1957 between the 6100 and 6500 Levels $\left(+300\right.$ to +500 m elevation). The upper portions of the $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon previously called the Lower Deposits was mined from 1951 to 1957 between the 5200 and 5700 Level ( +50 to 200 m elevation). Additional discoveries below the 5200 Level ( +50 m elevation) identified since 1987 include the $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ (extension of the Lower Deposits), G (offset extension of the $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ east of the 5300 E fault), and $\mathrm{AB}_{1}$ massive sulphide horizons. Hanging Wall series (unit 3 ) is the highest unit recognized in the Tulsequah Chief Mine area. It consists of mafic flows, sills and lesser interflow volcanic sediment and volcaniclastics. The above units are intruded by subvolcanic mafic intrusions (unit 4) which form thin sills and dykes that feed a large sill-like body that dilates unit 2 felsic volcanic rocks.

Tertiary Sloko intrusions (unit 5) form narrow dykes emplaced along faults in the Tulsequah Chief Mine area. They consist of flow banded rhyolite and quartz-feldspar porphyritic dacite.



Mesozoic or older deformation has folded the Mount Eaton suite into northwesterly plunging anticlinal-synclinal fold pairs in the vicinity of the Tulsequah Chief Mine. These upright to steeply overturned parasitic folds are on the western limb of the regional Mount Eaton anticline. Faulting subparallel to the axial plane of these folds has offset stratigraphy right laterally along the 4400 E and the 5300 E faults by a small amount ( $<50 \mathrm{~m}$ ). These faults divide the Tulsequah Chief Mine into three Mine Blocks: Western Mine Block (west of 4400 E fault), Central Mine Block (between 4400 E and 5300 E fault) and Eastern Mine Block (east of 5300 E fault). These relationships are portrayed in an unfolded schematic section in Figure 8.

## B. 2 Mount Eaton suite (units 1-4)

## B.2.a Footwall series (unit 1)

The Footwall series (unit 1) forms a thick, laterally extensive unit that crops out in the southern part of the map area (Map 1). It is bounded by the Mine series (unit 2) along its upper contact and its lower contact is beyond the limit of present mapping. The unit is comprised mainly of amygdaloidal mafic flows, pillowed flows, flow breccias, tuffs (hyaloclastic), lesser volcanic sediment and minor chert.

The mafic flows are generally massive with minor intervals of flow breccia. They are dark green, fine grained to aphanitic and rarely feldspar and/or pyroxene phyric. The top of the unit is commonly amygdaloidal, hyaloclastic textured and strongly silica + sericite + pyrite altered where it underlies unit 2 mineralization. Amygdules are up to 1 cm in diameter and filled with quartz, pyrite and chalcopyrite. Cordierite porphyroblasts are best developed in these units and appear to nucleate on the quartz amygdules. The hyaloclastic deposits consist of fine ash to lapilli tuff (aquagene tuff). Lapilli are aphanitic, $<1 \mathrm{~cm}$ in diameter, cuspate in outline and clast supported. Matrix consists of fine ash, chert or silica, and disseminated pyrite.

Interflow, thinly laminated turbiditic volcanic sediment and minor chert form thin units up to 20 metres thick which infill small basins between and on top of flow units. These units mark periods of quiescence between deposition of individual flows and in some areas directly underlie $A B_{1}$ mineralization.

Whole rock analysis of relatively unaltered massive flows plot in the alkalic and sub-alkalic field on a alkali versus silica diagram with the subalkalic samples plotting in the calc-alkaline field on a AFM diagram (McGuigan et al.. 1993). Recent work by the Mineral Deposit Research Unit (MDRU) at the University of British Columbia (Sherlock and Barrett, 1994) using immobile trace elements indicate the flows are mainly andesite in composition and can be subdivided into low and high zirconium types (Fig. 11). The high zirconium variety are commonly quartz amygdaloidal in texture.

## B.2.b Mine series - Felsic Volcanic H-AB horizon (unit 2)

The Mine series is mainly comprised of felsic volcanics which are the principle host to a number of en echelon sulphide deposits that include the $I, H, \mathrm{AB}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{AB}_{1}$ horizons. The unit has a maximum thickness of approximately 200 metres in the western and central half of the map and is less than 10 metres in the vicinity of the I horizon in the northeast area of the map (Map 1).

The lowest sulphide horizon, termed the $A B_{1}$ horizon, consists of zinc-rich facies mineralization which passes into mainly massive pyrite and exhalitive chert above the -200 meter elevation. This horizon is separated from the overlying $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon by up to 50 metres of felsic flows, volcaniclastics, amygdaloidal mafic flows and their altered equivalents.

## MOUNT EATON SUITE; Arc succession of the STIKINE ASSEMBLAGE Imodified after Mihalynuk et al, BCGS PAPER 1994-1]



The $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon was first discovered during development of the 5700 Level ( +200 m elevation). It extends from this elevation down dip to -660 metre elevation, the deepest area tested by drilling (TCU92-36). It was mined in part from the A, B and C stopes of the Lower Deposits and comprises the 1957 D and E Reserve Blocks. The horizon is separated from the overlying H horizon by a westward thickening wedge of altered volcanic debris flows, and unaltered felsic and mafic volcanics. The separation varies from less than 10 meters on the east to greater than 30 metres at the western margin of the $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ lens as presently defined.

The H horizon has been the focus of exploration since it was discovered during surface drilling in 1987. It is delineated from the +100 metre elevation to the -700 metre elevation by 64 underground drill holes within the Central Mine Block. Above the -400 m level, holes are separated on approximately 45 m centres; below this level the average separation increases to $80-120$ meters. The horizon obtains its maximum thickness (approximately 29 metres in drill hole TCU90-22) where it merges with the $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon along the axis of the H -syncline.

West of the 4400 E fault (Western Mine Block), mineralization discovered above the 5200 Level in drilling before 1957 was referred to as the F -zone. It is stratigraphically equivalent to the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon, however it has been displaced right laterally across the 4400 E fault by a small amount ( $<50$ metres) where it passes through an anticlinal closure. West of the fault, the horizon strikes north-south and dips moderately westward. Thinly laminated dacitic tuffs and volcanic sediments overlying this unit are intersected in the 5400 Level crosscut and on surface where the unit is traceable for over 600 metres.

East of the 5300 E fault (Eastern Mine Block), mineralization referred to as the G-zone is interpreted to be stratigraphically equivalent to $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon (Central Mine Block), however it is displaced right laterally across the 5300 E fault by a small amount ( $<30$ metres). The horizon tapers in thickness from 25 to $<5 \mathrm{~m}$ approximately 300 m northeast of the 5300 E fault. Vertically, it pinches out at the +50 m elevation; it is not exposed on surface. Below the +50 m elevation, mineralization has been intersected to the -150 m elevation in drill hole TCU94-63.

The I horizon forms an en echelon lens stratigraphically above the G-zone and was the focus of historic mining (Upper Deposits) between 1951 and 1957. It is delineated between surface and the +100 metre elevation by underground workings and definition drilling within the Eastern Mine Block. It tapers from 10 metres near the 5300 E fault to $<1$ metres where it pinches out in a creek bed approximately 300 metres to the northeast. Mineralization grades from thinly banded sphalerite, galena, chalcopyrite, barite and chert near the 6500 portal, to mainly weakly banded pyrite in the creek bed. In the Central Mine Block mineralization potentially correlative to the I horizon has been intersected on the west flank of the deposit in underground holes TCU90-27 and TCU93-60 approximately 80 metres stratigraphically above the H horizon. Alteration consistent with a mineralized horizon at this position has also been encountered in a number of other drill holes. The current interpretation suggests that the I zone stratigraphy was displaced by the thick crosscutting mafic intrusion of Unit 4 in the centre of the deposit. This offset extension of the I horizon is underexplored and merits further drilling to determine if economic mineralization is present.

The $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{AB}_{1}$ horizons are primarily thinly laminated chert, gypsum, pyrite and sericitically altered tuff (mass flows) which contain a number of discrete precious metal-rich polymetallic massive sulphide bodies. Sulphide bodies were likely deposited in paleotopographic lows at a number of stratigraphic intervals. Locally, the sulphide horizons are clastic (debris flow) indicating deposition in an unstable slope environment. Deformation has mobilized and thickened the sulphide horizons along parasitic fold axes and attenuated them along fold limbs.


The sulphide bodies consist of thinly banded to massive pyrite, sphalerite, chalcopyrite and galena. Accessory minerals include tetrahedrite-tennantite and native gold. Gangue consists of barite (averaging 6\%), chert, gypsum and sericite $\pm$ silica altered volcaniclastics. Visually the sulphides can be divided into three distinct sulphide facies: copper facies (CUF), zinc facies (ZNF) and pyrite facies (PYF). CUF-mineralization ( $>30 \%$ total sulphides) is characterized by massive to banded pyrite and chalcopyrite with minor sphalerite and gaena. ZNF-mineralization ( $>30 \%$ total sulphides) consists primarily of sphalerite, galena, and lesser pyrite and chalcopyrite. PYF-mineralization ( $>30 \%$ total sulphides) consists of massive pyrite with little economic sulphides.

The I and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{AB}$ horizon and their faulted extensions (F- and G-zone) are overlain and hosted by felsic flows, flow breccias, lesser volcaniclastics and minor sills. The flows, flow breccias and sills are greyish green, feldspar and quartz ( $1-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) phyric, fine grained to aphanitic dacite (field classification). The volcaniclastics range from thinly laminated dacitic tuff and volcanic sediment to heterolithic lapilli tuffs. Some units are maroon in colour from finely disseminated hematite. Major element analysis of massive dacite from this unit indicated it is sub-alkalic and calc-alkaline in composition (MGuigan et al, 1993). More recent immobile trace element analysis of samples from this unit indicate units mapped as dacites in the field are mostly of rhyolite to rhyodacite in composition (Fig. 9) and can be divided into two types termed rhyolite A and rhyolite B (Fig. 10) based on their distinct alteration lines (Sherlock and Barrett, 1993). The strongly altered volcaniclastic mass flows units which are the main host to mineralization are rhyolite B in composition, whereas the overlying massive flows, flow breccias and sills are rhyolite A in composition. Rhyolite A is the most fractionated of the two rhyolites. It also occurs above the subvolcanic mafic sill (unit 4) which is consistent with unit 2 being dilated by the intrusion.


Figure 9. Winchester \& Floyd compositional diagram

- Unit 1 FW Mafics $\Delta$ Unit 2 Felsics $\quad$ Unit 3 HW Mafics + Unit 4 Mafic sill

The more massive felsic flows and flow breccias grade into volcaniclastic units near the top of unit 2 where they are in gradational contact with unit 3 . This contact is well exposed in the 5400 Level drift extension
where green and maroon heterolithic dacite lapilli tuff is interbedded with dark brown to black voicanic sediments and mafic flows or sills.

West of the 4400 E fault (Western Mine Block), the large surface extent of unit 2 felsic rocks is a result of structural repetition by an overturned syncline. Near the 5400 and 5200 Level portal, unit 2 is mainly volcaniclastic with units varying from fine ash to large angular breccia-size clasts of pumiceous and lithic fragments. The large size and angularity of the fragments indicate the unit is not highly reworked and suggests a nearby source.

East of the 5300 E fault (Eastern Mine Block), the surface extent of unit 2 felsic rocks taper out approximately 100 metres north of the last exposure of I-zone mineralization. In this area, the contact with overlying unit 3 has been intruded by mafic subvolcanic sill (unit 4).

Radiometric (U-Pb zircon) dating of unit 2 gave an age of $353.4+15.8 /-0.9 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Sherlock et al.. 1994). The sample dated was a coarse grained, felsic volcaniclastic rock collected near the 6400 Level portal.

## B.2.c Hanging Wall series (unit 3)

Hanging Wall series (unit 3) is a thick, laterally extensive unit that conformably overlies unit 2 in the northern area of the map (Map 1). The unit consists mainly of mafic flows, sills, volcaniclastics and volcanic sediment. The similarity of the flows and/or sills makes them hard to differentiate both in drill core and in small surface exposures. In general, some intrusive bodies can be recognized by their occurrence as thin units with chilled contacts. They are dark green to black, fine grained to aphanitic and mafic in composition. Volcaniclastic units consist of dark green to maroon, mainly mafic ash and lapilli tuff. Interflow sediments are thinly laminated, brownish green to grey, tuffaceous argillite, siltstone, and minor chert. The brown colour of the sediments is imparted by fine grained biotite hornfels likely caused by the intrusion of the mafic sills. Chemically the mafic flows (and/or sills) are basalt (and /or gabbro) and have identical major and trace element compositions as the unit 4 subvolcanic mafic sill suggesting they were derived from the same parent magma (Sherlock and Barrett, 1994) (Fig. 11).

## B.2.d Mafic Subvolcanic Intrusion (unit 4)

Mafic subvolcanic intrusion (unit 4) forms a thick ( 50 metres), massive, mostly conformable body that dilates units 2 felsic volcanic rocks (Map 1). Margins of the sill are black, chilled and commonly contain thin dykeand sill-like apophyses that extend out into unit 2 from the main body. The core of the sill is distinctly coarser grained than the margins and has a diabasic texture. It is medium to dark green, plagioclase ( $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) $\pm$ augite ( 2 mm ) $\pm$ olivine phyric in a fine grained feldspathic matrix. The primary mineralogy is overprinted by an assemblage of medium to coarse grained amphibole (actinolite?) and chlorite that may be metamorphic in origin (Sherlock et al., 1994). Thin mafic intrusions which cut unit 1 and 2 may be feeder dykes to this unit. The unit is unaltered suggesting it was emplaced after the mineralizing event. Major element analysis of samples from this unit indicate it is sub-alkalic and calc-alkaline in composition (M'Guigan et al., 1993). Trace element analysis of samples from this unit by MDRU indicate they are gabbro in composition and their high nickel and chromium contents suggest they contain oiivine and spinel (Sherlock and Barrett, 1994) (Fig. 11).

## B. 3 Mount Stapler suite (unit 5)

Mount Stapler suite (unit 5) crops out north of the Chief fault in the northwest area of the map (Map 1). It consists of strongly deformed, metamorphosed felsic to mafic volcanics, tuffaceous sediment and limestone that are now schists, phyllites and marble. Chlorite-carbonate schists consist of altered feldspar phyric intermediate to mafic volcanic and carbonate. Some schists have well developed kink bands. Less deformed rocks consist of moderately foliated, dark green to black, chloritic, fine grained mafic flows and tuffs. Limestone is laminated to bedded, grey to white, fine to medium grained, and recrystallized to marble.



Mafic rocks only


## B. 4 Intrusive Rocks (unit 6)

Tertiary Sloko rhyolite dykes (unit 6a) form narrow ( $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) dykes emplaced along northwest to northeast striking and moderate to steep dipping faults. On surface, they form resistive, strongly jointed outcrops. They are cream coloured, quartz ( $<1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) phyric, fine grained to aphanitic, and flow banded parallel to contacts.

Quartz and feldspar porphyritic dacite (unit 7b) forms a dyke up to 15 m thick which strikes AZ $048^{\circ}$ and dips $56^{\circ}$ to the southeast. It is mapped on surface and in the 5900,5400 and 5200 Level crosscuts as well as intersected in drilling. It is massive, medium green in colour and has a phenocryst assemblage of quartz $(<1 \mathrm{~mm})+$ feldspar $(<1 \mathrm{~cm}$, euhedral, zoned plagioclase) $\pm$ amphibole ( $<3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

## B. 5 Structure

## B.5.a Folding

Mount Eaton suite rocks are deformed into anticlinal-synclinal fold pairs (Fig. 4, Map 1). These folds are easterly verging, parasitic folds on the western limb of the regional Mount Eaton anticline. The Mount Eaton anticline axial plane lies east of the map area along the western upper flanks of Mount Eaton and Mount Manville (Mihalynuk et al., 1994).

In detail, parasitic folds between the 4400 E and 5300 E fault (Central Mine Block) are upright to overturned and have moderate interlimb angles. Axial planes strike $\mathrm{AZ} 166^{\circ}$ and dip $79^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$; the foid axis plunges $56^{\circ}$ in the direction of $\mathrm{AZ} 329^{\circ}$. These small-scale fold structures have an amplitude of $30-50 \mathrm{~m}$ and a frequency of 50 m . Weak foliation and small scale folds are locally observed within unit 2 exhalitive horizons and in quartz + sericite + pyrite altered volcanic rocks in surface exposures, drill core and underground exposures.

West of the 4400E fault (Western Mine Block), bedding generally strikes north-northeast and dips moderately to steeply west. An overturned, north plunging synclinal fold is interpreted between the F-zone and the 5200 Level alteration zone. The synclinal closure between unit 1 mafic flows and the overlying unit 2 felsic volcanics free airs approximately 500 metres southeast of the 5200 Level portal.

East of the 5300 E fault, bedding strikes northeast and dips vertically to steeply westward.

## B.5.b Faulting

Two major periods of faulting are identified in the Tulsequah Chief Map area. The first period of faulting is Mesozoic or older and related to deformation that produced the Mount Eaton anticline. These faults include the 4400 E and 5300 E faults.

The 4400 E fault has a prominent surface expression; it is traceable from the Tulsequah Chief Mine area to the Big Bull Mine, 8 km to the south. Underground at the Tulsequah Chief Mine the fault is identified on the 5200,5400 and 5900 Level crosscuts by 1m of clay gouge. It strikes AZ 355-003 ${ }^{\circ}$ and dips $75-80^{\circ}$ east. Stratigraphy is displaced less than 50 m right laterally across this fault. Sloko rhyolite dykes are emplaced along part of this fault.

The 5300 E fault has a faint surface expression that is traceable to the south where it intersects the 4400 E fault 3.5 km south of the Tulsequah Chief Mine. The fault has a number of sub-parallel subsidiary splays that are identified in drilling and in underground workings. Underground the main fault splay is identified in the $5200,5400,5500,5700,5900$ and 6200 Level crosscuts by 1 m of clay gouge; locally it is intruded by Sloko Rhyolite dykes. It strikes AZ $001^{\circ}$ and dips $80^{\circ}$ east; apparent displacement across this fault is less than 30 m in a right lateral sense.

A second younger period of faulting is displayed by the Chief fault which juxtaposes strongly deformed rocks of the Mount Stapler suite against less deformed rocks of the Mount Eaton suite. Within the Tulsequah Mine
area, the fault strikes north-northeast and dips moderately to steeply west. Slickensides on associated parallel fractures are shallow which suggests mainly strike-slip displacement.

## B. 6 Alteration

Alteration associated with the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{AB}$ horizon (unit 2) is mainly confined to the top of the Footwall series (unit 1). The alteration is characterized by an assemblage of silica $\pm$ sericite $\pm$ chlorite $\pm$ pyrite. Silica occurs as thin fracture envelopes to pervasive zones of silica flooding which cause the mafic volcanics to have a bleached grey to white colour. These zones are often crosscut by white quartz $\pm$ pyrite $\pm$ chalcopyrite $\pm$ chlorite veins ( $<30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

West of the 4400 E fault, footwall alteration on surface persists but decreases in intensity as the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{AB}$ horizon pinches out to the south. It grades from an assemblage of pervasive silica, sericite, chlorite and pyrite directly below unit 2 mineralization to chlorite and disseminated pyrite up to 500 m south of the last exposure of exhalitive tuff in unit 2.

East of the 5300 E fault, footwall alteration rapidly decreases in intensity and thickness as the I and G horizon pinches out to the north.

Hanging wall alteration is poorly developed and is confined to dacite flows and tuffs within and directly above the I and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{AB}$ horizon (unit 2). It characterized by an assemblage of albite, epidote, chlorite, silica and magnetite ( $\pm$ hematite). Albite occurs as thin, white to grey fracture envelopes. Where fracture density is higher or alteration more intense, albite forms irregular pervasive zones, and primary textures are often obscured.

## B. 7 Metamorphism

Mount Eaton suite is a weakly penetratively deformed sequence that is overprinted by sub-greenschist to middle greenschist facies metamorphism (Mihalynuk et al.. 1994). It is characterized by the breakdown of pyroxene and amphibole to chlorite and epidote, and potassium feldspar to sericite. Locally, the Mount Eaton suite in the Tulsequah Chief Mine area has undergone contact metamorphism. It is characterized by quartz $\pm$ epidote, chlorite, actinolite, magnetite and garnet veinlets which crosscut pervasive biotite and cordierite. Biotite is fine grained to aphanitic and phlogopitic in composition (Raudsepp, 1992). Cordierite forms subhedral to euhedral porphyroblasts ( $<1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and often appears to be replacing quartz amygdules within altered basalt flows of unit 1.

Lower grade zeolite to prehnite-pumpellyite facies metamorphism overprints the older higher grade greenschist facies metamorphism. It occurs as white, fibrous to platy, soft minerals in fractures. X-Ray diffraction work determined the minerals to be a mixture of prehnite and laumontite (Raudsepp, 1992).

## B. 8 Geological Interpretation

The Mount Eaton suite in the Tulsequah Chief Mine area is characterized by felsic volcanism that is spatially and genetically related to precious metal-rich massive sulphide mineralization. The postulate geology of the Mount Eaton suite is outlined below and diagrammatically in Figure 8.

Footwall series (unit 1) is the lowest unit recognized in the map area. It is a thick succession of basalt flows with tuffaceous sediment and minor chert infilling small basins on top and between individual flows during periods of waning volcanic activity. Graded beds within the turbiditic tuffaceous sediments indicate the unit is right way up.

Amygdaloidal flows and aquagene tuffs near the top of unit 1 suggest a rising seafloor and/or a drop in sea level. These deposits form where the overlying seawater is $<1000 \mathrm{~m}$ (Wohletz, 1986).

Mine series (Unit 2 ) marks the change from mafic to mainly felsic volcanism and associated exhalitive
activity. The unit is dominated by dacite flows, flow breccias and volcaniclastics. It forms an extensive unit that is approximately 200 metres thick in the western and central area of the map and tapers to $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ in the northeast area of the map. U-Pb radiometric dating of zircon from this unit gave an age of $353 \pm 1 \mathrm{Ma}$. (Sherlock et al., 1994).

Sulphides and chemical sediments were deposited at a number of stratigraphic intervals within unit 2 , however, the $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{1}$ and $A B_{2}$ sulphide horizons are the most extensive and economically important. The laminated nature of the deposits suggests quiescent conditions during deposition of most of the massive sulphides. Locally, however, debris flow containing clasts of altered volcanics and accessory clasts of sulphide, chert and barite indicate deposition in an unstable slope environment. These units are similar to the 'ore clast breccias' present in the HW/Myra Formation at the Buttle Lake Deposit on Vancouver Island, B.C.
$\mathrm{AB}_{1}$ horizon was deposited on top of mafic hyaloclastic breccias and volcanic sediments of unit 1 . Locally, $A B_{1}$ mineralization infills voids and alters clasts of the breccia. The $A B_{1}$ horizon demonstrates a distinct mineralogical zoning. From the +200 m ( 5700 Level) to -200 m elevation, the horizon is banded pyrite, sericite + silica altered tuff, and gypsum (up to 10 m thick) that grades into high grade zinc-rich facies mineralization below the -200 m elevation.
$\mathrm{AB}_{1}$ horizon is overlain mainly by dacite flows and volcaniclastics, and altered volcanics which in turn are overlain and interfinger with volcanic sediments and amygdaloidal mafic flows.

The H and $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizons are collectively the most extensive and economically important. They consist of discontinuous pyrite lenses above +200 m elevation ( 5700 Level). Below the +200 m elevation the horizon(s) pass into zinc-rich and copper-rich facies massive sulphides which extends to -650 metre elevation, the deepest intersection (TCU92-36) on the property. In aggregate, the H and $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizons vary between 5 and 60 m in true thickness. The H horizon is the uppermost mineralized horizon and is separated by $10-$ 20 m of sericite + silica + pyrite altered volcanics from the $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon. Locally the two horizons merge and are collectively termed the $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon. The immediate hanging wall to the H horizon varies from mineralized ore-clast bearing debris flow to massive, relatively unaltered, felsic flows and fragmentals of unit 2.

The lateral extent of the $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon is poorly known; the horizon is open down-dip and to the west. The greatest thicknesses occur along section E2650i. Eastward, the horizon is cut by the 5300 E fault. In the Eastern Mine Block, the $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon correlates with the G-zone. G-horizon thins and pinches out above the +100 m elevation ( 5400 Level) and along strike to the northeast; mineralization is weak in hole TCU9244. Westward, the $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon is a mixture of chert and pyrite with some sections of polymetallic basemetal massive sulphides. In areas tested, the footwall basalts to the $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon are strongly altered.

West of the 4400 E fault, the F -zone is correlated with the $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon. The F-zone(near section E 2350 i and +100 m elevation) is mainly chert and pyrite, however, some intersections contained significant base metals; hole 530 intersected 5.94 m of $0.70 \% \mathrm{Cu}, 5.67 \% \mathrm{~Pb}, 18.11 \% \mathrm{Zn}, 0.049 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{t} \mathrm{Au}$, and $2.51 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{t} \mathrm{Ag}$. Surface hole TC94-017 extended the altered dacite package hosting the F-zone a further 300 m down dip from previously known limits (see section N2120I). Weak mineralization in the form of chalcopyrite and sphalerite filled amygdales combined with strong sericite-chlorite alteration indicate further potential for massive sulphide zones in this location.

In almost all intersections of H horizon, the interval above the massive sulphides is a debris flow which contains clasts of sericite + silica + pyrite altered volcanic and lesser pyrite, sphalerite, chert and barite. The presence of debris flow indicates H horizon was deposited within a basin that locally had moderate to high topographic relief. Except in hole TCU92-36, debris flow facies does not contain economic mineralization.

Revised structural and stratigraphic interpretation has significant implications for exploration within unit 2. Four discrete mineralized horizons are recognized that contain a mixture of copper-rich facies, zinc-rich facies and massive pyrite facies mineralization. Most intersections contain intervals of all three massive sulphide facies. However, the dominance of zinc-rich facies and fringing pyrite-chert suggest most known horizons are distal to a copper-rich feeder zone. The thickness of Unit 2 stratigraphy, and the strength of footwall alteration, decreases eastward, and upwards in the Central and Eastern Mine Blocks. Westward, and downwards, the volcanics thicken and alteration increases. These trends indicate $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right)$ targets below the -100 m elevation on the F -anticline (Western Mine Block).

I horizon mineralization is best defined east of the 5300E fault (Eastern Mine Block) where it underlies thinly laminated tuffaceous sediments and dacitic volcanics. The mineralization grades from thinly laminated sphalerite, galena, chalcopyrite, chert and barite ( $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) near the 5300 E fault to thinly banded pyrite ( $<1$ metre) where it pinches out in a creek bed located approximately 300 m to the northeast. This mineralization from surface to +100 m elevation forms the Upper Deposits that were largely mined out between 1951 and 1957. Revisions to the stratigraphy in the western Central Mine Block interpret the I-horizon to be crosscut (at an originally shallow angle) by Unit 4 intrusives. West of the 4400 E fault and across the closure of the F-anticline, the I- horizon has been drill tested over a limited strike length and remains prospective for discovery of additional economic mineralization.

Hanging wall series (unit 3) is the highest unit in the Mount Eaton suite recognized in the Tulsequah Chief Mine area. It consists primarily of mafic flows, sills, volcaniclastics, volcanic sediment and minor chert. Contact with the underlying Felsic Volcanics on the Mine series (unit 2) is gradational and is chosen where the mafic volcanics predominate over the underlying felsic volcanics.

## C. TULSEQUAH CHIEF MINE - RESERVE ESTIMATION

## C. 1 Methods

A polygonal sectional reserve method was used to estimate the in-situ reserves of the Tulsequah Chief Deposit. Probable and Possible drill-indicated reserves are reported for the $H-A B_{2}$ and $A B_{1}$ deposits in the Central Mine Block and the $G$ deposit in the Eastern Mine Block (Appendix 5). Previous reserves above the 5000 Level (Central and Eastern Mine Blocks) reported by Cominco Ltd. at closing (1957) are also included (Appendix 5).
$H-A B_{2}$ and $A B_{1}$ reserve estimates for recent drilling are based on diamond drill holes (1987-1994) which pierce the mineralized horizon at 35 to 100 m spacings; in general, holes are spaced at $35-60 \mathrm{~m}$ centres in the upper half of the deposit in areas of higher grade and thickness. Old reserves (1957) above the 5200 Level are based on closely spaced definition drill holes, stope records and sampling in mine workings. In general, individual massive sulphide intersections have good correlations from hole to hole. A minor number of intersections consist of heavily disseminated sulphides in altered mass flow material. No sulphide intersections used in the reserve have been obtained from feeder-zone type mineralization. A minimum mining width of 2 m and a minimum Net Smelter Return (NSR) cutoff of CAN $\$ 45.00$ was chosen based on preliminary examination of mining costs and milling operating costs. The NSR calculation is based on metal price and smelter contract assumptions utilized in the pre-feasibility study carried out by Tonto Mining on behalf of Redfern in 1993 (McClatchy, 1993) and detailed herein in Appendix 3.

## C.1.a Tonnage Calculation ( $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{AB}_{2}, \mathrm{AB}_{1}$ and G horizons)

Fundamental to volume calculation is the adoption of reliable section orientations. Sections prepared along the principle directions of the fold geometry include:

* Inclined north sections (AZ059 $/ 34.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ ), oriented perpendicular to the fold axis and spaced 20 m apart were used for interpretation of fold structures and outline of reserve blocks in the Central and

Eastern Mine Blocks ( $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}_{2}, \mathrm{AB}_{1}$ and G horizons, Maps 5-51). These sections portray the true thickness and shape of geological units.

* Longitudinal sections (AZ082.83/57.84N) for both the $H / A B_{2}$ and $A B_{1}$ horizons oriented parallel to the fold axis and perpendicular to the axial plane were used to plot the area of influence for each drill hole intersection (Maps 52, 53). A separate longitudinal section (AZ008.25/66.5N) was constructed to portray the polygon influence for intersections in the G horizon (Map 54). Since intersection influence was projected a greater distance down the plunge of the folds than laterally across the limbs, a rectangle was used to model the area of influence. Probable reserves are based on extending the drill hole influence 40 metres up plunge or down plunge and 25 metres laterally on either side of the hole. Possible reserves extend the influence a further 40 metres in the up or down plunge direction and a further 25 metres on either side of the hole perpendicular to the plunge line. The probable and possible width around each drill hole was obtained by measuring 25 and 50 metres along strike of the folded mineralized horizon respectively, on the north inclined sections. This distance was then projected perpendicular to the longitudinal section to determine areas of influence on sections between drill holes. The areas of influence were selected to conform with previous ore reserve calculations conducted by Redfern in 1993 (Chandler et al, 1994).

Tonnage for the $H+A B_{2}, A B_{1}$ and $G$ lens reserve is obtained by summing the product of the specific gravity $(\mathrm{SG})$, the vertical range of the reserve polygon block (generally half the distance between the underlying and overlying section i.e. 20 metres), and the area ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) of the defined probable or possible polygons of each drill hole on the north inclined sections. Polygons were drawn on the north inclined sections using the NSR cutoff and minimum true width criteria and guided by the geological interpretation of the enclosing horizon. Areas were calculated within AutoCad for each polygon and transferred to a calculation spreadsheet (Appendix 5). Individual polygon grades were weighted by tonnage to arrive at average weighted grades for each horizon and reserve category. This sectional method is especially useful in the Central Mine Block because of smallscale fold structures (amplitude: $30-50 \mathrm{~m}$, frequency: 50 m ).

For reserves in the Central and Eastern Mine Blocks, the polygons were trimmed by the 5300 E fault; reserves were not projected across the fault.

## C.1.b Net Smelter Return Calculation

A Net Smelter Return formula was derived in order to place realistic bounds to the selection of sulphide intervals for the reserve estimate. In most cases, the boundary between massive sulphide and the enclosing host rocks is quite sharp and the determination of ore bounds is not as intrinsically dependent on arbitrary NSR cutoff selection. A pre-feasibility study conducted by Tonto Mining (McClatchy, 1993) on behalf of Redfern established an estimated operating production cost of CAN\$46/tonne. At the same time expanded metallurgical studies and research into the terms of smelter contracts on an average long term basis established a basis for calculation of the Net Smelter Return for the estimated average run of mine ore. This was extrapolated to enable calculation of probable NSR values for any given intersection grade. The details of the expected metallurgical balance, breakdown of percentage recovery by weight of mill feed to the respective concentrates and expected smelter terms for the various concentrates is detailed in Appendix 3. An example of the resulting formula for NSR calculation for any given grade of intersection is given at the end of the Appendix and reproduced here as follows:

NSR $(\$ C A N)$ per tonne $=\quad \$ 16.473 \times \mathrm{Cu} \%+\$ 6.963 \times \mathrm{Pb} \%+\$ 8.066 \times \mathrm{Zn} \%+\$ 10.306 \times \mathrm{Au}$ grams/tonne $+\$ 0.089 \times \mathrm{Ag}$ grams/tonne.

For the purposes of this study the calculation factors for NSR values were input into the PC-EXPLOR database and calculated for any given intersection above the CAN\$45 NSR cutoff.

## C. 2 Sectional Reserve Estimate

The in-situ reserves of the Tulsequah Chief Mine derived using the assumptions in Section D.1. are as follows:

| Zone | $\mathbf{C u \%}$ | $\mathbf{P b \%}$ | $\mathbf{Z n \%}$ | Au <br> (g/tonne) | Ag <br> $(\mathrm{g} /$ tonne $)$ | Probable <br> tonnes | Possible <br> tonnes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H+AB2 | 1.47 | 1.16 | 6.76 | 2.63 | 106.52 | $3,998,696$ |  |
|  | 1.08 | 1.06 | 6.15 | 2.32 | 106.07 |  | $2,256,059$ |
| AB1 | 0.72 | 2.32 | 8.62 | 1.78 | 127.07 | 206,996 | 146,667 |
|  | 0.64 | 1.82 | 8.10 | 1.71 | 127.64 |  | 503,177 |
| G | 1.42 | 1.17 | 4.81 | 2.75 | 98.72 | 965,214 |  |
|  | 1.03 | 1.16 | 4.40 | 2.66 | 92.12 |  | $2,905,902$ |
| Total Probable | 1.43 | 1.21 | 6.47 | 2.62 | 105.89 | $5,170,905$ |  |
| Total Possible | 1.05 | 1.12 | 5.95 | 2.35 | 104.74 |  | $\mathbf{8 , 0 7 6 , 8 0 8}$ tonnes or |

The upper boundary of the polygonal reserve estimation was set at the lower boundary of blocks laid out in the 1957 Cominco reserve. The Cominco reserves are in the measured and probable category. For comparative purposes with past tabulations, the total reserves, including 1957 Reserves, are as follows:

| Zone | Cu\% | Pb\% | Zn\% | Au <br> (g/tonne) | Ag <br> (g/tonne) | Probable tonnes |  | Possible tonnes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H,AB2,AB1,G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Probable | 1.43 | 1.21 | 6.47 | 2.62 | 105.89 | 5,170,905 |  |  |
| Total Possible | 1.05 | 1.12 | 5.95 | 2.35 | 104.74 |  |  | 2,905,902 |
| 1957 Reserves at Closure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Measured and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indicated | 1.30 | 1.60 | 8.00 | 2.40 | 116.50 | 707,616 |  |  |
| Total All Zones: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probable | 1.42 | 1.26 | 6.66 | 2.59 | 107.16 | 5,878,521 |  |  |
| Possible | 1.05 | 1.12 | 5.95 | 2.35 | 104.74 |  |  | 2,905,902 |
| Grand Total | 1.30 | 1.21 | 6.42 | 2.51 | 106.36 |  | 8,784,424 | tonnes or |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,662,866 | tons |

## D. UNDERGROUND STUDIES

Geological mapping and sampling of the 5400 and 5200 levels was completed in 1994 by G. Price. Complete details of this program are compiled in a report presented in Appendix 8 "Tulsequah Chief, 5200 and 5400 Levels Mapping Project" by G. Price. The program provided detailed accounts of lithological, structural,
alteration and mineralization trends on both levels of the deposit. The geological mapping provided more detailed refinements of the stratigraphic and structural relationships within the immediate deposit area and substantiates the overall interpretation within the drilled reserve.

Rehabilitation of the 5200 level drift allowing safe access to 1.05 km of underground exposures was completed prior to mapping. Access to the 5400 level has been annually maintained since 1987 to allow for underground drilling programs.

In addition to increasing the level of geological knowledge in both drifts, increased confidence in the continuity of sulphide mineralization was established at the back of the 5200 level. Over 60 linear meters of sulphide material (see Map 65) grading an average of $1.1 \% \mathrm{Cu}$ and $4.6 \% \mathrm{Zn}$ was encountered at this location (Price, G. 1994). Reference to 1950's era Cominco drill holes within this zone indicates an overall higher grade and a true thickness of approximately 20 metres.

## E. SURFACE MAPPING AND GEOCHEMISTRY

Surface programs in 1994 focused on detailed evaluation of altered and weakly mineralized felsic volcanic units exposed at the 5200 level portal and their on-strike equivalents exposed to the south of this location (see Map 55).

In order to gain increased control over outcrop distribution a total of 10.72 km of cut survey line was established over areas of known felsic volcanic rocks. Subsequent geological mapping and geochemical sampling, including trace element and lithogeochemical analysis, were completed by Redfern geologists.

A total of 71 samples were collected for nine element I.C.P. analysis conducted by Chemex Laboratories of North Vancouver, B.C.. A total of 14 lithogeochemical samples were collected across the grid area and submitted to the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences at McGill University in Montreal for pressed pellet and in some cases glass bead X.R.F. analysis. Analytical certificates and description of analysis are provided in Appendix 7

## E. 1 Surface Geology (Map 55)

Geological mapping confirmed the extent of altered felsic volcanic rocks (unit 2) from the south of the 5200 level portal to line $4+00$ S where the main altered package strikes southward beneath the floodplain of the Tulsequah River. South and east of this location occur extensive massive and fragmental sequences of dacites (also unit 2), commonly containing disseminated magnetite. Minor zones of sericitic alteration (unit 2a) are mapped within this area (L7S $50+00 \mathrm{~W}$ and L8S $2+00 \mathrm{E}$ ) however these appear to represent isolated zones absent of lateral extent and possibly reflective of deep footwall structurally controlled hydrothermal alteration.

Detailed mapping of the area immediately south of the 5200 level portal extended the northward limits of footwall mafic volcanic fragmentals (unit lc) by approximately 200 meters to line 4 S.

## E. 2 Trace Element Geochemistry (Maps 57 to 61)

Trace element geochemical sampling was utilized across the map area to characterize mineralization abundance within zones of alteration. Generally these zones are limited to felsic or dacitic rock types.

Sample sites within the area immediately surrounding the 5200 level portal contain the highest amounts of base metal enrichment on the map sheet. This is consistent with disseminated sphalerite, pyrite and sericite alteration identified during mapping of the area. Values of $4008 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Zn}, 232 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Cu}$ and 1192 As (sample KG94007) reflect the highest degree of mineralization encountered.

Geochemical levels of $\mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{Ag}$, and Pb are notably low across the area of sampling. Single sample high values of 65 ppb Au (MG94020), 368 ppm Pb (MG94016), 1.5 ppm Ag (KL94007) were obtained however lack of continuity indicates stringer style mineralization in these locations.

## E. 3 Lithogeochemistry (Maps 62 to 63)

Lithogeochemical sampling was initiated during the course of field mapping with the goal of outlining protolith composition and degree of alteration.

Samples KL94001 and KL94002 returned CR2O3/Ni contents comparable to similar samples of footwall mafic volcanics (unit lc,d) taken from the Central Mine Block (see Fig 11). Low SiO2 and high TiO2 contents of these samples are also consistent with those of average mafic volcanic composition.

Samples KL94009 and KL94010 taken from the 5200 portal area felsics display intense chemical alteration as reflected by low ( $<0.5 \%$ ) Na 2 O and subsequent $\mathrm{K} 2 \mathrm{O}(>4.0 \%$ ) enrichment. $\mathrm{SiO} 2, \mathrm{TiO} 2$ values from these samples are also consistent with those of average rhyolitic composition.

Samples KL94005 to KL94007 were taken from exposures of sericite altered dacites located on L8S at $2+50 \mathrm{E}$. Lithogeochemical analysis of these rocks indicate protolith compositions within the rhyodacitic field. Limited Na 2 O 3 depletion is evident in sample KL94006 (0.77\%).

## F. CONCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS

## F. 1 Conclusions

The 1994 exploration program at the Tulsequah Chief deposit area consisted of 4,241 metres of drilling in 11 underground holes and an additional 1,700 metres of surface drilling in 4 holes. This drilling information was integrated with previous data obtained from prior programs in the period 1987-1993 to allow detailed interpretation and reserve estimation. In addition, detailed underground and surface mapping and sampling programs were conducted to better characterize the mineralization, alteration and enclosing host stratigraphy for application on other exploration targets.

Re-interpretation of the Mine series and enclosing stratigraphy concluded that the felsic rocks of unit 2 (formerly subdivided into Lower and Upper units ) belonged to one coherent unit intruded by a gabbroic dyke (unit 4) which cross-cuts the felsic stratigraphy at low to moderate angles in the immediate deposit area. Re-interpretation of the western extensions of the Mine series stratigraphy concluded that the $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB} 2$ horizons are not as acutely folded or separated at depth as was believed in 1993. Conversely, the uppermost mineralized and altered horizon on the western flank of the deposit is now thought to represent a distinct mineralized interval which may correlate with the I horizon, displaced and dilated by the unit 4 mafic intrusion. Recognition of the dilation of one felsic sequence by the sill/dyke has the important aspect of bringing the I horizon into the same felsic stratigraphy, although it still appears to lie at a slightly higher level relative to the $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB} \mathrm{B}_{2}$ and AB 1 horizons.

The 1994 modifications to the structural interpretation of the Tulsequah area was also extended to the prevalent faulting. The 4400 E fault is now believed to maintain a near constant attitude dipping steeply to the east and subparallel to the 5300 E fault.

Ore reserve estimates were completed for each of the ore-bearing massive sulphide horizons. This work has established a total reserve (all categories and horizons, including 1957 shutdown reserves) of $8,784,424$ tonnes grading $1.30 \%$ copper, $1.21 \%$ lead, $6.42 \%$ zinc, 2.51 grams/tonne gold and 106.36 grams/tonne silver. This figure represents an increase in tonnage but slight loss in grade relative to the 1993 figure of $8,489,885$ tonnes grading $1.41 \%$ copper, $1.23 \%$ lead, $6.65 \%$ zinc, 2.52 grams $/$ tonne gold and 105.66 grams/tonne silver. This change is principally due to the addition of lower grade reserves along the
northeastern limb margins of the $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ and G zones on both sides of the 5300 E fault. Also, infill drilling of the $G$ zone reduced the area of influence of some previous high grade holes. In partial compensation the single infill hole (TCU94065) in the main $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon returned an intersection with excellent width and grade, further bolstering confidence in the central portion of the reserve. An important improvement in the 1994 reserve estimate is the increase in the proportion of probable to possible ore ( $66.9 \%$ probable ore in 1994 versus $56.5 \%$ probable in 1993).

## F. 2 Recommendations

Modified structural and stratigraphic interpretation of the Tulsequah Chief mine area geology has indicated several new target areas for possible reserve expansion. In addition, a number of valid targets based on prior years' recommendations remain untested. The following recommendations are made in approximate order of priority:

- Underground drilling from existing stations on the 5400 level can evaluate extensions of the $G$ horizon up dip and south of the present drill information. This area is bounded on the southwest by the 5300 E fault. Mapping on the 5200 level suggests a thick section of the sulphide stratigraphy exists in the upper elevations immediately east of the 5300 E fault.
- Depending on results from the on-going final feasibility study, further infill drilling may be required in parts of the $G$ zone and mid-elevation $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ horizon.
- Further drilling is warranted on the F zone and the down-dip/plunge extensions of the interpreted I horizon stratigraphy in the Central Mine Block.
- The present reserve remains open at depth and poorly defined by wide-spaced holes below the -440 metre elevation. Deep drilling to extend the deposit to depth will require extension of the 5400 level north drift to establish a suitable drilling platform. This work should be deferred until the means and method of final definition drilling and/or development is established for the final feasibility study. If new decline development is required, the deep drilling could presumably be carried out from the new mine workings.
- Reconnaissance mapping and lithogeochemistry is required to expand the mine stratigraphy in both the footwall and hanging wall and to evaluate the significance and potential displacements along the Chief Cross fault and Chief splay faults. If current ideas of dextral movement are correct there may be potential for defining offset extensions to the ore-bearing Mine series stratigraphy north and east of the current grid areas.
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## COST STATEMENT/ALLOCATION

The tables of Appendix 9 summarize the expenditures by category for the various principal exploration activities conducted in 1994 on the Tulsequah Chief project claims. The costs were compiled to derive unit costs for these activities. A separate table allocates the costs on a claim per claim basis based on the actual amount of work conducted on each individual claim.

Separate work programs were conducted on the claims in the vicinity of the Big Bull and Banker properties although the programs shared some of the same personnel and camp costs. The apportionment of costs for work conducted on the Big Bull/Banker project is documented separately in a companion volume to this assessment report (Volume 2.0) titled as follows:

Carmichael, R.G., March, R.B. and McGrath, B.J (1995): Tulsequah Chief and Big Bull Projects, Northwest B.C., 1994 Exploration Program: Diamond drilling, Geology, Geophysics and Geochemistry at the Big Bull Mine and Banker Area, Redfern Resources Ltd.

## APPENDIX 1

## List of Mineral Claims and Crown Granted Claims

TULSEQUAH CHIEF PROPERTY - CLAIM STATUS

| PROPERTY AREA |  | RECORD NO. | TITLE NO. | UNITS | AREA (ha.) | EXPIRY DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Birds | 5224 | 203794 | 1 | 25 | 30-May-2004 |
|  | Pat | 5225 | 203795 | 1 | 25 | 30-May-2004 |
|  | Ross | 5226 | 203796 | 1 | 25 | 30-May-2004 |
|  | Mary 1 | 4289 | 203385 | 20 | 500 | 05-Aug-2004 |
|  | Marcie 1 | 4290 | 203386 | 20 | 500 | 05-Aug-2004 |
|  | Marcie 2 | 4291 | 203387 | 20 | 500 | 05-Aug-2004 |
|  | Marcie 3 | 4292 | 203388 | 20 | 500 | 05-Aug-2004 |
|  | Elysa 1 | 4293 | 203389 | 20 | 500 | 05-Aug-2004 |
|  | Elysa 2 | 4294 | 203390 | 20 | 500 | 05-Aug-2004 |
|  | Elysa 3 | 4295 | 203391 | 6 | 150 | 03-Aug-2004 |
|  | Elysa 4 | 4296 | 203392 | 20 | 500 | 05-Aug-2004 |
|  | Wendy 1 |  | 320163 | 20 | 500 | 31-Jul-2004 |
|  | Wendy 2 |  | 320164 | 20 | 500 | 01-Aug-2004 |
|  | Strong 1 |  | 320339 | 16 | 400 | 17-Aug-2004 |
|  | Strong 2 |  | 320340 | 12 | 300 | 18-Aug-2004 |
|  | Strong 3 |  | 320341 | 8 | 200 | 18-Aug-2004 |
|  | Strong 4 |  | 320342 | 12 | 300 | 19-Aug-2004 |
|  | Strong 5 |  | 320343 | 10 | 250 | 19-Aug-2004 |
|  | Rodger 1 |  | 322046 | 20 | 500 | 21-Oct-94 |
|  | Rodger 2 |  | 322053 | 20 | 500 | 21-Oct-94 |
|  | Rodger 3 |  | 322054 | 20 | 500 | 21-Oct-94 |
|  | Rodger 4 |  | 322055 | 10 | 250 | 21-Oct-94 |
|  | Rodger 5 |  | 322056 | 12 | 300 | 21-Oct-94 |
|  | Rodger 6 |  | 322057 | 18 | 450 | 21-Oct-94 |
|  | Rodger 7 |  | 322058 | 20 | 500 | 21-Oct-94 |
|  | T.M.F. 1 |  | 324199 | 6 | 150 | 22-Mar-95 |
|  | T.M.F. 2 |  | 324200 | 16 | 400 | 22-Mar-95 |
|  | T.M.F. 3 |  | 324201 | 12 | 300 | 22-Mar-95 |
|  | T.M.F. 4 |  | 324202 | 3 | 75 | 22-Mar-95 |
|  | Shazah 1 |  | 323102 | 18 | 450 | 22-Dec-94 |
|  | Shazah 2 |  | 323103 | 20 | 500 | 22-Dec-94 |
|  | Shazah 3 |  | 323104 | 6 | 150 | 22-Dec-94 |
|  | CST 4 |  | 323358 | 18 | 450 | 27-Jan-95 |
| Crown Grants: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | River Fr. | 5669 |  | 1 | 7.99 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Tulsequah Bonanza | 5668 |  | 1 | 20.9 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Tulsequah Bald Eagle | 5676 |  | 1 | 14.16 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Tulsequah Chief | 5670 |  | 1 | 20.9 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Tulsequah Elva Fr. | 5679 |  | 1 | 9.7 | 03-Jul-95 |
| Big Bull | Big Bull Extension | 37/21 | 203965 | 1 | 25 | 18-Jul-2004 |
|  | Bruce Fr. | 303 | 203781 | 1 | 25 | 17-Aug-2004 |
|  | Bull 2 | 141/32 | 203966 | 1 | 25 | 19-Jul-2004 |
|  | Bull 3 | 142/32 | 203967 | 1 | 25 | 19-Jul-2004 |
|  | Bull 4 | 143/32 | 203968 | 1 | 25 | 19-Jul-2004 |
|  | Bull 8 | 142 | 203779 | 1 | 25 | 16-Jul-2004 |
|  | Bull 9 | 179 | 203780 | 1 | 25 | 25-Apr-2003 |
|  | CO 3 | 997 | 201802 | 20 | 500 | 04-Mar-2003 |
|  | CO 5 | 998 | 201803 | 18 | 450 | 04-Mar-2003 |
|  | Goat 1 | 1707 | 201925 | 16 | 400 | 23-Jul-2004 |
|  | Swamp 1 | 1708 | 201926 | 4 | 100 | 23-Jul-2004 |

TULSEQUAH CHIEF PROPERTY - CLAIM STATUS

| PROPERTY AREA |  | RECORD NO. | TITLE NO. | UNITS | AREA (ha.) | EXPIRY DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Swamp 2 | 1709 | 201927 | 1 | 25 | 23-Jul-2004 |
|  | Swamp 3 | 1710 | 201928 | 1 | 25 | 23-Jul-2004 |
|  | Webb 1 | 2766 | 202279 | 20 | 500 | 27-Nov-2000 |
|  | Webb 4 | 2769 | 202282 | 20 | 500 | 27-Nov-2000 |
|  | Webb 5 | 2770 | 202283 | 20 | 500 | 27-Nov-2000 |
|  | Webb 9 | 2774 | 202284 | 10 | 250 | 27-Nov-2000 |
|  | Webb 10 | 2775 | 202285 | 16 | 400 | 27-Nov-2000 |
| Big Bull Crown Grants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Big Bull | 6303 |  | 1 | 20.65 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Bull No. 1 | 6304 |  | 1 | 16.95 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Bull No. 5 | 6306 |  | 1 | 14.57 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Bull No. 6 | 6305 |  | 1 | 17.22 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Hugh | 6308 |  | 1 | 20.71 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Jean | 6307 |  | 1 | 17.02 | 03-Jul-95 |
| Banker | Tallon No. 1 | 1979 | 202030 | 20 | 500 | 08/02/2003 |
|  | Tallon No. 2 | 1980 | 202031 | 9 | 225 | 08/02/2003 |
| Crown Grants: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vega No. 1 | 6155 |  | 1 | 20.9 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Vega No. 2 | 6156 |  | 1 | 17.62 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Vega No. 3 | 6157 |  | 1 | 18.97 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Vega No. 4 | 6158 |  | 1 | 19.85 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Vega No. 5 | 6159 |  | 1 | 14.94 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Janet W. No. 1 | 6160 |  | 1 | 18.95 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Janet W. No. 2 | 6161 |  | 1 | 18.75 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Janet W. No. 3 | 6162 |  | 1 | 16.6 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Janet W. No. 4 | 6163 |  | 1 | 20.76 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Janet W. No. 5 | 6164 |  | 1 | 18.2 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Janet W. No. 6 | 6165 |  | 1 | 19.02 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Janet W. No. 7 | 6166 |  | 1 | 18.78 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Janet W. No. 8 | 6167 |  | 1 | 17.98 | 03-Jul-95 |
|  | Joker | 6169 |  | 1 | 16.6 | 03-Jul-95 |

$16,638.69$

1 Maintained through annual tax payments due July 2 of each year.
N.B: Expiry dates reflect claim status as of October 19, 1994 at time of current assessment filing.

APPENDIX 2

Tulsequah Chief 1994 Diamond Drill Collars

TULSEQUAH CHIEF 1994 DIAMOND DRILL COLLARS
HOLE EASTING NORTHING ELEVATION DEPTH AZIMUTH DIP

Surface Holes

| TC94015 | $10,342.31$ | $15,238.43$ | 297.00 | 600.46 | 86.78 | -63.13 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TC94016 | $10,041.92$ | $14,668.60$ | 152.51 | 367.90 | 73.50 | -45.92 |
| TC94017 | $10,045.25$ | $15,262.50$ | 143.50 | 410.57 | 87.50 | -45.50 |
| TC94018 | $10,845.50$ | $14,601.00$ | 50.51 | 321.56 | 290.00 | $(55.50)$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | $1,700.49$ |  |  |

Underground Holes

| TCU94061 | $10,663.50$ | $15,376.50$ | 112.80 | 310.00 | 85.47 | -56.68 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| TCU94062 | $10,597.71$ | $15,544.10$ | 113.14 | 578.21 | 145.02 | -65.33 |
| TCU94063 | $10,663.24$ | $15,375.50$ | 112.59 | 356.00 | 911.12 | -66.69 |
| TCU94064 | $10,662.92$ | $15,375.21$ | 112.56 | 367.30 | 129.75 | -56.62 |
| TCU94065 | $10,662.89$ | $15,375.44$ | 112.61 | 492.56 | 186.92 | -68.06 |
| TCU94066 | $10,598.16$ | $15,544.86$ | 113.00 | 480.67 | 133.39 | -59.20 |
| TCU94067 | $10,662.33$ | $15,375.70$ | 112.74 | 386.80 | 124.26 | -56.28 |
| TCU94068 | $10,597.69$ | $15,545.59$ | 113.24 | 395.97 | 116.44 | -64.99 |
| TCU94069 | $10,664.18$ | $15,375.42$ | 112.65 | 284.70 | 105.21 | -37.76 |
| TCU94070 | $10,663.54$ | $15,375.34$ | 112.80 | 331.90 | 110.74 | -51.94 |
| TCU94071 | $10,664.79$ | $15,375.03$ | 112.70 | 257.30 | 109.14 | $(25.07)$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | $4,241.41$ |  |  |

## APPENDIX 3

Net Smelter Return Calculation Summary

NSR CALCULATION TABLE - TULSEQUAH CHIEF DEPOSIT
Metal Price Assumptions:

| Metal price US\$ |  | Metal price CAN\$ <br>  <br> US\$Cu |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Uxchange | 0.8 |  |  |
| US\$Pb | $\$ 1.00$ | CAN\$Cu | $\$ 1.25$ |
| US\$Zn | $\$ 0.35$ | CAN\$Pb | $\$ 0.44$ |
| US\$Ag | $\$ 0.60$ | CAN\$Zn | $\$ 0.75$ |
| US\$Au | $\$ 4.00$ | CAN\$Ag | $\$ 5.00$ |
|  | $\$ 375.00$ | CAN\$Au | $\$ 468.75$ |


|  | Metallurgical Balance - Weight \% Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wt\% | Cu | Pb | Zn | Fe | Sb | As | Aug/T | $\mathrm{Ag} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ |
| Gravity | 0.09\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | NA | NA | NA | 800.00 | 1100.00 |
| Cu Conc | 4.68\% | 25.70\% | 0.27\% | 5.40\% | 28.00\% | 0.20\% | 0.90\% | 5.00 | 1029.00 |
| Pb Conc | 1.63\% | 5.00\% | 60.00\% | 5.20\% | 6.00\% | 0.10\% | 0.50\% | 65.00 | 1550.00 |
| Zn Conc | 9.81\% | 0.45\% | 0.12\% | 57.00\% | 6.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.00 | 65.00 |
| Tailing | 83.80\% | 0.09\% | 0.08\% | 0.59\% | 9.60\% | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.40 | 15.00 |
| Feed | 100.00\% | 1.40\% | 1.07\% | 6.42\% | 10.00\% | 0.01\% | 0.05\% | 2.40 | 93.37 |
|  | Metallurgical Balance - \% Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wt\% | Cu | Pb | Zn | Fe | Sb | As | Au | Ag |
| Gravity | 0.09\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | NA | NA | NA | 28.33\% | 1.00\% |
| Cu Conc | 4.68\% | 85.82\% | 1.18\% | 3.93\% | 13.09\% | 85.00\% | 89.52\% | 9.74\% | 51.52\% |
| Pb Conc | 1.63\% | 5.83\% | 91.46\% | 1.32\% | 0.98\% | 14.83\% | 17.35\% | 44.17\% | 27.08\% |
| Zn Conc | 9.81\% | 3.15\% | 1.10\% | 87.05\% | 5.88\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 4.09\% | 6.83\% |
| Tailing | 83.80\% | 5.39\% | 6.27\% | 7.70\% | 80.45\% | 30.47\% | 26.75\% | 13.97\% | 13.46\% |
| Feed | 100.00\% | 100.18\% | 100.00\% | 100.01\% | 100.40\% | 130.30\% | 133.62\% | 100.30\% | 99.89\% |

## ALL VALUES IN CANADIAN DOLLARS UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED

## Copper Concentrate Sale:

| Refining |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Metal Pric | Charge |  | Net price | Unit |  |  |
| Au | \$468.75 | \$6.80 |  | \$461.95 | Troy Oz. |  |  |
| Ag | \$5.00 | \$0.45 |  | \$4.55 | Troy Oz. |  |  |
| Cu | \$1.25 | \$0.12 |  | \$1.13 | pound |  |  |
| Payables |  |  | Remaining |  | Payable | Net | Equivalent |
| Metal | \# Units | Deduction | Units | Pay\% | Units | Payment | US\$/DMT |
| Au | 0.146 | 0.000 | 0.146 | 96.0\% | 0.140 | \$64.66 | \$56.91 |
| Ag | 30.009 | 0.000 | 30.009 | 94.0\% | 28.208 | \$128.35 | \$112.95 |
| Cu | 514.000 | 20.000 | 494.000 | 100.0\% | 494.000 | \$558.22 | \$491.23 |
| Total Payables |  |  |  |  |  | \$751.23 | \$661.08 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic treatment |  |  |  |  |  | \$102.27 | \$90.00 |
| $\mathrm{Pb}+\mathrm{Zn}$ penalty |  |  |  |  |  | \$10.00 | \$8.80 |
| As + Sb penalty |  |  |  |  |  | \$20.00 | \$17.60 |
| Total deductions |  |  |  |  |  | \$132.27 | \$116.40 |
| NSR FOB Smelter (Total Payables - total deductions) |  |  |  |  |  | \$618.96 | \$544.69 |
| Concentrate freight from Juneau |  |  |  |  |  | \$30.23 | \$26.60 |
| NSR FOB Juneau |  |  |  |  |  | \$588.73 | \$518.08 |
| NSR FOB Juneau per short dry ton mill feed |  |  |  |  |  | \$27.52 | \$24.22 |
| NSR FOB Juneau per metric dry tonne mill feed |  |  |  |  |  | \$30.28 | \$24.22 |

## Lead Concentrate Sale:

| Refining |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Metal Pric | Charge |  | Net price | Unit |  |  |
| Au | \$468.75 | \$6.80 |  | \$461.95 | Troy Oz. |  |  |
| Ag | \$5.00 | \$0.25 |  | \$4.75 | Troy Oz. |  |  |
| Cu | \$1.25 | \$0.20 |  | \$1.05 | pound |  |  |
| Pb | \$0.44 |  |  | \$0.44 | pound |  |  |
| Payables |  |  | Remaining |  | Payable | Net | Equivalent |
| Metal | \# Units | Deduction | Units | Pay\% | Units | Payment | US\$/DMT |
| Au | 1.896 | 0.029 | 1.866 | 95.0\% | 1.773 | \$819.09 | \$720.80 |
| Ag | 45.203 | 1.000 | 44.203 | 95.0\% | 41.993 | \$199.46 | \$175.53 |
| Cu | 100.000 | 10.000 | 90.000 | 40.0\% | 36.000 | \$37.80 | \$33.26 |
| Pb | 1200.000 | 0.000 | 1200.000 | 95.0\% | 1140.000 | \$498.75 | \$438.90 |
| Total Payables |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,555.10 | \$1,368.49 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic treatment |  |  |  |  |  | \$220.00 | \$193.60 |
| As + Sb penalty |  |  |  |  |  | \$10.00 | \$8.80 |
| Total deductions |  |  |  |  |  | \$230.00 | \$202.40 |
| NSR FOB Smelter (Total Payables - total deductions) |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,325.10 | \$1,166.09 |
| Concentrate freight from Juneau |  |  |  |  |  | \$30.23 | \$26.60 |
| NSR FOB Juneau |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,294.87 | \$1,139.49 |
| NSR FOB Juneau per short dry ton mill feed |  |  |  |  |  | \$21.12 | \$18.59 |
| NSR FOB Juneau per metric dry tonne mill feed |  |  |  |  |  | \$23.23 | \$18.59 |

## Zinc Concentrate Sale:

| Refining |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Metal Pric | Charge |  | Net price | Unit |  |  |
| Au | \$468.75 | \$9.38 |  | \$459.38 | Troy Oz. |  |  |
| Ag | \$5.00 | \$0.35 |  | \$4.65 | Troy Oz. |  |  |
| Zn | \$0.75 | \$0.00 |  | \$0.75 | pound |  |  |
| Payables |  |  | Remaining |  | Payable | Net | Equivalent |
| Metal | \# Units | Deduction | Units | Pay\% | Units | Payment | US\$/DMT |
| Au | 0.029 | 0.050 | 0.000 | 96.0\% | 0.000 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Ag | 1.896 | 3.000 | 0.000 | 96.0\% | 0.000 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Zn | 1140.000 | 160.000 | 980.000 | 100.0\% | 980.000 | \$735.00 | \$646.80 |
| Total Payables |  |  |  |  |  | \$735.00 | \$646.80 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic treatment |  |  |  |  |  | \$218.18 | \$192.00 |
| Hg penalty |  |  |  |  |  | \$6.00 | \$5.28 |
| Total deductions |  |  |  |  |  | \$224.18 | \$197.28 |
| NSR FOB Smelter (Total Payables - total deductions) |  |  |  |  |  | \$510.82 | \$449.52 |
| Concentrate freight from Juneau |  |  |  |  |  | \$30.72 | \$27.03 |
| NSR FOB Juneau |  |  |  |  |  | \$480.10 | \$422.49 |
| NSR FOB Juneau per short dry ton mill feed |  |  |  |  |  | \$47.07 | \$41.42 |
| NSR FOB Juneau per metric dry tonne mill feed |  |  |  |  |  | \$51.78 | \$41.42 |

## Gravity Concentrate Sale:



Total Concentrate Sales Summary:

|  | NSR FOB Juneau/DMT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Gravity Conc. | $\$ 10.03$ | $\$ 8.03$ |
| Copper Conc. | $\$ 30.28$ | $\$ 24.22$ |
| Lead Conc. | $\$ 23.23$ | $\$ 18.59$ |
| Zinc Conc. | $\$ 51.78$ | $\$ 41.42$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 115.32$ | $\$ 92.26$ |

Total Contribution of Metals to NSR:

|  | Cu | Pb | Zn | Au | Ag | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gravity Conc. | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 9.89$ | $\$ 0.14$ | $\$ 10.03$ |
| Copper Conc. | $\$ 22.50$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 2.61$ | $\$ 5.17$ | $\$ 30.28$ |
| Lead Conc. | $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 7.45$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 12.24$ | $\$ 2.98$ | $\$ 23.23$ |
| Zinc Conc. | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 51.78$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 51.78$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | $\$ 23.06$ | $\$ 7.45$ | $\$ 51.78$ | $\$ 24.73$ | $\$ 8.29$ | $\$ 115.32$ |
| $\%$ of total NSR | $20.00 \%$ | $6.46 \%$ | $44.90 \%$ | $21.45 \%$ | $7.19 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Gross Value/tonne | $\$ 38.50$ | $\$ 10.30$ | $\$ 105.93$ | $\$ 36.09$ | $\$ 14.98$ | $\$ 205.79$ |
| NSR as Payable $\%$ | $59.90 \%$ | $72.35 \%$ | $48.88 \%$ | $68.54 \%$ | $55.38 \%$ | $56.04 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contribution to NSR | Cu | Pb | Zn | Au | Ag |  |
| per grade unit | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ |  |
| Dollar value factor | $\$ 16.4726$ | $\$ 6.9633$ | $\$ 8.0656$ | $\$ 10.3059$ | $\$ 0.0888$ |  |
| (NSR per metal/Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of original feed sample) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Example of NSR calculation for a given grade of intersection:

|  | $\mathrm{Cu} \%$ | $\mathrm{~Pb} \%$ | $\mathrm{Zn} \%$ | $\mathrm{Aug} / \mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{Ag} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Sample grade | 1.99 | 1.01 | 8.32 | 4.15 | 166.6 | $\mathrm{NSR} /$ tonne |
| $\times$ | $\$ 16.4726$ | $\$ 6.9633$ | $\$ 8.0656$ | $\$ 10.3059$ | $\$ 0.0888$ |  |
| Dollar factor above | $\$ 32.78$ | $\$ 7.03$ | $\$ 67.11$ | $\$ 42.77$ | $\$ 14.80$ | $\$ 164.49$ |

## APPENDIX 4

Tulsequah Chief Drill Intersection Summary (1987-1994)

TULSEQUAH CHIEF DRILL INTERSECTION SUMMARY (1987-1994)


## APPENDIX 5

Sectional Ore Reserve Summary

TULSEQUAH CHIEF DEPOSIT - 1994 RESERVE SUMMARY

| CATEGORY | SG | CU\% | PB\% | ZN\% | AU $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ | AG $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ NSR_RR TONNES |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PROBABLE | 3.50 | 1.43 | 1.21 | 6.47 | 2.62 | $105.89 \$ 120.59$ | $5,170,905$ |
| POSSIBLE | 3.40 | 1.05 | 1.12 | 5.95 | 2.35 | 104.74 | $\$ 106.56$ |
| OLD RESERVE (COMINCO, 1957) | 3.50 | 1.30 | 1.60 | 8.00 | 2.40 | $116.50 \$ 132.16$ | 707,602 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 3.47 | 1.30 | 1.21 | 6.42 | 2.51 | 106.36 | $\$ 116.88$ |

## TULSEQUAH CHIEF DEPOSIT RESERVE SUMMARY (BELOW 0 LEVEL)



## TULSEQUAH CHIEF DEPOSIT CONSOLIDATED RESERVE SUMMARY



| TULSEQUAH CHIEF H/AB2 LENSES N SECT BLOCK | OEPOSIT - R <br> HOLE | RVE SG | CALCU CU\% | LATIO PB\% | TABL ZN\% | $\mathrm{AU} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ | AG g/T | NSR | AREA | ROBABLE <br> VERT <br> RANGE | RESERVE VOLUME | TONNES | AREA | ROBABLE <br> VERT <br> RANGE | RESERVE VOLUME | TONNES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1860 H3A | TCU-88-3 | 4.41 | 4.06 | 0.23 | 2.56 | 2.98 | 57.45 | \$124.95 | 115.93 | 20.0 | 2318.6 | 10229.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1860 H46A | TCU92-46 | 3.88 | 0.66 | 0.51 | 10.71 | 1.52 | 47.14 | \$120.77 | 154.40 | 20.0 | 3088.0 | 11988.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 1860 H46B | TCU92-46 | 3.88 | 0.66 | 0.51 | 10.71 | 1.52 | 47.14 | \$120.77 |  |  |  |  | 11.66 | 20.0 | 233.2 | 905.4 |
| 1880 H3A | TCU-88-3 | 4.41 | 4.06 | 0.23 | 2.56 | 2.98 | 57.45 | \$124.95 | 346.09 | 20.0 | 6921.8 | 30537.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1880 H46A | TCU92-46 | 3.88 | 0.66 | 0.51 | 10.71 | 1.52 | 47.14 | \$120.77 | 289.41 | 20.0 | 5788.3 | 22472.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1880 H55A | TCU93-55 | 3.62 | 0.61 | 2.64 | 6.71 | 7.12 | 264.57 | \$179.50 | 172.22 | 20.0 | 3444.5 | 12480.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 H3A | TCU-88-3 | 4.41 | 4.06 | 0.23 | 2.56 | 2.98 | 57.45 | \$124.95 | 701.04 | 20.0 | 14020.9 | 61857.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 H3B | TCU-88-3 | 4.41 | 4.06 | 0.23 | 2.56 | 2.98 | 57.45 | \$124.95 |  |  |  |  | 31.82 | 20.0 | 636.4 | 2807.5 |
| 1900 H46A | TCU92-46 | 3.88 | 0.66 | 0.51 | 10.71 | 1.52 | 47.14 | \$120.77 | 195.48 | 20.0 | 3909.7 | 15178.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 H55AU | TCU93-55 | 3.62 | 0.61 | 2.64 | 6.71 | 7.12 | 264.57 | \$179.50 | 267.37 | 20.0 | 5347.4 | 19374.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 H55BUE | TCU93-55 | 3.62 | 0.61 | 2.64 | 6.71 | 7.12 | 264.57 | \$179.50 |  |  |  |  | 41.83 | 20.0 | 836.5 | 3031.0 |
| 1900 H55AM | TCU93-55 | 4.14 | 1.63 | 1.10 | 3.56 | 2.84 | 30.43 | \$95.16 | 180.72 | 20.0 | 3614.3 | 14953.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 H55BMW | TCU93-55 | 4.14 | 1.63 | 1.10 | 3.56 | 2.84 | 30.43 | \$95.16 |  |  |  |  | 102.15 | 20.0 | 2043.0 | 8452.3 |
| 1900 H55BME | TCU93-55 | 4.14 | 1.63 | 1.10 | 3.56 | 2.84 | 30.43 | \$95.16 |  |  |  |  | 17.96 | 20.0 | 359.3 | 1486.4 |
| 1920 H3A | TCU-88-3 | 4.41 | 4.06 | 0.23 | 2.56 | 2.98 | 57.45 | \$124.95 | 490.07 | 20.0 | 9801.4 | 43241.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1920 H3B | TCU-88-3 | 4.41 | 4.06 | 0.23 | 2.56 | 2.98 | 57.45 | \$124.95 |  |  |  |  | 80.72 | 20.0 | 1614.3 | 7122.1 |
| 1920 H46A | TCU92-46 | 3.88 | 0.66 | 0.51 | 10.71 | 1.52 | 47.14 | \$120.77 | 128.75 | 20.0 | 2575.1 | 9997.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1920 H55A | TCU93-55 | 3.62 | 0.61 | 2.64 | 6.71 | 7.12 | 264.57 | \$179.50 | 85.76 | 20.0 | 1715.3 | 6214.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1920 H55AL | TCU93-55 | 4.14 | 1.63 | 1.10 | 3.56 | 2.84 | 30.43 | \$95.16 | 142.33 | 20.0 | 2846.5 | 11776.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1940 H32B | TCU91-32 | 3.23 | 2.25 | 1.12 | 2.56 | 2.62 | 89.31 | \$100.48 |  |  |  |  | 570.14 | 20.0 | 11402.9 | 36783.7 |
| 1940 H34B | TCU91-34 | 4.02 | 0.67 | 1.46 | 9.25 | 1.99 | 73.04 | \$122.69 |  |  |  |  | 330.06 | 20.0 | 6601.3 | 26553.1 |
| 1940 H52A | TCU93-52 | 2.94 | 0.65 | 0.77 | 2.51 | 0.82 | 46.07 | \$48.81 | 289.96 | 20.0 | 5799.1 | 17049.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 H32A | TCU91-32 | 3.23 | 2.25 | 1.12 | 2.56 | 2.62 | 89.31 | \$100.48 | 428.38 | 20.0 | 8567.7 | 27637.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 H34B | TCU91-34 | 4.02 | 0.67 | 1.46 | 9.25 | 1.99 | 73.04 | \$122.69 |  |  |  |  | 323.35 | 20.0 | 6466.9 | 26012.7 |
| 1960 H52B | TCU93-52 | 2.94 | 0.65 | 0.77 | 2.51 | 0.82 | 46.07 | \$48.81 |  |  |  |  | 327.47 | 20.0 | 6549.3 | 19255.0 |
| 1980 H34A | TCU91-34 | 4.02 | 0.67 | 1.46 | 9.25 | 1.99 | 73.04 | \$122.69 | 495.52 | 20.0 | 9910.5 | 39864.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 H32A | TCU91-32 | 3.23 | 2.25 | 1.12 | 2.56 | 2.62 | 89.31 | \$100.48 | 132.21 | 20.0 | 2644.2 | 8529.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 H37A | TCU92-37 | 4.00 | 1.77 | 1.37 | 10.67 | 4.00 | 70.69 | \$172.27 | 340.21 | 20.0 | 6804.2 | 27225.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 H52A | TCU93-52 | 2.94 | 0.65 | 0.77 | 2.51 | 0.82 | 46.07 | \$48.81 | 280.94 | 20.0 | 5618.8 | 16519.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 H34A | TCU91-34 | 4.02 | 0.67 | 1.46 | 9.25 | 1.99 | 73.04 | \$122.69 | 475.81 | 20.0 | 9516.2 | 38278.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 H32A | TCU91-32 | 3.23 | 2.25 | 1.12 | 2.56 | 2.62 | 89.31 | \$100.48 | 424.59 | 20.0 | 8491.8 | 27393.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 H37AU | TCU92-37 | 3.17 | 0.85 | 1.10 | 5.30 | 2.35 | 70.90 | \$94.94 | 143.65 | 20.0 | 2873.0 | 9118.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 H37AM | TCU92-37 | 4.00 | 1.77 | 1.37 | 10.67 | 4.00 | 70.69 | \$172.27 | 180.95 | 20.0 | 3619.1 | 14481.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 H52A | TCU93-52 | 2.94 | 0.65 | 0.77 | 2.51 | 0.82 | 46.07 | \$48.81 | 252.17 | 20.0 | 5043.3 | 14827.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 H52B | TCU93-52 | 2.94 | 0.65 | 0.77 | 2.51 | 0.82 | 46.07 | \$48.81 |  |  |  |  | 68.86 | 20.0 | 1377.1 | 4048.7 |
| 2020 H12A | TCU89-12 | 3.37 | 2.05 | 0.44 | 3.47 | 1.07 | 41.92 | \$79.53 | 140.59 | 20.0 | 2811.8 | 9478.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 H34BW | TCU91-34 | 4.02 | 0.67 | 1.46 | 9.25 | 1.99 | 73.04 | \$122.69 |  |  |  |  | 104.14 | 20.0 | 2082.8 | 8377.9 |
| 2020 H34A | TCU91-34 | 4.02 | 0.67 | 1.46 | 9.25 | 1.99 | 73.04 | \$122.69 | 427.62 | 20.0 | 8552.3 | 34400.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 H34BE | TCU91-34 | 4.02 | 0.67 | 1.46 | 9.25 | 1.99 | 73.04 | \$122.69 |  |  |  |  | 44.31 | 20.0 | 886.2 | 3564.7 |
| 2020 H37AU | TCU92-37 | 3.17 | 0.85 | 1.10 | 5.30 | 2.35 | 70.90 | \$94.94 | 236.75 | 20.0 | 4734.9 | 15028.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 H37BUW | TCU92-37 | 3.17 | 0.85 | 1.10 | 5.30 | 2.35 | 70.90 | \$94.94 |  |  |  |  | 30.03 | 20.0 | 600.5 | 1906.0 |
| 2020 H37AL | TCU92-37 | 4.00 | 1.77 | 1.37 | 10.67 | 4.00 | 70.69 | \$172.27 | 351.76 | 20.0 | 7035.2 | 28150.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 H37BLW | TCU92-37 | 4.00 | 1.77 | 1.37 | 10.67 | 4.00 | 70.69 | \$172.27 |  |  |  |  | 44.85 | 20.0 | 897.0 | 3589.1 |
| 2020 H54A | TCU93-54 | 3.48 | 1.71 | 0.46 | 3.21 | 0.98 | 36.20 | \$70.58 | 214.07 | 20.0 | 4281.5 | 14885.3 |  |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TULSEQU/ } \\ & \text { H/AB2 LEN } \\ & \mathrm{N} \text { SECT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AH CHIEF NSES <br> BLOCK | DEPOSIT - R <br> HOLE | RVE SG | CALCU CU\% | LATIO PB\% |  | $A \cup g / T$ | $A G g / T$ | NSR |  | ROBABLE VERT RANGE | RESERVE VOLUME | TONNES | AREA | ROBABLE <br> VERT RANGE | RESERVE <br> VOLUME | TONNES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2120 | H48AL | TCU93-48 | 3.00 | 1.18 | 0.36 | 3.92 | 1.30 | 39.24 | \$70.44 | 174.68 | 20.0 | 3493.6 | 10480.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2120 | H66BW | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 |  |  |  |  | 154.22 | 20.0 | 3084.4 | 9222.2 |
| 2120 | H66A | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 | 261.21 | 20.0 | 5224.2 | 15620.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2120 | H66BE | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 |  |  |  |  | 29.82 | 20.0 | 596.3 | 1783.1 |
| 2120 | H68BW | TCU94-68 | 3.03 | 0.51 | 0.88 | 2.58 | 2.74 | 92.91 | \$71.83 |  |  |  |  | 36.93 | 20.0 | 738.6 | 2237.9 |
| 2120 | H68A | TCU94-68 | 3.03 | 0.51 | 0.88 | 2.58 | 2.74 | 92.91 | \$71.83 | 167.28 | 20.0 | 3345.7 | 10137.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2120 | H68BE | TCU94-68 | 3.03 | 0.51 | 0.88 | 2.58 | 2.74 | 92.91 | \$71.83 |  |  |  |  | 16.34 | 20.0 | 326.8 | 990.2 |
| 2140 | H65BUW | TCU94-65 | 3.92 | 2.92 | 1.15 | 11.65 | 3.07 | 159.02 | \$195.84 |  |  |  |  | 111.35 | 20.0 | 2226.9 | 8729.5 |
| 2140 | H65AU | TCU94-65 | 3.92 | 2.92 | 1.15 | 11.65 | 3.07 | 159.02 | \$195.84 | 567.85 | 20.0 | 11356.9 | 44519.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2140 | H65AL | TCU94-65 | 3.57 | 0.48 | 2.36 | 11.77 | 3.27 | 116.86 | \$163.35 | 81.22 | 20.0 | 1624.5 | 5799.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2140 | H65BUE | TCU94-65 | 3.92 | 2.92 | 1.15 | 11.65 | 3.07 | 159.02 | \$195.84 |  |  |  |  | 57.82 | 20.0 | 1156.5 | 4533.3 |
| 2140 | H66BW | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 |  |  |  |  | 95.60 | 20.0 | 1912.0 | 5717.0 |
| 2140 | H66A | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 | 169.40 | 20.0 | 3388.0 | 10130.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2140 | H66BE | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 |  |  |  |  | 82.67 | 20.0 | 1653.5 | 4943.9 |
| 2140 | H68BW | TCU94-68 | 3.03 | 0.51 | 0.88 | 2.58 | 2.74 | 92.91 | \$71.83 |  |  |  |  | 24.37 | 20.0 | 487.4 | 1476.7 |
| 2140 | H68A | TCU94-68 | 3.03 | 0.51 | 0.88 | 2.58 | 2.74 | 92.91 | \$71.83 | 124.12 | 20.0 | 2482.4 | 7521.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2140 | H68BE | TCU94-68 | 3.03 | 0.51 | 0.88 | 2.58 | 2.74 | 92.91 | \$71.83 |  |  |  |  | 40.38 | 20.0 | 807.6 | 2447.0 |
| 2140 | H13B | TCU89-13 | 3.45 | 0.97 | 1.34 | 5.60 | 2.25 | 84.22 | \$101.11 |  |  |  |  | 49.77 | 20.0 | 995.5 | 3432.1 |
| 2140 | H13A | TCU89-13 | 3.45 | 0.97 | 1.34 | 5.60 | 2.25 | 84.22 | \$101.11 | 791.92 | 20.0 | 15838.3 | 54605.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2140 | H19A | TCU89-19 | 3.82 | 1.32 | 1.75 | 11.86 | 2.11 | 116.54 | \$161.68 | 293.00 | 20.0 | 5860.0 | 22369.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2140 | H19B | TCU89-19 | 3.82 | 1.32 | 1.75 | 11.86 | 2.11 | 116.54 | \$161.68 |  |  |  |  | 111.20 | 20.0 | 2224.0 | 8489.9 |
| 2140 | H48A | TCU93-48 | 3.45 | 1.27 | 2.50 | 12.97 | 3.02 | 130.72 | \$185.59 | 154.95 | 20.0 | 3099.1 | 10706.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2160 | H65BUW | TCU94-65 | 3.92 | 2.92 | 1.15 | 11.65 | 3.07 | 159.02 | \$195.84 |  |  |  |  | 89.77 | 200 | 1795.4 | 7038.0 |
| 2160 | H65AU | TCU94-65 | 3.92 | 2.92 | 1.15 | 11.65 | 3.07 | 159.02 | \$195.84 | 555.31 | 20.0 | 11106.1 | 43536.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2160 | H65AL | TCU94-65 | 3.57 | 0.48 | 2.36 | 11.77 | 3.27 | 116.86 | \$163.35 | 89.43 | 20.0 | 1788.5 | 6385.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2160 | H65BUE | TCU94-65 | 3.92 | 2.92 | 1.15 | 11.65 | 3.07 | 159.02 | \$195.84 |  |  |  |  | 59.24 | 20.0 | 1184.8 | 4644.3 |
| 2160 | H13BL | TCU89-13 | 3.45 | 0.97 | 1.34 | 5.60 | 2.25 | 84.22 | \$101.11 |  |  |  |  | 70.91 | 20.0 | 1418.2 | 4889.5 |
| 2160 | H13B | TCU89-13 | 3.45 | 0.97 | 1.34 | 5.60 | 2.25 | 84.22 | \$101.11 |  |  |  |  | 50.33 | 20.0 | 1006.6 | 3470.5 |
| 2160 | H13A | TCU89-13 | 3.45 | 0.97 | 1.34 | 5.60 | 2.25 | 84.22 | \$101.11 | 784.94 | 20.0 | 15698.7 | 54124.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2160 | H19A | TCU89-19 | 3.82 | 1.32 | 1.75 | 11.86 | 2.11 | 116.54 | \$161.68 | 255.07 | 20.0 | 5101.4 | 19474.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2160 | H19B | TCU89-19 | 3.82 | 1.32 | 1.75 | 11.86 | 2.11 | 116.54 | \$161.68 |  |  |  |  | 81.69 | 20.0 | 1633.8 | 6236.9 |
| 2160 | H66BW | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 |  |  |  |  | 83.31 | 20.0 | 1666.2 | 4982.0 |
| 2160 | H66A | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 | 164.30 | 20.0 | 3285.9 | 9824.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2160 | H66BE | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 |  |  |  |  | 17.35 | 20.0 | 346.9 | 1037.4 |
| 2160 | H68BW | TCU94-68 | 3.03 | 0.51 | 0.88 | 2.58 | 2.74 | 92.91 | \$71.83 |  |  |  |  | 18.73 | 20.0 | 374.5 | 1134.8 |
| 2160 | H68A | TCU94-68 | 3.03 | 0.51 | 0.88 | 2.58 | 2.74 | 92.91 | \$71.83 | 115.96 | 20.0 | 2319.1 | 7026.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2160 | H68BE | TCU94-68 | 3.03 | 0.51 | 0.88 | 2.58 | 2.74 | 92.91 | \$71.83 |  |  |  |  | 53.29 | 20.0 | 1065.9 | 3229.6 |
| 2180 | H19A | TCU89-19 | 3.82 | 1.32 | 1.75 | 11.86 | 2.11 | 116.54 | \$161.68 | 140.86 | 20.0 | 2817.2 | 10754.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2180 | H18A | TCU89-18 | 3.81 | 0.42 | 0.95 | 3.92 | 2.01 | 50.63 | \$70.36 | 896.81 | 20.0 | 17936.3 | 68337.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2180 | H18B | TCU89-18 | 3.81 | 0.42 | 0.95 | 3.92 | 2.01 | 50.63 | \$70.36 |  |  |  |  | 52.20 | 20.0 | 1044.0 | 3977.7 |
| 2180 | H65AU | TCU94-65 | 3.92 | 2.92 | 1.15 | 11.65 | 3.07 | 159.02 | \$195.84 | 546.06 | 20.0 | 10921.2 | 42811.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2180 | H65B | TCU94-65 | 3.92 | 2.92 | 1.15 | 11.65 | 3.07 | 159.02 | \$195.84 |  |  |  |  | 90.70 | 20.0 | 1813.9 | 7110.7 |
| 2180 | H65AL | TCU94-65 | 3.57 | 0.48 | 2.36 | 11.77 | 3.27 | 116.86 | \$163.35 | 88.18 | 20.0 | 1763.6 | 6295.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2180 | H56A | TCU93-56 | 3.26 | 0.88 | 1.02 | 5.37 | 3.08 | 66.24 | \$102.57 | 253.92 | 20.0 | 5078.3 | 16538.0 |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TULSEQU } \\ & \text { H/AB2 LEN } \\ & \mathrm{N} \text { SECT } \end{aligned}$ | AH CHIEF NSES <br> BLOCK | JEPOSIT - F <br> HOLE | RVE SG | CALCU CU\% | LATIO PB\% | TABL ZN\% | $\mathrm{AU} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ | $A G g / T$ | NSR | AREA | ROBABLE <br> VERT RANGE | VOLUME | TONNES | AREA | VERT <br> RANGE | VOLUME | TONNES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2180 | H62A | TCU94-62 | 3.30 | 1.21 | 0.52 | 3.52 | 0.96 | 58.09 | \$67.00 | 164.42 | 20.0 | 3288.4 | 10851.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2180 | H62B | TCU94-62 | 3.30 | 1.21 | 0.52 | 3.52 | 0.96 | 58.09 | \$67.00 |  |  |  |  | 32.08 | 20.0 | 641.6 | 2117.4 |
| 2180 | H66B | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 |  |  |  |  | 34.65 | 20.0 | 693.0 | 2072.2 |
| 2180 | H66A | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 | 124.52 | 20.0 | 2490.4 | 7446.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2180 | H66B | TCU94-66 | 2.99 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 164.69 | \$81.96 |  |  |  |  | 17.77 | 20.0 | 355.3 | 1062.4 |
| 2180 | H68B | TCU94-68 | 3.03 | 0.51 | 0.88 | 2.58 | 2.74 | 92.91 | \$71.83 |  |  |  |  | 16.63 | 20.0 | 332.7 | 1008.0 |
| 2180 | H68A | TCU94-68 | 3.03 | 0.51 | 088 | 2.58 | 2.74 | 92.91 | \$71.83 | 119.95 | 20.0 | 2398.9 | 7268.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2200 | H15AU | TCU89-15 | 3.32 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 7.06 | 0.53 | 28.33 | \$72.72 | 101.23 | 20.0 | 2024.7 | 6715.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2200 | H15BU | TCU89-15 | 3.32 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 7.06 | 0.53 | 28.33 | \$72.72 |  |  |  |  | 18.17 | 20.0 | 363.4 | 1205.5 |
| 2200 | H15AL | TCU89-15 | 3.93 | 0.97 | 1.56 | 9.93 | 2.06 | 36.22 | \$131.43 | 156.76 | 20.0 | 3135.3 | 12324.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2200 | H15BL | TCU89-15 | 3.93 | 0.97 | 1.56 | 9.93 | 2.06 | 36.22 | \$131.43 |  |  |  |  | 32.01 | 20.0 | 640.3 | 2516.9 |
| 2200 | H19A | TCU89-19 | 3.82 | 1.32 | 1.75 | 11.86 | 2.11 | 116.54 | \$161.68 | 123.36 | 20.0 | 2467.1 | 9418.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2200 | H35AU | TCU91-35 | 3.56 | 1.52 | 2.32 | 13.50 | 2.76 | 126.01 | \$189.72 | 107.72 | 20.0 | 2154.5 | 7668.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2200 | H35AL | TCU91-35 | 3.93 | 3.50 | 0.94 | 7.71 | 2.02 | 228.34 | \$167.55 | 155.88 | 20.0 | 3117.6 | 12244.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2200 | H18A | TCU89-18 | 3.81 | 0.42 | 0.95 | 3.92 | 2.01 | 50.63 | \$70.36 | 211.70 | 20.0 | 4233.9 | 16131.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2200 | H53A | TCU93-53 | 3.35 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 9.41 | 4.10 | 192.74 | \$157.27 | 56.62 | 20.0 | 1132.4 | 3794.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2200 | H56A | TCU93-56 | 3.26 | 0.88 | 1.02 | 5.37 | 3.08 | 66.24 | \$102.57 | 191.06 | 20.0 | 3821.1 | 12443.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2200 | H62A | TCU94-62 | 3.30 | 1.21 | 0.52 | 3.52 | 0.96 | 58.09 | \$67.00 | 102.73 | 20.0 | 2054.6 | 6780.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2200 | H62B | TCU94-62 | 3.30 | 1.21 | 0.52 | 3.52 | 0.96 | 58.09 | \$67.00 |  |  |  |  | 55.74 | 20.0 | 1114.7 | 3678.5 |
| 2220 | H15AU | TCU89-15 | 3.32 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 7.06 | 0.53 | 28.33 | \$72.72 | 66.95 | 20.0 | 1339.0 | 4441.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2220 | H15AL | TCU89-15 | 3.93 | 0.97 | 1.56 | 9.93 | 2.06 | 36.22 | \$131.43 | 53.23 | 20.0 | 1064.6 | 4184.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2220 | H15BL | TCU89-15 | 3.93 | 0.97 | 1.56 | 9.93 | 2.06 | 36.22 | \$131.43 |  |  |  |  | 10.68 | 20.0 | 213.6 | 839.6 |
| 2220 | H35AU | TCU91-35 | 3.56 | 1.52 | 2.32 | 13.50 | 2.76 | 126.01 | \$189.72 | 79.21 | 20.0 | 1584.2 | 5638.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2220 | H35AL | TCU91-35 | 3.93 | 3.50 | 0.94 | 7.71 | 2.02 | 228.34 | \$167.55 | 77.48 | 20.0 | 1549.5 | 6086.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2220 | H18A | TCU89-18 | 3.81 | 0.42 | 0.95 | 3.92 | 2.01 | 50.93 | \$70.39 | 193.18 | 20.0 | 3863.6 | 14720.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2220 | H53A | TCU93-53 | 3.35 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 9.41 | 4.10 | 192.74 | \$157.27 | 124.13 | 20.0 | 2482.6 | 8318.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2220 | H56A | TCU93-56 | 3.26 | 0.88 | 1.02 | 5.37 | 3.08 | 66.24 | \$102.57 | 290.22 | 20.0 | 5804.4 | 18902.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2220 | H62A | TCU94-62 | 3.30 | 1.21 | 0.52 | 3.52 | 0.96 | 58.09 | \$67.00 | 104.31 | 20.0 | 2086.1 | 6884.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2220 | H62B | TCU94-62 | 3.30 | 1.21 | 0.52 | 3.52 | 0.96 | 58.09 | \$67.00 |  |  |  |  | 53.63 | 20.0 | 1072.6 | 3539.6 |
| 2240 | H31BL | TCU91-31 | 3.68 | 1.28 | 0.25 | 1.31 | 2.73 | 97.56 | \$70.26 |  |  |  |  | 19.89 | 20.0 | 397.7 | 1462.3 |
| 2240 | H31AL | TCU91-31 | 3.68 | 1.28 | 0.25 | 1.31 | 2.73 | 97.56 | \$70.26 | 37.25 | 20.0 | 745.0 | 2739.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2240 | H31AU | TCU91-31 | 2.94 | 0.57 | 1.20 | 4.28 | 2.79 | 82.27 | \$88.33 | . 102.65 | 20.0 | 2053.1 | 6031.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2240 | H35AU | TCU91-35 | 3.56 | 1.52 | 2.32 | 13.50 | 2.76 | 126.01 | \$189.72 | 150.00 | 20.0 | 3000.0 | 10677.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2240 | H35AL | TCU91-35 | 3.93 | 3.50 | 0.94 | 7.71 | 2.02 | 228.34 | \$167.55 | 186.37 | 20.0 | 3727.5 | 14640.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2240 | H35BUE | TCU91-35 | 3.93 | 3.50 | 0.94 | 7.71 | 2.02 | 228.34 | \$167.55 |  |  |  |  | 122.94 | 20.0 | 2458.8 | 9657.1 |
| 2240 | H35BLW | TCU91-35 | 3.56 | 1.52 | 2.32 | 13.50 | 2.76 | 126.01 | \$189.72 |  |  |  |  | 63.88 | 20.0 | 1277.6 | 4547.3 |
| 2240 | H62A | TCU94-62 | 3.30 | 1.21 | 0.52 | 3.52 | 0.96 | 58.09 | \$67.00 | 75.48 | 20.0 | 1509.6 | 4981.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2240 | H62B | TCU94-62 | 3.30 | 1.21 | 0.52 | 3.52 | 0.96 | 58.09 | \$67.00 |  |  |  |  | 7.90 | 20.0 | 158.0 | 521.3 |
| 2240 | H53A | TCU93-53 | 3.35 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 9.41 | 4.10 | 192.74 | \$157.27 | 79.43 | 20.0 | 1588.6 | 5322.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2240 | H56A | TCU93-56 | 3.26 | 0.88 | 1.02 | 5.37 | 3.08 | 66.24 | \$102.57 | 157.74 | 20.0 | 3154.7 | 10273.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2240 | H25BW | TCU90-25 | 3.72 | 0.48 | 0.11 | 4.29 | 2.18 | 18.04 | \$67.34 |  |  |  |  | 9.06 | 20.0 | 181.2 | 674.2 |
| 2240 | H25A | TCU90-25 | 3.72 | 0.48 | 0.11 | 4.29 | 2.18 | 18.04 | \$67.34 | 81.16 | 20.0 | 1623.3 | 6038.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2240 | H25BE | TCU90-25 | 3.72 | 0.48 | 0.11 | 4.29 | 2.18 | 18.04 | \$67.34 |  |  |  |  | 47.71 | 20.0 | 954.2 | 3549.7 |
| 2260 | H21A | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 | 145.90 | 20.0 | 2918.0 | 11088.6 |  |  |  |  |


| TULSEQUAH CHIEF H/AB2 LENSES N SECT BLOCK | JEPOSIT - <br> HOLE | RVE SG | CU\% | PB\% | ZN\% | $\mathrm{AU} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ | AG g/T | NSR | AREA | VERT RANGE | VOLUME | TONNES | AREA | VERT RANGE | VOLUME | TONNES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2260 H31AU | TCU91-31 | 2.94 | 0.57 | 1.20 | 4.28 | 2.79 | 82.27 | \$88.33 | 145.41 | 20.0 | 2908.2 | 8543.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2260 H31BU | TCU91-31 | 2.94 | 0.57 | 1.20 | 4.28 | 2.79 | 82.27 | \$88.33 |  |  |  |  | 27.13 | 20.0 | 542.6 | 1594.0 |
| 2260 H31BL | TCU91-31 | 3.68 | 1.28 | 0.25 | 1.31 | 2.73 | 97.56 | \$70.26 |  |  |  |  | 49.55 | 20.0 | 991.1 | 36438 |
| 2260 H31AL | TCU91-31 | 3.68 | 1.28 | 0.25 | 1.31 | 2.73 | 97.56 | \$70.26 | 163.34 | 20.0 | 3266.7 | 12010.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2260 H35AU | TCU91-35 | 3.56 | 1.52 | 2.32 | 13.50 | 2.76 | 126.01 | \$189.72 | 90.81 | 20.0 | 1816.1 | 6464.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2260 H35AL | TCU91-35 | 3.93 | 3.50 | 0.94 | 7.71 | 2.02 | 228.34 | \$167.55 | 250.83 | 20.0 | 5016.7 | 19703.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2260 H35BL | TCU91-35 | 3.93 | 3.50 | 0.94 | 7.71 | 2.02 | 228.34 | \$167.55 |  |  |  |  | 96.29 | 20.0 | 1925.9 | 7564.0 |
| 2260 H53A | TCU93-53 | 3.35 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 9.41 | 4.10 | 192.74 | \$157.27 | 198.28 | 20.0 | 3965.5 | 13286.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2260 H53B | TCU93-53 | 3.35 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 9.41 | 4.10 | 192.74 | \$157.27 |  |  |  |  | 58.27 | 20.0 | 1165.4 | 39048 |
| 2260 H53B | TCU93-53 | 3.35 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 9.41 | 4.10 | 192.74 | \$157.27 |  |  |  |  | 92.17 | 20.0 | 1843.4 | 6176.4 |
| 2260 H25BW | TCU90-25 | 3.86 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 7.08 | 3.60 | 29.77 | \$111.31 |  |  |  |  | 51.91 | 20.0 | 1038.2 | 4010.8 |
| 2260 H25A | TCU90-25 | 3.86 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 7.08 | 3.60 | 29.77 | \$111.31 | 114.72 | 20.0 | 2294.3 | 8863.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2260 H25BE | TCU90-25 | 3.86 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 7.08 | 3.60 | 29.77 | \$111.31 |  |  |  |  | 60.90 | 20.0 | 1218.0 | 4705.6 |
| 2280 H16B | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 105.82 | 20.0 | 2116.5 | 8179.8 |
| 2280 H25BW | TCU90-25 | 3.86 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 7.08 | 3.60 | 29.77 | \$111.31 |  |  |  |  | 17.50 | 20.0 | 349.9 | 1351.8 |
| 2280 H25A | TCU90-25 | 3.86 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 7.08 | 3.60 | 29.77 | \$111.31 | 78.09 | 20.0 | 1561.9 | 6034.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2280 H25BE | TCU90-25 | 3.86 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 7.08 | 3.60 | 29.77 | \$111.31 |  |  |  |  | 37.16 | 20.0 | 743.2 | 2871.2 |
| 2280 H21A | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 | 164.48 | 20.0 | 3289.7 | 12500.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2280 H31AU | TCU91-31 | 2.94 | 0.57 | 1.20 | 4.28 | 2.79 | 82.27 | \$88.33 | 215.85 | 20.0 | 4317.0 | 12682.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2280 H31BLW | TCU91-31 | 3.68 | 1.28 | 0.25 | 1.31 | 2.73 | 97.56 | \$70.26 |  |  |  |  | 54.84 | 20.0 | 1096.8 | 4032.5 |
| 2280 H31AL | TCU91-31 | 3.68 | 1.28 | 0.25 | 1.31 | 2.73 | 97.56 | \$70.26 | 161.26 | 20.0 | 3225.3 | 11858.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2280 H35AU | TCU91-35 | 3.56 | 1.52 | 2.32 | 13.50 | 2.76 | 126.01 | \$189.72 | 160.76 | 20.0 | 3215.2 | 11444.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2280 H35BLE | TCU91-35 | 3.93 | 3.50 | 0.94 | 7.71 | 2.02 | 228.34 | \$167.55 |  |  |  |  | 56.24 | 20.0 | 1124.9 | 4418.0 |
| 2280 H35AL | TCU91-35 | 3.93 | 3.50 | 0.94 | 7.71 | 2.02 | 228.34 | \$167.55 | 211.38 | 20.0 | 4227.7 | 16604.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2280 H53BW | TCU93-53 | 3.35 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 9.41 | 4.10 | 192.74 | \$157.27 |  |  |  |  | 51.44 | 20.0 | 1028.7 | 34468 |
| 2280 H53BE | TCU93-53 | 3.35 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 9.41 | 4.10 | 192.74 | \$157.27 |  |  |  |  | 15.93 | 20.0 | 318.6 | 1067.4 |
| 2280 H53A | TCU93-53 | 3.35 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 9.41 | 4.10 | 192.74 | \$157.27 | 206.62 | 20.0 | 4132.4 | 13845.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2300 H16BE | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 199.83 | 20.0 | 3996.5 | 154460 |
| 2300 H21A | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 | 421.68 | 20.0 | 8433.6 | 32047.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2300 H 21 BL | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 |  |  |  |  | 152.66 | 20.0 | 3053.1 | 11601.9 |
| 2300 H 21 BU | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 |  |  |  |  | 171.77 | 20.0 | 3435.4 | 13054.5 |
| 2300 H25B | TCU90-25 | 3.86 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 7.08 | 3.60 | 29.77 | \$111.31 |  |  |  |  | 114.24 | 20.0 | 2284.9 | 8827.2 |
| 2300 H25A | TCU90-25 | 3.86 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 7.08 | 3.60 | 29.77 | \$111.31 | 54.38 | 20.0 | 1087.6 | 4201.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2300 H30B | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | $\$ 63.77$ |  |  |  |  | 251.55 | 20.0 | 5031.0 | 15321.9 |
| 2300 H31A | TCU91-31 | 2.94 | 0.57 | 1.20 | 4.28 | 2.79 | 82.27 | \$88.33 | 613.47 | 20.0 | 12269.4 | 36044.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2300 H31B | TCU91-31 | 2.94 | 0.57 | 1.20 | 4.28 | 2.79 | 82.27 | $\$ 88.33$ |  |  |  |  | 211.11 | 20.0 | 4222.2 | 12403.9 |
| 2300 H53B | TCU93-53 | 3.35 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 9.41 | 4.10 | 192.74 | \$157.27 |  |  |  |  | 239.95 | 20.0 | 4799.1 | 16079.5 |
| 2320 H16BW | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 35.24 | 20.0 | 704.9 | 2724.2 |
| 2320 H16A | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 | 120.10 | 20.0 | 2402.0 | 9283.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2320 H16BE | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 84.85 | 20.0 | 1696.9 | 6558.3 |
| 2320 H21A | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 | 637.00 | 20.0 | 12740.0 | 48412.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2320 H21BU | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 |  |  |  |  | 196.58 | 20.0 | 3931.6 | 14940.0 |
| 2320 H21BL | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 |  |  |  |  | 139.40 | 20.0 | 2788.0 | 10594.6 |
| 2320 H22A | TCU90-22 | 4.08 | 2.94 | 1.58 | 9.13 | 3.92 | 171.40 | \$188.70 | 1149.11 | 20.0 | 22982.2 | 93829.9 |  |  |  |  |


| TULSEQUAH CHIE H/AB2 LENSES N SECT BLOCK | DEPOSIT - <br> HOLE | SG | CU\% | PB\% | ZN\% | $\mathrm{AU} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ | AG g/T | NSR | AREA | ROBABLE <br> VERT RANGE | VOLUME | TONNES | AREA | VERT RANGE | VOLUME | TONNES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2320 H22B | TCU90-22 | 4.08 | 2.94 | 1.58 | 9.13 | 3.92 | 171.40 | \$188.70 |  |  |  |  | 55.47 | 20.0 | 11093 | 4529.0 |
| 2320 H25A | TCU90-25 | 3.86 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 7.08 | 3.60 | 29.77 | \$111.31 | 41.91 | 20.0 | 838.1 | 3238.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2320 H 25 B | TCU90-25 | 3.86 | 0.80 | 0.18 | 7.08 | 3.60 | 29.77 | \$111.31 |  |  |  |  | 20.04 | 20.0 | 400.8 | 1548.4 |
| 2320 H30BW | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 |  |  |  |  | 55.57 | 200 | 1111.4 | 3384.8 |
| 2320 H30BE | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 |  |  |  |  | 52.03 | 20.0 | 1040.7 | 31694 |
| 2320 H30AL | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 | 200.53 | 20.0 | 4010.5 | 12214.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2320 H30AU | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 | 469.28 | 20.0 | 9385.6 | 28583.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2340 H16BW | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 48.51 | 20.0 | 971.3 | 3754.1 |
| 2340 H16A | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 | 163.73 | 20.0 | 3274.6 | 12655.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2340 H16BE | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 115.48 | 20.0 | 2309.6 | 8926.5 |
| 2340 H21A | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 | 850.04 | 20.0 | 17000.8 | 64603.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2340 H21B | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 |  |  |  |  | 601.07 | 20.0 | 12021.5 | 45681.7 |
| 2340 H22A | TCU90-22 | 4.08 | 2.94 | 1.58 | 9.13 | 3.92 | 171.40 | \$188.70 | 1168.09 | 20.0 | 23361.8 | 95379.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2340 H22B | TCU90-22 | 4.08 | 2.94 | 1.58 | 9.13 | 3.92 | 171.40 | \$188.70 |  |  |  |  | 124.74 | 20.0 | 2494.8 | 10185.6 |
| 2340 H30AL | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 | 240.61 | 20.0 | 4812.1 | 14655.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2340 H30BU | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 |  |  |  |  | 33.07 | 20.0 | 661.4 | 2014.3 |
| 2340 H30BL | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 |  |  |  |  | 52.48 | 20.0 | 1049.7 | 3196.8 |
| 2340 H30AU | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 | 200.68 | 20.0 | 4013.6 | 12223.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2360 H16BW | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 38.52 | 20.0 | 770.4 | 29776 |
| 2360 H16A | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 | 117.23 | 20.0 | 2344.5 | 9061.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2360 H16BE | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 78.14 | 200 | 1562.8 | 60398 |
| 2360 H21B | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 |  |  |  |  | 461.92 | 20.0 | 9238.3 | 351056 |
| 2360 H 22 A | TCU90-22 | 4.08 | 2.94 | 1.58 | 9.13 | 3.92 | 171.40 | \$188.70 | 1555.42 | 20.0 | 31108.4 | 127007.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2360 H22BE | TCU90-22 | 4.08 | 2.94 | 1.58 | 9.13 | 3.92 | 171.40 | \$188.70 |  |  |  |  | 576.50 | 20.0 | 11530.1 | 47074.1 |
| 2360 H22BW | TCU90-22 | 4.08 | 2.94 | 1.58 | 9.13 | 3.92 | 171.40 | \$188.70 |  |  |  |  | 123.88 | 20.0 | 2477.6 | 10115.5 |
| 2360 H30AU | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 | 179.50 | 20.0 | 3590.1 | 109336 |  |  |  |  |
| 2360 H30BU | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 |  |  |  |  | 35.86 | 20.0 | 717.2 | 2184.3 |
| 2360 H30AL | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 | 324.93 | 20.0 | 6498.6 | 19791.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2360 H30BL | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 |  |  |  |  | 74.04 | 20.0 | 1480.8 | 4509.9 |
| 2380 H16BW | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 24.73 | 20.0 | 494.7 | 1911.8 |
| 2380 H16A | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 | 130.98 | 20.0 | 2619.7 | 10124.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2380 H16BE | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 162.38 | 20.0 | 3247.6 | 12551.7 |
| 2380 H21B | TCU89-21 | 3.80 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 5.99 | 3.64 | 117.88 | \$124.16 |  |  |  |  | 630.61 | 20.0 | 12612.2 | 47926.3 |
| 2380 H22A | TCU90-22 | 4.08 | 2.94 | 1.58 | 9.13 | 3.92 | 171.40 | \$188.70 | 1382.04 | 20.0 | 27640.8 | 112849.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2380 H22BW | TCU90-22 | 4.08 | 2.94 | 1.58 | 9.13 | 3.92 | 171.40 | \$188.70 |  |  |  |  | 600.61 | 20.0 | 12012.3 | 49042.8 |
| 2380 H22BE | TCU90-22 | 4.08 | 2.94 | 1.58 | 9.13 | 3.92 | 171.40 | \$188.70 |  |  |  |  | 133.67 | 20.0 | 2673.5 | 10915.0 |
| 2380 H30AU | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 | 179.33 | 20.0 | 3586.6 | 10922.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2380 H30BU | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 |  |  |  |  | 41.95 | 20.0 | 839.0 | 2555.1 |
| 2380 H30AL | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 | 315.20 | 20.0 | 6303.9 | 19198.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2380 H30BL | TCU91-30 | 3.05 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 4.08 | 1.41 | 41.48 | \$63.77 |  |  |  |  | 59.35 | 20.0 | 1187.1 | 3615.3 |
| 2400 H16BW | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 28.98 | 20.0 | 579.5 | 2239.7 |
| 2400 H16A | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 | 142.67 | 20.0 | 2853.4 | 11028.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2400 H16BE | TCU89-16 | 3.86 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 8.20 | 2.42 | 246.40 | \$133.90 |  |  |  |  | 102.91 | 20.0 | 2058.2 | 7954.8 |
| 2400 H22BW | TCU90-22 | 4.08 | 2.94 | 1.58 | 9.13 | 3.92 | 171.40 | \$188.70 |  |  |  |  | 509.28 | 20.0 | 10185.7 | 41585.3 |




| TULSEQUAH CHIEF DEPOSIT - RESERVE CALCULATION TABLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PROBABLE RESERVE <br> VERT |  |  | --------PROBABLE RESERVE---------..---- <br> VERT <br> area range volume tonnes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N_SECT BLOCK | HOLE | SG | CU\% | PB\% | ZN\% | $\mathrm{AU} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ | AG g/T | NSR | AREA RANGE | VOLUME | TONNES |  |  |  |  |
| 2640 B36BM | TCU92-36 | 3.10 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 4.47 | 1.31 | 67.87 | \$67.21 |  |  |  | 245.59 | 20 | 4911.8 | 15233.0 |
| 2640 B36BME | TCU92-36 | 3.10 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 4.47 | 1.31 | 67.87 | \$67.21 |  |  |  | 137.861 | 20 | 2757.2 | 8551.0 |
| 2640 B36BLW | TCU92-36 | 3.32 | 1.18 | 0.83 | 5.74 | 2.70 | 61.04 | \$104.73 |  |  |  | 315.062 | 20 | 6301.2 | 20901.8 |
| 2640 B36BLM | TCU92-36 | 3.32 | 1.18 | 0.83 | 5.74 | 2.70 | 61.04 | \$104.73 |  |  |  | 631.461 | 20 | 12629.2 | 41892.4 |
| 2640 B36BLE | TCU92-36 | 3.32 | 1.18 | 0.83 | 5.74 | 2.70 | 61.04 | \$104.73 |  |  |  | 270.87 | 20 | 5417.4 | 17970.0 |
| 2660 H36BUW | TCU92-36 | 3.10 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 4.47 | 1.31 | 67.87 | \$67.21 |  |  |  | 618.90 | 20.0 | 12378.1 | 38388.4 |
| 2660 H36BU | TCU92-36 | 3.32 | 1.18 | 0.83 | 5.74 | 2.70 | 61.04 | \$104.73 |  |  |  | 753.524 | 20.0 | 15070.5 | 499903 |
| 2660 H36BUE | TCU92-36 | 3.32 | 1.18 | 0.83 | 5.74 | 2.70 | 61.04 | \$104.73 |  |  |  | 247.781 | 20.0 | 4955.6 | 16438.3 |
| PROBABLE RESERVE |  | 3.55 | 1.47 | 1.16 | 6.76 | 2.63 | 106.52 | \$123.42 |  |  | 3,998,696 |  |  |  | 2,256,059 |
| POSSIBLE RESERVE |  | 3.44 | 1.08 | 1.06 | 6.15 | 2.32 | 106.07 | \$108.17 |  |  | 2,256,059 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 3.51 | 1.33 | 1.13 | 6.54 | 2.52 | 106.36 | \$117.92 |  |  | 6,254,755 |  |  |  |  |


| TULSEQUAH CHIEF DE AB1 LENS N_SECT BLOCK | EPOSIT - RI <br> HOLE | SVE | CALCU CU\% | PB\% | ZN\% | $\mathrm{AU} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ | AG g/T | NSR | AREA | ROBABLE <br> VERT <br> RANGE | VOLUME | TONNES | AREA | VERT RANGE | VOLUME | TONNES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1860 B46A | TCU92-46 | 4.01 | 0.84 | 4.46 | 8.29 | 0.92 | 111.37 | \$131.11 | 186.819 | 20 | 3736.4 | 14996.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1880 B2B | TCU-88-2 | 3.81 | 0.50 | 1.54 | 11.58 | 0.54 | 60.35 | \$123.35 |  |  |  |  | 117.741 | 20 | 2354.8 | 8971.9 |
| 1880 B46A | TCU92-46 | 4.01 | 0.84 | 4.46 | 8.29 | 0.92 | 111.37 | \$131.11 | 298.124 | 20 | 5962.5 | 23930.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1880 B46BLW | TCU92-46 | 4.01 | 0.84 | 4.46 | 8.29 | 0.92 | 111.37 | \$131.11 |  |  |  |  | 90.5058 | 20 | 1810.1 | 7264.9 |
| 1900 B2A | TCU-88-2 | 3.81 | 0.50 | 1.54 | 11.58 | 0.54 | 60.35 | \$123.35 | 71.7997 | 20 | 1436.0 | 5471.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 B2BW | TCU-88-2 | 3.81 | 0.50 | 1.54 | 11.58 | 0.54 | 60.35 | \$123.35 |  |  |  |  | 40.7843 | 20 | 815.7 | 3107.8 |
| 1900 B46AL | TCU92-46 | 4.01 | 0.84 | 4.46 | 8.29 | 0.92 | 111.37 | \$131.11 | 163.146 | 20 | 3262.9 | 13095.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 B46BLW | TCU92-46 | 4.01 | 0.84 | 4.46 | 8.29 | 0.92 | 111.37 | \$131.11 |  |  |  |  | 102.127 | 20 | 2042.5 | 81978 |
| 1920 B2A | TCU-88-2 | 3.81 | 0.50 | 1.54 | 11.58 | 0.54 | 60.35 | \$123.35 | 121.648 | 20 | 2433.0 | 9269.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1920 B2BW | TCU-88-2 | 3.81 | 0.50 | 1.54 | 11.58 | 0.54 | 60.35 | \$123.35 |  |  |  |  | 30.8594 | 20 | 617.2 | 2351.5 |
| 2120 B13AL | TCU89-13 | 3.45 | 0.97 | 1.34 | 5.60 | 2.25 | 84.22 | \$101.11 | 96.59 | 20 | 1931.7 | 6659.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2120 B48BLW | TCU93-48 | 3.00 | 1.18 | 0.36 | 3.92 | 1.30 | 39.24 | \$70.44 | 121.796 | 20 | 2435.9 | 7307.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2120 B48BLE | TCU93-48 | 3.00 | 1.18 | 0.36 | 3.92 | 1.30 | 39.24 | \$70.44 |  |  |  |  | 167.291 | 20 | 3345.8 | 10037.5 |
| 2220 B18B | TCU89-18 | 3.58 | 0.74 | 1.82 | 10.01 | 2.66 | 150.42 | \$146.43 |  |  |  |  | 252.64 | 20 | 5052.8 | 18070.9 |
| 2240 B18B | TCU89-18 | 3.58 | 0.74 | 1.82 | 10.01 | 2.66 | 150.42 | \$146.43 | 575.244 | 20 | 11504.9 | 41146.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2260 B18BW | TCU89-18 | 3.58 | 0.74 | 1.82 | 10.01 | 2.66 | 150.42 | \$146.43 |  |  |  |  | 181.555 | 20 | 3631.1 | 129863 |
| 2260 B18A | TCU89-18 | 3.58 | 0.74 | 1.82 | 10.01 | 2.66 | 150.42 | \$146.43 | 253.507 | 20 | 5070.1 | 18132.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2260 B18BE | TCU89-18 | 3.58 | 0.74 | 1.82 | 10.01 | 2.66 | 150.42 | \$146.43 |  |  |  |  | 39.2651 | 20 | 785.3 | 2808.6 |
| 2280 B18BW | TCU89-18 | 3.58 | 0.74 | 1.82 | 10.01 | 2.66 | 150.42 | \$146.43 |  |  |  |  | 204.515 | 20 | 40903 | 14628.6 |
| 2280 B18A | TCU89-18 | 3.58 | 0.74 | 1.82 | 10.01 | 2.66 | 150.42 | \$146.43 | 352.969 | 20 | 7059.4 | 25247.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2280 B18BE | TCU89-18 | 3.58 | 0.74 | 1.82 | 10.01 | 2.66 | 150.42 | \$146.43 |  |  |  |  | 75.6915 | 20 | 1513.8 | 5414.1 |
| 2300 B18A | TCU89-18 | 3.58 | 0.74 | 1.82 | 10.01 | 2.66 | 150.42 | \$146.43 | 165.227 | 20 | 3304.5 | 11818.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2300 B18B | TCU89-18 | 3.58 | 0.74 | 1.82 | 10.01 | 2.66 | 150.42 | \$146.43 |  |  |  |  | 129.307 | 20 | 2586.1 | 9249.1 |
| 2300 B31A | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 | 117.198 | 20 | 2344.0 | 6917.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2320 B31B | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 |  |  |  |  | 45.0244 | 20 | 900.5 | 2657.6 |
| 2320 B31BE | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 |  |  |  |  | 71.6452 | 20 | 1432.9 | 4229.0 |
| 2320 B31BW | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 |  |  |  |  | 94.7061 | 20 | 1894.1 | 55902 |
| 2340 B31A | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 | 165.148 | 20 | 3303.0 | 9748.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2340 B31BW | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 |  |  |  |  | 12.2802 | 20 | 245.6 | 724.9 |
| 2340 B31BE | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 |  |  |  |  | 76.8124 | 20 | 1536.2 | 4534.0 |
| 2360 B31A | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 | 150.713 | 20 | 3014.3 | 8896.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2360 B31BW | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 |  |  |  |  | 73.7901 | 20 | 1475.8 | 4355.6 |
| 2360 B31BE | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 |  |  |  |  | 69.8762 | 20 | 1397.5 | 41245 |
| 2380 B31A | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 | 73.846 | 20 | 1476.9 | 4358.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2380 B31BW | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 |  |  |  |  | 95.5353 | 20 | 1910.7 | 5639.1 |
| 2400 B31B | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 |  |  |  |  | 150.303 | 20 | 3006.1 | 8871.9 |
| 2420 B31B | TCU91-31 | 2.95 | 0.36 | 1.30 | 5.07 | 1.10 | 143.06 | \$79.84 |  |  |  |  | 48.3102 | 20 | 966.2 | 2851.6 |
| PROBABLE RESERVE |  | 3.55 | 0.72 | 2.32 | 8.62 | 1.78 | 127.07 | \$127.17 |  |  |  | 206,996 |  |  |  | 146,667 |
| POSSIBLE RESERVE |  | 3.38 | 0.64 | 1.82 | 8.10 | 1.71 | 127.64 | \$117.56 |  |  |  | 146,667 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 3.48 | 0.69 | 2.11 | 8.40 | 1.75 | 127.31 | \$123.18 |  |  |  | 353,663 |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TULSEQUf } \\ & \text { G LENS } \\ & \mathrm{N} \text { SECT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{AH} \text { CHIEF }$ BLOCK | DEPOSIT <br> HOLE |  |  | ULATIO PB\% | N TAB ZN\% | $\mathrm{AU} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{T}$ | $A G g / T$ | NSR | VROBABLE RESERVE-----------------------VREAAREA RANGE VOLUME TONNES |  |  |  | $\qquad$ POSSIBLE RESERVE $\qquad$ <br> VERT <br> AREA <br> RANGE VOLUME <br> TONNES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1740 | G4B | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.365 | 20 | 1987.3 | 6736.9 |
| 1760 | G4B | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 457.973 | 20 | 9159.5 | 31050.6 |
| 1780 | G4BW | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 144.465 | 20 | 2889.3 | 9794.7 |
| 1780 | G4A | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 | 293.064 | 20 | 5861.3 | 19869.8 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1780 | G4BE | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 113.856 | 20 | 2277.1 | 7719.5 |
| 1780 | G50BW | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 210.029 | 20 | 4200.6 | 13421.3 |
| 1780 | G50AU | TCU93-50 | 3.07 | 0.76 | 0.65 | 3.65 | 1.70 | 51.20 | \$68.51 | 252.351 | 20 | 5047.0 | 15515.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1780 | G50AL | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 | 196.761 | 20 | 3935.2 | 12573.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1780 | G50BUE | TCU93-50 | 3.07 | 0.76 | 0.65 | 3.65 | 1.70 | 51.20 | \$68.51 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.7257 | 20 | 1754.5 | 53938 |
| 1780 | G50BLE | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.4352 | 20 | 1168.7 | 3734.2 |
| 1800 | G4BW | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 144.015 | 20 | 2880.3 | 9764.2 |
| 1800 | G4A | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 | 317.892 | 20 | 6357.8 | 21553.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1800 | G4BE | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 114.135 | 20 | 2282.7 | 7738.3 |
| 1800 | G50BW | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 228.311 | 20 | 4566.2 | 14589.6 |
| 1800 | G50AU | TCU93-50 | 3.07 | 0.76 | 0.65 | 3.65 | 1.70 | 51.20 | \$68.51 | 253.27 | 20 | 5065.4 | 15572.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1800 | G50AL | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 | 193.408 | 20 | 3868.2 | 12359.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1800 | G50BUE | TCU93-50 | 3.07 | 0.76 | 0.65 | 3.65 | 1.70 | 51.20 | \$68.51 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.7949 | 20 | 1395.9 | 4291.3 |
| 1800 | G50BLE | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.295 | 20 | 885.9 | 2830.6 |
| 1820 | G4BW | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 137.281 | 20 | 2745.6 | 9307.6 |
| 1820 | G4A | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 | 265.352 | 20 | 5307.0 | 17990.9 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1820 | G4BE | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 102.653 | 20 | 2053.1 | 6959.9 |
| 1820 | G50BW | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 147.306 | 20 | 2946.1 | 9413.2 |
| 1820 | G50AU | TCU93-50 | 3.07 | 0.76 | 0.65 | 3.65 | 1.70 | 51.20 | \$68.51 | 306.035 | 20 | 6120.7 | 18816.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1820 | G50AL | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 | 184.614 | 20 | 3692.3 | 11797.3 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1820 | G50BUE | TCU93-50 | 3.07 | 0.76 | 0.65 | 3.65 | 1.70 | 51.20 | \$68.51 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.6579 | 20 | 1233.2 | 3791.0 |
| 1820 | G50BLE | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.0684 | 20 | 1081.4 | 3455.1 |
| 1820 | G6BU | TCU88-6 | 2.94 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 0.49 | 39.30 | \$54.85 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.252 | 20 | 1865.0 | 5483.2 |
| 1820 | G6BL | TCU88-6 | 2.94 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 0.49 | 39.30 | \$54.85 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.3422 | 20 | 1466.8 | 4312.5 |
| 1840 | G5BW | TC-87-5 | 3.31 | 1.31 | 1.08 | 6.03 | 2.81 | 85.09 | \$114.16 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.9846 | 20 | 1159.7 | 3838.6 |
| 1840 | G5A | TC-87-5 | 3.31 | 1.31 | 1.08 | 6.03 | 2.81 | 85.09 | \$114.16 | 141.066 | 20 | 2821.3 | 9338.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1840 | G5BE | TC-87-5 | 3.31 | 1.31 | 1.08 | 6.03 | 2.81 | 85.09 | \$114.16 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 49.212 | 20 | 984.2 | 3257.8 |
| 1840 | G4B | TCU-88-4 | 3.39 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 3.56 | 2.06 | 70.45 | \$71.63 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.8202 | 20 | 916.4 | 3106.6 |
| 1840 | G50B | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 108.872 | 20 | 2177.4 | 6957.2 |
| 1840 | G50A | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 | 319.121 | 20 | 6382.4 | 20392.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1840 | G1AU | TC-87-1 | 2.94 | 0.67 | 0.80 | 3.01 | 1.36 | 60.85 | \$60.28 | 232.937 | 20 | 4658.7 | 13696.7 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1840 | G1AL | TC-87-1 | 3.81 | 1.37 | 2.77 | 7.98 | 6.35 | 221.07 | \$191.38 | 212.68 | 20 | 4253.6 | 16206.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1840 | G6BU | TCU88-6 | 2.94 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 0.49 | 39.30 | \$54.85 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 176.354 | 20 | 3527.1 | 10369.6 |
| 1840 | G6BL | TCU88-6 | 2.94 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 0.49 | 39.30 | \$54.85 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 182.967 | 20 | 3659.3 | 10758.4 |
| 1840 | G71BU | TCU94-71 | 3.03 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 4.02 | 1.98 | 69.43 | \$76.34 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.1369 | 20 | 782.7 | 2371.7 |
| 1840 | G71BL | TCU94-71 | 3.03 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 4.02 | 1.98 | 69.43 | \$76.34 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.9948 | 20 | 739.9 | 2241.9 |
| 1840 | G44B | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 282.213 | 20 | 5644.3 | 16938.4 |
| 1860 | G88-5A | TCU-88-5 | 3.30 | 0.79 | 0.54 | 4.17 | 1.10 | 29.71 | \$64.47 | 75.0365 | 20 | 1500.7 | 4947.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1860 | G5A | TC-87-5 | 3.31 | 1.31 | 1.08 | 6.03 | 2.81 | 85.09 | \$114.16 | 236.964 | 20 | 4739.3 | 15687.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1860 | G5B | TC-87-5 | 3.31 | 1.31 | 1.08 | 6.03 | 2.81 | 85.09 | \$114.16 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 102.659 | 20 | 2053.2 | 6796.1 |


| TULSEQU G LENS N SECT | AH CHIEF <br> BLOCK | DEPOSIT - <br> HOLE | ERVE SG |  | ULATI PB\% | N TAB ZN\% | $A \cup \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{T}$ | AG g/T | NSR | -------PROBABLE RESERVE----------------VERTAREA RANGE VOLUME TONNES |  |  |  | AREA | SSIBLE VERT RANGE | ESERVE <br> OLUME | TONNES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1860 | G50B | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 289.849 | 20 | 5797.0 | 18522.0 |
| 1860 | G1B | TC-87-1 | 3.81 | 1.37 | 2.77 | 7.98 | 6.35 | 221.07 | \$191.38 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 133.863 | 20 | 2677.3 | 10200.3 |
| 1860 | G1AU | TC-87-1 | 2.94 | 0.67 | 0.80 | 3.01 | 1.36 | 60.85 | \$60.28 | 225.841 | 20 | 4516.8 | 13279.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 00 |
| 1860 | G1AL | TC-87-1 | 3.81 | 1.37 | 2.77 | 7.98 | 6.35 | 221.07 | \$191.38 | 341.196 | 20 | 6823.9 | 25999.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1860 | G71AU | TCU94-71 | 3.03 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 4.02 | 1.98 | 69.43 | \$76.34 | 226.609 | 20 | 4532.2 | 13732.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1860 | G71AL | TCU94-71 | 3.03 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 4.02 | 1.98 | 69.43 | \$76.34 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.765 | 20 | 1015.3 | 3076.4 |
| 1860 | G44B | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 270.895 | 20 | 5417.9 | 16259.1 |
| 1880 | G88-5AU | TCU-88-5 | 3.05 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 3.39 | 1.32 | 77.24 | \$62.75 | 124.537 | 20 | 2490.7 | 7597.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1880 | G88-5AL | TCU-88-5 | 3.30 | 0.79 | 0.54 | 4.17 | 1.10 | 29.71 | \$64.47 | 162.101 | 20 | 3242.0 | 10688.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1880 | G5A | TC-87-5 | 3.31 | 1.31 | 1.08 | 6.03 | 2.81 | 85.09 | \$114.16 | 166.22 | 20 | 3324.4 | 11003.7 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1880 | G5B | TC-87-5 | 3.31 | 1.31 | 1.08 | 6.03 | 2.81 | 85.09 | \$114.16 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 146.845 | 20 | 2936.9 | 9721.2 |
| 1880 | G50B | TCU93-50 | 3.20 | 0.31 | 2.27 | 5.32 | 6.24 | 152.15 | \$141.71 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7208 | 20 | 1334.4 | 4263.6 |
| 1880 | G7B | TCU-88-7 | 3.22 | 0.34 | 1.43 | 3.75 | 1.63 | 91.74 | \$70.78 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 167.221 | 20 | 3344.4 | 10768.3 |
| 1880 | G7AU | TCU-88-7 | 3.16 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 5.39 | 2.03 | 91.73 | \$95.53 | 208.83 | 20 | 4176.6 | 13198.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1880 | G7AL | TCU-88-7 | 3.22 | 0.34 | 1.43 | 3.75 | 1.63 | 91.74 | \$70.78 | 201.962 | 20 | 4039.2 | 13005.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1880 | G1AU | TC-87-1 | 2.94 | 0.67 | 0.80 | 3.01 | 1.36 | 60.85 | \$60.28 | 208.624 | 20 | 4172.5 | 12267.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1880 | G1AL | TC-87-1 | 3.81 | 1.37 | 2.77 | 7.98 | 6.35 | 221.07 | \$191.38 | 371.736 | 20 | 7434.7 | 28326.3 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1880 | G71A | TCU94-71 | 3.03 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 4.02 | 1.98 | 69.43 | \$76.34 | 189.92 | 20 | 3798.4 | 11509.2 |  |  | 00 | 0.0 |
| 1880 | G71B | TCU94-71 | 3.03 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 4.02 | 1.98 | 69.43 | \$76.34 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.614 | 20 | 632.3 | 1915.8 |
| 1880 | G44BW | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.0916 | 20 | 561.8 | 1686.1 |
| 1880 | G44A | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 | 230.391 | 20 | 4607.8 | 13828.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1880 | G44BE | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.4681 | 20 | 1129.4 | 3389.2 |
| 1900 | G88-5AU | TCU-88-5 | 3.05 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 3.39 | 1.32 | 77.24 | \$62.75 | 191.767 | 20 | 3835.3 | 11698.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1900 | G88-5AL | TCU-88-5 | 3.30 | 0.79 | 0.54 | 4.17 | 1.10 | 29.71 | \$64.47 | 239.733 | 20 | 4794.7 | 15806.8 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1900 | G88-5B | TCU-88-5 | 3.05 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 3.39 | 1.32 | 77.24 | \$62.75 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 252.297 | 20 | 5045.9 | 15390.9 |
| 1900 | G49B | TCU93-49 | 3.82 | 4.09 | 1.20 | 6.30 | 2.80 | 137.00 | \$167.53 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 162.788 | 20 | 32558 | 12430.3 |
| 1900 | G7B | TCU-88-7 | 3.16 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 5.39 | 2.03 | 91.73 | \$95.53 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 140.197 | 20 | 2803.9 | 8860.5 |
| 1900 | G7AU | TCU-88-7 | 3.16 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 5.39 | 2.03 | 91.73 | \$95.53 | 150.2 | 20 | 3004.0 | 9492.7 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1900 | G7AM | TCU-88-7 | 3.16 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 5.39 | 2.03 | 91.73 | \$95.53 | 178.282 | 20 | 3565.6 | 11267.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1900 | G7AL | TCU-88-7 | 3.22 | 0.34 | 1.43 | 3.75 | 1.63 | 91.74 | \$70.78 | 217.654 | 20 | 4353.1 | 14015.9 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1900 | G1AU | TC-87-1 | 2.94 | 0.67 | 0.80 | 3.01 | 1.36 | 60.85 | \$60.28 | 132.841 | 20 | 2656.8 | 7811.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1900 | G1AL | TC-87-1 | 3.81 | 1.37 | 2.77 | 7.98 | 6.35 | 221.07 | \$191.38 | 107.474 | 20 | 2149.5 | 8189.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1900 | G71A | TCU94-71 | 3.03 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 4.02 | 1.98 | 69.43 | \$76.34 | 338.3 | 20 | 6766.0 | 20501.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1900 | G71B | TCU94-71 | 3.03 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 4.02 | 1.98 | 69.43 | \$76.34 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.0849 | 20 | 441.7 | 1338.3 |
| 1900 | G44BW | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.5266 | 20 | 350.5 | 1051.9 |
| 1900 | G44A | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 | 157.665 | 20 | 3153.3 | 9463.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1900 | G44BE | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.761 | 20 | 895.2 | 2686.6 |
| 1920 | G88-5AU | TCU-88-5 | 3.05 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 3.39 | 1.32 | 77.24 | \$62.75 | 87.6407 | 20 | 1752.8 | 5346.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1920 | G88-5AL | TCU-88-5 | 3.30 | 0.79 | 0.54 | 4.17 | 1.10 | 29.71 | \$64.47 | 285.305 | 20 | 5706.1 | 18811.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1920 | G88-5BU | TCU-88-5 | 3.05 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 3.39 | 1.32 | 77.24 | \$62.75 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.9578 | 20 | 1979.2 | 6036.8 |
| 1920 | G88-5BL | TCU-88-5 | 3.30 | 0.79 | 0.54 | 4.17 | 1.10 | 29.71 | \$64.47 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 118.106 | 20 | 2362.1 | 7787.3 |
| 1920 | G49B | TCU93-49 | 3.82 | 4.09 | 1.20 | 6.30 | 2.80 | 137.00 | \$167.53 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 209.194 | 20 | 4183.9 | 15973.8 |
| 1920 | G7B | TCU-88-7 | 3.16 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 5.39 | 2.03 | 91.73 | \$95.53 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 137.959 | 20 | 2759.2 | 8719.0 |
| 1920 | G7AU | TCU-88-7 | 3.16 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 5.39 | 2.03 | 91.73 | \$95.53 | 340.613 | 20 | 6812.3 | 21526.7 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| TULSEQU <br> G LENS <br> N SECT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AH CHIEF } \\ & \text { BLOCK } \end{aligned}$ | DEPOSIT <br> HOLE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ERVE } \\ & \text { SG } \end{aligned}$ |  | PLATI PB\% | N TAB ZN\% | AU <br>  | AG g/T | NSR | VERTAREA -- PROBABLE RESERE---------------ROLUME TONNES |  |  |  | AREA | SSIBLE R <br> VERT RANGE | ESERVE <br> OLUME | TONNES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920 | G7AL | TCU-88-7 | 3.22 | 0.34 | 1.43 | 3.75 | 1.63 | 91.74 | \$70.78 | 207.702 | 20 | 4154.0 | 13375.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1920 | G42AU | TCU92-42 | 3.33 | 1.45 | 0.81 | 6.32 | 0.42 | 54.93 | \$89.78 | 93.7069 | 20 | 1874.1 | 6244.3 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1920 | G42AL | TCU92-42 | 3.52 | 2.14 | 0.39 | 3.24 | 3.19 | 59.28 | \$102.17 | 84.2605 | 20 | 1685.2 | 5925.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1920 | G69A | TCU94-69 | 2.97 | 0.33 | 2.08 | 5.54 | 6.17 | 166.01 | \$142.97 | 263.332 | 20 | 5266.6 | 15641.9 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1920 | G69B | TCU94-69 | 2.97 | 0.33 | 2.08 | 5.54 | 6.17 | 166.01 | \$142.97 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.0237 | 20 | 1940.5 | 5763.2 |
| 1920 | G44BW | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.8516 | 20 | 1077.0 | 32322 |
| 1920 | G44A | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 | 184.366 | 20 | 3687.3 | 11065.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1920 | G44BE | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.525 | 20 | 1610.5 | 4833.1 |
| 1940 | G8A | TCU-88-8 | 4.23 | 5.03 | 0.18 | 3.02 | 2.84 | 54.69 | \$142.73 | 263.376 | 20 | 5267.5 | 22281.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 00 |
| 1940 | G49A | TCU93-49 | 3.82 | 4.09 | 1.20 | 6.30 | 2.80 | 137.00 | \$167.53 | 510.368 | 20 | 10207.4 | 38971.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1940 | G49B | TCU93-49 | 3.82 | 4.09 | 1.20 | 6.30 | 2.80 | 137.00 | \$167.53 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.9034 | 20 | 1098.1 | 4192.4 |
| 1940 | G7B | TCU-88-7 | 3.16 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 5.39 | 2.03 | 91.73 | $\$ 95.53$ |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.1844 | 20 | 1063.7 | 3361.3 |
| 1940 | G7AU | TCU-88-7 | 3.16 | 0.93 | 1.10 | 5.39 | 2.03 | 91.73 | \$95.53 | 167.702 | 20 | 3354.0 | 10598.7 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1940 | G7AL | TCU-88-7 | 3.22 | 0.34 | 1.43 | 3.75 | 1.63 | 91.74 | \$70.78 | 213.641 | 20 | 4272.8 | 13757.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1940 | G42AU | TCU92-42 | 3.33 | 1.45 | 0.81 | 6.32 | 0.42 | 54.93 | \$89.78 | 239.519 | 20 | 4790.4 | 15960.7 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1940 | G42AL | TCU92-42 | 3.52 | 2.14 | 0.39 | 3.24 | 3.19 | 59.28 | \$102.17 | 117.252 | 20 | 2345.0 | 8245.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 00 |
| 1940 | G69A | TCU94-69 | 2.97 | 0.33 | 2.08 | 5.54 | 6.17 | 166.01 | \$142.97 | 159.817 | 20 | 3196.3 | 9493.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1940 | G69B | TCU94-69 | 2.97 | 0.33 | 2.08 | 5.54 | 6.17 | 166.01 | \$142.97 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.2899 | 20 | 765.8 | 2274.4 |
| 1940 | G44BW | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.8566 | 20 | 577.1 | 1732.0 |
| 1940 | G44A | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 | 222.686 | 20 | 4453.7 | 13365.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1940 | G44BE | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.804 | 20 | 1456.1 | 4369.7 |
| 1960 | G8A | TCU-88-8 | 4.23 | 5.03 | 0.18 | 3.02 | 2.84 | 54.69 | \$142.73 | 103.047 | 20 | 2060.9 | 8717.8 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1960 | G49A | TCU93-49 | 3.82 | 4.09 | 1.20 | 6.30 | 2.80 | 137.00 | \$167.53 | 436.021 | 20 | 8720.4 | 33294.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1960 | G49B | TCU93-49 | 3.82 | 4.09 | 1.20 | 6.30 | 2.80 | 137.00 | \$167.53 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 207.262 | 20 | 4145.2 | 15826.3 |
| 1960 | G67B | TCU94-67 | 3.61 | 1.47 | 0.76 | 4.97 | 1.23 | 85.37 | \$89.87 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 102.617 | 20 | 2052.3 | 7408.9 |
| 1960 | G42B | TCU92-42 | 3.33 | 1.45 | 0.81 | 6.32 | 0.42 | 54.93 | \$89.78 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.9635 | 20 | 659.3 | 2196.6 |
| 1960 | G42AU | TCU92-42 | 3.33 | 1.45 | 0.81 | 6.32 | 0.42 | 54.93 | \$89.78 | 177.827 | 20 | 3556.5 | 11849.8 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1960 | G42AL | TCU92-42 | 3.52 | 2.14 | 0.39 | 3.24 | 3.19 | 59.28 | \$102.17 | 41.394 | 20 | 827.9 | 2910.9 |  |  | 0.0 | 00 |
| 1960 | G69A | TCU94-69 | 2.97 | 0.33 | 2.08 | 5.54 | 6.17 | 166.01 | \$142.97 | 249.389 | 20 | 4987.8 | 14813.7 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1960 | G69B | TCU94-69 | 2.97 | 0.33 | 2.08 | 5.54 | 6.17 | 166.01 | \$142.97 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.622 | 20 | 1072.4 | 3185.1 |
| 1960 | G44B | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 295.5 | 20 | 5910.0 | 17735.9 |
| 1980 | G8A | TCU-88-8 | 4.23 | 5.03 | 0.18 | 3.02 | 2.84 | 54.69 | \$142.73 | 51.179 | 20 | 1023.6 | 4329.7 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1980 | G49A | TCU93-49 | 3.82 | 4.09 | 1.20 | 6.30 | 2.80 | 137.00 | \$167.53 | 539.571 | 20 | 10791.4 | 41201.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1980 | G67A | TCU94-67 | 3.61 | 1.47 | 0.76 | 4.97 | 1.23 | 85.37 | \$89.87 | 148.338 | 20 | 2966.8 | 10710.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1980 | G42B | TCU92-42 | 3.33 | 1.45 | 0.81 | 6.32 | 0.42 | 54.93 | \$89.78 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.4231 | 20 | 568.5 | 18940 |
| 1980 | G42A | TCU92-42 | 3.33 | 1.45 | 0.81 | 6.32 | 0.42 | 54.93 | \$89.78 | 129.872 | 20 | 2597.4 | 8654.3 |  |  | 0.0 | 00 |
| 1980 | G69A | TCU94-69 | 2.97 | 0.33 | 2.08 | 5.54 | 6.17 | 166.01 | \$142.97 | 181.127 | 20 | 3622.5 | 10758.9 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1980 | G69B | TCU94-69 | 2.97 | 0.33 | 2.08 | 5.54 | 6.17 | 166.01 | \$142.97 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.7041 | 20 | 1174.1 | 3487.0 |
| 1980 | G61B | TCU94-61 | 2.74 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 2.39 | 1.16 | 39.81 | \$45.32 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.6999 | 20 | 1414.0 | 3874.4 |
| 1980 | G44B | TCU92-44 | 3.00 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 2.72 | 1.16 | 57.71 | \$59.06 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 161.366 | 20 | 3227.3 | 9685.2 |
| 2000 | G49A | TCU93-49 | 3.82 | 4.09 | 1.20 | 6.30 | 2.80 | 137.00 | \$167.53 | 129.914 | 20 | 2598.3 | 9920.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2000 | G67A | TCU94-67 | 3.61 | 1.47 | 0.76 | 4.97 | 1.23 | 85.37 | \$89.87 | 79.0558 | 20 | 1581.1 | 5707.8 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2000 | G43A | TCU92-43 | 3.11 | 0.85 | 1.01 | 3.71 | 2.41 | 87.36 | \$83.58 | 67.8072 | 20 | 1356.1 | 4215.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2000 | G42B | TCU92-42 | 3.33 | 1.45 | 0.81 | 6.32 | 0.42 | 54.93 | \$89.78 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.2482 | 20 | 1065.0 | 3548.3 |

SUMMARY - SECTIONAL ORE RESERVE 1994

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TULSEQUA } \\ & \text { G LENS } \\ & \mathrm{N} \text { SECT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AH CHIE BLOCK | DEPOSIT - HOLE | SGV | CALC CU\% | ULATIO PB\% | N TAB ZN\% | $E$ $A \cup g / T$ | AG g/T | NSR | VERTAREA RANGE VOLUME $-\cdots$ TONNES |  |  |  | $\qquad$ POSSIBLE RESERVE <br> VERT <br> AREA RANGE VOLUME |  |  | TONNES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | G69B | TCU94-69 | 2.97 | 0.33 | 2.08 | 5.54 | 6.17 | 166.01 | \$142.97 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.7027 | 20 | 814.1 | 2417.7 |
| 2020 | G43A | TCU92-43 | 3.11 | 0.85 | 1.01 | 3.71 | 2.41 | 87.36 | \$83.58 | 204.843 | 20 | 4096.9 | 12735.3 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2020 | G43B | TCU92-43 | 3.11 | 0.85 | 1.01 | 3.71 | 2.41 | 87.36 | \$83.58 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.7163 | 20 | 1154.3 | 3588.3 |
| 2020 | G63B | TCU94-63 | 3.00 | 0.98 | 0.61 | 3.38 | 0.92 | 33.38 | \$60.18 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.5169 | 20 | 690.3 | 2071.0 |
| 2040 | G43A | TCU92-43 | 3.11 | 0.85 | 1.01 | 3.71 | 2.41 | 87.36 | \$83.58 | 239.083 | 20 | 4781.7 | 14864.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2040 | G43B | TCU92-43 | 3.11 | 0.85 | 1.01 | 3.71 | 2.41 | 87.36 | \$83.58 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.8567 | 20 | 977.1 | 3037.5 |
| 2040 | G63A | TCU94-63 | 3.00 | 0.98 | 0.61 | 3.38 | 0.92 | 33.38 | \$60.18 | 95.2897 | 20 | 1905.8 | 5717.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2040 | G63B | TCU94-63 | 3.00 | 0.98 | 0.61 | 3.38 | 0.92 | 33.38 | \$60.18 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.1155 | 20 | 722.3 | 2166.9 |
| 2060 | G43A | TCU92-43 | 3.11 | 0.85 | 1.01 | 3.71 | 2.41 | 87.36 | \$83.58 | 102.141 | 20 | 2042.8 | 6350.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2060 | G63A | TCU94-63 | 3.00 | 0.98 | 0.61 | 3.38 | 0.92 | 33.38 | \$60.18 | 185.706 | 20 | 3714.1 | 11142.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2080 | G63A | TCU94-63 | 3.00 | 0.98 | 0.61 | 3.38 | 0.92 | 33.38 | \$60.18 | 128.554 | 20 | 2571.1 | 7713.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2080 | G63B | TCU94-63 | 3.00 | 0.98 | 0.61 | 3.38 | 0.92 | 33.38 | \$60.18 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 79.8981 | 20 | 1598.0 | 4793.9 |
| PROBABLE | R RESER |  | 3.31 | 1.42 | 1.17 | 4.81 | 2.75 | 98.72 | \$107.45 |  |  |  | 965,214 |  |  | 156,241 | 503,177 |
| POSSIBLE | RESER |  | 3.22 | 1.03 | 1.16 | 4.40 | 2.66 | 92.12 | \$96.14 |  |  |  | 503,177 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 3.28 | 1.29 | 1.17 | 4.67 | 2.72 | 96.46 | \$103.58 |  |  |  | 1,468,390 |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX 6

Trace Element Analyses

## chemex Laps Ltd．

Analytical Chemists＊Geochemists＊Registered Assayer
212 Brooksbank Ave．，North Vancouve
British Columbia，Canada V7J2C1
PHONE：604－984－0221

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ERTIFIC | TE OF | NALYSIS | A9 | 8690 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAMPLE | PREP CODE |  | Au ppb $F A+A A$ | Ag ppm | Co ppm | Cu <br> ppm | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Mn <br> ppm | Mo ppm | Ni ppm | Pb ppm | Zn <br> ppm |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KL94001 } \\ & \text { KL94000 } \\ & \text { KL94003 } \\ & \text { KL94004 } \\ & \text { KL94005 } \end{aligned}$ | 248 248 248 248 248 | 294 294 294 294 294 | 10 $<\quad 5$ $<\quad 5$ 20 $<$ | $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ $<0.5$ | 27 22 9 15 19 | 102 79 14 7 9 | 6.28 4.39 3.11 1.49 1.85 | 760 910 565 25 85 | $<1$ $<1$ $<$ 1 1 2 | 15 18 $<$ $<$ $<$ | $<2$ $<$ $<$ $<$ 2 8 4 | 70 70 74 6 22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KL94006 } \\ & \text { KL94007 } \\ & \text { KL. } 94008 \\ & \text { KL94009 } \\ & \text { KL94010 } \end{aligned}$ | 248 248 248 248 248 | $\begin{aligned} & 294 \\ & 294 \\ & 294 \\ & 294 \\ & 294 \end{aligned}$ | 15 15 $<5$ 20 5 | 1.5 $<$ $<$ $<$ 0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 16 \\ & 24 \\ & 33 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 23 \\ & 35 \\ & 27 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.81 \\ & 4.59 \\ & 5.61 \\ & 0.68 \\ & 0.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105 \\ 545 \\ 715 \\ 10 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 1 1 $<$ 1 2 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 \\ 19 \\ 4 \\ <\quad 1 \end{array}$ | 22 30 $<2$ 42 12 | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 128 \\ 92 \\ 672 \\ 64 \end{array}$ |
| ML94001 | 248 | 294 | 30 | $<0.5$ | $13$ | $4$ | 0.47 | ＜ 5 | 1 | $<1$ | $10$ | $54$ |

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS
A9419699

| SAMPLE |  |  | Au ppb $F A+A A$ | Ag ppm | As ppm | Bi ppm | Cu <br> ppm | Hg ppm | Mo ppm | Pb ppm | Sb ppm | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Zn} \\ & \mathrm{ppm} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GL94005 } \\ & \text { GL94013 } \\ & \text { ML94002 } \end{aligned}$ | 1646 1646 1646 | 226 226 226 | 25 20 15 | 0.2 $<$ 0.2 0.2 | 2 $<2$ 22 | $<2$ $<2$ $<2$ | 19 14 27 | $<1$ $<$ $<$ | 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 | 2 2 12 | $<2$ $<2$ $<2$ | 24 1680 152 |
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## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS A9419698

| SAMPLE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & A u \quad p p b \\ & F A+A A \end{aligned}$ | Ag ppm | As <br> ppm | Bi <br> ppm | Cu <br> ppm | Hg ppm | Mo ppm | Pb ppm | sb ppm | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Zn} \\ & \mathrm{ppm} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GG94001 } \\ & \text { GG94001 } \\ & \text { GG94003 } \\ & \text { GG94004 } \\ & \text { GG94005 } \end{aligned}$ | 205 205 205 205 205 | 226 226 226 226 226 | $<5$ $<5$ $<$ $<$ | 0.2 $<$ $<$ 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 | 16 16 20 12 8 | $<2$ $<$ | 71 65 23 166 138 | $<1$ $<1$ $<1$ $<1$ $<1$ | 1 2 1 $<$ $<$ | 4 8 8 $<\quad 2$ 72 | 4 2 2 8 $\times \quad 2$ | 44 64 140 56 34 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GG94006 } \\ & \text { GG94007 } \\ & \text { GG94008 } \\ & \text { GG940019 } \\ & \text { GG94010 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \end{array}$ | 226 226 226 226 226 | $<5$ $<5$ $<5$ $<5$ | 0.2 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.2 | 46 136 234 200 16 | < 2 $<2$ $<2$ $<2$ | 149 215 370 134 178 | $<1$ <br> $<1$ <br> $<1$ <br> $<1$ <br> 1 | < 1 1 2 1 $<$ | 6 8 $<\quad 2$ 6 4 | 18 60 100 28 48 | 34 54 98 262 562 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GG94011 } \\ & \text { GG94012 } \\ & \text { GG94013 } \\ & \text { GG94014 } \\ & \text { MG94010 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \end{array}$ | 226 226 226 226 226 | $<5$ $<5$ $<5$ $<5$ $<$ | $<0.2$ $<0.2$ $<0.2$ $<0.2$ $<0.2$ | 22 8 2 14 2 | $<2$ $<$ | 17 81 12 16 9 | $<1$ $<1$ $<1$ $<1$ $<1$ | 1 2 1 1 2 | 22 4 6 2 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \\ < \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 46 92 712 24 20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MG94011 } \\ & \text { MG94011 } \\ & \text { MG94013 } \\ & \text { MG94014 } \\ & \text { MG94015 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 226 \\ & 226 \\ & 226 \\ & 226 \\ & 226 \end{aligned}$ | 35 $<\quad 5$ $<\quad 5$ $<5$ $<$ | 0.4 $<0.2$ $<0.2$ $<0.2$ $<0.2$ | 70 20 8 12 6 | < 2 $<2$ $<2$ $<2$ | 53 7 19 11 6 | < 11 $<1$ $<1$ $<1$ | 2 1 1 1 3 | 18 2 2 16 8 | 2 2 6 $<$ $<$ | 276 8 6 4 6 |
| MG94016 <br> RG94011 <br> RG94012 <br> RG94013 <br> RG94014 | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 20 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 226 \\ & 226 \\ & 226 \\ & 226 \\ & 226 \end{aligned}$ | $<5$ $<5$ $<5$ $<5$ $<$ | 0.4 $<0.2$ $<0.2$ 0.2 0.4 | 20 4 4 8 12 | $<2$ $<2$ $<2$ $<2$ $<$ | 18 19 23 11 20 | $<1$ <br> $<1$ <br> $<1$ <br> $<1$ <br> 1 | 1 1 1 4 1 | 368 4 6 38 16 | 2 $<$ 6 4 $<$ 2 2 | 66 44 242 10 36 |
| RG94015 RG94016 | 205 205 | 226 | $<5$ $<$ | 0.6 0.2 | 46 4 | $<2$ $<2$ | 19 8 | 1 $<\quad 1$ | 1 | 32 6 | 6 $<\quad 2$ | 82 |
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## APPENDIX 7

## Lithogeochemical Analyses

# Geochemical Laboratories <br> Earth \& Planetary Sciences <br> McGill University, 3450 University Street <br> Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 2A7 

X-ray fluorescence analysis of 34 powders submitted by Dr. K. Curtis/Dr. R. March, Redfern Resources on November 10, 1994.

Any values given in the results tables which are less than the given detection limits are not significant.
Major element analyses:
Analyses done on fused beads prepared from ignited samples
Total iron present has been recalculated as $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. In cases where most of the iron was originally in the ferrous state (usually the case with unaltered rocks) a higher total is the result.

Trace element analyses:
Analyses done on pressed powder pellets
Detection limits are based on three times the background sigma values.
"int" indicates that there is interference from unusually high quantities of other trace elements.
A copy of the correlation list follows the results.

Curtis. March.... 34 Powders
$1100 \cdot 10 / 94$
LONGLO1 - PP for those noted

$-2$.
Curtis/march

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

# Geochemival Laboratories <br> Earth and Planetary Sciences <br> McGill University, 3450 University Street <br> Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 2A7 

Major Element Package
Page 1
Sample $\quad \mathrm{SiO} 2 \mathrm{TiO} 2 \mathrm{Al} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{Fe} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{MnO} \mathrm{MgO} \mathrm{CaO} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O}$ K2O P2O5 BaO Ce Co Cr2O3 Cu Ni Sc V Zn LOI Total

| 'chex' |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.64 |  |  | 137 |  |  | 7 | 35 | 68 | 92 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \%iken CURTIS | 001 | 51.25 44.54 | 0.72 0.95 | 16.71 16.53 | 10.99 7.62 | 0.15 0.18 | 4.64 2.65 | 6.07 13.27 | 2.33 | 1.37 2.20 | 0.15 0.18 | 406 | 28 | 35 | 158 | 9350 | 55 | 274 | 539.83 | 100.30 |
| 94005 CURTIS | 003 | 68.89 | 0.46 | 13.99 | 5.09 | 0.11 | 0.86 | 1.97 | 4.35 | 2.05 | 0.11 | 730 | 70 | 4 | 25 | 4426 | 21 | 12 | 682.07 | 100.07 |
| S府c¢CURTIS | 004 | 69.34 | 0.30 | 16.11 | 2.54 | 0.02 | 1.06 | 0.09 | 4.92 | 3.29 | 0.04 | 882 | 54 | 16 | 6 | 2711 | 18 | 27 | 202.46 | 100.27 |
| CACCSCURTIS | 005 | 72.17 | 0.30 | 13.83 | 3.11 | 0.03 | 1.23 | 0.12 | 3.19 | 3.12 | 0.06 | 744 | 30 | 26 | 54 | 3935 | 15 | 14 | 272.57 | 99.82 |
| : Skecto Curtis | 006 | 68.64 | 0.38 | 14.20 | 5.19 | 0.04 | 1.57 | 0.14 | 0.77 | 5.03 | 0.09 | 944 | 58 | 14 | 10 | 5319 | 15 | 30 | 733.90 | 100.07 |
| 940,7CURTIS | 007 | 65.72 | 0.59 | 14.50 | 7.62 | 0.14 | 2.87 | 0.70 | 2.27 | 3.42 | 0.13 | 805 | 45 | 14 | 24 | 4923 | 20 | 70 | 1302.12 | 100.19 |
| - AfECECURTIS | 008 | 52.81 | 0.90 | 15.07 | 9.28 | 0.15 | 7.77 | 4.18 | 2.72 | 2.21 | 0.18 | 463 | 30 | 27 | 135 | 4842 | 36 | 204 | 804.99 | 100.38 |
| 94299CURTIS | 009 | 74.25 | 0.29 | 13.12 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.26 | 9.04 | 0.05 | 5800 | 20 | 29 | 19 | 3921 | 7 | 13 | 5962.33 | 101.00 |
| -9tole CURTIS | 010 | 71.62 | 0.35 | 15.48 | 1.30 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 7.33 | 0.06 | 3085 | 41 | 18 | 23 | 4431 | 11 | 19 | 742.33 | 100.06 |
| CHE/CURTIS | 011 | 76.11 | 0.29 | 13.23 | 0.78 | 0.01 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 6.47 | 0.02 | 2755 | 31 | 9 | 2 | 3113 | 10 | 17 | 672.00 | 100.18 |
| ete |  | 60 | 35 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 95 | 15 | 75 | 25 | 35 | 50 | 50 | 10 | 2 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 2100 | 100 |

## Geochunical Laboratories

## Earth and Planetary Sciences

## McGill University, 3450 University Street

Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 2A7
Major Element Package

|  | Sample |  | SiO2 | TiO2 | Al2O3 | 203 | MnO | MgO | CaO | Na 2 O | K2O | P2O5 | BaO | Co | Cr2O3 | Cu | Ni | V | Zn | LOI | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4002 | CURTIS | 012 | 75.67 | 0.45 | 11.69 | 2.45 | 0.06 | 1.56 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 4.67 | 0.03 | 1968 | 15 | 51 | 34 | 18 | 54 | 168 | 2.85 | 100.08 |
| mestars | CURTIS | 013 | 66.85 | 0.52 | 15.52 | 5.89 | 0.14 | 1.49 | 1.53 | 4.90 | 2.30 | 0.13 | 1175 | 5 | 28 | 43 | 21 | 8 | 118 | 1.44 | 100.86 |
|  | CURTIS | 014 | 47.28 | 0.93 | 16.63 | 10.14 | 0.18 | 8.17 | 5.33 | 2.46 | 3.47 | 0.21 | 1393 | 34 | 167 | 86 | 52 | 60 | 73 | 5.30 | 10031 |
| - 94005 | CURTIS | 015 | 69.01 | 0.37 | 13.60 | 5.12 | 0.11 | 1.72 | 0.45 | 2.93 | 2.89 | 0.08 | 1574 | 21 | 57 | 31 | 62 | 43 | 26 | 3.73 | 100.20 |
| Э人94013 | CURTIS | 016 | 65.28 | 0.39 | 15.55 | 4.17 | 0.13 | 3.11 | 0.45 | 1.79 | 4.50 | 0.06 | 2365 | 18 | 34 | 35 | 30 |  | 1715 | 4.17 | 100.02 |
|  | Detection | m) | 60 | 35 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 95 | 15 | 75 | 25 | 35 | 35 | 10 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 100 | 100 |

# Geochemical Laboratories <br> Earth and Planetary Sciences <br> McGill University， 3450 University Street <br> Montreal，QC CANADA H3A 2A7 

Major Element Package
Page 1 1.00

1

| Sample |  | SiO2 | TiO2 | Al203 | 203 | MnO | MgO | CaO | Na2O | K2O | P2O5 | BaO | Co | r203 | Cu | Ni | V | Zn LOI | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\because 8019$ CURTIS | 017 | 49.25 | 0.62 | 22.78 | 7.38 | 0.12 | 5.83 | 6.09 | 4.43 | 2.43 | 0.14 | 1470 | 24 | 93 | 48 | 43 | 149 | 3481.16 | 100.45 |
| こどイじくて，CURTIS | 018 | 54.43 | 0.90 | 21.28 | 8.49 | 0.09 | 3.27 | 6.01 | 3.76 | 1.09 | 0.20 | 110 | 33 | 178 | 49 | 42 | 254 | 520.83 | 100.43 |
| CEFACLS CURTIS | 019 | 46.63 | 0.97 | 21.80 | 10.02 | 0.14 | 6.24 | 8.84 | 3.00 | 1.65 | 0.17 | 841 | 38 | 197 | 111 | 81 | 235 | 731.03 | 100.65 |
| 4454024 CURTIS | 020 | 49.26 | 1.06 | 13.40 | 16.50 | 0.20 | 7.48 | 1.12 | 0.16 | 1.84 | 0.82 | 1228 | 40 | 28 | 1467 | 34 | 250 | 1468.31 | 100.46 |
| 致5＊ | 021 | 77.27 | 0.34 | 12.51 | 2.07 | 0.02 | 0.68 | 0.17 | 0.68 | 4.31 | 0.06 | 7878 | 2 | －3 | 36 | 2 | 18 | 62.19 | 101.10 |
| SY5¢ACKCURTIS | 022 | 73.54 | 0.36 | 13.13 | 3.20 | 0.01 | 0.55 | 0.22 | 1.11 | 4.92 | 0.07 | 4130 | 4 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 7 | 142.63 | 100.16 |
| SHEC＇CURTIS | 023 | 75.93 | 0.23 | 12.80 | 1.84 | 0.05 | 0.77 | 0.36 | 1.48 | 4.56 | 0.04 | 1361 | 6 | 18 | 49 | 3 | 21 | 421.69 | 99.89 |
| AACS2 CURTIS | 024 | 72.50 | 0.26 | 14.36 | 3.41 | 0.10 | 0.61 | 0.21 | 6.64 | 1.05 | 0.05 | 793 | 18 | 34 | 67 | 5 | 20 | 600.83 | 100.13 |
| ： 9 ACRS CURTIS | 025 | 70.36 | 0.48 | 14.98 | 4.25 | 0.06 | 1.23 | 0.16 | 4.67 | 2.44 | 0.10 | 712 | 13 | 12 | 122 | 10 | 20 | 501.54 | 100.37 |
| －94CC $\$$ CURTIS | 026 | 50.40 | 0.87 | 13.40 | 9.55 | 0.18 | 6.05 | 6.09 | 2.97 | 3.43 | 0.57 | 1753 | 22 | 283 | 159 | 73 | 204 | 1165.58 | 99.36 |
| 97005 CURTIS | 027 | 77.30 | 0.23 | 12.84 | 1.89 | 0.02 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 1.36 | 3.68 | 0.06 | 1526 | 4 | 0 | 24 | －2 | 10 | 552.00 | 100.12 |
| $\bigcirc 946 C K$ CURTIS | 028 | 76.85 | 0.24 | 13.28 | 2.00 | 0.02 | 0.64 | 0.02 | 0.70 | 4.16 | 0.03 | 1511 | 5 | 31 | 45 | 21 | 13 | 541.89 | 100.00 |
| $\bigcirc 3^{2}+\chi^{\prime}$ | 029 | 75.13 | 0.27 | 12.42 | 2.94 | 0.10 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 0.18 | 4.31 | 0.05 | 755 | 5 | 20 | 60 |  | 12 | 712.49 | 99.84 |
| $\because S$ CXECURTIS | 030 | 74.98 | 0.26 | 14.27 | 2.02 | 0.07 | 0.66 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 4.75 | 0.05 | 1318 | 7 | 14 | 38 | 3 | 19 | 342.31 | 99.71 |
| S4CCJYCURTIS | 031 | 72.80 | 0.34 | 13.49 | 3.64 | 0.04 | 1.15 | 0.12 | 0.63 | 5.33 | 0.09 | 1102 | 5 | 9 | 28 | 4 | 31 | 1182.42 | 100.17 |
| S4401C CURTIS | 032 | 73.96 | 0.23 | 12.78 | 2.67 | 0.14 | 1.04 | 1.09 | 3.38 | 2.61 | 0.04 | 783 | 2 | 13 | 49 | 4 | 21 | 1511.77 | 99.82 |
| －shorI CURTIS | 033 | 75.37 | 0.22 | 13.18 | 2.37 | 0.01 | 0.40 | 0.11 | 3.41 | 3.01 | 0.05 | 1087 | 3 | 19 | 53 | 1 | 18 | 241.65 | 99.90 |
| ：97for2 CURTIS | 034 | 70.12 | 0.33 | 14.39 | 4.06 | 0.15 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 4.88 | 2.42 | 0.06 | 969 | 8 | 69 | 57 | 3 | 32 | 641.40 | 99.66 |
| S400／CURTIS | 035 | 59.93 | 2.18 | 14.80 | 9.94 | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.20 | 4.00 | 0.53 | 315 | 15 | 517 | 68 | 60 | 81 | 547.24 | 100.32 |
| ：9 9030 CURTIS | 036 | 72.53 | 0.36 | 13.93 | 2.60 | 0.03 | 1.19 | 0.11 | 1.23 | 4.40 | 0.07 | 2084 | 3 | 162 | 84 | 1 | 20 | 193.17 | 99.85 |
|  | 037 | 64.31 | 0.41 | 15.66 | 4.29 | 0.09 | 2.18 | 3.01 | 0.51 | 5.45 | 0.10 | 1237 | 10 | 86 | 35 | 4 | 47 | 664.11 | 100.27 |
| S 940̇ZCURTIS | 038 | 82.18 | 0.20 | 9.30 | 1.85 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 0.10 | 4.05 | 0.54 | 0.06 | 50 | 0 | 137 | 34 | 1 | 22 | 110.82 | 99.66 |
| Detection | its | 60 | 35 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 95 | 15 | 75 | 25 | 35 | 35 | 10 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 2100 |  |

# G6un.emiva, Labu....orie, <br> Earth and Planetary Sciences <br> McGill University, 3450 University Street <br> Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 2A7 

| Sample |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| CURTIS | 068 |
| CURTIS | 069 |
| CURTIS | 070 |
| CURTIS | 071 |
| CURTIS | 072 |
| CURTIS | 073 |
| CURTIS | 074 |
| CURTIS | 075 |
| CURTIS | 076 |
| CURTIS | 077 |
| CURTIS | 078 |
| CURTIS | 079 |
| CURTIS | 080 |
| CURTIS | 081 |
| CURTIS | 082 |
| CURTIS | 083 |
| CURTIS | 084 |
| CURTIS | 085 |
| CURTIS | 086 |
| CURTIS | 087 |
| CURTIS | 088 |
| CURTIS | 089 |
| CURTIS | 090 |
| CURTIS | 091 |
| CURTIS | 092 |
| CURTIS | 093 |
| CURTIS | 094 |
| CURTIS | 095 |
| CURTIS | 096 |
| CURTIS | 097 |
| CURTIS | 098 |
| CURTIS | 099 |
| CURTIS | 100 |


| SIO2 | TIO2 | Al |  | MnO | MgO | CaO | Na 2 O | K2O | P205 | BaO | Co | r203 | Cu | NI V | Zn LOI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 05 | 0.91 | 20.30 | 10.25 | 0.14 | 5.06 | 3.14 | 3.04 | 3.19 | 0.09 | 847 | 16 | 66 | 55 | 31193 | 1404.70 | 100.00 |
| 49.92 | 0.9 | 17.87 | 60 | 0.1 | 4.86 | 7.97 | 4.40 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 265 | 30 | 106 | 86 | 3727 | 112 | 100.38 |
| 46.94 | 1.08 | 18.65 | 11.6 | 0.23 | 6.8 | 3.50 | 5.04 | 0.73 | 0.3 | 420 | 22 | 71 | 49 | 45 | 355 | 00.65 |
| 48.27 | 0.84 | 18.11 | 10.07 | 0.21 | 7.05 | 7.77 | 3.50 | 1.47 | 0.20 | 508 | 22 | 34 | 127 | 75232 | 127 | 35 |
| 64.54 | 0.86 | 14.66 | 47 | 0.06 | 2.02 | 0.76 | 0.58 | 3.97 | 0.31 | 2598 |  | 8 | 46 | 79 | 715.1 | 68 |
| 53.98 | 0.99 | 12.95 | 14.12 | 0.18 | 5.50 | 1.53 | 0.34 | 2.4 | 0.26 | 223 | 18 | 35 | 281 | 6223 | 197 | 100.38 |
| 69.70 | 0.36 | 12.75 | 6.46 | 0.02 | 1.04 | 0.18 | -0.03 | 4.4 | 0.06 | 2976 | 10 | 12 | 51 | 437 | 72 | 00.18 |
| 71.48 | 0.38 | . 05 | 4.6 | 0.03 | 0.6 | 0.37 | 1.06 | 4.06 | 0.07 | 1736 |  |  | 61 | 617 | 1263.6 | 58 |
| 66.30 | 0.5 | 14.05 | 6.98 | 0. | 3.02 | 1.00 | 4.42 | 1.2 | 0.13 | 459 |  | 15 | 62 | 9117 | 1202.72 | 100.59 |
| 66.54 | 0.57 | 15.98 | 5.74 | 0.06 | 1.99 | 0.36 | 5.52 | 1.81 | 0.1 | 68 | 8 | 14 | 73 | 73 | 96 | 100.54 |
| . 0 | 0.96 | 18.84 | 10.24 | 0.12 | 7.13 | 1.99 | 2.82 | 3.23 | 0.10 | 895 | 30 | 101 | 38 | 40104 | 1295.3 | 00.91 |
| 48.23 | 0.90 | 18.96 | 9.59 | 0.24 | 8.98 | 5.03 | 2.37 | 2.4 | 0.07 | 593 | 33 | 247 | 33 | 64213 | 1863.6 | 00.60 |
| 80.41 | 0.19 | 8.60 | 1.90 | 0.07 | 0.65 | 2.10 | 0.26 | 2.59 | 0.04 | 65 |  |  | 37 | 57 | 3. | 100.00 |
| 8.77 | 0.16 | 5.19 | 1.28 | 0.01 | 0.25 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 6210 | 13 | 0 | 46 | 47 | 11.2 | 99.88 |
| 50.35 | 0.99 | 18.31 | . 90 | 0.16 | 6.32 | 4.67 | 2.46 | 4.47 | 0.28 | 1128 | 27 | 102 | 87 | 26225 | 1102.56 | 10 |
| 74.96 | 0.26 | 13.69 | 03 | 0.05 | 0.67 | 0.36 | 3.35 | 3.13 | 0.05 | 1326 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 31 | 781.39 | 100.09 |
| 74.68 | 0.2 | 12.6 | 2.38 | 0.15 | 0.55 | 0.97 | 4.08 | . 05 | 0.11 | 836 |  | 45 | 39 | 13 | 1011.8 | 99.82 |
| . 01 | 0.24 | 13.67 | 2.44 | 0. | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.60 | 4.59 | 0.0 | 178 |  |  | 29 | 814 | 812.5 | 100 |
| . 81 | 0.24 | 13.37 | 2.40 | 0.05 | 0.85 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 4.6 | 0.05 | 1262 | 8 |  | 31 | 24 | 2.1 | 10 |
| 74.98 | 0.28 | 12 | 2.52 | 0.09 | 0.57 | 09 | 3. | 2.82 | 0.07 | 960 |  |  | 22 | 011 | 922.00 | 100.41 |
| 75.74 | 0.2 | 13 | 2.22 | 0.04 | 0.77 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 4.77 | 0.03 | 1470 |  | 16 | 35 | 011 | 2 | 99.91 |
| 73.77 | 0.23 | 13.49 | 3.30 | . 08 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 3.29 | 0.05 | 1293 | 12 |  | 34 | 28 | 1 | 00.34 |
| 76.53 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 0.23 | 0.01 | . 0 | 0.18 | 3.3 | 5.35 | 0.02 | 358 | 16 | 14 | 22 | 36 | 240.5 | 100.04 |
| 76.65 | 0. | 13. | 88 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 2.44 | . 96 | 0.02 | 981 | 10 | 18 | 8 | 06 | 611.09 | 100.14 |
| 3.37 | 0.25 | 13.49 | 2.65 | 0.11 | 1.32 | 0.73 | 0.94 | . 37 | 0.0 | 1404 | 4 | 13 | 36 | 38 | 2882.25 | 99.70 |
| 4.7 | 0.9 | 16.87 | 11.00 | 0.16 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 4.47 | 1.68 | 0.3 | 675 | 20 | 11 | 29 | 0173 | 1584.3 | 100.86 |
| . 8 | 0.3 | 13.5 | 2.10 | 0.0 | 0.83 | 1.59 | 1.30 | 3.53 | 0. | 1043 | 2 |  | 9 | 30 | 962.87 | 100.16 |
| 52. | 0.6 | 15.0 | 9.56 | 0.18 | 6.92 | . 78 | 3.23 | 0.0 | 0. | 34 | 85 | 618 | 24 | 2217 | 1155.6 | . 53 |
| 49.12 | 0.87 | 17.24 | 9.2 | 0.20 | 2.44 | 9.43 | 4.22 | 0.96 | 0.2 | 423 | 15 | 5 | 71 | 8243 | 406.0 | . 18 |
| 51.73 | 1.02 | 18.32 | 11.44 | 0.21 | 3.14 | 7.46 | 3.65 | 0.52 | 0.33 | 307 | 22 | 0 | 73 | 4155 | 642.79 | 00.68 |
| 55.91 | 0.81 | 16.64 | 9.13 | 0.14 | 5.37 | 3.39 | 5.24 | 0.42 | 0.16 | 237 | 24 | 73 | 38 | 41159 | 1283.95 | 101.23 |
| 72.86 | 0.43 | 14.42 | 4.31 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 4.06 | 0.10 | 469 | 19 | 49 | 16 | 1245 | 463.89 | 00.52 |
| 71.25 | 0.31 | 13. | 3.97 | 0.04 | 1.45 | 0.33 | 0. | 4.48 | 0. | 1371 | 11 | 1 | 33 | 222 | 584.16 | 100.01 |

# Geocnemical Laboratorles <br> Earth and Planetary Sciences <br> McGIII University, 3450 University Street <br> Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 2A7 

Major Element Package
Page 3

| Sample | SIO2 | TIO2 | Al203 | Fe2O3 | MnO | MgO | CaO | Na 2 O | K2O | P2O5 | BaO | Co | Cr2O3 | Cu | NI | V | Zn | 01 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CURTIS 101 | 45.59 | 0.87 | 15.54 | 9.89 | 0.41 | 6.96 | 8.01 | 1.87 | 1.60 | 0.16 | 1149 | 29 | 137 | 67 | 31 | 256 | 132 | 8.62 | 99.70 |
| Detection Limite(ppm) | 60 | 35 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 95 | 15 | 75 | 25 | 35 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 100 |  |

# Geochemical Laboratories <br> Earth and Planetary Sciences <br> McGill University， 3450 University Street Montreal，QC CANADA H3A 2A7 

Page 2
Trace Element Package

|  | Sample |  | $\mathbf{G a}$ | $\mathbf{N b}$ | $\mathbf{P b}$ | $\mathbf{R b}$ | $\mathbf{S r}$ | Th | $\mathbf{U}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z r}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kん 9400 ， | CURTIS | 001 | 15 | 6 | 5 | 25 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 47 |
| Kん 94002 | CURTIS | 002 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 37 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 66 |
| Kん 94008 | CURTIS | 008 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 45 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 74 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Detection Limits } & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$

## Geochemical Laboratories

## Earth and Planetary Sciences

McGill University, 3450 University Street
Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 2A7
Page 2

## Trace Element Package

| MK G4ECA | Sample |  | Ga | Nb | Pb | Rb | Sr | Th | $\mathbf{U}$ | Y | Zr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CURTIS | 014 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 74 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 71 |
|  | Detectio | Limits | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

# Geochemical Laboratories <br> Earth and Planetary Sciences <br> McGill University, 3450 University Street <br> Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 2A7 

Trace Element Package
Page 3

|  | Sample |  | Ga | Nb | Pb | Rb | Sr | Th | U | Y Zr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TuEg19 | CURTIS | 017 | 24 | 12 | 19 | 56 | 483 | 5 | 1 | 47256 |
| Tu'94tb.4 | CURTIS | 018 | 19 | 4 | 10 | 26 | 428 | 0 | 0 | 2561 |
| T'CNALEB | CURTIS | 019 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 42 | 798 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| T2S45ACCA4 | CURTIS | 020 | 16 | 7 | 38 | 36 | 33 | 0 | 8 | 50 |
| ス'4954007 | CURTIS | 021 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 45 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 43145 |
| r4454008 | CURTIS | 022 | 13 | 12 | 20 | 52 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| R2 stexis | CURTIS | 024 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 142 | 5 | 0 | 44 |
| R2 94003 | CURTIS | 025 | 16 | 17 | 7 | 47 | 322 | 2 | 0 | 42156 |
| RLYALOA | CURTIS | 026 | 15 | 6 | 14 | 61 | 393 | 2 | 0 | 29 |
| RGACJIT | CURTIS | 032 | 12 | 11 | 17 | 48 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| RLSAOİ | CURTIS | 034 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 46 | 288 | 5 | 0 | 41218 |
|  | Detectior |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Geochemical Laboratories
Earth and Planetary Sciences
McGill University, 3450 University Street
Montreal, QC CANADA H3A 2A7
Page 4
Trace Element Package

| Sample |  | Ga | Nb | Pb | Rb | Sr | Th | U |  | Zr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CURTIS | 068 | 18 | 3 | 9 | 79 | 183 | 0 | 6 |  | 48 |
| CURTIS | 069 | 17 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 546 | 0 | int | 22 | 62 |
| CURTIS | 070 | 20 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 196 | 0 | 6 | 25 | 63 |
| CURTIS | 071 | 18 | 1 | 5 | 35 | 536 | 0 | int | 26 | 54 |
| CURTIS | 072 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 69 | 73 | 0 | 11 | 26 | 84 |
| CURTIS | 073 | 16 | 4 | 11 | 49 | 35 | 1 | 18 | 23 | 47 |
| CURTIS | 074 | 19 | 9 | 11 | 78 | 21 | 0 | 13 | 36 | 132 |
| CURTIS | 075 | 18 | 11 | 52 | 61 | 31 | 0 | 11 | 42 | 149 |
| CURTIS | 076 | 16 | 9 | 8 | 29 | 82 | 0 | 8 | 33 | 29 |
| CURTIS | 077 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 40 | 171 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 147 |
| CURTIS | 078 | 19 |  | 3 | 54 | 163 | 0 | 7 | 25 | 54 |
| CURTIS | 079 | 18 | 2 | 54 | 51 | 304 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 49 |
| CURTIS | 080 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 46 | 31 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 09 |
| CURTIS | 081 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 43 |
| CURTIS | 082 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 90 | 185 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 48 |
| CURTIS | 092 | 13 | 9 | 83 | 80 | 85 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 09 |
| CURTIS | 093 | 19 | 6 | 4 | 37 | 129 | 0 | 10 | 31 | 82 |
| CURTIS | 094 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 65 | 64 |  | 5 | 20 | 125 |
| CURTIS | 095 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 431 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 45 |
| CURTIS | 096 | 16 | 3 | 7 | 25 | 356 | 0 | 3 | 27 | 5 |
| CURTIS | 097 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 576 |  | int | 32 | 92 |
| CURTIS | 098 | 18 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 164 | 0 | 6 | 25 | 74 |
| CURTIS | 099 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 39 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 25 |
| CURTIS | 100 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 84 | 26 | 0 | 11 | 30 | 134 |
| CURTIS | 101 | 16 | 4 | 7 | 30 | 69 | 0 | 11 | 18 | 42 |

Detection Limits(ppm): $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
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## Introduction

During the 1994 field season the 5200 and 5400 levels at the Tulsequah Chief Mine were remapped and over sixty rock samples collected and analyzed for a variety of elements. Increased confidence in the continuity of sulphide mineralization was established at the back of the 5200 level. There are over 60 linear meters of material that grades an average of $1.1 \% \mathrm{Cu}$ and $4.6 \% \mathrm{Zn}$ (NSR = \$CAN 62) at this location. The underground exposures also provide an excellent study area for Chris Sebert of the MDRU, In his ongoing research of the facies relationships of the volcanic stratigraphy that hosts ore at Tulsequah. And finally, a clearer understanding of the style of structural disruption of the ore body and surrounding rock may be gleaned from the good 3-d underground exposures.

## Purpose of Study

Two underground levels at the Tulsequah Chief mine were remapped during the summer of 1994 to provide detailed geological data (lithology, structure, alteration and mineralization). These data may be used to further the understanding of the $F$-zone and 5200 alteration zone in terms of both their compositions and locations. The 3 -dimensional mapping may also allow for a more comprehensive understanding of the core area mineralization regarding continuity and metal zoning. Interpretations of the structural data may contribute to both the mine scale geotechnical study and the property scale structural model.

## Location and Access

The 5400 level is at the same elevation as the exploration camp at Tulsequah, and the portal is less than 5 minutes walk from the camp. It takes about 20 minutes to walk to the 1.3 km to the face. The 5200 level portal is about 10 minutes walk away, and it requires about 20 minutes alte to walk 1.05 km to the face.

## Work Completed Historical

1954-1957 Cominco Limited. All underground exposures of 5200 level ( 61 meters above sea level) and 5400 level ( 122 meters above sea level) were mapped at a scale of $1^{\prime \prime}=40^{\prime}$ (1:480). Specific ore zones were also mapped at $1 "=20^{\prime}$ (1:240). Backs and both walls were detailed everywhere except the main drifts leading to the portals. The floors are tracked. Average drift size is $8^{\prime} \times 8$ ' ( $2.4 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.4 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Apparent dips of structures were measured on both walls. Descriptions of textures, alteration and mineralogy are good, although rock types were not identified
using modern volcano-stratigraphic nomenclature. In areas that have high proportions of sulphide minerals, this historical mapping is probably the best achieved to date, due to the availability of freshly blasted and washed rock faces, and the skills of the mappers. Iron oxide currently coats the highly sulphidic rock and contacts are not readily recognizable, especially in the back that cannot be easily reached. These original hand coloured maps are bound and located in Redfern's library.

1987-1989 Cominco Limited. Generalized compilation maps were made at a scale of $1: 1000$. It is not known if any areas were remapped at this time.

1993 Cambria Geological for Redfern Resources Ltd. Garnet Dawson mapped at 1:1000 much of the 5400 level and the main drift of the 5200 level to about 15000 N , using a compass and hipchain. The information he provided consists of three to four letter rock codes, some structural data and delineation of major contacts. The mapping was done in concert with resurveying on the 5400 level. Cambria rotated the mine grid about $2^{\circ}$ and converted to the metric system.

Peter Lewis of the Mineral Deposits Research Unit (MDRU University of British Columbia) spent 2.5 days doing structural mapping. His interests were the two faults that bound the core area. Ross Sherlock, Tim Barrett and Fiona Childe (MDRU) collected a several samples of which ten were analyzed for whole rock geochemistry.

## 1994 Field Season

1994 Redfern Resources Ltd. Compilation maps were made of the two levels. This involved plotting by hand most details from the "old grid" 1 " $=40$ ' maps onto $1: 500$ sheets of the "new grid." Much of the back and walls of 5400 level main drift was washed and remapped from the face to the shaft by G. Price. The remainder of the main drift was remapped by C. Sebert (MDRU) at 1:200. Other areas of the 5400 level were deemed inaccessible due to flooding, bad ground or cave-ins. The main drift of the 5200 level was remapped by G.Price at 1:250. In total, approximately 35 person days were allocated to the mapping. Survey pegs and a metric chain were used for control. Although strongly rusted, and commonly illegible, the survey pegs were easy to locate (if chained continuously from one to the next, with the aid of the map). Data were replotted at 1:500 (with the exception of the 5400 main drift from shaft to portal). All data, including that collected from 1954-1993, were entered into Autocad to enable reproduction at 1:1000. Structural data were also entered into the Fieldlog and Spheristat programs.

Bill Barclay, a structural consultant, spent 1 day measuring structures using a compass on the 5200 level, from the portal to about 10700E.

An assortment of rock samples was collected for a variety of purposes. Three grab samples from 5400 level and six from 5200 level were sent for whole rock geochemistry analysis by Sebert
and Price. A number of whole rock geochemistry, isotope geochemistry and possibly fluid inclusion analyses will be done on samples collected by Sherlock, Childe and Barrett of the MDRU. Fourteen grab samples were taken at 2 meter intervals from the portal area of the 5200 level. These were analyzed for trace element geochemistry. Thirty-seven contiguous rock chip samples were collected from the south wall of an ore zone in the back of the 5200 level. These were analyzed for 30 element ICP, assayed for $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Au}$, and Ag, and specific gravity was measured. Sample width ranges from 0.9 to 2.6 meters, and the area sampled extends over a total of 73 meters.

Chris Sebert has much valuable work in progress, including thin section examination, and whole and trace element analyses. This work will culminate in the completion of MSC thesis on the facies relationships and chemostratigraphy of the volcanic sequence at Tulsequah. In addition other members of the MDRU are currently researching other aspects of the doposit.

Rehabilitation work was done on both levels. Some track was shored up and/or replaced in the main drift on the 5400 level. This was done to permit regular traffic of men and equipment to two operating diamond drills. The main drift of 5200 level was cleared of mud and water to some degree. The rails were clear of mud, and ditches had 20 to $>60 \mathrm{~cm}$ of mud, as of September 20, 1994. Some track required replacing, and three or four areas were cleaned of rock debris and timbered. Pipe for compressed air was hung from the 5200 portal to about l0680E. Scaling was done in the back. The walls were scaled in areas of concern, and where the rock chip sampling was done. Most cross-cuts on both levels are flooded and/or caved. Tracks in the main drifts of both levels are in passable but rapidly deteriorating condition.

Equipment available on site for use underground includes; two diesel locomotives, two flat cars, and one air track muck machine. There is also at least 250 meters of good quality 2.5" rubber hose. Miscellaneous underground parts/equipment (ie. lamps, hydraulic fittings, rail, pipe, pumps, etc.) are documented in an inventory. A Connors and a Boyles 38 electric diamond drills were removed from underground, greased and painted. Currently there are diamond drills on the market that are better suited for underground drilling. These modern drills require smaller (cheaper) cut-outs; they are smaller and lighter making moving/rotating a less time consuming and cumbersome exercise, and resulting in more accurate positioning. And unfortunately, repairs due to rust and deterioration of the hydraulic systems on the Boyles and Connors contributed to low rates of productivity ( $<30$ meters per shift).

## Stratigraphy

Remapping, of the 5200 level in particular has allowed the addition of details to the current descriptions of mine stratigraphy. Lithologies are described below in order from portal to face. This corresponds to traversing most of the mine stratigraphy: the 5200 'syncline', F-zone anticline, the footwall alteration to the core mineralization, 4400 E falt, core area
(AB) syncline mineralization and alteration, and the 5300 fault . The G-zone, located to the east of the 5300 E fault, was not observed in this mapping exercise. Current mine stratigraphy nomenclature is applied.
"2c" Dacite-basalt lapilli tuff to agglomeratic lapilli tuff (DLT): Pale grey (tan) to bleached grey-white, >80\% grey siliceous phyric and aphyric rounded elongate (aspect ratio @ 5:1) dacite clasts, locally feldspar phyric (1-2mm strongly resorbed subhedral white blocky crystals), <10\% pale creamy tan biotitic pumiceous rounded elongate basalt clasts, matrix is a fine to medium grained admixture of sericite + pyrite + quartz, well sorted, clast supported, massive (ie. no graded beds), strong penetrative foliation that may parallel former bedding, 220\% fine to medium grained pyrite as disseminations and lesser veinlets, trace disseminated red-brown sphalerite. Rocks of this unit are essentially the same on both levels, however updip on the 5400 level, it contains more tuffaceous beds and minor dacite flows. Bedding was measured at 130/85-90号 on the 5200 level. On the 5400 level it was measured at $180 / 64^{\circ}$ to $185 / 56^{\circ}$. Minimum thickness of this unit is about 185 meters. The dacite is eroded but presumably continues to the west under the Tulsequah River. The eastern contact with basalt intrusive unit $4 b$ is unclear and is disrupted over about 55 meters on the 5200 level. It is a fault contact on the 5400 level. These heterolithic dacite dominant sulphidic rocks are believed to be a facies equivalent to the dacite clastics and flows that host ore in the core area. Structurally they are thought to define the western limb of an easterly verging syncline. The rocks are commonly referred to as the '5200 alteration zone'.

4b Basalt intrusive (BIN): Dark green to green-black, fine to medium grained equigranular, pyroxene-actinolite-chlorite-biotite bearing, blocky jointed, cross-cut by $<5 \%$ calcite-quartz veins. The basalt is exposed for about 300 m in a northeast-southwest direction (subparallel to 5200 and 5400 main drifts). The basalt intrusive is drastically "thinned" to 10 meters to the south as is constrained by diamond drill hole TC94016. It is thought to be conformable (sill) and hypabyssal.

2 Dacite lapilli tuff and flow breccia (DLT and DFX): Pale green, bleached, local distinct flow banding or discontinuous fine grained siliceous 'cherty' beds, sell sorted, round clasts to 15 cm , strong pervasive silicification, $<2 \%$ fine grained disseminated pyrite. This unit is not exposed on 5200 level and was not mapped by the author. It is present in drill core in holes TCU8810 and TCU8811 (about 30 meters north and 70 meters lower in elevation than the 5200 level). Exposures are clear on 5400 level, where bedding (parallel to foliation) is oriented $171 / 50^{\circ}$ and $181 / 56^{\circ}$. It is about $10-20$ meters thick, and in fault contact with adjacent units. Similar to the aforementioned 5200 alteration zone, these dacites are thought to be correlative to the dacites that host ore in the core region. The exposure of these felsic rocks on the 5200 level is thought to represent the
western limb of an east verging anticline, called the 'Fanticline'. The absence of this unit on the 5200 level may be because it has been cut off by either a fault or the basalt intrusion, or the unit thinned locally.

1c Amygdaloidal basalt flow (BFL5): Dark green, massive, fine grained, variably amygdaloidal, local flow contacts defined by wispy/wavy 10-30 cm thick concentrations of chlorite-epidote, moderate pervasive chlorite alteration, amygdules range in concentration from $0-40 \%$ and average less than $2 \%$, amygdule composition is generally quartz-calcite, (amygdule fillings seen only in core includes pyrite, chalcopyrite, sphalerite, biotite, and cordierite). The contact with adjacent basalt intrusive on the 5200 level is both faulted and 'conformable' (ie. sharp no obvious chill margin or breccia).

1d Bleached, quartz-sericite-pyrite altered "basalt flows and flow breccia" (QSP, BFL, BFX): Pale grey-white to locally pale green-grey, protolith texture is strongly obscured by alteration although "vague flow clasts" were observed by sebert on the 5400 level, strong pervasive quartz-sericite alteration with local patchy chlorite alteration, medium grained "grainy" texture, pyrite varies from $0-20 \%$ as disseminations and minor veinlets, where pyrite content is high there is a strong yellow to redbrown iron oxide weathering surface. A fabric (foliation, schistosity, bedding, etc.) is not present in this unit on either level. Between about 13500 E and 14000 E on the 5200 level, Cominco has these bleached rocks mapped as a dacite plug. It is assumed to define the core of the F-anticline in these exposures. Thickness here may be $>80$ meters.

4400E fault: This structure is defined by a 2 to 7 meter wide zone of fissile and friable white clay, sericite and flattened augens of quartz-sericite altered 'dacite'. Clay gouge comprises about $30 \%$ of the total volume. Although some caving has occurred since drifting in the 1950's, the ground has not opened up in the backs, and timbering was not required on either level. As calculated from the two level plans, this curviplanar fault has an average orientation of about $165 / 60^{\circ}$. Actual measurements of the fault planes are highly variable. Flow banded sloko rhyolite dyke(s) bound the fault to the west, and quartz-sericite schist (dacite) lies to the east. This fault defines the boundary between the $F$-anticline and the core area syncline. Neither P.Lewis (MDRU) nor B.Barclay recorded having seen any indicators that would allow one to determine sense of movement. However Lewis does say, "on surface, outcrop distribution is compatible with up to 100 m of right lateral strike separation of contacts."

2a Quartz-sericite schist (and lesser massive siliceous rock) (QSP): Pale grey-white, fissile to massive, minor sugary white gypsum (?), caved and timbered on 5200 level. This is probably a strongly altered dacite clastic rock. Thickness is up to 20 meters.

2i Dacite lapilli tuff (DLT): Pale grey-white, well defined rounded flattened clasts up to 15 cm , clast supported, strong pervasive quartz-sericite alteration, well defined fabric is probably parallel to bedding (270/78品). Thickness is about 25 meters on the 5200 level.

2b Gypsum healed breccia and brecciated gypsum: Pale mauvepink, western contact is a 10 cm thick band, fragments are angular and up to 5 cm , gypsum is matrix to sericite-quartzchlorite fragments, and as breccia clasts healed by quartzsericite. Thickness is about 10 meters on the 5200 level and <3 meters on the 5400 level. Less than $5 \% 2-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ bands of massive pyrite and sphalerite cut this unit.

2a Chlorite-sericite-quartz-pyrite schist(QSP): Pale to medium green-grey, trace gypsum, sphalerite and chalcanthite, cut by <25\% 1-3 meter bands of massive sphalerite-galena/pyrite. Thickness is variable, as on 5400 level this strongly altered dacite clastic defines the core of the $A B$ syncline. Whereas on the 5200 level, the core of the $A B$ syncline is made up of a less altered dacite flow and clastics.

2j Dacite flow (DFL): Medium-pale green, feldspar phyric (<25\% 1-2 mm subhedral white crystals), well defined flow banding (bedding?) at 165/66, moderate pervasive quartz-sericite alteration.

2i Dacite lapilli tuff (DLT): Similar to aforementioned unit $2 i$. Bedding ranges from 198/63 to 255/66.

2a Quartz-sericite schist(QSP): Similar to aforementioned unit 2a quartz-sericite schist.
"5000E \& 5100E faults: These faults were named by Cominco, and are seen only on the 5200 level. They may be splays of the 5300 E fault that can be traced through level plans from surface to 200 meters below sea level. Both are timbered and not well exposed. The 5000E fault has an associated flow banded sloko rhyolite dyke lying immediately to the west. The 5l00E fault appears to contain fragments of sloko dyke.

2f,d,e Massive pyrite, massive pyrite+chalcopyrite, massive banded sphalerite+galena+barite+chalcopyrite+tetrahedrite, stringer sphalerite+galena+tetrahedrite+barite: Approximately 75 meters of continuous massive sulphide is exposed at the eastern end of the main drift on 5200 level. A very clean sublevel that has massive polymetallic sulphides exposed over $>25 \mathrm{~m}$ can be accessed at 10735E (5200 level). Mineralization from the 5200 level will be discussed here as it is more clearly exposed and accessible than that on the 5400 level.

Generalized zoning from west to east is as listed above, ie. from massive pyrite to Cu-pyrite to polymetallic pyrite-poor banded ore to stringer polymetallic. The initial and simplistic interpretation of this apparent gross zoning is that tops are
towards the east. Thus this ore is occupying the western limb of an anticline, the eastern limb being the G-zone.

On a more detailed scale, there appears to be much syn- and post-depositional disruption of sulphide beds. Syndepositional to diagenetic deformation is recognized with less confidence and may be evidenced by the discontinuity of thinly banded polymetallic sulphides (growth faults?), and local irregular thickening and thinning of bands. Post-depositional disruption includes: recrystallization resulting in coarser grained rock, development of compositional layering due to rheological differences of sulphide minerals under stress, formation of piercement structures, and dismemberment of lenses by faulting and dyking. Strain appears to have been accommodated by recrystallization, layering and offset, rather than by folding. The only minor folds present are those that abut faults and exhibit flexure of beds immediately adjacent to the fault planes. Because of the degree of intensity of post-depositional disruption, primary structures cannot be used to interpret tops directions.

Strongly chlorite-altered mafic dykes appear to cross-cut the sulphides. There are also fragments of dyke 'floating' in massive sulphide, and flame-like piercements of sulphides 'intruding' the dykes. Post-depositional ductile and brittle deformation must account for these relationships.

Few gouge-filled structures cross-cut the massive sulphides, and in general it exhibits good competency. Such brittle structures are present at contacts that separate different sulphide types (ie. massive pyrite - polymetallic sulphides).

As previously mentioned, mapping from the 1950's in these highly sulphidic areas is of much better quality than that done at present. The contacts are more clearly delineated. However, the assaying of samples allowed for a better understanding of metal distribution. Assay results will be discussed in a following section on Mineralization.

2a Quartz-sericite-pyrite-(chlorite) schist (QSP): Pale yellowwhite, strongly foliated and fissile, $2-15 \%$ medium-fine grained pyrite, schistosity diminishes to the east and rock gradationally contains more chlorite, assay results indicate absence of $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Pb}-$ $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Ag}$ mineralization.

5300 fault This fault is clearly exposed in two places on the 5400 level, and near the face of the main drift on the 5200 level. It also cuts two inaccessible crosscuts on the 5400 level. The structure can be traced through level plans from surface to 200 meters below sea level. Based on correlation this curviplanar fault is oriented at 000-018/75-900. Younger faults appear to have offset and rotated it, thus confusing correlation and recognition of splays.

On 5400 level the fault is a $1-2$ meter wide clay-chlorite shear. The southern exposure is described by Lewis as having "a well developed internal foliation, compositional layering parallel to the foliation, and crosscutting minor faults." Here, the fault juxtaposes dacites to the south against basalt
intrusive to the north. A banded Sloko rhyolite dyke is present about 15 meters to the south. The fault cuts basalt intrusive rocks in the northern exposure on 5400 level. At this location it contains angular blocks of jasper-bearing mafic rock up to 30 cm in diameter. It also appears to have a $2-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ thick mylonitic band at the southern margin. According to previous mapping in the area of the two inaccessible exposures on 5400 level, the fault is spatially associated with Sloko rhyolite dykes.

On the 5200 level, the 5300 fault (or a splay ?) is only about 35 cm wide, and dips about $60^{\circ}$. It juxtaposes QSP with a 2 meter exposure of mafic dyke.

Lewis concluded that based on reidel shear geometry, the fault has a dextral sense of movement and a net slip vector that plunges $28^{\circ}$ north. This author concurs with the right-lateral sense of movement, however the orientation of net slip is not that clear. Measurements of slickensides were made at the three exposures (from south to north): $9^{\circ} \rightarrow 013^{\circ}, 46^{\circ} \rightarrow 176^{\circ}$, and $50 \rightarrow 198^{\circ}$. There may be a rotational aspect to this fault, or multiple movements (as Lewis indicates).

## Structure

Over 350 structures were measured and located in the two underground levels, by Sebert and Price. (Classical underground mapping methods were used; chaining for azimuth and brunton compass for dip only). The vast majority of features are brittle shears. Slickensides, schistosities, beds and fractures account for less than $5 \%$ of the total observed structures.

Brittle shears range in width from millimeters to about 2 meters. Gouge composition is generally clay/sericite/chlorite. Slickensides are common. A minority of shears has an internal reidel fabric, and even fewer have associated brittle filled fractures or flexed planar marker features. Direction of movement was determined on less than 15\% of the structures. Where marker 'horizons' are present, apparent offset is negligible (<20 cm).

In the following discussion, the data will be initially discussed as a whole, then separated into structural domains, and finally grouped into populations.

Poles to all shears are plotted on a stereonet. Although the population is diffuse, there is a well defined dominant steep north-south pattern. The peak position is $197 / 65^{\circ}$. These steep north-south shears are parallel-subparallel to the major regional scale Llewellan (sinestral), Nahlin (thrust), and King Salmon (thrust) Faults (Mihalynuk, 1994). Movement on the nearby LLwewllan Fault was determined to be initially ductile and sinestral, with local west verging thrusting. Later brittle movement on the Llewellan Fault is postulated to be coeval with the thrusting on the Nahlin and King Salmon Faults (pre-Sloko deposition). As noted by Mihalynuk and observed underground, Sloko dykes are commonly offset along the brittle faults, indicating a third possible period of movement.

Poles to all right (18) and left lateral (30) shears are plotted on two stereonets. By numbers alone one may infer that sinestral offset is more common than dextral. The two groups exhibit roughly the same dominant north-south spread of data, indicating generally: the populations are inseparable, and steep north-south faults are more likely to show lateral movement than faults of other orientations. The populations are so small and diffuse that only inferences can be made.

Slickenlines are shown on the stereonet in figure $x$. Two principal trends are evident: shallow north plunging ( $15^{\circ} \rightarrow 000^{\circ}$ ) and moderate west plunging $\left(30^{\circ} \rightarrow 270^{\circ}\right)$. However there is a $360^{\circ}$ girdle of data.

Data were grouped by western, core and eastern structural domains. The 4400 E and 5300 E mark the boundaries of the domains. The western domain hosts the 5200 alteration zone, the F anticline dacites, a vast thickness of basalt intrusive, and weakly to strongly altered footwall basalts. The core domain comprises moderately to strongly altered dacites, sulphide mineralization, a few Sloko dykes, and footwall basalts. The eastern domain (seen only on 5400 level over a short distance) is hosted by basalt intrusive.

A unique feature of the core area is the presence of quartzsericite (pyrite/chlorite) schist. Variable protolith fabric (massive intrusive versus clastic), intensity of hydrothermal alteration, and/or differential strain may account for the presence/absence of schistosity. There are clastic rocks that have well preserved protolith fabric in the core area. Quartz-sericite-pyrite altered rocks are present in the western domain, however they are massive and non-foliated. Differential strain over narrow areas is common to the Tulsequah area (Mihalynuk, per comm.).

Poles to shears of the core area are illustrated on steronet figure $x$. The resultant peak plane (197/65 ) is the same as that for the combined data set (figure $x$ ). However the data have a considerably more concentrated distribution. There is a higher density of north-south shears in the core area, and fewer eastwest and low angle shears.

Poles to shears of the western structural domain are shown on stereonet figure $x$. The peak plane is steeper (195/750) than that of the combined data set. The data have a more diffuse spread than the data of the core area.

The population of the eastern structural domain is too small to discuss with any confidence.

Measurements were grouped into three populations: northsouth, east-west, and shallow. This was done for two reasons. It was a test to see if any other major populations would emerge as a result of removing the dominant north-south data. The shallow data were isolated to determine if they have a regular distribution. Information from the western structural domain was used.

As is shown on the stereonet figure $x$, Poles to EW shears, these EW shears tend to be oriented; ENE-WSW and moderately NW dipping, and WNW-ESE and moderately $S$ dipping. This relationship may define a conjugate pairing of a-c joints (constituting
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## Tulsequah Chief - Slickenlines

5200 \& 5400 Levels
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$\mathrm{k}=100.00$
$($ Peak -E$) /$ Sigma $=8.0$
Peak position : $107.1^{\circ} / 25.4^{\circ}$
$E=0.75$
Sigma $=0.61$
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Tulsequah Chief Poles to Flat Shears
Western Structural Domain 5200 \& 5400 Levels

another major population).
Flat shears tend to be oriented north-south, and a greater proportion dip moderately to the west. Refer to figure x , stereonet. Flat shears $\left(<45^{\circ}\right)$ constitute less than $15 \%$ of all the brittle structures. According to Brennan ? of "The Rock Group" (geotechnical consulting firm), flat shears were recorded daily in the mining shift reports. This author observed very few in both the underground and drawn on the historical maps.

In conclusion, the interpretation of these brittle structures neither contradicted nor reputed the current understanding of fold geometry on the property. The north-south shears may be interpreted as re-activated axial planar cleavage. And the east-west shears may be viewed as re-activated a-c joints. Or, both might be unrelated to the ductile deformation that resulted in the development of the various folds. Minor folds were not observed. It is recognized that the overall fold geometry is best viewed on sections cut perpendicular to the fold axis $\left(62^{\circ} \rightarrow 355^{\circ}\right)$ on the plane $085^{\circ} / 32^{\circ}$. A level plan will show an exaggerated thickness and overlapping in the hinge area.

## Geochemistry and Alteration

Much attention has been paid to the determination of original chemostratigraphy by viewing the relationships amongst immobile and incompatible elements. The techniques used are described by Barrett et al. (1992). Over 135 core and grab samples have been collected by Barrett and other MDRU associates during 1993-94, and analyzed for whole rock and trace element geochemistry (Sherlock and Barrett, 1994; Barrett per comm.) Thus the nineteen samples that come from 5200 and 5400 level are discussed in the context of the entire population. Results are pending for the four samples taken from the 5200 level by Redfern, and the 2 samples collected by Sherlock. These 19 samples were collected and analysed in 1993-94.

Analyses are plotted in table form and on $x-y$ plots. Some samples were not anaylsed for Zr , thus do not appear on all graphs. Results are discussed in stratigraphic order.

Four of the five basalt intrusive (BIN Unit 4b) samples clearly group as a distinct population (crosses), similar in composition and immobile/incompatible ratios to the gabbro and equivalent basalts as described by Sherlock and Barrett (1994). In general these rocks are more sodic than one would expect a typical gabbro to be. One specimen has a low Cr value that places it nearer the footwall basalt (andesite) field.

The two hangingwall basalt samples (VSD-BFL Unit 3) collected by Sherlock in 1993 also clearly group as a distinct population (boxes). However one has a sufficiently high Al content to appear with the aforementioned gabbro.

The two dacite samples (DLT, CHT, QSP Unit 2: filled circles) plot clearly as Rhyolite A (Sherlock and Barrett, 1994). Results are pending for a QSP sample collected from near the face of the 5200 level.

The two heterolithic dacite-basalt samples (Unit 2)
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collected from the 5200 level portal plot on the Rhyolite $B$ alteration line.

The footwall basalts and altered equivalents (QSP-BFL Unit 1d) remain the most enigmatic rocks in terms of elemental signature (triangles). Results are pending for three of the six samples collected. The author recognizes that this is insufficient sampling required to characterize this unit, particularly complicated by the possibility that some of this unit is in fact a dacite intrusive as is postulated by cominco. As chemostratigraphy has been the main focus of the whole rock geochemistry study, few comments have been made about alteration, either regional metamorphic or deposit scale hydrothermal alteration. Perhaps with the examinations of thin sections to take place this year by MDRU (Barrett, per comm.) considerations of alteration will arise. A study of the distribution of sericite, chlorite, quartz, biotite and cordierite in concert with examination of associated rock geochemistry could aid in the locating of new ore.

## Mineralization

Two areas were samples for base metal mineralization. Grab samples were collected on a two meter interval from the portal area of the 5200 level. Chip sampling of massive sulphide was done near the face on the 5200 level.

Results from the analyses of the dacite-basalt altered clastics of the 5200 zone are in Appendix x. Background versus anomalous values have not been calculated using statistical analyses, however these results may be visually inspected. Of the fourteen samples, all have background values of $\mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Bi}$, Hg , and Mo ( $</=5 \mathrm{ppb}, 0.4 \mathrm{ppm}, 2 \mathrm{ppm}, 1 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 2 ppm respectively). Three of the samples have $>100 \mathrm{ppm}$ As, seven have $>100 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Cu}$, one has $>100 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Sb}$, and four have $>100 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Zn}$. The highest metal value is that of zinc at 712 ppm . Although these values do not represent economic metal concentrations, and do not even constitute stringer mineralization, they do appear to be above background. The results may indicate that proximal mineralization is nearby or in the same stratigraphy.

The best exposure of economic mineralization is found in the back of the 5200 level and up an adjacent sublevel. Analytical results from 37 contiguous chip samples are summarized on Table 1 , Assays - 5200 level, and plotted on three graphs. Complete 30 element ICP results are in Appendix X. Sample locations are plotted on Figure $x$ at $1: 250$ scale.

Correlation co-efficients were calculated for the economic elements listed below, using samples E145352-E145381. The obvious relationships that appear from these calculations are the high affinity between gold and silver and lead. Within this small and varied group of samples other correlations do not appear to be significant. If one examines the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ graph visually, lead and zinc do appear to follow similar trends, and, copper and iron appear to behave independently. Visual inspection of the As-Cd-Sb-Cr graph shows their compatible

Assavs - 5200 level

| SAMPLES | $\begin{gathered} \text { from } \\ \text { m } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { to } \\ & \text { m } \end{aligned}$ | interval <br> m | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cu} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pb} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Zn} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ag}^{* *} \\ & \mathrm{ozft} \end{aligned}$ | $A u^{* *}$ <br> ozt | S.G. | nsr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E145351 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.575 | 0.06 | 0.2 | 0.52 | 0.005 | 2.94 | \$11.60 |
| E 145352 | 0.90 | 2.60 | 1.70 | 4.802 | 1.96 | 11.97 | 3.97 | 0.034 | 3.98 | \$190.00 |
| E145353 | 2.60 | 4.60 | 2.00 | 1.905 | 0.27 | 1.26 | 0.48 | 0.016 | 3.11 | \$43.63 |
| E 145354 | 4.60 | 6.80 | 2.20 | 0.084 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.007 | 3.82 | \$1.94 |
| E 145355 | 6.80 | 8.80 | 2.00 | 1.841 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 4 | \$31.65 |
| E145356 | 8.80 | 11.40 | 2.60 | 2.094 | 0.02 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.014 | 2.92 | \$37.88 |
| E145357 | 11.40 | 13.40 | 2.00 | 3.132 | 0.11 | 0.53 | 1.51 | 0.062 | 4.14 | \$57.41 |
| E145358 | 13.40 | 15.40 | 2.00 | 1.711 | 0.05 | 4.3 | 0.74 | 0.02 | 3.88 | \$63.49 |
| E 145359 | 15.40 | 17.40 | 2.00 | 0.514 | 0.25 | 17.43 | 0.63 | 0.022 | 3.93 | \$151.07 |
| E 145360 | 17.40 | 20.00 | 2.60 | 0.622 | 0.22 | 12.41 | 0.76 | 0.039 | 3.8 | \$112.34 |
| E 145361 | 20.00 | 22.00 | 2.00 | 0.856 | 2.05 | 10.81 | 1.08 | 0.042 | 3.96 | \$116.09 |
| E145362 | 22.00 | 24.00 | 2.00 | 0.969 | 2.14 | 14.9 | 1.13 | 0.036 | 4.02 | \$151.54 |
| E145363 | 24.00 | 26.00 | 2.00 | 0.707 | 1.94 | 9.91 | 1.14 | 0.027 | 4.09 | \$105.46 |
| E 145364 | 26.00 | 28.00 | 2.00 | 1.126 | 2.67 | 7.37 | 2.5 | 0.073 | 3.87 | \$97.56 |
| E 145365 | 28.00 | 30.00 | 2.00 | 1.419 | 0.55 | 7.79 | 2.73 | 0.031 | 3.23 | \$90.60 |
| E 145366 | 30.00 | 32.00 | 2.00 | 2.001 | 0.95 | 3.61 | 1.49 | 0.035 | 3.49 | \$69.19 |
| E 145367 | 32.00 | 34.00 | 2.00 | 1.671 | 0.36 | 4.21 | 1.44 | 0.044 | 3.26 | \$64.57 |
| E145368 | 34.00 | 36.00 | 2.00 | 2.307 | 0.31 | 3.88 | 1.45 | 0.055 | 3.36 | \$72.15 |
| E 145369 | 36.00 | 38.00 | 2.00 | 0.507 | 0.05 | 0.95 | 0.83 | 0.037 | 3.47 | \$16.82 |
| E 145370 | 38.00 | 40.00 | 2.00 | 0.065 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 3.46 | \$2.05 |
| E145371 | 40.00 | 42.00 | 2.00 | 0.097 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.007 | 3.94 | \$2.16 |
| E145372 | 42.00 | 44.00 | 2.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.1 | 0.006 | 4.09 | \$0.88 |
| E145373 | 44.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 0.341 | 0.04 | 3.52 | 0.98 | 0.025 | 3.84 | \$34.63 |
| E 145374 | 46.00 | 48.00 | 2.00 | 0.96 | 0.06 | 4.12 | 1.55 | 0.025 | 3.73 | \$49.86 |
| E145375 | 48.00 | 50.00 | 2.00 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.72 | 0.41 | 0.013 | 4.55 | \$10.73 |
| E 145376 | 50.00 | 52.00 | 2.00 | 0.751 | 0.8 | 1.64 | 4.22 | 0.079 | 2.84 | \$32.36 |
| E145377 | 52.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 0.202 | 1.15 | 2.35 | 1.05 | 0.07 | 2.88 | \$31.10 |
| E 145478 | 54.00 | 55.50 | 1.50 | 0.048 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.007 | 2.77 | \$1.84 |
| E145379 | 55.50 | 57.90 | 2.40 | 0.434 | 2.08 | 2.83 | 1.83 | 0.052 | 3.64 | \$45.16 |
| E145380 | 57.90 | 59.60 | 1.70 | 0.046 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.007 | 2.85 | \$3.63 |
| E 145381 | 59.60 | 61.40 | 1.80 | 1.957 | 8.01 | 8.86 | 5.26 | 0.285 | 3.8 | \$162.88 |
| E 145382 | 61.40 | 63.00 | 1.60 | 0.105 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.008 | 2.89 | \$6.75 |
| E 145383 | 63.00 | 65.00 | 2.00 | 0.006 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.004 | 2.89 | \$0.37 |
| E145384 | 65.00 | 67.00 | 2.00 | 0.012 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.007 | 2.87 | \$0.88 |
| E145385 | 67.00 | 69.00 | 2.00 | 0.002 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 2.84 | \$0.19 |
| E145386 | 69.00 | 71.00 | 2.00 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 2.81 | \$0.18 |
| E145387 | 71.00 | 73.00 | 2.00 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 2.79 | \$0.18 |




Table listing correlation co-efficients for elements
for sampie interval 0.9-61.4 meters

| Elements | correlation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Au}$ | 0.24 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Ag}$ | 0.50 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Pb}$ | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Zn}$ | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Fe}$ | 0.10 |
| $\mathrm{~Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}$ | 0.43 |
| $\mathrm{~Pb}-\mathrm{Ag}$ | 0.73 |
| $\mathrm{~Pb}-\mathrm{Au}$ | 0.89 |
| $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Ag}$ | 0.36 |
| $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Au}$ | 0.26 |
| $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Au}$ | 0.77 |

From reviewing these limited results in concert with mapping data, the author would group the metals as follows; Massive Sulphides
$\mathrm{Fe}=$ massive pyrite (barren of economic metals)
$\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Fe}-(+/-\mathrm{Au})=$ pyrite-chalcopyrite
Cu-Pb-Zn-Ba-As-Cd = sphalerite-galena-chalcopyrite-tetrahedrite-barite-pyrite
$\mathrm{Zn}-(+/-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Ag})=$ sphalerite (+/- galena)
Stringer Sulphides
Fe = barren pyrite
$\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Cu}=$ galena-sphalerite-tetrahedrite
These groupings are somewhat similar to those used at Myra Falls volcanogenic massive sulphide mine, where they are thought to reflect primary metal zoning, and aid in grade control during mine pianning. Categories used at Myra Falls, from base to top are; gold enriched massive pyrite, massive pyrite-chalcopyrite, "pipes" of bornite-chalcopyrite-pyrite precious-metal rich, massive banded chalcopyrite-sphalerite-barite, massive and stringer polymetallic (precious-metal rich). A Buchans-style clastic ore is also included, but remains yet to be mined.

A further note of similarity with Myra Falls is the presence of arsenic and cadmium. The former is a penalty, and the latter
a bonus in net smelter return calculations. Thus both require some attention when making metallurgical studies.

Length and length-density weighted averages were calculated for the aforementioned 60.5 meter sample interval that constituted massive sulphide mineralization. Approximately half of this length is made up of material of less than $\$ 50$ NSR, however one quarter is in the $\$ 30-50$ range. If a bulk mining method is used, it is likely that all the massive sulphide will be taken for ore. The only difference between the results of the two methods of calculation appears to be in the zinc grade. The higher zinc grade recorded in the length-density weighted calculation may be related to the presence of barium associated with zinc. (Unfortunately barium is not detected with any accuracy in ICP analyses although it is recorded with the results).

Table comparing length versus length-density weighted average calculations for sample interval 0.9-61.4 meters

| element | length only | length-density |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cu | $1.11 \%$ | $1.11 \%$ |
| Pb | $0.84 \%$ | $0.87 \%$ |
| Zn | $4.57 \%$ | $4.77 \%$ |
| Au | $0.390 \mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{T}$ | $0.39 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{T}$ |
| Ag | $1.27 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{T}$ | $1.26 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{T}$ |
| NSR | $\$ 61.51$ | $\$ 66.59$ |

## Summary and Recommendations

The purpose of this report is to allow the author to record some observations and resultant interpretations in a coherent manner. Data are also documented.

Studies that may be carried out in the future, to aid in locating new ore, and finding ore of higher grade include:

1) Metal zoning - Define the sulphide types using a more complex set of categories than Cu or Zn ore. Colour code the resultant ore types. Using the established computer system and data base, create a set of equations to calculate ore types (ie. Cu-pyrite ore $=\mathrm{Fe}>10 \%, \mathrm{Cu}>1.5 \%, \mathrm{Zn}<2 \%, \mathrm{~Pb}<1 \%)$. Include $\mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{As}$, and Sb as well as $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Au}$, and Ag in the calculations. Review historical mining/production data to confirm/dispute the selection of ore types. Consult with the metallurgists to see if they have any opinions regarding ore types (although undoubtably the geologists supply the metallurgists with the ore types).

Attempt a Eirst pass plotting of data on the gross scale ie. a dozen or so widely space inclined sections, and 3-5 long sections). As confidence is gained with the selection of the sulphide types, a suite of exampies could be collected. An arrangement could be made with a university to donate the suite in exchange for an ore petrography and petrogenesis study (possibly including SEM-EDS work). Many geology departments would be very appreciative of such a collection of sulphide samples. The combined information from petrography and ore zoning (even gross scale) could allow one to define vent areas and vectors for deposition. It is recognized that the sulphides are folded and 'chopped up', but it is postulated that remobilization of metals has only occurred over short distances and will not have affected the overall geometry of the deposit. This information about zoning and sulphide types is useful and is requested by a variety of people: planning and production engineers, metallurgists, environmental scientists, and to a lesser extent geotechnical engineers (problems with sulphide blasts, strength of stringer mineralization, ore-waste contact coherency, etc.)
2) Alteration zoning - The distribution of alteration minerals and mineral assemblages can provide valuable information about alteration haloes associated with ore. In concert with the ore zoning data, morphology of the ore vents and lateral facies, and vectors of depostion may be determined. Assuming a certain level of trust in the observational skills of all the geologists who have logged core, recognizing that cordierite was only recently identified, and realizing that the proportional determination of minerals such as biotite, chlorite and sericite is difficult, the present computer data base could be used for an alteration study. It is assumed that the thin section work being done by MDRU/Chris Sebert will contribute to the overall understanding of alteration. Such classical geochemical studies involving sodium depletion (Gibson, 1993) and the 'Kuroko index' could also be considered.
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| $c$ | "CASING" | SIN1 | "FELDSPAR phyric basals intrusive" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASE | "CASIng" | BIN3 | "AMPHIBOLE PHYRIC BASALT INTRUSIVE" |
| cave | "CAve" | BIN4 | "PYROXENE PHYRIC BASALT INTRUSIVE" |
| OVBD | "OVER BuRden" | SIN5 | "VESICULAR BASAlT intrusive" |
| OVB | "OVER burden" | DIN | "DACITE INTRUSIVE" |
| SOH | "ЕОН" | DIN1 | "feldspar phyric dacite intrusion" |
|  |  | RIN | "RHYOLITE INTRUSIVE" |
| $\bar{F}$ | 'FAULT" |  |  |
| EALT | "fault" | BAU | "BASALT UNDIFFERENTIATED" |
| ELT | "FAULT" | SAU1 | "FELDSPAR-PHYRIC BASALT |
| SCH | "SCHISTOSE" |  | UndIFFERENTIATED" |
| FO | "foliated" |  |  |
| FOL | "FOLIATED" | BFL | "BASALT FLOW" |
| FG | "FINE GRAINED" | BFLI | "FELDSPAR PHYRIC BASALT FLOW" |
| 4 G | "MEDIUM GRAINED" | BaU5 | "BASALT UNDIFFERENTIATED - AMYGDALOIDAL" |
| CG | "COARSE GRAINED" | BFL5 | "AMYGDALOIDAL BASALT FLOWS" |
| IGN | "IGNIMBRITE" | BFX2 | "QUARTZ-AMYGDALOIDAL BASALT FLOW |
| SPH | "SPHERULITIC" |  | Breccial |
|  |  | BFX | "BASALT FLOW brbccial |
| 1 | "Unit 1" | BFXI | "BASALT FLOW breccia - feldspar phyric" |
| 1 A | "Unit 1A" | BFX5 | "AMYGDALOIDAL BASALT FLOW Breccial |
| 18 | "Unit 18" | BTF | "BASALT TUFF UNDIFFERENTIATED" |
| 2 | "Unit 2" | BAT | "BASALT ASH TUEF" |
| $2 \lambda$ | "Unit 2A" | BAT1 | "FELDSPAR BEARIMG BASALT ASH TUFF" |
| 3 | "Unit 3" | BXT | "BASALT CRYSTAL TUEF" |
| 3A | "Unit 3A" | BLT | "BASALT LAPILLI TUEF" |
| 4 | "Unit 4" | BLT5 | "amycdaloidal basalt lapilli tuff" |
| 4 A | "Unit 4R" |  |  |
| 4 B | "Unit 4B" | AN | "BASALTIC ANDESITE - (COMINCO UNIT 7)" |
| 4 C | "Unit 4C" | A | "Andesite volcanics" |
| 5 | "Unit 5" | AFL | "basaltic andesite flows" |
| 6 | "Unit 6" | AFLl | "feldspar phyric basaltic andesite |
| 7 | "Unit 7" |  | FLOWS" |
| 7A | "Unit 7A" | AFX | "BASALTIC ARDESITE BRECCIA" |
| 8 | "Unit 8" | ATE | "basaltic andesite turf" |
| 9 | "Unit 9" | ADT | "BASALTIC ANDESITE DUST TUFF" |
| AB | "Zone AB" | AAT | "BASALTIC ANDESITE ASH TUFF" |
| I | "Zone I" | ALT | "BASALTIC ANDESITE LAPILLI TUFF" |
| H | "Zone H" | AA | "altered andesite volcanics" |
| BDY | "BASALT DYKE" | DAU | "DACITE - UNDIFFEREMTIATED" |
| BDY1 | "FELDSPAR PHYRIC BASALT DYKE" | DS | "ALTERED DACITE - (COMIMCO UNIT 3A)" |
| BDY3 | "AMPHIBOLE-PHYRIC BASALT DYKE" | DP | "DACITE PORPHYRY - (COMIMCO UNIT 7A)" |
| ADY | "ANDESITE DYKE" | DF | "DACLTE FLOWS - (COMINCO UNIT 6)" |
| FDK | "PELSIC DYKE" | DFL | "Dacite flows" |
| DDY | "DACITE DYKE" | DELI | "FELDSPAR PHYRIC DACITE FLOWS" |
| SRD | "SLOKO RHYOLITE DYKE" | DEX | "DACITE FLOW breccial |
| SRD1 | "FELDSPAR -PHYRIC SLOKO RHYOLITE DYKE" | DFXI | "FELDSPAR phyric dacite flow breccia" |
| SD | "SLOKO RHYOLITE DYKE - (COMINCO UNIT 9)" | DDT | "DACITE DUST TUFF" |
| QFP | "OUARTZ FELDSPAR PORPHYRY DYKE" | DAT | "DACITE ASH TUFF" |
| QF | "QUARTZ FELDSPAR PORPHYRY DYKE - | DATI | "FELDSPAR-PHYRIC DACITE ASH TUEF" |
| (COMINCO UNIT 8)" |  | DTF | "DACITE TUFF" |
|  |  | DXT | "DACITE FELDSPAR CRYSTAL TUFF" |
| BIN | "3ASALTIC INTRUSION" | DLT | "dacite lapilli tuge" |

```
DLTl "FELDSPAR PHYRIC DACITE LAPILLI TUFFS"
dBX "JACITE brECCIA"
RFL ":RHYOLITE ELOW"
RFLI "FELDSPAR PHYRIC RHYOLITIC FLOWS"
RFX "RHYOLITE FLOW BRECCIAS"
RAT "RHYOLITE ASH TUFF"
RLT "RHYOLITE LAPILLI TUFF"
RTL "RHYOLITE TUFFACEOUS LAPILLISTONE"
vSD "yOLCANIC SEDImENTS"
CHT "CHERT"
ChF "ChERT fACIES"
JSP "(JASPER)"
EXT "ALTERED EXHALITE - SULPHIDE BEARING"
ARG "ARGILLITE OR TUFFACEOUS ARGILLITE"
SLT "SILTSTONE OR TUFFACEOUS SILTSTONE"
SST "SANDSTONE"
GWK "GREYWACKE"
CGL "CONGLOMERATE"
STF "ALTERED TUFF FACIES"
STF5 "ALTERED TUFF FACIES - AMYGDALOIDAL"
DSP "QUARTZ-SERICITE-PYRITE"
QU "QUARTZ VEIN"
QS "QUARTZ SERICITE"
SER "SERICITIZATION"
CAL "CARBONATE ALTERED"
CARB "CARBONATE ALTERED"
QCV "QUARTZ CARBONATE VEINS"
DCS "OUARTZ CARBORATE STRINGERS"
SIL "SIlicification"
FUC "FUCHSITE"
FUCH "FUCHSITE"
HEM "DISSEMINRTED HEMATITE - MAROON
        COLOURED"
MAR "MAROON"
CHL "CHLORITIZATION"
ERI "EPIDOTIZATION"
MAG "magnetite"
BIO "bIOTITIZATION"
BAR "BARITE"
GYP "GYPSUM"
PRO "PROPYLITIC"
COR "CORDIERITE PORPHYROBLASTS"
MAL "MALACHITE"
GRAP "GRAPHITIC"
BLCH "BLEACHED"
SMS "SEMI-MASSIVE SULPHIDES"
MH "MINERALIZED HORIZON - (COMINCO UNIT
        2,2A)
MS "MASSIVE SULPHIDE ZONE"
PYE "PYRITE fACIES"
DPY "DISSEMINATED PYRITE"
SMPY "SEMI-MASSIVE PYRITE"
3PY "BANDED PYRITE"
MPY "MASSIVE PYRITE"
SPY "STRINGER PYRITE"
zNF "-INC FACIES"
MZN "MASSIVE SULPHIDES - SPHALERITE"
DSL "DISSEMINATED SPHALERITE"
3SL "BANDED SPHALERITE"
:HSL "MASSIVE SPHALERITE"
SSL "STRINGER SPHALERITE"
dga "DISSEminated galena"
BGN "BANDED GALENA"
MGN "MASSIVE GALENRA"
SGN "STRINGER GALENA"
CUF "COPPER FACIES"
DCP "DISSEMINATED CHALCOPYRITE
BCP "BANDED CHALCOPYRITE"
MCP "MASSIVE CHALCOPYRITE"
SCP "STRINGER CHALCOPYRITE"
! old data set codes
!
AND "ANDESITE: GENERALLY MASSIVE"
ORSC "SERICITE +- QUARTZ +- CHLORITE SCHIST"
ORSU "MASSIVE SULPHIDES"
MADK "MAFIC DYKES"
FEVL "VOLCANICS. FELSIC, NON-SCHISTOS"
ORPY "MASSIVE PYRITE"
ANFR "ANDESITE: FRAGMENTAL"
ORDS "DISSEMINATED SULPHIDES"
DUM "NO GEOLOGY LOGGED"
NOCO "NO CORE"
AGGL "AGGLOHLERATE"
FEDK "FELSIC DYKES"
SH "SHALE (PRE-PERMIAN)"
```



IC - . 500 GRAM SAMPLE IS DIGESTED WITH 3ML 3-1-2 HCL-HNO3-H2O AT 95 DEG. C FOR ONE HOUR AND IS DILUTED TO 10 ML WITH WATER.
IBIS I EACH IS PARTIAL FOR MN FE SR CA P LA CR MG BA TI B W AND LIMITED FOR NA K AND AL.
ASSAY RECOMMENDED FOR ROCK AND CORE SAMPLES IF CU PB 2N AS > 1\%, AG > 30 PFM \& AU > 1000 . APB SAMF'LF TYPE: ROCK Samples beginning 'RE' are duplicate samples.
DATE RECEIVED: SEP 15 1904 DATE REPORT MAILED: apt $30 / 94$ SIGNED BY C. -D.toye, C.leong, J. WANG; CERTIfied b.C. ASSAYERS


Sample type: ROCK. Samples beginning 'RE' are duplicate samples.


1 gm sample leached in 75 Ml aqua - regia, dilute to 250 Ml , analysis by icp.
AG** \& AU** BY FIRE ASSAY FROM 1 A.T. SAMPLE.
Samples beginning 'RE' are dupljease famples.


| SAMPLE\# | 9 | Pb | Zn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ag } k \\ & \mathrm{OZ} / \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | $o z / t$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 145385 | . 002 | 01 | 01 |  |  |  |
| E 145386 | ¢.001 | 01 | .01 | <. 01 | 001 |  |
| E 145387 | ¢.001 | 01 | . 01 | - |  |  |



Chemex Labs Ltd.
Analytical Cinemists ${ }^{*}$ Geochemists ${ }^{*}$ Registered Assayers
212 Brooksbank Ave., North Vancouver British Columbia, Canada V7J 2C1 PHONE• 604-984-0221


APPENDIX 9
Summary of Expenditures (1994)

1994 TULSEQUAH CHIEF PROJECT EXPENDITURES

ALLOCATION OF COSTS TO MAJOR WORK AREAS

| COST |  | CATEGORY | U/G \& SU | FFACE DRILLIN | LINE-CU | TTİNG | U/G REH | AB/MAPPING | SURFAC | L/GEOCHE |  | TALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY | DESCRIPTION | EXPENDITURE | \% | Amount | \% | Amount | \% | Amount | \% |  | \% | Amount |
| Computer software \& support | Maintenance fee - LOGII, supplies | \$344.48 | 80\% | \$275.58 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 10\% | \$34.45 | 10\% | \$34.45 | 100\% | \$344 48 |
| Communications | Satellite phone rental, phone, courier | \$31,692.10 | 85\% | \$26,938.29 | 2\% | \$633.84 | 10\% | \$3,169.21 | 3\% | \$950.76 | 100\% | \$31,692,10 |
| Office supplies | Office supplies, misc. | \$587.36 | 75\% | \$440.52 | 1\% | \$5.87 | 14\% | \$82.23 | 10\% | \$58.74 | 100\% | \$587 36 |
| Publication/book/map | Photos, topo maps etc. | \$43.50 | 55\% | \$23.93 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 5\% | \$2.18 | 40\% | \$17.40 | 100\% | \$43.50 |
| Air/land commercial travel | Air fares, taxi, bus - To/From property | \$39,013.02 | 75\% | \$29,259.77 | 2\% | \$780.26 | 18\% | \$7,022.34 | 5\% | \$1,950.65 | 100\% | \$39013.02 |
| Accomodation/meals | Hotels, meals - to/from property | \$3,941.55 | 75\% | \$2,956.16 | 2\% | \$78.83 | 18\% | \$709.48 | 5\% | \$197.08 | 100\% | \$3,941.55 |
| Vehicle lease, rental | Vehicle rentals - Whitehorse/Atlin | \$1,049.04 | 80\% | \$839.23 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 10\% | \$104.90 | 10\% | \$104.90 | 100\% | \$1,049.04 |
| Helicopter | Charter helicopter hours | \$91,086.19 | 84\% | \$76,512.40 | 3\% | \$2,732.59 | 10\% | \$9,108.62 | 3\% | \$2,732.59 | 100\% | \$91,086.19 |
| Helicopter fuel | Charter helicopter fuel | \$16,339.22 | 84\% | \$13,724.94 | 3\% | \$490.18 | 10\% | \$1,633.92 | 3\% | \$490.18 | 100\% | \$16,339 22 |
| Fixed wing | Fixed wing charters | \$50,023.16 | 80\% | \$40,018.53 | 5\% | \$2,501.16 | 8\% | \$4,001.85 | 7\% | \$3,501.62 | 100\% | \$50,023.16 |
| Fixed wing fuel | Fixed wing Fuel | \$8,020.51 | 80\% | \$6,416.41 | 5\% | \$401.03 | 8\% | \$641.64 | 7\% | \$561.44 | 100\% | \$8,020.51 |
| Freight/shipping | Freight toffrom property | \$13,308.95 | 65\% | \$8,650.82 | 2\% | \$266.18 | 23\% | \$3,061,06 | 10\% | \$1,330.90 | 100\% | \$13,308.95 |
| Employee Wages - Geology | Geological personnel cost | \$104,663.74 | 70\% | \$73,264.61 | 1\% | \$1,046.64 | 21\% | \$21,979.38 | 8\% | \$8,373.10 | 100\% | \$104,663.74 |
| Employee Wages - Support | Support Personnel (Cooks, camp \& U/G mgr., splitte | \$116,995.60 | 70\% | \$81,896.92 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 25\% | \$29,248.90 | 5\% | \$5,849.78 | 100\% | \$116,995.60 |
| Contract labour | Temporary labour | \$3,110.15 | 100\% | \$3,110.15 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 100\% | \$3,110.15 |
| Geological Consulting | Petrographic services/Structural studies | \$1,803.15 | 20\% | \$360.63 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 40\% | \$721.26 | 40\% | \$721.26 | 100\% | \$1,803.15 |
| Drat/plot/reproduction | Misc. map/plan copying | \$2,578.20 | 80\% | \$2,062.56 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 15\% | \$386.73 | 5\% | \$128.91 | 100\% | \$2,578\% |
| Assay and analysis | Drill core and surface assays and analysis | \$10,700.04 | 67\% | \$7,169.03 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 5\% | \$535.00 | 28\% | \$2,996.01 | 100\% | \$10,700.04 |
| Drilling | Direct contractor footage, time and materials | \$519,028.62 | 100\% | \$519,028.62 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 100\% | \$519,028.62 |
| Expediting | Atlin/Juneau expediting costs | \$18,622.09 | 75\% | \$13,966. 57 | 5\% | \$931.10 | 15\% | \$2,793.31 | 5\% | \$931.10 | 100\% | \$18,622.09 |
| Surveying/line cutting | Line-cutting, drill pads, heli-pads | \$12,375.00 | 25\% | \$3,093.75 | 75\% | \$9,281.25 | 0\% | \$0.00 | $0 \%$ | \$0.00 | 100\% | \$12,375.00 |
| Equipment purchase | Core saw, blades, power washer, altimeter | \$2,843.41 | 90\% | \$2,559.07 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 10\% | \$284.34 | 100\% | \$2,843.41 |
| Equipment rental | Survey, Light Log, Sperry Sun, computer etc. | \$33,015.22 | 92\% | \$30,374.00 | 1\% | \$330.15 | 5\% | \$1,650.76 | 2\% | \$660.30 | 100\% | \$33,015 22 |
| Field/technical supplies | Sample bags, notebooks, plotter supplies etc. | \$5,926.29 | 75\% | \$4,444.72 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 10\% | \$592.63 | 15\% | \$888.94 | 100\% | \$5,926.29 |
| Camp/Construction supplies | Misc. hardware, lumber, safety, etc. | \$23,642.00 | 86\% | \$20,332.12 | 2\% | \$472.84 | 10\% | \$2,364.20 | 2\% | \$472.84 | 100\% | \$23,642.00 |
| Groceries | Groceries for crew | \$38,134.84 | 80\% | \$30,507.87 | 1\% | \$381.35 | 16\% | \$6,101.57 | 3\% | \$1,144.05 | 100\% | \$38,134.84 |
| Fuel, camp, drilling | Diesel, propane, regular gas | \$23,215.19 | 85\% | \$19,732.91 | 1\% | \$232.15 | 12\% | \$2,785.82 | 2\% | \$464.30 | 100\% | \$23.215.19 |
| Fuel, other | Regular gas for vehicles | \$410.77 | 85\% | \$349.15 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 10\% | \$41.08 | 5\% | \$20.54 | 100\% | \$410.77 |
| Lubricant/additives | Motor oils, grease, fluids | \$1,280.79 | 85\% | \$1,088.67 | 3\% | \$38.42 | 10\% | \$128.08 | 2\% | \$25.62 | 100\% | \$1,280.79 |
| Spare parts | Equipment maintenance | \$813.99 | 80\% | \$651.19 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 15\% | \$122.10 | 5\% | \$40.70 | 100\% | \$813.99 |
| Repairs | Repairs to U/G drills, U/G electrical system re-wiring | \$37,354.12 | 60\% | \$22,412.47 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 40\% | \$14,941.65 | $0 \%$ | \$0.00 | 100\% | \$37,354.12 |
| Drilling supplies | Core boxes, racks, mud, cement | \$14,149.31 | 100\% | \$14,149.31 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 0\% | \$0.00 | 100\% | \$14,149 31 |
| TOTAL |  | \$1,226,111.60 |  | \$1,056,610.91 |  | \$20,603.84 |  | \$113,964,36 |  | \$34,932.49 |  | \$1,226,111.60 |
|  |  | Units | metres | 5,941.00 | km. | 10.72 | metres | 2,240,00 | km. | 10.72 |  |  |
|  |  | Unit Costs | \$/m | \$177.85 | \$/km | \$1,922.00 | \$/m | \$50.88 | \$/km | \$3,258.63 |  |  |

1994 TULSEQUAH CHIEF PROJECT EXPENDITURES

ALLOCATION OF EXPENDITURES BY CLAIM

| Claim Name | Record \# | Title No. | Expiry Date | Drilling metres | Line Cutting line-km. | U/G | Rehab/Mappin metres | Geol. mapping line-km. | Apportioned Expenditures by unit cost |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Drilling | Line Cutting | U/G Rehab/Mappin | Geol. mapping | TOTAL |
| Ross | 5226 | 203795 | 30-May-2004 | 75.00 |  |  | 80.00 |  | \$13,338.80 | \$0.00 | \$4,070.16 | \$0.00 | \$17,408.96 |
| Marcie 3 | 4292 | 203388 | 05-Aug-2004 | 985.00 |  |  | 90.00 |  | \$175,182.92 | \$0.00 | \$4,578.93 | \$0.00 | \$179,761.85 |
| Elysa 1 | 4293 | 203389 | 05-Aug-2004 | 96.00 |  |  |  |  | \$17,073.67 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$17,073.67 |
| Elysa 2 | 4294 | 203390 | 05-Aug-2004 |  | 5.00 |  |  | 5.00 | \$0.00 | \$9,610.00 | \$0.00 | \$16,293.14 | \$25,903.14 |
| River Fr. | 5,669.00 |  | 03-Jul-95 | 225.00 | 0.10 |  | 170.00 | 0.10 | \$40,016.40 | \$192.20 | \$8,649.08 | \$325.86 | \$49,183.55 |
| Tulsequah Bonan | 5,668.00 |  | 03-Jul-95 | 368.00 | 5.62 |  | 350.00 | 5.62 | \$65,449.05 | \$10,801.64 | \$17,806.93 | \$18,313.49 | \$112,371.11 |
| Tulsequah Bald E | 5,676.00 |  | 03-Jul-95 | 532.00 |  |  |  |  | \$94,616.56 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$94,616.56 |
| Tulsequah Chief | 5,670.00 |  | 03-Jul-95 | 380.00 |  |  | 1,100.00 |  | \$67,583.26 | \$0.00 | \$55,964.64 | \$0.00 | \$123,547.90 |
| Tulsequah Elva Fr | 5,679.00 |  | 03-Jul-95 | 3,280.00 |  |  | 450.00 |  | \$583,350.24 | \$0.00 | \$22,894.63 | \$0.00 | \$606,244.87 |
| TOTALS |  |  |  | 5,941.00 | 10.72 |  | 2,240.00 | 10.72 | \$1,056,610.91 | \$20,603.84 | \$113,964.36 | \$34,932.49 | \$1,226,111.60 |
| Unit Costs |  |  |  | \$177.85 | \$1,922.00 |  | \$50.88 | \$3,258.63 |  |  |  |  |  |

