#### TECHNICAL REPORT OF ACTIVITIES

ON THE

#### GRIZZLY DIAMOND DRILLING PROJECT

ATLIN MINING DIVISION NTS: 104K LONG: 132°17'W LAT: 58°13'N

Owned & Operated By:

North American Metals Corp. 1500-700 West Pender Street Vancouver, B.C., V6C 1G8

EXPLORE B.C. PROGRAM Grant I.D. #95/96 A-118

Andrew P. Hamilton, B.Sc.

January 1995

25369 Par 1 of 3

#### TECHNICAL REPORT OF ACTIVITIES

#### ON THE

## **GRIZZLY DIAMOND DRILLING PROJECT**

#### ATLIN MINING DIVISION NTS: 104K LONG: 132°17'W LAT: 58°13'N

Owned & Operated By:

North American Metals Corp. 1500-700 West Pender Street Vancouver, B.C., V6C 1G8

EXPLORE B.C. PROGRAM Grant I.D. #95/96 A-118

Andrew P. Hamilton, B.Sc.

January 1995

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY BRANCH ASSESSMENT REPORT



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Grizzly Zone is an area of gold mineralization located on the Golden Bear Mine property in the Tatsamenie gold camp. It lies down dip from, and on the same structure as, the Bear Main deposit, which sustained mining operations on the property until exhausted in 1994. From late 1994 until mid-August 1995, North American Metals Corp has carried out a diamond drilling program consisting of 33 NQ diameter drill holes totalling 5606.72 metres (18,441 feet) in an effort to outline the extent and nature of gold mineralization.

The results of this diamond drilling program have allowed a preliminary polygonal mineral inventory to be calculated. Based on 50 metre east-west sections and a 12 gram per tonne cutoff the Grizzly Zone is estimated to contain a mineral inventory of 152,945 tonnes grading 23.39 grams per tonne gold.

There is good potential to significantly increase the mineral inventory of the Grizzly zone through additional diamond drilling on known mineralized zones. Drilling should be continued as fans of holes on 50 metre sections. This will require that the decline be advanced minimum of 150 metres. In addition, several widely spaced holes that have been drilled in the Grizzly carbonate lens suggest gold mineralization is widespread and that potential for locating other zones of significant gold mineralization is high. Such zones could be tested for by drilling additional, widely spaced drill holes in areas of the Grizzly carbonate lens where drill coverage is sparse or lacking.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## PAGE

EXI	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	
TAI	BLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIS	T OF TABLES	ii
LIS	T OF FIGURES	ii
LIS'	T OF APPENDICES	iii
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
	<ol> <li>Scope of Report</li> <li>Location, Physiography and Access</li> <li>Property Definition and Status</li> <li>Exploration History</li> <li>1995 Work Program</li> </ol>	1 1 2 2 2
2.0	REGIONAL GEOLOGY	3
3.0	PROPERTY GEOLOGY	4
	<ul><li>3.1 Alteration</li><li>3.2 Mineralization</li></ul>	5 5
4.0	1995 DIAMOND DRILLING	6
5.0	RESULTS	6
	<ul><li>5.1 Geology</li><li>5.2 Mineralization</li></ul>	6 7
6.0	RESERVES	8
7.0	METALLURGY	8
8.0	SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	9
9.0	BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SELECTED REFERENCES	11

i

## LIST OF TABLES

		Follows Page
Table 1.	Summary of 1995 Grizzly Drill Intersections	7
LIST OF F	IGURES	Follows Page
Figure 1	Property Location Map	1
Figure 2	Claim Map (1:250,000)	2
Figure 3	Property Geology Map (1:5000)	in map pocket
Figure 4	Grizzly Ramp - Plan View (1:1000)	in map pocket
Figure 5	Grizzly Long Section - Drill Intersections	7
Figure 6	Grizzly Long Section - Grade x Thickness	7
Figure 7	Grizzly Vertical Section - 23550N	in map pocket
Figure 8	Grizzly Vertical Section - 23600N	in map pocket
Figure 9	Grizzly Vertical Section - 23650N	in map pocket
Figure 10	Grizzly Vertical Section - 23700N	in map pocket
Figure 11	Grizzly Vertical Section - 23750N	in map pocket
Figure 12	Grizzly Vertical Section - 23800N	in map pocket
Figure 13	Grizzly Vertical Section - 23850N	in map pocket
Figure 14	Grizzly Vertical Section - 23900N	in map pocket
Figure 15	Grizzly Vertical Section - 23950N	in map pocket
Figure 16	Grizzly Vertical Section - 24000N	in map pocket
Figure 17	Grizzly Vertical Section - 24050N	in map pocket



# APPENDICES

APPENDIX I	Statement of Qualifications
APPENDIX II	Statement of Costs
APPENDIX III	Golden Bear Mine Lab Fire Assay Procedures
APPENDIX IV	Metallurgical Results
APPENDIX V	NAMC Logging Codes and Conventions Diamond Drill Logs Assay Sheets

.

.

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

The Grizzly Zone is an area of gold mineralization that occurs on the Golden Bear Mine property in northwestern British Columbia. It lies 400 metres below, and on the same structure as, the Bear Main deposit, which sustained mining operations at the site from 1989 until exhaustion in 1994, during which 6,781,807 grams (218,040 oz.) of gold were produced from 535,277 tonnes of ore. The property is 100% owned by North American Metals Corp.(NAMC), an 81.4% owned subsidiary of Wheaton River Minerals Ltd. From January 1995 to August 1995 an exploration program of diamond drilling from underground stations on the Grizzly decline was carried out on the zone. Completed fieldwork consists of 33 diamond drill holes totalling 5606.72 metres (18,441 feet). This work has defined a preliminary, drill indicated resource of 152,945 tonnes grading 23.39 grams per tonnes gold, uncut.

#### **1.1 SCOPE OF REPORT**

This report serves to present the results of the diamond drilling program conducted from January 1995 to August 1995, to assess the Grizzly Zone for economic gold mineralization. Much of the introductory section of this report has been summarized from previous authors. Geological and geochemical data from the 1995 program is shown together with data from earlier surface diamond drilling programs.

#### 1.2 LOCATION, PHYSIOGRAPHY AND ACCESS

The Grizzly Zone project area is located on the Golden Bear Mine property in the Atlin Mining Division near  $132^{\circ}17'$  west longitude and  $58^{\circ}13'$  north latitude. The project area occurs on the Tulsequah (104K) and Bearskin Lake (104K/1W) mapsheets. The town of Dease Lake lies 140 kilometres to the east, and Juneau, Alaska is 100 kilometres to the west (see Figure 1).

The mine property lies within moderately rugged terrain on the east side of the Chichidla Range of the Coast Mountains, where elevations range from 600 to 2200 metres. Treeline is at roughly 1100 metres elevation and slopes are primarily talus covered, with soil development only below treeline. Little or no vegetation other than grass occurs above treeline, lower slopes are forested with dense spruce, pine and poplar. Glaciers and permanent snow are not abundant, however snow melts slowly on western and northern slopes, where surface exploration can only be effectively conducted between July and mid-September.

Access to the Golden Bear Mine property can be gained by two-wheel drive road, fixedwing aircraft, or helicopter. Access by road is gained by public road 80 kilometres west from Dease Lake and then by an all weather private access road extending 155 kilometres northwest from Telegraph Creek. A 1500 metre gravel airstrip is present at the minesite to accommodate small fixed wing aircraft. Contract helicopter service is available based out of Dease Lake. For safety reasons use of both the mine access road and the airstrip is restricted. From the camp the Grizzly project area is accessed by a short (< 1 kilometre)





all weather gravel road.

#### **1.3 PROPERTY DEFINITION AND STATUS**

The Grizzly Zone is covered by Mining Lease #40 (Tenure # 203776), which totals 1462.1 hectares and is comprised of Lots 7043 to 7047 (see Figure 2). Converted from previously existing mineral claims on October 30, 1989, the lease has a primary term of 30 years and is subject to an annual rental fee.

#### **1.4 EXPLORATION HISTORY**

Exploration was first carried out on the Grizzly Zone in 1989 when the projections of consulting structural geologists (Lehrman and Caddey, 1989) indicated that a carbonate lens similar to the one that hosts the Bear Main deposit, appeared at depth on the same fault structure. During 1990 and 1991 NAMC drilled the area from surface and defined the upper portion of the Grizzly carbonate lens. Mineralization was encountered in the footwall of the lens and graded less than 8.0 g/t gold over narrow widths.

In 1992 drilling was continued at greater depths and further to the north, returning results of 11.3 and 14.4 g/t gold over 1.8 metres, and suggesting that mineralization was increasing in strength to the north and following a gentle, northerly plunge. After Wheaton River Minerals Ltd. assumed control of NAMC in 1993, this idea was pursued with three diamond drill holes. Two holes intersected low grade mineralization while the third, B94DH194, intersected the Grizzly carbonate lens two hundred metres north of the others and returned 14.38 g/t gold over 15.54 metres with an estimated true width of 7.0 metres.

Due to the extreme difficulty of drilling this zone from surface, and the associated expense, it was decided to assess its economic potential by drilling from underground stations. The Grizzly portal was collared in December 1993 and ramp construction began in January 1994 with a total of 823.1 metres of primary advance on the ramp and 191.8 metres of secondary advance (remucks, sumps and diamond drill stations) being completed by Christmas, 1994. During this period geologic mapping and chip sampling of the decline and cutouts was completed, and 9 Bazooka drill holes totalling 104.24 metres were drilled on four sections from 23575N to 23650N, inclusive. The drilling attempted to trace a zone of ore grade mineralization which was unexpectedly encountered in the face and walls of remuck #5. Difficulty was experienced in positioning the drill in the decline during the program and the zone was not adequately tested.

#### 1.5 1995 WORK PROGRAM

The following work was completed on the Grizzly project between December, 1995 and August 11, 1995:

Mining: during April and May, 1995, the decline was rehabilitated and additional mining consisting of 26.6 metres of primary advance and 37.6 metres of



.

secondary advance was completed. Most of this development focussed on better water collection and pumping facilities.

Diamond Drilling: diamond drilling was carried out in two phases. From late December 1994 to late February 1995, 17 drill holes totalling 2611.22 metres were completed, and from May 31,1995 to August 11, 1995, 16 holes totalling 2995.50 metres were completed.

#### 2.0 **REGIONAL GEOLOGY**

The regional geology and structure of the area was first described by Souther (1971) and more recently by Oliver (1993, 1995) and Bradford and Brown (1993a, 1993b). Property geology and economic mineralization for selected mineral occurrences have been reported by Shroeter (1985, 1986, 1987). The work of these authors has been summarized by Pigage (1994) and the following description is largely drawn from his report.

The Golden Bear property lies within the Stikine Terrane, where the lowermost stratigraphic sequence exposed consists of the Stikine Assemblage. The lowermost unit in the Stikine Assemblage is an unfossiliferous, presumed Carboniferous, recrystallized limestone. This limestone is conformably overlain by a much more extensively exposed sequence of foliated, chloritic metavolcanic rocks dominated by andesitic ash to lapilli tuff, feldspar and augite phyric tuffs and flows, massive andesite flows and rare pillow basalts. Minor grey limestone interbeds up to 25 metres thick occur throughout the sequence. Argillite and conglomerate also occur as interbeds. The age of the Stikine Assemblage is poorly constrained: recent zircon dates by Oliver and Gabites (1993) of felsic volcanics within the Assemblage indicates ages as old as Pennsylvanian (316 Ma).

A thick, fossiliferous Permian limestone unit forms a distinctive marker within the Stikine Assemblage. The unit ranges from massive to thin bedded, includes calcitic and dolomitic end members, and has been estimated to have a thickness in excess of 200 metres (McBean and Reddy, 1993). Poorly preserved fusilinids and rugosan corals confirm an early Permian date for the unit (Souther, 1971: Bradford and Brown 1993b).

Unconformably overlying the Stikine assemblage is a thick package of volcanic and sedimentary rocks comprising the Upper Triassic Stuhini Group. A continuous section near the Bandit claims (see Figure 2) has a thickness of near 2000 metres. This group consists mainly of plagioclase and augite bearing volcaniclastic rocks with lesser pillow basalts and epiclastic rocks. The Stuhini group rocks are typically much less deformed than those of the Stikine Assemblage with a pervasive chloritic foliation only locally developed adjacent to major shear zones.

The Stuhini Group is unconformably overlain by columnar jointed olivine basalts of the Miocene Level Mountain Group (Souther, 1971). Basaltic dykes of the same group are minor in extent but intrude all units including mineralized ones, commonly along fault structures.

Most of the intrusive rocks in the immediate Golden Bear area consist of compositionally heterogeneous, variably foliated, hornblende diorite to quartz monzodiorite. These rocks, dated to Late Triassic, intrude both Stikine assemblage and Stuhini Group rocks. The voluminous Eocene intrusions comprising the Coast Belt occur west of the Project area.

Structural interpretation of the Golden Bear area is difficult due to the lack of stratigraphic control in the Stikine Assemblage rocks. The extensive foliation in the Stikine Assemblage volcanics is consistent with at least one and perhaps two pre-Late Triassic phases of folding followed by an erosional interval before deposition of Stuhini Group rocks. D1 folds are tight to isoclinal and trend dominantly north-south. D2 folds trend either northeast or northwest. Unequivocal D2 folds were not observed by Bradford and Brown (1993) in Stuhini Group rocks. Folding interpreted as occurring during D3 and D4 deformational events are considered to be latest Triassic to Middle Jurassic and Middle Jurassic events, respectively.

Faulting in the area is dominated by north to northwest trending, high angle, strike slip faults. The Ophir Break is an economically important fault zone which extends for at least 20 kilometres (Smith et al, 1991), and provides the structural control for the Bear Main gold deposit. It is comprised of several anastomosing fault strands across a width of 50 to 100 metres. K-Ar dates of sericite alteration within the Ophir Break indicate a broadly Middle Jurassic date for alteration and faulting. Movement on the structure is not well constrained and although measured fault grooves and slickensides having mainly shallow plunges suggest predominantly strike slip movement, there is evidence to suggest that significant normal (Oliver, 1995) and reverse (Lehrman and Caddey, 1989) displacements have occurred.

#### **3.0 PROPERTY GEOLOGY**

The geology of the property in the Grizzly project area is shown on Figure 3 and descriptions of rock codes are given in Appendix IV. It is predominantly underlain by the chloritic volcanic and Permian carbonate units of the Stikine Assemblage, which have been placed in fault contact with one another. To the west and northwest of the Grizzly project, a large block a Permian carbonate opens up in a wedge to the north, bounded to the west by the Limestone Creek Fault and to the east by the West Wall Fault. In the northern portion of the map area the West Wall Fault coalesces with the Fleece and Black Faults, which in this area of the property comprise the Ophir Break. Further south the Ophir Break is dominated by the Bear Fault, which occurs entirely within the volcanics and hosts at least two large fault blocks of Permian carbonate, the southernmost of which contains the Bear Main deposit. The Grizzly carbonate lens lies downdip of the Bear Main carbonate lens.

The volcanics are dominated by well bedded mafic ash tuffs and crystal tuffs (MFAS), and very finely bedded epiclastic sediments (MFEP) with lesser coarse pyroclastics (MFLP) and flow rocks (MFFL). Thin bedded argillites (ARGI) and minor bedded chert (CHRT) form interbeds up to 10-15 metres thick, particularly in association with epiclastic rocks and fine tuffs.



The Permian carbonate rocks are dominated by variably bedded limestones (LMST), dolomite (DOLO), and chert. An widespread variety of dolomite (DOCH) contains abundant amorphous gray to black chert nodules. Fusilinids, crinoids and corals indicate a shallow marine environment. A number of breccias and brecciation textures have been observed in the carbonates including intraformational breccias, karst breccias, crackle breccias in area of tight folding, and perhaps most importantly, tectonic breccias related to mineralization (DOSB, CHSB, DOXB, CHXB).

Massive, medium grained Jurassic gabbro intrudes the volcanics to the north of the Grizzly area.

#### 3.1 ALTERATION

The alteration associated with mineralization is developed in both carbonate and volcanic rocks along the Ophir Break and related structures, and distinct alteration suites are associated with each major rock type.

The volcanic rocks have been strongly carbonatized (MFCA) around fault structures of all sizes, with alteration envelopes ranging from a few tens of centimetres thick around small scale faults, to tens of metres thick around the fault structures of the Ophir Break. The rocks have been altered to a light green to creamy-tan color and are dominated by a sericite, calcite, ankerite, pyrite and green mica alteration mineral assemblage. Calcite occurs mainly as coarse grained veinlets to 0.5 centimetres as does ankerite, pyrite as medium to coarse grained, euhedral disseminations and veinlets. Sulphide content in altered rocks is commonly 3-5 %. Fine bedding and pyroclastic textures are most often preserved.

Alteration in the carbonate rocks, which in the Bear Main and Grizzly zones consist of fault bound lenses, occurs in areas of tectonic brecciation. In such areas breccia fragments are pervasively silicified and are hosted in a siliceous breccia matrix.

#### 3.2 MINERALIZATION

Gold mineralization in the Bear and Grizzly zones occurs in structurally controlled dilatency zones developed along the Ophir Break. Gold values are most strongly associated with extremely fine, dark grey to black sulphides, primarily pyrite. In the carbonate rocks this occurs as disseminations in the silicious matrix of tectonic breccias, or as fine coatings on crackle surfaces (a very commonly observed texture). In the volcanics, these fine sulphides occur in dark grey, fine to medium grained very strongly altered tuffs (PYTF) and in dark grey to black fault gouge (PGTF). Total pyrite content can vary from 1 % up to approximately 10% Both rock types can host economic gold grades. This pyrite mineralization is distinct from that in the carbonatized volcanics, which is medium to coarse grained, brassy in colour, and generally carries only slightly geochemically anomalous gold values. SEM and microprobe analyses of pyrite from ore grade Bear Main mineralization indicates that the gold occurs in euhedral, arsenian pyrite overgrowths on earlier euhedral pyrite, with gold values in the arsenian pyrite rims as high as 200 grams per tonne (J. Oliver, personal communication).

#### 4.0 1995 DIAMOND DRILLING WORK PROGRAM

The work program on the Grizzly diamond drilling project was carried out between the dates of November 26, 1995 and August 11, 1995, and consisted of 33 NQ diamond drill holes totalling 5606.72 metres (18,441 feet). The purpose of the program was to test the Grizzly carbonate lens for gold mineralization by drilling fans of holes on 50 metre east-west sections from stations on the Grizzly decline (see Figure 4). Drilling extended from sections 23550N to 24050N. Drilling was difficult with several broken zones containing high water pressures ranging up to 250 psi.

The collar location and orientation of each drill hole was surveyed using mine grid coordinates. Depth down the drill hole is measured from the top of casing. Down hole deviations were measured using a single-shot Sperry Sun instrument.

The drill core was logged for lithology, structure, assay, and geotechnical information at the exploration trailer at the minesite camp using custom field logging forms. All core was photographed prior to being split. The core is stored at the airstrip immediately west of the minesite camp. Requested intervals were split and assayed at the minesite assay lab for gold (see Appendix III for analytical procedures).

Appendix V contains the logging codes and conventions used for logging core on the Grizzly project, diamond drill logs for all 1995 holes, and their assay sheets. Vertical cross sections showing 1995 drill holes and all earlier drill holes are presented in Figures 7 - 17. Mineralized intersections are summarized in Table 1 and shown on long section in Figure 5.

#### 5.0 **RESULTS**

#### 5.1 **GEOLOGY**

The vertical sections indicate that the Grizzly carbonate lens consists of a number of fault blocks and slices that form a package up to 70 metres thick. The lens dips steeply to the east and generally appears to narrow at depth, particularly on the southernmost sections. The largest and strongest structural feature is the very planar, steeply easterly dipping Footwall Fault. It forms the western contact of the carbonate lens with the volcanics and consists of a 1 to 10 metre wide gouge zone of predominantly volcanic origin with lesser carbonate material, mainly as milled pebbles or cobbles.

.

Within the lens itself, fault blocks of both carbonate and volcanic rocks are present in an anastomosing system of faults and shears. Volumetrically the carbonate rocks are dominant. The margins of fault blocks are typically sheared and gougy in the case of volcanic rocks and brecciated and crackled in the case of carbonate rocks. The cores of fault blocks generally show little or no sign of shearing or brecciation.

Three primary carbonate lithologies are present: dolomite with chert nodules (DOCH), bedded, silty limestones (LMST), and chert (CHRT). DOCH is the most abundant rock



type and forms the largest most competent blocks. LMST has been encountered less often and typically at greater depths. The cherts are volumetrically the least abundant rocks of the carbonate package, are most often located at the hangingwall of the lens, and tend to be extensively brecciated. Whether or not the relative positions of these rock types represents stratigraphy or is the result of faulting is uncertain.

Similarly, the nature of the hangingwall contact of the carbonate lens is uncertain. Smith et al (1991) state that the transition from limestones and dolomites to chert to mafic volcanics is considered conformable on a regional scale. The results of drilling are inconclusive. Some drill holes encountered strong, gougy fault zones at this contact, others encountered very strongly altered but competent rocks. The author's opinion is that contact is a faulted one as the volcanics in the hanging wall are known from Grizzly ramp geology to be dipping shallowly to the east (Hamilton, 1994), which is not consistent with the steeply dipping hangingwall contact.

Intrusive rocks are present within the Grizzly carbonate lens in the form of basalt dykes of the Level Mountain Group, occurring singularly or as swarms along fault structures.

#### 5.2 MINERALIZATION

The Grizzly diamond drilling program indicates that anomalous to low grade gold mineralization is present in pyritic and gougy volcanic tuffs and tectonic carbonate breccias along most, if not all, fault structures in the Grizzly carbonate lens. Ssignificant gold values however have mainly been intersected in two mineralized zones. These can be seen on the grade times thickness long section of the Grizzly Zone (Figure 6).

The first forms a thin sliver extending between sections 23600N and 23700N at an elevation of 1000 metres. The zone is located on the eastern edge of an internal sliver of altered volcanics and has returned gold values of up to 18.48 grams/tonne over a true width of 2.45 metres. To date it has only been intersected in one drill hole one each section. The zone is closed off by drilling on section 23550N and appears to be of limited vertical extent on sections 23600N and 23650N. The zone remains open and untested to the north of section 23700N and down dip from hole B92DH166 on section 23700N. Because of it narrow width and limited vertical extent the zone has small tonnage potential where tested to date. There is potential for expansion of the zone to the north.

The second zone extends between sections 23850N and 24000N, near 850 metres elevation (see Figure 6). This zone contains the Grizzly Zone discovery hole, B93DH194, and contains the bulk of the mineralization outlined to date (see section 6.0). It occurs in extensively brecciated and silicified carbonate rocks in the immediate hangingwall of the Footwall Fault over true widths ranging from 2.5 to 16.9 metres. Mineralization has been closed off by drilling to the south and up and down dip, but remains open to the north. Further exploration drilling is required to test the extent of mineralization to the north.



## TABLE 1: 1995 GRIZZLY DRILLING PROGRAM SUMMARY

•

					MINERALIZED	ZONES :				
			HOLE	HOLE			DRILL (m)	TRUE	Au g/t	Au g/t
DRILLHOLE	SECTION	DIP	DEPTH (m)	DEPTH (ft)	FROM (m)	TO (m)	INTERSECTION	WIDTH (m)	UNCUT	CUT
h										
UG113	23600N	-43	112.17	368.00			N/A			
UG114	23600N	5	103.02	338.00	73	76	3.00	3.00	2.45	2.45
UG115	23550N	-78	39.93	131.00	HOLE LOST B	EFORE ZONE				
UG116	23550N	16	116.43	382.00	60.30	64.30	4.00	3.76	2.88	2.88
UG117	23950N	-52	203.61	668.00	168.55	171.60	3.05	2.95	11.97	11.97
UG118	23950N	-28	195.99	643.00	160.93	167.03	6.10	6.00	6.73	6.73
UG119	23900N	-45	177.70	583.00	150.74	158.89	8.15	7.97	11.43	11.43
UG120	23900N	-22	177.69	583.00	135.35	139.35	4.00	4.00	4.03	4.03
UG121	23900N	-8	58.83	193.00	HOLE LOST B	EFORE ZONE				
UG122	23950N	-10	180.75	593.00	162.82	164.82	2.00	2.00	9.22	9.22
UG123	23900N	-38	119.48	392.00	HOLE LOST B	EFORE ZONE				
UG124	23850N	-47	180.74	593.00	138.5	143.82	5.32	5.00	5.36	5.36
UG125	23650N	-2	118.26	388.00	86.50	88.96	2.46	2.46	18.48	18.48
UG126	23850N	-59	189.89	623.00	137.03	156.60	19.57	16.90	25.22	17.31
					141.03	148.87	7.84	6.77	51.95	32.19
UG127	24000N	-39	211.23	693.00	170.96	183.80	12.84	10.47	15.62	15.62
					177.13	183.13	6.00	4.90	23.24	23.24
UG128	23850N	-68	215.8	708.00	154.32	169.17	14.85	12.90	18.14	15.65
UG129	24000N	-47	209.7	688.00	178.96	180.83	1.87	1.75	1.25	1.25
UG130	23800N	-59	174.65	573.00			N/A			
UG131	23950N	-63	232.25	762.00	189.59	190.59	1.00	0.92	4.62	4.62
UG132	23800N	-69	186.07	610.50	157.00	160.90	3.90	2.75	6.32	6.32
UG133	23950N	-46	195.67	642.00	167.97	174.04	6.07	5.70	19.47	15.51
UG134	23800N	+13	166.41	546.00	87.64	89.42	1.78	1.78	4.35	4.35
UG135	23950N	-72	231.04	758.00	185.31	189.89	4.58	3.66	3.27	3.27
UG136	24000N	-23	207.56	681.00	171.75	178.00	6.25	6.20	5.18	5.18
	ļ				163.37	178.00	14.63	14.52	3.37	3.37
UG137	23800N	-77	205.12	673.00			N/A			
UG138	23850N	-78	207.86	681.00	154.24	164.77	10.53	8.73	3.43	3.43
	ļ				174.96	176.48	1.52	1.26	15.11	15.11
	ļ				181.04	184.10	3.06	2.54	15.31	15.31
					174.96	184.10	9.14	7.58	10.46	10.46
	ļ				154.24	186.37	32.13	26.64	5.26	5.26
UG139	24000N	-33	197.20	647.00	171.45	173.11	1.66	1.66	20.57	20.57
	ļ				171.45	173.84	2.39	2.39	15.62	15.62
					170.74	175.84	5.10	5.10	8.35	8.35
UG140	23900N	-57	201.16	660.00	150.26	151.61	1.35	1.13	31.68	29.57
	ļ				150.26	153.31	3.05	2.55	17.36	16.43
					150.26	154.45	4.19	3.51	13.85	13.17
					147.02	171.59	24.57	20.61	4.44	4.33
UG141	23900N	-66	195.67	642			N/A			
UG142	24000N	-55	215.79	708.00	195.51	197.53	2.02	1.50	6.19	6.19
	ļ				194.45	197.53	3.08	2.29	4.74	4.74
UG143	23900N	-75	13.01	40.00	HOLE SHUT D	OWN BEFORE				
UG144	24050N	-36	228.89	751.00	187.23	201.63	14.4	12.5	4.33	4.33
					193.85	201.63	7.78	6.77	5.29	5.29
		ļ			196.56	201.63	5.07	4.41	5.91	5.91
		ļ	ļ		205.24	207.68	2.44	2.12	5.48	5.48
UG145	23900N	16	137.15	500.00	155.34	155.00	1.66	1.66	10.71	10.71

TOTAL FOOTAGE HOLES 113-145 5606.72 18441.5



				÷	<b>8</b>	· · · · · · · · · ·						
1050 m		B910H145										
G94UG116				□B92DH164								
				_ bullet								
	10	U G94UG114	G95UG125									
1000 m	*3		18.48/2.45m	892DH166 16.96/1.33m				□ G95UG145				
	E	B92DH162 20.75/1.40m				G950G134 4.35/1.78m						
						1						
950 m		G94UG113		GRIZZEI	DECLINE							
B92DH178		 [] B0	304192									
			0011132						_			
									□G95UG122 9.22/2.00m			
900 m								4.03/4.00m				
					B930H193						IG136	
		100 M	FTFRS		7.89/1.50m					5.18	/6.20m	
									□ G95UG118			
							🗆 G95UĢ124		B93DH194	15.62/2.39	m	
850 m						G95UG130	5.36/5.00m	B_G95UG119	14.38/13.85m			
				P94DH301			☐ G95UG126 25.20/16.90m	11.43/7.97m		09500127		
						G95UG132		G95UG140	G95UG133	15.60/10.	50m	G95UG144 5-91/4-41m
						6.32/2.75m	G95UG128	17.36/2.55		JG129 /1.75m		
						G95UG137	18.10/12.30		11.97/2.95m	1.7 011		
800 m								G95UG141				
							G95UG138 10.46/7.58m		G95UG131	G95UG142	n	
								ſ	1 G95UG135			
									3.27/3.66m			
750											Í	
730 m										-		
						-				-	z	z
								1			)50	001
								1			240	241
750 m						1					NC	ORTH AMERICAN
						-			z		META	LS CORPORATION
0		0	0	0	2	0	0		8			
355	360	365	370	375	380	385	062	395	400		L PKI	ZZLT ZUNE
6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	6	5		VERTIC	CAL LONG SECTION
											DIAMON	D DRILL INTERSECTIONS
											-	(looking west)
						B93DH194	AU (g/t) AND TRU	JE WIDTH (M)			Drawn by:	APH Date: Jon. 1996
						14.38/13.85	2111				Filename: a)	J
L	I	L				i					n nenume; g:	/adio/Guizzik/Buizexap/Bouabiez'gmö



Included in the Grizzly drilling program were two widely spaced drill holes, G95UG134 and G95UG145, that were drilled to test portions of the Grizzly carbonate lens that had no previous drilling coverage. The holes returned 4.35 g/t gold over 1.78 metre and 10.71 g/t gold over 1.66 metre true widths, respectively. While these results are not of economic grade and thickness they suggest that other zones of significant gold mineralization may be present within the Grizzly Carbonate lens. Further widely spaced drill holes are required to test this possibility.

#### 6.0 **RESERVES**

A preliminary mineral inventory for the Grizzly Zone has been prepared by Pigage (1995). A polygonal sectional method was used based on 50 metre sections approximately perpendicular to the strike of the mineralized zone. A twelve gram per tonne cutoff was used for ore polygon construction.. Polygons were manually prepared and in most cases correspond to a single drillhole intersection with polygon boundaries drawn halfway between holes. Polygons were extended halfway to adjoining sections for a total thickness of 50 metres. A uniform specific gravity of 2.50 was used for all volume to tonnage calculations.

Based on these criteria the mineral inventory for the Grizzly Zone was calculated to be 152,945 tones grading 23.39 grams per tonne gold, uncut. Of this total 8,394 tonnes grading 23.54 grams per tonne gold are contained in the narrower upper zone, and 144,551 tonnes grading 23.38 grams per tonne gold are contained in the main, lower zone.

#### 7.0 METALLURGY

Metallurgical testing was performed on Grizzly Zone composites from drill holes G95UG126 and G95UG127 by McClelland Laboratories Inc. in Sparks, Nevada. Bottle roll tests were conducted at 80 % minus 75 $\mu$ m (-200 mesh) feed size to detemine amenability to milling/cyanidation treatment. Neither composite was amenable to direct agitated cyanidation treatment at the 75 $\mu$ m size. Gold recoveries of only 6.9 and 2.5 % were achieved from composite G95UG126 and 127, respectively, in 96 hours of leaching. Detailed results for both composites are presented in Appendix IV.

#### 8.0 SUMMARY

The Grizzly Zone is an area of gold mineralization located in the Tatsamenie gold camp in northwestern British Columbia, 140 kilometres west of the town of Dease Lake. It lies down dip from and on the same structure as the Bear Main deposit, which sustained mining operations on the Golden Bear property until exhausted in 1994. From late 1994 until mid-August 1995, North American Metals Corp. has carried out a diamond drilling program consisting of 33 NQ diameter drill holes totalling 5606.72 metres (18,441 feet) in an effort to outline the extent and nature of gold mineralization.

The property is predominantly underlain by a package of mafic volcanic tuffs, flows, epiclastic sediments, and Permian carbonate rocks of the Stikine Assemblage. Structurally the property is dominated by the Ophir Break, a regional scale, north to northwest trending, steeply dipping, anastomosing fault system. Alteration on the property consists of carbonatization of the mafic volcanic rocks in envelopes around fault structures and silicification of tectonic breccia zones in the carbonate rocks. Gold mineralization is strongly associated with very fine dark grey sulphides, primarily pyrite, that occurs in very strongly altered and often gougy volcanic rocks, in silicious breccia matrix and on crackle surface in the carbonate rocks.

Diamond drilling has identified two significant mineralized zones in the Grizzly carbonate lens; a fault bound, steeply easterly dipping package of predominantly carbonate fault blocks up to 70 metres thick. The first occurs as a thin zone between 23600N and 23700N, immediately adjacent to an internal fault sliver of mafic volcanic rocks. The zone as defined is of limited tonnage and vertical extent but there is potential for expansion of the zone to the north. The second zone has deen defined from 23850N to 24000N near 850 metres elevation and contains the bulk of the mineralization outlined to date. It occurs in strongly brecciated and silicified carbonate rocks in the immediate hanging wall to the western bounding fault of the Grizzly carbonate lens, and ranges from 2.5 to 16.9 metres in thickness. Drilling has closed off the zone to the south , updip and downdip, but in remains open to the north.

The results of this diamond drilling program have allowed a preliminary polygonal mineral inventory to be calculated. Based on 50 metre east-west sections and a 12 gram per tonne cutoff the Grizzly Zone is estimated to contain a mineral inventory of 152,945 tonnes grading 23.39 grams per tonne gold, uncut. The bulk of this is contained in the lower zone.

Metallurgical testing of composite from two mineralized Grizzly Zone diamond drill holes indicates that the mineralization is not amenable to direct agitated cyanidation treatement.

There is good potential to significantly increase the mineral inventory of the Grizzly zone through additional diamond drilling on both mineralized zones, particularly in the larger, lower zone. Drilling should be continued on this zone as fans, on 50 metre sections to the north. This will require that the decline be advanced minimum of 150 metres. In addition, several widely spaced holes that have been drilled in the Grizzly carbonate lens suggest gold mineralization is widespread



and that potential for locating other zones of significant gold mineralization is high. Such zones could be tested for by drilling additional, widely spaced drill holes in area of the Grizzly carbonate lens where drill coverage is sparse or lacking.

#### 9.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SELECTED REFERENCES

- Bradford, J.A. and Brown, D.A., 1993a. Geology, mineral occurences and geochemistry of the Bearskin and Tatsamenie lakes area, northwestern B.C., NTS 104K/1 and 8. British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Geological Survey Branch, Open File 93-1.
- Bradford, J.A.and Brown, D.A., 1993b. Geology of the Bearskin Lake and southern Tatsamenie Lake map areas, northwestern British Columbia (104K/1 and 8). In Grant, B. And Newell, J.M. (Editors) British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Geological Fieldwork 1992, Paper 1993-1, 159-176.
- Hamilton, A.P., 1994. Technical report of activities on the Grizzly Project, Explore B.C. Program Technical Report, Grant I.D. #94/95 A-17, 10 pages.
- Lehrman, N.J. and Caddey, S.W. 1989. Golden Bear Project: Geologic Appraisal and Exploration Recommendations. Homestake Mining Company, internal company report, 119 pages.
- McBean, D.A. and Reddy, D.G., 1993. Golden Bear Project, North American Metals Corp. 1992 Fleece Bowl Exploration Report. North American Metals Corp., internal company report, 42 pages
- Oliver, J.L., 1993. Geology of the Bearskin (Muddy) Lake, Tatsamenie Lake District, northwestern BC. British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Geological Survey Branch, Open Filea 1993-11.
- Oliver, J.L., 1995. Geology of the Muddy Lake, Tatsamenie Lake District, northwestern BC. British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Geological Survey Branch, Open Filea 1995-21.
- Oliver, J.L. and Gabites, J., 1993. Geochronology of rocks and chronology of polyphase rock deformation, Bearskin (Muddy) and tatsamenie Lake district, northwestern British Columbia (104K/1 and 8). In Grant, B. and Newell, J.M. (Editors), British Colum bia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Geological Fieldwork1992, Paper 1993-1, 177-184.
- Pigage, L.C., 1994. Geochemistry, Geology, Geophysics, Trenching and Diamond Driling on the Kodiak North Project. British Columbia Assessment Report.
- Pigage, L.C., 1995. Polygonal Mineral Inventory for the Grizzly Zone. North American Metals, internal company memorandum.

- Schroeter, T.G., 1985. Muddy Lake Prospect (104K/1W). In Geological Fieldwork, 1984, British Columbia Ministry of Energy Mines and Petroleum Resources, Paper 1985-1, 352-358.
- Schroeter, T.G., 1986. Muddy Lake Prospect (104K/1). In Geological Fieldwork, 1985, British Columbia Ministry of Energy Mines and Petroleum Resources, Paper 1986-1, 175-184.
- Schroeter, T.G., 1987. Golden Bear Project (104K/1). In Geological Fieldwork, 1986, British Columbia Ministry of Energy Mines and Petroleum Resources, Paper 1987-1, 103-109.
- Smith, J.M., McBean, D.A., Dixon, K.P., Reddy, D.G. and McDonald, B.W.R. 1991. Golden Bear Project, North American Metals Corp. Chevron Metals Corp. Joint Venture 1991 Exploration Report, North American Metals Corp., internal company report, 133 pages.
- Souther, J.G. 1971. Geology and mineral deposits of the Tulsequah map-area British Columbia. Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir #362, 76 pages.
- Wober, H.H. and Shannon, K.R. 1985. Bear-Totem Status Report. Chevron Minerals Canada Resources Ltd., internal company report, 127 pages.

**APPENDIX I** 

**Statement of Qualifications** 

#### **Statement of Oualifications**

I, Andrew P. Hamilton, with a residence address of #201-2166 West 8th Avenue, Vancouver, BC, do hereby certify that:

- I am a graduate of the University of British Columbia at Vancouver, BC, with a Bachelor 1. of Science Degree in Geological Sciences.
- 2. I have practiced my profession as a Geologist in the Northwest Territories and British Columbia since 1991.
- 3. I am registered with the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of British Columbia.
- 4. I am presently employed full time as a geologist with North American Metals Corp. of #1500-700 West Pender Street, Vancouver, BC.
- 5. The work described in this report is based on fieldwork conducted from November 26, 1994 to August 11, 1995, in which I supervised.
- 6. I have no direct or indirect financial interest in any company known by me to have an interest in the mineral properties described in this report, nor do I expect to recieve any such interest.
- 7. I am the author of this report.

Dated at Vancouver, BC, this 97 day of John Karry, 1996

Respectfully submitted.

Andrew P. Hamilton

## **APPENDIX II**

### **Statement of Costs**

# STATEMENT OF COSTS

(for eligible exploration expenses: July 10 - August 11, 1995)

Wages:	
Geologists - project supervision, drill supervision, core logging	
J. Baril (10 days)	3,321.60
A. Hamilton (5 days)	1,660.50
G. McDougall (16 days)	4,320.00
C. McPhee (6.5)	1,842.75
L. Pigage (2 days)	810.00
R. Smallwood (5 days)	1,660.50
C. Tucker (11.5 days)	2,716.75
Surveyors/Assistants - survey drill sites, geotechnical logging	
P. Henry (10 days)	2,835.00
B. Pullman (3 days)	708.75
Assistants - core splitting, geotechnical logging	
C. Dennis (9 days)	1,822.50
B. Louie (9 days)	2,004.75
A. Nole (17 days)	3,442.50
J. Nole (9 days)	1,822.50
M. Nole (4 days)	810.00
C. Tashoots (13 days)	2,895.75
Room and Board - Namc crew (130 days @ \$35.00/day)	4,550.00
Drilling crew (297 days @ \$35.00/day)	10,395.00
Travel - Name crew rotation off property	3,898.00
Diamond Drilling - July 10 to August 11, 1996	
<ul> <li>1666 metres @ \$166.47/metre (Advanced Drilling, Langley)</li> <li>Note - cost per metre based on all direct drilling costs for a 2858.35 metre</li> <li>phase of diamond drilling carried out between May 31 and August 11, 1996.</li> </ul>	277,339.02
Equipement Rental - 2 Sperry Sun single shot instruments for 1 month (Sperry Sun, Calgary)	3,890.00
Equipement Rental - survey instrument for 1 month (Butler Survey, Vancouver)	1,145.00
Laboratory Analyses - 772 samples @\$7.00/sample (minesite assay lab)	5,404.00
Field Supplies	292.00
Office Supplies	167.00

TOTAL

<u>\$339,753.87</u>

## **APPENDIX III**

**Golden Bear Mine Lab Fire Assay Procedures** 

## GOLDEN BEAR MINE ASSAY LAB - ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

All rock and core samples assayed at the minesite assay lab were assayed for gold using standard fire assay techniques:

- samples are dried, crushed, and ring milled to 85% -200 mesh,
- one assay ton is fused at 1980°C and the resulting lead button iscupelled at 1760°,
- dore bead is then parted in 20 % HNO<sub>3</sub>,
- parted bead is washed dried, and annealed,
- final weight is recorded, multiplied by 34.286, and reported as grams per tonne.

Assays are not considered accurate if they are below 0.17 grams per tonne.

## **APPENDIX IV**

# **Metallurgical Results**

	Compos	site
Metallurgical Results	G95DH126	G95DH127
Extraction: pct of Au		
in 2 hours	5.8	2.0
in 6 hours	6.8	2.3
in 24 hours	6.9	2.4
in 48 hours	6.9	2.5
in 72 hours	6.9	2.5
in 96 hours	6.9	2.5
Extracted, gAu/mt ore	1.68	0.31
Tail Assay, gAu/mt*	22.70	11.86
Calculated Head, gAu/mt ore	24.38	12.17
Assayed Head, gAu/mt ore	22.70	15.44
Cyanide Consumed, kg/mt ore	0.22	0.30
Lime Added, kg/mt ore	1.9	. 1.5
Final Solution pH	11.1	10.9
Natural pH (40% solids)	7.7	8.4
Ag Recovery, percent	31.3	32.0
Extracted, gAg/mt ore	2.1	1.6
Tail Assay, gAg/mt ore*	4.6	3.4
Calculated, gAg/mt ore	6.7	5.0
Assayed Head, gAg/mt ore	5.8	4.3

Table 4. - Overall Metallurgical Results, Bottle Roll Tests,Grizzley Zone Core Composites, 80 Percent Minus 75µm (200M) Feeds

\* Average of triplicate direct assay.

21. . S

n Manakan ing tika

Sec. 18 Steel sec. 1

· ...

# McCLELLAND LABORATORIES, INC.



# Table A11. - Composite Make-Up Information Grizzley Zone Hole G95DH126 Core Composite

				Au contribution
Interval	Weight to	Composite	Assays	to composite
Meters	kgs	percent	gAu/mt ore	percent
137.03-138.03	0.50	4.76	4.05	0.7
138.03-139.03	0.51	4.85	6.27	1.1
139.03-140.03	0.50	4.79	8.47	1.5
140.03-141.03	0.50	4.77	10.39	1.8
141.03-142.03	0.51	4.83	38.26	6.8
142.03-143.03	0.50	4.74	<b>20.78</b>	3.6
143.03-144.03	0.50	4.74	37.41	6.5
144.03-145.03	0.51	4.87	31.85	5.7
145.03-146.03	0.50	4.74	36.45	6.3
146.03-146.62	0.58	5.52	77.55	15.7
146.62-147.5	0.49	4.68	134.74	23.1
147.5-148.37	0.51	4.81	70.73	12.5
148.37-148.87	0.38	3.63	33.29	4.4
148.87-149.87	0.50	4.74	9.29	1.6
149.87-150.87	0.51	4.82	7.20	1.3
150.87-151.87	0.50	4.76	8.61	1.5
151.87-152.87	0.50	4.80	12.82	<b>2.3</b> <sup>/</sup>
152.87-153.87	0.51	4.84	5.69	1.0
153.87-154.87	0.50	4.75	3.12	0.5
154.87-155.87	0.49	4.69	5.49	0.9
155.87-156.6	0.51	4.85	6.79	1.2
Composite	10.50	99.98	27.30	100.0

# Table A12. - Composite Make-Up Information Grizzley Zone Hole G95DH127 Core Composite

the a the second

			•		Au contribution
Interval	Weight to	Composite	Ass	ays	to composite
Meters	kgs	percent	gAu/mt ore	gAg/mt ore	percent
170.96-171.96	0.23	2.28	11.45		_ 1.7
171.96-172.96	0.79	7.85	6.48		<b>3.3</b> **
172.96-173.96	0.91	8.96	5.93		3.5
173.96-174.96	0.73	7.22	11.42		5.4
174.96-175.96	0.72	7.15	12.99		6.1
175.96-177.13	1.28	12.64	6.10		5.1
177.13-178.13	0.75	7.37	15.05		7.3
178.13-179.13	0.93	9.24	22.08		13.4
179.13-180.13	0.98	9.68	15.98		10.1
180.13-181.13	0.82	8.07	29.42		15.6
181.13-182.13	0.65	6.47	32.78		13.9
182.13-183.13	0.73	7.19	24.10		11.4
183.13-183.8	0.59	5.88	8.54		3.3
Composite	10.11	100.00	15.25	- <del>72</del>	100.1

				<b>Bottle R</b>	oll Test	t			
Job No:	2188 co#1								
Fest No:	CY-07								
Sample:	Kodiak Gri	zzly Zone Core	Composite (	G95DH126					
Feed Size:	80 Percent	Minus 75µm (20	00M) Feed						
	grams	metric tons							
Ore Charge:	1066.80	0.001							
	_				Weight %				
	mls	metric tons		Solids Density:	40.0				
Solution Vol.	1600.20	0.0016							•
	. 4				•				
T-41 T.				Cuanida Concent	ntian Maintai	mad at	10		
				Cyanide Concent			<u> </u>		оп
								х.	
				Raw Data	1011				
Leach	Reagents	Applied		Raw Data Solution Withdra	wn and Soluti	on Analysis		Removed F	rom Pulp
Leach Time,	Reagents	Applied	Volume	Raw Data Solution Withdra NaCN Conc.	wn and Soluti	on Analysis Au	Ag	Removed F Au	rom Pulp Ag
Leach Time, hours	Reagents	Applied grams NaCN	Volume mls	Raw Data Solution Withdra NaCN Conc. Ib/ton sol	wn and Soluti pH	on Analysis Au PPM	Ag PPM	Removed F Au mg	rom Pulp Ag mg
Leach Time, hours Initial	Reagents 8 lime 1.85	Applied rams NaCN 1.60	Volume mls	Raw Data Solution Withdra NaCN Conc. Ib/ton sol	wn and Soluti	on Analysis Au PPM	Ag PPM	Removed F Au mg	rom Pulp Ag mg
Leach Time, hours Initial 2	Reagents	Applied	Volume mls 100	Raw Data Solution Withdra NaCN Conc. Ib/ton sol	wn and Soluti pH 11.3 11 3	on Analysis Au PPM 0.95 1.05	Ag PPM 1.28 1.27	Removed F Au mg 0.095 0.105	rom Pulp Ag mg 0.128 0.127
Leach Time, hours Initial 2 6 24	Reagents 8 1ime 1.85 	Applied rams <u>NaCN</u> 1.60  0.17 0.17	Volume mls 	Raw Data Solution Withdra NaCN Conc. Ib/ton sol 1.9 1.9 2.0	wn and Soluti pH 11.3 11.3 11.3	on Analysis Au PPM 0.95 1.05 0.99	Ag PPM 1.28 1.27 1.20	Removed F Au mg 0.095 0.105 0.099	rom Pulp Ag mg 0.128 0.127 0.120
Leach Time, hours Initial 2 6 24 48	<u>Reagents</u> <u>E</u> lime 1.85  0.00 0.00 0.00	Applied prams NaCN 1.60 0.17 0.17 0.10	Volume mls 100 100 100 100	Raw Data Solution Withdra NaCN Conc. Ib/ton sol 1.9 1.9 2.0 2.0	wn and Soluti pH 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.0	on Analysis Au PPM 0.95 1.05 0.99 0.93	Ag PPM 1.28 1.27 1.20 1.16	Removed F Au mg 0.095 0.105 0.099 0.093	rom Pulp Ag mg 0.128 0.127 0.120 0.116
Leach Time, hours Initial 2 6 24 48 72	<u>Reagents</u> <u>E</u> <u>lime</u> 1.85  0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Applied rams NaCN 1.60 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.10 0.10	Volume mls 100 100 100 100 100	Raw Data Solution Withdra NaCN Conc. Ib/ton sol 1.9 1.9 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	wn and Soluti pH 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.0 10.8	on Analysis Au PPM 0.95 1.05 0.99 0.93 0.87	Ag PPM 1.28 1.27 1.20 1.16 1.08	Removed F Au mg 0.095 0.105 0.099 0.093 0.087	rom Pulp Ag mg 0.128 0.127 0.120 0.116 0.108
Leach Time, hours Initial 2 6 24 48 72 96	Reagents E lime 1.85  0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.20	Applied rams NaCN 1.60 0.17 0.17 0.10 0.10 0.10	Volume mls 100 100 100 100 100	Raw Data Solution Withdra NaCN Conc. Ib/ton sol 1.9 1.9 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 1.9	pH 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.0 10.8 11.1	on Analysis Au PPM 0.95 1.05 0.99 0.93 0.87 0.82	Ag PPM 1.28 1.27 1.20 1.16 1.08 1.03	Removed F Au mg 0.095 0.105 0.099 0.093 0.087 0.000	rom Pulp Ag mg 0.128 0.127 0.120 0.116 0.108 0.000
Leach Time, hours Initial 2 6 24 48 72 96	Reagents 1 1.85 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.20	Applied rams <u>NaCN</u> 1.60 0.17 0.17 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10	Volume mls 100 100 100 100 100	Raw Data Solution Withdra NaCN Conc. Ib/ton sol 1.9 1.9 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 1.9 Metallurgical R	wn and Soluti pH 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.0 10.8 11.1 esults	on Analysis Au PPM 0.95 1.05 0.99 0.93 0.87 0.82	Ag PPM 1.28 1.27 1.20 1.16 1.08 1.03	Removed F Au mg 0.095 0.105 0.099 0.093 0.087 0.000	rom Pulp Ag mg 0.128 0.127 0.120 0.116 0.108 0.000
Leach Time, hours Initial 2 6 24 48 72 96	Reagents 1 1.85 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.20	Applied rams NaCN 1.60  0.17 0.17 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10	Volume mls 100 100 100 100 100	Raw Data Solution Withdra NaCN Conc. Ib/ton sol 1.9 1.9 2.0 2.0 2.0 1.9 Metallurgical R	wn and Soluti pH 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.0 10.8 11.1 esults	on Analysis Au PPM 0.95 1.05 0.99 0.93 0.87 0.82	Ag PPM 1.28 1.27 1.20 1.16 1.08 1.03	Removed F Au mg 0.095 0.105 0.099 0.093 0.087 0.000 Reagent Re	rom Pulp Ag mg 0.128 0.127 0.120 0.116 0.108 0.000 quirements

Leach	Cur	nulative Au E	xtraction	Cum	ulative Ag Ex	draction		Cumulative	kg/mt ore
Time,			percent of			percent of		Cyanide	Lime
hours	mg	g/mt ore	total	mg	g/mt ore	total		Consumed	Added
Initial							*		1.7
2	1.520	1.4250	5.8	2.048	1.9200	28.6		0.07	1.7
6	1.775	1.6641	6.8	2.160	2.0250	30.2		0.15	1.7
24	1.784	1.6725	6.9	2.175	2.0390	30.4		0.14	1.7
48	1.787	1.6753	6.9	2.231	2.0915	31.2		0.14	1.7
72	1.784	1.6725	6.9	2.219	2.0803	31.0		0.14	1.9
96	1.791	1.6790	6.9	2.247	2.1065	31.4		0.22	1.9
								•.	-
		Au	% of total		Ag	% of total			
Extracted, g/mt	ore	1.68	6.9		2.1	31.4			
Tail assay, g/mt	ore	22.70			4.6				
Calculated Head	i, g/mt ore	24.38			6.7				
Cyanide Consur	ned, kg/mt or	e	0.22						
Lime Added, kg	/mt ore	·	1.9						
			Teeched Decidu						
			Leached Residue	5					
Fir	ual Residue W	Veight, grams	1068.46						
		Tail Assay	gAu/mt	gAg/mt		Head Assay	gAu/mt	gAg/mt	
		Initial	22.53	4.6		Initial	25.54	5.5	
		Duplicate	21.39	4.6		Duplicate	25.58	5.6	
		Triplicate	24.17	4.5		Triplicate	27.33	6.3	
		Average	22.70	4.6	Į	Average	26.15	5.8	
					1			*	

27

.

			Bottle Roll Test	
Job No:	2188 co#1			
Test No:	CY-08			
Sample:	Kodiak Gri	zzly Zone Core Com	posite G95DH127	
Feed Size:	80 Percent	Minus 75µm (200M)	Feed	
	grams	metric tons		
Ore Charge:	1039.40	0.001		
			Weight %	
	mls	metric tons	Solids Density: 40.0	
Solution Vol.:	1559.10	0.0016	· · ·	
			·,	
	stu			
Natural pH:	8.4		Cyanide Concentration Maintained at:	<u>1.0 grams/liter solution</u>

Dow Date

7. . . •

\$\$\* M. KY

1000

			Kaw Data					
Reagents Applied grams			Solution Withdr	Removed From Pulp				
		Volume	NaCN Conc.		Au	Ag	Au	Ag
lime	NaCN	mls	lb/ton sol	pH	PPM	PPM	mg	mg
1.56	1.56				<del></del>	·		
	<u></u>	100	1.9	11.5	0.16	0.93	0.016	0.093
0.00	0.17	100	2.0	11.5	0.18	0.95	0.018	0.095
0.00	0.10	100	1.9	11.5	0.17	0.93	0.017	0.093
0.00	0.17	100 ·	2.0	11.2	0.17	0.89	0.017	0.089
0.00	0.10	100	2.0	11.0	0.16	<b>0.84</b>	0.016	0.084
0.00	0.10		1.8	10.9	0.15	0.80	0.000	0.000
	Reagents A gran lime 1.56 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Reagents Applied           grams           lime         NaCN           1.56         1.56               0.00         0.17           0.00         0.10           0.00         0.17           0.00         0.10           0.00         0.10           0.00         0.10	Reagents Applied           grams         Volume           lime         NaCN         mls           1.56         1.56         —           —         —         100           0.00         0.17         100           0.00         0.17         100           0.00         0.17         100           0.00         0.17         100           0.00         0.17         100           0.00         0.10         100           0.00         0.10         100	Reagents Applied         Solution Withdraw           grams         Volume         NaCN Conc.           lime         NaCN         mls         lb/ton sol           1.56         1.56         —         —           —         —         100         1.9           0.00         0.17         100         2.0           0.00         0.17         100         2.0           0.00         0.17         100         2.0           0.00         0.10         100         1.9           0.00         0.17         100         2.0           0.00         0.10         100         1.8	Reagents Applied         Solution Withdrawn and Solution           grams         Volume         NaCN Conc.           lime         NaCN         mls         lb/ton sol         pH           1.56         1.56         —         —         —         —           —         —         100         1.9         11.5           0.00         0.17         100         2.0         11.5           0.00         0.17         100         2.0         11.5           0.00         0.17         100         2.0         11.2           0.00         0.10         100         2.0         11.2           0.00         0.10         100         2.0         11.0           0.00         0.10         100         2.0         11.0           0.00         0.10         1.8         10.9	Reagents Applied         Solution Withdrawn and Solution Analysis           grams         Volume         NaCN Conc.         Au           lime         NaCN         mls         lb/ton sol         pH         PPM           1.56         1.56         —         —         —         —         —           —         —         100         1.9         11.5         0.16           0.00         0.17         100         2.0         11.5         0.17           0.00         0.10         100         1.9         11.5         0.17           0.00         0.17         100         2.0         11.2         0.17           0.00         0.10         100         2.0         11.2         0.17           0.00         0.10         100         2.0         11.0         0.16           0.00         0.10         100         2.0         11.0         0.16           0.00         0.10         1.8         10.9         0.15	Reagents Applied         Solution Withdrawn and Solution Analysis           grams         Volume         NaCN Conc.         Au         Ag           lime         NaCN         mls         lb/ton sol         pH         PPM         PPM           1.56         1.56	Reagents Applied         Solution Withdrawn and Solution Analysis         Removed Fr           grams         Volume         NaCN Conc.         Au         Ag         Au           lime         NaCN         mls         lb/ton sol         pH         PPM         PPM         mg           1.56         1.56  -

		·····	1	Metallurgical	Results					
÷ .	_							Reagent Requireme		
Leach	Cu	mulative Au Ex	<u>Extraction</u> Cum		lative Ag Extraction		<u>Cumulative kg</u>		kg/mt o	
lime,		-linit and	percent of		almat ana	percent of		Cyanide	Li	
nours	mg	g/mt ore	total	mg	g/mt ore	total		Consumed	. Add	
	0 240	0 2400	20	1 450	1 2050			0.09	1	
2	0.243	0.2400	2.0	1.450	1.5950	27.9		0.08	1	
24	0.237	0.2834	2.J 7 A	1.574	1.5145	30.5		0.07	1	
2 <del>4</del> 48	0.233	0.2877	2.4	1.658	1.5759	32.0		0.15	1	
70	0.310	0.3041	2.5	1.680	1.0	32.0		0.15	1	
96	0.318	0.31	2.5	1.701	1.6	32.0		0.15	1	
								•.	•	
		Au	% of total		Ag	% of total				
Extracted, g/mt ore Fail assay, g/mt ore		0.31 11.86	2.5		1.6 3.4	32.0				
alculated Head	, g/mt ore	12.17			5.0					
Vanide Consum	ned, kg/mt o	re	0.30							
ime Added, kg/mt ore			1.5							
		-	Leached Resid	lue						
Fin	al Residue V	Weight, grams	1026.60							
		Tail Assay	gAu/mt	gAg/mt		Head Assay	gAu/mt	gAg/mt		
		Initial	11.73	3.4		Initial	14.57	4.2		
		Duplicate	11.86	3.3		Duplicate	16.08	4.4		
		Triplicate	12.00	3.4		Triplicate	15.67	4.4		
		Average	11.86	3.4		Average	15.44	4.3		
		, <u></u>								
· ·								an a	2 - 1 <sup>1</sup>	