

REPORT ON THE YEAR 2000

GEOLOGICAL RECONNAISSANCE AND SOIL SAMPLING

completed on the

CEDAR CREEK PROPERTY

(ARMADA CLAIM)

(RECORD # 373355)

CARIBOO MINING DIVISION, BC.

NTS: 93A 11W

Latitude 52° 35' N, Longitude 121° 25' W
(centre)

for

WILDROSE RESOURCES LTD.

And

IMPERIAL METALS CORPORATION

by

J.W. MORTON, P.GEO.


FEB 9, 2001

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY BRANCH
MINING REPORT

26,484

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
SUMMARY	1
ACCESSIBILITY, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES AND PHYSIOGRAPHY	1
PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION	1
HISTORY	2
GEOLOGY	2
MINERALIZATION	3
YEAR 2000 PROGRAM (SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES)	3
RECOMMENDATIONS	4
YEAR 2000 COST STATEMENT	4
DESCRIPTIONS OF ROCK SAMPLES COLLECTED SEPT. 16 2000	APPENDIX 1
DESCRIPTIONS OF ROCK AND SOILS SAMPLES COLLECTED OCT. 28/29 2000	APPENDIX 2
ANALYTICAL CERTIFICATES	APPENDIX 3
STATEMENT OF AUTHOR QUALIFICATIONS	APPENDIX 4
LOCATION MAP	FIGURE 1
CLAIM MAP	FIGURE 2
SAMPLE LOCATION MAP (WITH GOLD AND ARSENIC VALUES)	FIGURE 3
SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION INSET	FIGURE 4



Location Map
Fig. 1

347552

55X4W

MIN & PLACER
28 MAR 73
TAKING

MARCH 1
204274
1531
5X14E

JUL 2
204334
1853
3X13E

MEY 1
205151
*765
4X16E

MARCH 2

McKeown
placer mine

MY 1
204727
4861
1X12E

DON 4
204227
1824

DON 1
204224
1823

DON 3
204228
1825

48434

208996

CPW
204667
4541
25X2E

DON 2
204219
1384

15303

65430

84232

ARMADA

PESO

LAKE

373355

204021
487
35X3W

JUAN A
345884
35X2E

341107
3X13W

65X3W

R.R. 2

373418

647223W

1 2

R.R. 1

373418

647223W

Cedar Creek
placer mine

TRISH

374056

35X3E

93A11W

claim map

Fig. 2

SUMMARY

A brief geological reconnaissance was completed on the Cedar Creek property (the Armada claim) in September and October 2000. An attempt was made to determine the specific controls to gold mineralization exposed in a test mining operation on the adjacent CPW claim. It was noted that gold mineralization in this excavation is associated with a dark sericite altered argillite with conformable bands of coarse pyrite. It was further noted that gold appears to be mimicked by higher levels of arsenic and molybdenum. A number of samples of both outcrop and float were obtained from several areas on the Armada claim without replicating the results obtained on the adjacent CPW claim. A limited soil traverse completed down the fall line (in the ditch) of an easterly trending logging road in the central region of the claim has identified a significant soil gold anomaly.

ACCESSIBILITY, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

The Cedar Creek claim is located approximately 6 kilometers east off the village of Likely in east central British Columbia (52° 35'N, 121° 25'W). Access to the claim is by a network of logging roads leading off the Spanish Lake Forest Service Road. A gravel airstrip is situated adjacent to the Spanish Lake road between the claim and the village of Likely. Elevations on the Armada claim vary from 1060 to 1320 metres (3475 feet to 4330 feet) and vegetation is dominated by mature stands of Douglas fir and spruce. This region of the interior experiences warm summers and cool winters often with considerable snow. The period extending from the middle of April until the beginning of November is typically snow free. The claim entirely occurs on crown land. Some of the western and northern portions of the claim overlap with existing placer leases authorized under a separate tenure. The Armada claim is located approximately 50 kilometers south of the International Wayside Gold Mine Ltd., Barkerville project.

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The property consists of one 18 unit mineral claim located in the Cariboo Mining Division on NTS sheet 93A 11W centered at Latitude 52° 35' N, Longitude 121° 25' W.

Claim Name	Tenure No.	No. Units	Expiry Date
Armada	373355	18	Nov 12, 2002

The area of the Armada claim encompasses approximately 450 hectares (1100 acres).

The Armada claim is subject to a July 24, 2000 joint venture agreement between Wildrose Resources Ltd. and Imperial Metals Corporation. The terms of the joint venture require that Wildrose fund the initial \$500,000 in exploration within a three year period. Imperial Metals Corporation must, after reviewing results of this program, make a decision within 90 days whether or not to participate to earn a 50% interest. On electing to participate Imperial Metals must match Wildrose's expenditures within a time period not exceeding the lesser of Wildrose's time or 18 months.

There are no known environmental or aboriginal issues specific to the Armada claim excepting those that relate to British Columbia in its generality.

HISTORY

Records indicate that in 1858 a party of five prospectors arrived at Fort Alexandria, half way between the present cities of Williams Lake and Quesnel. At Fort Alexandria (a Hudson Bay post) they learned that natives from regions to the east of the Fort had recently brought in a quantity of nugget gold. An Indian guide was secured and the group left the Fort and proceeded past the site of the present village of Likely and on to the mouth of Cedar Creek (approximately 2 kilometres southwest from the present Armada claim). Here records indicate that the party recovered 300 ounces of gold – the first gold in the Cariboo and the start of the Cariboo Gold Rush. As a result of this discovery, a large camp soon established itself at Quesnel Forks and prospecting parties radiated outward, and in 1862 discovered the rich placer deposits at Antler Creek further to the northeast. Operations at Cedar Creek were subsequently abandoned.

In 1921 two prospectors, taking a drink from a small pond above Cedar Creek, discovered gold nuggets in the gravel. A major gold rush ensued (the second one) with 7000 people said to be living on the mountain by 1922. The richness of Cedar Creek placer discovery is evidenced in the 1926 report to the BC Minister of Mines in which it is noted that three exceptional pans of raw gravel yielded 49, 35 and 29 ounces of gold, respectively. Placer operations declined after 1930 although small operations have continued to the present day. The Cedar Creek mine is located approximately 1500 metres west (down slope) from the southwest corner of the Armada claim.

For the last two decades the area covered by the Armada claim has usually been part of a larger land package surrounding the adjacent CPW claim. Work on the Armada has been peripheral to activities on the CPW claim and has been undertaken by a number of groups including the following companies:

Mount Calvary Resources Ltd.

Pundata Gold Corporation

Eastfield Resources Ltd.

Cogema Resources Canada Ltd.

Cyprus Canada Inc.

In addition to work completed by these companies Placer Dome Inc. and Carolin Mines Ltd. completed reconnaissance soil geochemistry and 3 percussion holes totaling 450 feet (137 metres) on land now covered by the Armada claim.

GEOLOGY

The Armada claim lies close to the lowermost succession of the Quesnel Terrane (Triassic-Jurassic) immediately above a major northwest trending thrust fault separating it from the older (Proterozoic to Paleozoic) Barkerville Terrane. A period of thrusting in the Jurassic is believed to have moved the area that includes the Armada claim onto the adjacent older Barkerville Terrane. This event caused extensive and widespread deformation particularly in areas of the claim underlain by clastic rocks. It also caused metamorphism of these rocks to grades varying from greenschist to amphibolite (a

process which may have generated metamorphic hydrothermal fluids responsible for gold mineralization on the adjacent CPW claim).

The proportion of volcanic tuff to elastic rock on the property increases up section (i.e. towards the southwest side of the claim) which is an area extensively covered with deep accumulations of unconsolidated Pleistocene till. Records of placer mining activity at the Cedar Creek placer mine located on the southwest side of the claim indicate that the gold came from a bedrock source that eroded to produce a "reddish" gravel. "A characteristic feature of the pay-gravel is the presence of small crystals of "iron" which occur in considerable quantity and consist of pseudomorphic crystals of limonite after pyrite" (Annual Report of the Minister of Mines, 1922).

MINERALIZATION



There is no known area of significant bedrock mineralization on the Armada claim. Several percussion holes completed by Placer Dome Inc. (on or immediately adjacent to the claim) intersected significant thicknesses of placer gold in the overlying tills. The most significant of these intersections are 87-P-7 immediately north of the claim had an intersection of 75 feet (0 to 75 feet) grading 0.235 oz/t Au. 87-P-27 further to the south had an intersection of 50 feet (100 to 150 feet) averaging 368 ppb Au. This hole did not reach bedrock and the gold enriched overburden is thought to have sourced from further up the hill to the east.

The McKeown placer mine straddles the northern boundary of the claim while the Cedar Creek placer mine is located approximately 1500 metres west of the southwest corner of the claim.

YEAR 2000 PROGRAM (SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES)


The year 2000 program was limited to completing sufficient work to satisfy assessment work holding requirements. More extensive work consisting of a bulk mining test was being completed simultaneously on the adjacent CPW (Spanish Mountain property). This activity offered a chance to examine the nature of the gold mineralization exposed in the CPW ("LE" pit) and to look for similar mineralization on the Armada claim. On September 16 several samples were collected for this purpose. Results of analysis suggest that sample CED-3 (7749 ppb Au) is the kind of material which should be searched for on the Armada claim. Sample CED-3 is a broadly banded black argillite with significant sericite alteration and lesser quartz. Some of the bands are associated with coarse pyrite. Minor native gold was observed in one coarse pyrite crystal. In addition to gold this sample is anomalous in arsenic and molybdenum content.

A second trip to the claim was made on October 28 and 29 and several additional rock samples were collected. A soil roadside traverse was made in which 11 soil samples were collected at 50 metre intervals on a road essentially traversing the central region of the Armada claim down the fall line. A significant gold anomaly was obtained here over a distance of 300 metres down the slope with the two highest elevation samples exceeding



- ◆ Rock Sample Location (Au in ppb, As in ppm)
- Soil Sample Location

SAMPLE LOCATION MAP FIGURE 3



0 M 100

Soil Sample ● 320, 264.6
 Au (ppb), As (ppm)

Rock Sample ◆

Soil Sample Location Inset

Figure 4

300 ppb Au. This anomaly needs to be followed up with detailed prospecting and further sampling.

RECOMMENDATIONS

A more thorough soil and rock sampling program is warranted concentrating at the elevation contour corresponding to soil samples CCS-006 and CCS-007.

Mineralization exemplified by sample CED-3 (7749 ppb Au) should be the predominant target for the Armada claim. Sample CED-3 is stratified and in hand specimen is flat dark gray in colour with 2 to 5 mm bands of coarse pyrite conformable to stratification. This sample was obtained within metres of a material, which although similar in appearance at the hand specimen scale, is in fact a dark coloured diorite.

Examination and analysis of drill cuttings from hole 87-P-8 drilled in 1987 near the centre of the northern boundary of the claim indicates an anomalous molybdenum and arsenic content. This would suggest that the area between hole 87-P-8 and the "LE" pit (1000 metres distant with 700 metres on the Armada claim) may have experienced the mineralizing event evidenced at the "LE" pit. No outcrop exists in this area and drill testing will be required to confirm this hypothesis.

The entire area of the Armada claim (and for that matter the CPW claim) was last systematically soil gridded approximately 20 years ago. Establishing a new grid would almost undoubtedly refine and produce new gold, arsenic and molybdenum anomalies that could then be more effectively explored.

YEAR 2000 COST STATEMENT

Professional	J.W. Morton P.Geo	Sept 15/00	\$450.00
		Sept 16/00	\$450.00
		Oct 28/00	\$450.00
		Oct 29/00	\$450.00
Accommodation	Horsefly Landing	Sept 15/16	\$241.50
Accommodation	High Country Inn Likely	Oct-28	\$52.90
Meals			\$52.45
Gas			\$345.25
Truck Rental	2 days charged		\$120.00
Assay	11 Rock Samples @ \$18		\$198.00
	11 Soil Samples @ \$16		\$176.00
Miscellaneous			\$126.00
Total			\$3,112.10

APPENDIX 1

DESCRIPTIONS OF ROCK SAMPLES COLLECTED SEPT. 16 2000

Description	Au ppb	Ag ppm	As ppm	Mo ppm
CED-1 , LE pit, diorite, dark subrounded greenish-white feldspars in dark chloritic matrix, parallel bands of dark mafic mineral (hornblende ?). *1	23	0.3	42	1
CED-2 , LE pit, dark black schist, stockwork of quartz-pyrite veinlets, some dark hexagonal (amphibole) mineral, 5% pyrite porphyroblasts, minor chalcopyrite. *1	27	0.4	99	14
CED-3 , LE pit, broadly banded black argillite with significant sericite alteration and lesser quartz. Some of the bands are associated with coarse pyrite. Minor native gold was observed in one coarse pyrite crystal.*1	7749	4.8	366	20
CED-4 , M-5 pit, light coloured pale green sericitized quartz-feldspar rock (rhyolite ?), intense multidirectional quartz stockwork, some veinlets have a bluish submetallic mineral on selvages. *1	<2	<.3	14	<1
CED-5 , outcrop, McKeown placer mine pit, fine grained recrystallized clastic, light coloured feldspar looking minerals in dark matrix, some carbonate alteration, some quartz veining, abundant limonitic porphyroblasts.	2	<.3	7	1
CED-6 , switchback on Weldwood 8904 road, select sample of buff coloured clastic with mariposite ?, siliceous, one 2 mm quartz veinlet, abundant limonitic porphyroblasts.	3	.3	38	1
CED-7 , Dynasty lease, float, gray siliceous deformed rock, forms prominent brown gossan.	2	.4	7	19

*1 collected for comparative purposes from recent excavations completed in 2000 on the adjacent CPW claim. Not included in the cost statement of this report.

DESCRIPTIONS OF ROCK SAMPLES COLLECTED OCT. 28/29 2000

Description	Au ppb	Ag ppm	As ppm	Mo ppm
CCR-0011 , Rubble, in ditch at soil sample CCS-0011, bleached and sericite altered rock.	10	0.187	33.2	1.90
CCR-0015 , outcrop, 189 m at 120° from soil sample CCS-0014.	61	1.323	40.1	1.66
CCR-0016 , rubble, siliceous black rock (some kind of tuff ?)in placer test pit, minor chalcopyrite.	2	0.047	5.3	0.91
CCR-0017 , rubble, siliceous black rock (some kind of tuff ?)in placer test pit.	3	0.063	3.8	0.71

SOIL SAMPLES COLLECTED OCT.28 AND 29, 2000.

Sample #	Au ppb	Ag ppm	As ppm	Mo ppm
CCS-003	6	0.126	30.8	1.15
CCS-005	8	0.106	93.1	1.67
CCS-006	306	0.290	168.8	2.67
CCS-007	320	0.427	264.6	2.86
CCS-008	53	0.295	91.1	3.14
CCS-009	14	0.192	89.6	5.99
CCS-010	92	0.587	133.3	4.35
CCS-011	173	0.541	75.1	18.56
CCS-012	20	0.319	62.2	4.99
CCS-013	17	0.288	119.0	4.62
CCS-014	14	0.159	36.1	4.38

APPENDIX 3

ACME ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES LTD. 352 W. HASTINGS ST. VANCOUVER BC V6C 1R6 PHONE (604) 253-3158 FAX (604) 253-1717 (ISO 9002 Accredited Co.)



GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS CERTIFICATE

Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd. File # A003855

110 - 525 Howe St., Vancouver, BC V6C 1Z7 Submitted by: Bill Horton

Table with columns: SAMPLE#, Mo, Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag, Ni, Co, Mn, Fe, As, U, Au, Th, Sr, Cd, Sb, Bi, V, Ca, P, La, Cr, Mg, Ba, Tl, B, Al, Na, K, W, Au**, Pt**, Pd**. Rows include CED-1, CED-2, CED-3, CED-4, CED-5, CED-6, CED-7, DAISEY CREEK, RE DAISEY CREEK.

GROUP 10 - 0.50 GM SAMPLE LEACHED WITH 3 ML Z-2 HCL-HNO3-H2O AT 95 DEG. C FOR ONE HOUR, DILUTED TO 10 ML, ANALYSED BY ICP-ES. UPPER LIMITS - AG, AU, HG, W = 100 PPM; MO, CO, CD, SB, BI, TH, U & B = 2,000 PPM; CU, PB, ZN, NI, MN, AS, V, LA, CR = 10,000 PPM. ASSAY RECOMMENDED FOR ROCK AND CORE SAMPLES IF CU PB ZN AS > 1%, AG > 30 PPM & AU > 1000 PPM. - SAMPLE TYPE: ROCK R150 60C AU** PT** PD** GROUP 3B BY FIRE ASSAY & ANALYSIS BY ICP-ES. (30 gm) Samples beginning 'RE' are Retuns and 'RR' are Reject Retuns.

DATE RECEIVED: OCT 2 2000 DATE REPORT MAILED: Oct 12/00 SIGNED BY: C. Leong TOYE, C. LEONG, J. WANG; CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYERS

All results are considered the confidential property of the client. Acme assumes the liabilities for actual cost of the analysis only.

Date FA

** TOTAL PAGE.002 **

GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS CERTIFICATE

Wildrose Resources Ltd. PROJECT CEDAR CREEK File # A004505

110 - 325 Howe St., Vancouver BC V6C 1Z7 Submitted by: J.W. Morton

SAMPLE#	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co	Mn	Fe	As	U	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P	La	Cr	Hg	Ba	Tl	B	Al	Na	K	W	Se	Te	Ga	Au**				
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppb	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppb	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	%	%	%	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppb				
CCR-0011	1.90	38.82	5.88	43.8	187	26.3	4.3	172	1.01	33.2	.4	4.5	.7	26.9	.29	.52	.03	4	.11	.057	3.4	6.3	.03	88.2	<.001	1	.47	.033	.16	.3	.8	.09	.02	12	.2	.03	.8	10
CCR-0015	1.65	141.86	26.56	33.7	1323	15.7	4.9	1328	1.24	40.1	.3	53.3	1.2	17.0	.05	.33	.81	5	.58	.023	6.4	15.5	.07	120.3	<.001	2	.20	.029	.07	3.2	1.5	.02	.05	6	.1	.62	.7	61
CCR-0016	.91	33.91	14.64	68.0	47	22.4	6.9	1456	1.99	5.3	<.1	2.5	1.2	10.6	.02	.35	.12	6	.44	.017	5.6	10.2	1.28	44.2	.002	2	1.43	.013	.07	.2	1.1	.03	.14	<.5	<.1	.08	3.7	2
CCR-0017	.71	287.52	4.02	47.1	63	17.2	7.4	843	1.28	3.8	.1	.2	1.6	15.8	.12	.28	.07	9	.30	.023	9.1	8.1	.63	244.4	.063	1	.93	.011	.23	.9	1.2	.03	.03	6	<.1	.02	2.6	3
CCS-003	1.15	58.68	14.92	75.5	126	28.2	12.4	2081	3.39	30.8	<.1	6.1	1.1	9.5	.08	.43	.27	12	.04	.051	4.6	6.4	.08	126.7	.003	2	.41	.043	.08	.4	3.5	.03	.01	12	<.1	.11	1.2	6
CCS-005	1.67	87.35	23.29	76.4	105	48.3	16.2	1493	2.39	93.1	.1	4.5	1.1	6.8	.04	.68	.34	7	.02	.020	4.8	7.7	.05	147.0	<.001	3	.33	.029	.07	2.3	2.7	.02	<.01	19	.1	.20	.9	8
CCS-006	2.67	81.14	25.95	125.9	290	77.1	22.0	2185	3.90	168.8	.2	208.3	1.1	14.2	.18	.86	.17	11	.12	.089	7.8	10.9	.26	141.2	.001	2	.75	.032	.11	.9	4.2	.04	<.01	23	.2	.08	1.5	306
CCS-007	2.86	62.26	13.87	167.7	427	221.4	27.9	1768	4.20	264.6	.3	144.0	1.0	21.5	.59	1.69	.07	22	.22	.090	7.1	30.9	.17	117.9	.005	2	.72	.048	.09	7.3	5.4	.05	.03	32	.3	.07	1.5	320
CCS-008	3.14	76.44	18.40	155.4	295	48.2	19.0	1939	4.00	91.1	.2	43.4	1.2	12.9	.31	3.04	.22	19	.07	.090	6.7	13.3	.27	119.0	.005	1	.78	.037	.09	.5	3.1	.06	.16	19	1.1	.18	2.1	53
CCS-009	5.99	70.92	14.20	92.8	192	101.4	22.9	1189	4.08	89.6	.5	6.3	1.8	13.3	.37	2.76	.14	35	.15	.061	7.9	24.2	.63	115.2	.018	1	1.32	.026	.10	1.6	3.4	.10	.03	31	1.3	.08	3.3	14
CCS-0010	4.35	108.43	29.46	108.0	587	65.1	23.4	1676	4.26	133.3	.3	190.4	1.4	18.6	.37	2.21	.14	28	.17	.056	7.1	17.1	.28	134.8	.003	2	.91	.035	.12	.6	6.2	.06	.01	38	.8	.11	2.2	92
CCS-0011	18.56	39.75	33.18	86.8	541	30.5	7.6	679	2.46	75.1	.6	86.8	2.0	29.9	.41	7.53	.19	38	.06	.073	13.1	15.6	.09	153.6	.004	4	.64	.027	.19	3.4	1.8	.17	<.01	37	3.8	.20	1.7	173
CCS-0012	4.99	57.70	18.19	85.1	319	45.9	15.5	1650	3.22	62.2	.3	12.8	1.7	20.3	.37	1.77	.18	30	.21	.081	9.3	16.8	.37	141.7	.026	2	.94	.032	.13	.6	3.3	.07	.03	35	1.2	.13	2.8	20
CCS-0013	4.62	73.69	16.43	120.2	288	57.6	18.9	1252	4.26	119.0	.4	15.2	1.9	18.8	.40	1.62	.15	26	.13	.117	9.8	18.0	.34	145.7	.009	2	1.05	.030	.13	4.2	4.6	.06	.02	19	.9	.14	2.5	17
CCS-0014	4.38	54.57	12.91	73.3	159	48.6	14.4	1602	2.98	36.1	.3	13.2	1.9	18.2	.31	1.78	.20	33	.21	.052	10.7	29.1	.60	123.7	.050	3	1.12	.031	.10	.4	3.3	.06	<.01	36	.8	.09	3.1	14
RE CCS-0014	4.18	53.77	12.31	72.6	158	48.1	14.3	1592	2.96	35.6	.3	6.5	1.9	17.9	.31	1.69	.18	33	.21	.052	10.8	26.7	.60	125.5	.051	2	1.13	.029	.11	.4	3.3	.06	.02	33	.7	.08	3.2	13
RFC-M-1	.80	46.59	6.00	51.0	167	38.7	24.3	1149	4.27	122.2	.3	2.6	.8	266.0	.10	5.68	.04	98	8.60	.077	4.8	78.0	2.64	47.9	.001	5	.80	.012	.16	.6	14.2	.06	.20	50	1.7	.03	2.4	3
RFC-M-2	1.52	113.22	3.10	44.1	440	77.1	32.0	1288	4.60	1559.0	.2	75.7	.6	107.5	.09	9.79	.06	83	5.89	.104	3.6	89.0	1.17	53.1	.001	7	1.20	.009	.18	.3	13.0	.06	.06	42	1.0	.06	3.0	78
RFC-M-3	5.98	145.09	3.47	66.2	179	47.1	30.0	587	5.29	7.5	.9	2.7	1.3	29.1	.43	3.19	.42	243	3.07	.097	7.4	85.6	2.06	113.9	.160	6	4.13	.029	.06	.7	13.4	.04	1.45	24	10.4	.22	15.9	6
RFC-M-4	1.02	181.21	2.61	38.6	288	42.0	32.8	948	4.61	728.6	.3	32.9	1.1	102.6	.11	11.35	.13	126	4.17	.117	6.9	45.4	1.18	93.0	.066	8	1.99	.014	.17	.4	10.9	.09	.25	94	1.6	.09	6.7	32
RFC-M-5	2.00	222.76	4.02	40.7	213	84.2	39.0	996	5.37	300.8	.5	9.0	1.6	29.3	.15	6.96	.20	138	.73	.135	10.5	129.5	1.77	82.7	.034	7	2.30	.021	.13	.5	11.6	.06	.03	203	1.1	.18	7.3	13
RFC-M-6	9.49	2157.00	1.45	82.6	1985	26.7	36.4	509	5.01	12.9	1.6	153.9	2.2	72.4	.68	.62	.05	181	1.49	.166	7.5	29.4	1.20	45.2	.193	10	1.89	.038	.18	.4	2.9	.03	.48	63	1.4	.04	7.5	134
RFC-M-7	.94	125.05	3.14	44.3	409	51.4	32.9	1019	4.82	1319.9	.3	58.5	.8	105.3	.08	7.34	.09	127	5.09	.108	5.7	91.0	1.62	66.1	.064	6	1.70	.016	.17	.4	14.1	.05	.09	82	.9	.08	6.4	57
PLM-1	5.83	11.91	1.15	16.4	36	2.7	2.6	306	1.06	2.8	.1	.9	1.8	4.9	.01	.09	.08	4	.08	.016	3.8	8.9	.51	78.0	.028	1	.68	.032	.12	.4	.6	<.02	.08	6	.4	.04	2.1	<2
STANDARD DS2/AU-R	14.20	124.78	31.00	151.8	249	34.5	12.4	801	3.01	56.7	18.3	200.7	3.5	27.3	10.42	9.24	10.57	74	.53	.084	14.9	162.8	.59	146.3	.094	4	1.71	.031	.14	6.9	2.7	1.81	.01	221	2.2	1.85	5.7	475

1F1 - 1.00 GM SAMPLE, 6 ML 2-2-2 HCL-HNO3-H2O AT 95 DEG. C FOR ONE HOUR AND IS DILUTED TO 20 ML, ANALYSIS BY ICP/ES & MS.
 LIMITS - AG, AU, HG, W, SE, TE, TL, GA, SN = 100 PPM; MO, CO, CD, SB, BI, TH, U, B = 2,000 PPM; CU, PB, ZN, NI, MN, AS, V, LA, CR = 10,000 PPM
 GROUP 3B - 30.00 GM SAMPLE ANALYSIS BY FA/ICP.
 ELEMENT TYPE: ROCK R150 60C Samples beginning 'RE' are Reruns and 'RRE' are Reject Reruns.

RECEIVED: NOV 7 2000 DATE REPORT MAILED: *Nov 21/00* SIGNED BY: *C.L.* D. TOYE, C. LEONG, J. WANG; CERTIFIED B.L.

STATEMENT OF AUTHOR QUALIFICATIONS


I, **J.W. Morton** am a graduate of Carleton University Ottawa with a B.Sc. (1972) in Geology and a graduate of the University of British Columbia with a M. Sc. (1976) in Graduate Studies.

I, **J.W. Morton** have been a member of the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of the Province of BC (P.Geol.) since 1991.

I, **J.W. Morton** have practiced my profession since graduation throughout Western Canada, the Western USA and Mexico.

I, **J.W. Morton** supervised the work outlined in this report.

Signed this 9th day of February, 2001.



J.W. Morton P.Geol.