ASSESSMENT REPORT TITLE PAGE AND SUMMARY

TITLE OF REPORT:

TOTAL COST:

AUTHOR(S): J.W. Morton
SIGNATURE(S): *Bill Morton*

NOTICE OF WORK PERMIT NUMBER(S)/DATE(S): 10-1620293-0526
STATEMENT OF WORK EVENT NUMBER(S)/DATE(S): see enclosed

YEAR OF WORK: 2011

PROPERTY NAME: Iron Lake

CLAIM NAME(S) (on which work was done): 374482, 513528, 377521, 506294, 506297, 516280

COMMODITIES SOUGHT: Cu, Pt, Pd, Ni

MINERAL INVENTORY MINFILE NUMBER(S),IF KNOWN:

MINING DIVISION: Clinton
NTS / BCGS:092P096
LATITUDE: ____51_____° ___57_____' _____"
LONGITUDE: __120_____° _54_____' _____" (at centre of work)
UTM Zone: NAD 83 EASTING: 645500E NORTHING:5757000N

OWNER(S): Eastfield Resources Ltd.

MAILING ADDRESS: 110 325 Howe Street, Vancouver, BC, V6C1Z7

OPERATOR(S) [who paid for the work]: Calico Resources Corp.

MAILING ADDRESS: Same as above

REPORT KEYWORDS (lithology, age, stratigraphy, structure, alteration, mineralization, size and attitude. **Do not use abbreviations or codes**)

Ultramafic rock of Triassic age, possibly of Alaskan zoned affinity, are anomalous in copper, platinum, palladium and gold

REFERENCES TO PREVIOUS ASSESSMENT WORK AND ASSESSMENT REPORT NUMBERS:

TYPE OF WORK IN THIS REPORT	EXTENT OF WORK (in metric units)	ON WHICH CLAIMS	PROJECT COSTS APPORTIONED (incl. support)
GEOLOGICAL (scale, area)			
Ground, mapping			
Photo interpretation			
GEOPHYSICAL (line-kilometres)			
Ground			
Magnetic			
Electromagnetic			
Induced Polarization		12.7 km	
Radiometric			
Seismic			
Other			
Airborne			
GEOCHEMICAL (number of samples analysed for …)			
Soil			
Silt			
Rock		77 multielement ICP	
Other			
DRILLING (total metres, number of holes, size, storage location)			
Core			
Non-core			
RELATED TECHNICAL			
Sampling / Assaying			
Petrographic			
Mineralographic			
Metallurgic			
PROSPECTING (scale/area)			
PREPATORY / PHYSICAL			
Line/grid (km)			
Topo/Photogrammetric (scale, area)			
Legal Surveys (scale, area)			
Road, local access (km)/trail			
Trench (number/metres)			
Underground development (metres)			
Other			
		TOTAL COST	$70,339

2011 ASSESSMENT REPORT

ON THE

IRON LAKE PROPERTY

CLINTON MINING DIVISION, BC.

NTS: 092P096

Latitude 51° 57' N, Longitude 120° 54' W

GPS 645500E, 5757000N (NAD 83)

(centre)

Prepared for:

BC Geological Survey
Assessment Report
32717

CALICO RESOURCES CORP.

and

EASTFIELD RESOURCES LTD.

by:

J.W (Bill) Morton P.Geo.
MINCORD EXPLORATION CONSULTANTS LTD.
110-325 Howe Street
Vancouver, BC, V6C 1Z7

Date: December 8, 2011

Table of Contents

	Page
Summary	**1**
Property Location and Description	**2**
Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography	**3**
History	**6**
Geological Setting (Regional and Local Geology)	**8**
Geological Setting (Property Geology)	**9**
Deposit Models	**9**
Mineralization	**10**
Exploration	**12**
Drilling	**15**
Summary of Exploration Completed in 2011	**16**
2011 Cost Statement	**16**
Interpretation and Conclusions	**18**
Recommendations	**18**
Author Qualifications	**19**
References	**20**

Figures

	Page
Location Map (Figure 1)	**4**
Claim Map (Figure 2)	**5**
Regional Geology Map (Figure 3)	**14**

Appendix

1.) Sample Descriptions

2.) Sample Location Map

3.) Rock Gold / Copper

4.) Rock Platinum / Palladium

5. IP / Mag Line IN

6.) IP / Mag Line 2N

7.) IP / Mag Line 3aN

8.) IP / Mag Line 3bN

9.) IP / Mag Line 3cN

10.) IP / Mag Line 4N

11.) IP / Mag Line 5N

12.) 2011 Geophysical Interpretation Map

13.) Proposed Drill Sites

14.) Analytical Certificates

15.) Geophysical Instrumentation and Procedures

Tables

Tenure Status (Table 1) **2**

Disseminated Mineralized Rubble Showing Geochemistry (Table 2) **11**

Significant Drill Intercepts Showing Geochemistry (Table 3) **11**

Drill Hole Location and Orientation (Table 4) **15**

Summary

Eastfield Resources Ltd. holds a 100 % interest in the 7,515 hectare Iron Lake (copper, gold, platinum group metal and nickel) property located 45 kilometres northeast of the city of 100 Mile House, BC. In June 2008 Easfield granted an option to Calico Resources Corp. (formerly Cobre Exploration Corp.) that gives Calico the right to earn a 60% interest in the Iron Lake property by expending $1,500,000 in exploration, making $175,000 in option payments, making $130,000 in cash and or equivalent in share issuances and issuing 200,000 shares before June 1, 2013.

The Iron Lake property covers a large mafic to ultramafic intrusive body in which pyroxenite, olivine pyroxenite, gabbro, sodic pegmatite and diorite occur in contact with a large dominantly granodiorite batholith. Recent age dating completed by the BC ministry of Energy Mines and Petroleum Resources has indicated that the ultramafic Iron Lake complex is younger than the calc-alkaline Takomkame batholith.

In many ways the Iron Lake Complex is comparable to the Turnagain Ultramafic Complex in northern BC and possibly to the Lac Des Isles deposit in Ontario. Important criteria present that support this comparison include the large size of the igneous complex (several tens of square kilometers), the presence of multiple phases of magma dominated by mafic and ultramafic components and strong palladium and platinum soil anomalies indicating the presence of platinum group metals. In 2000 significant copper, gold, palladium and platinum mineralization was discovered in several samples of olivine pyroxenite rubble (average; 7173 ppm Cu, 676 ppb Au, and 312 ppb Pd+Pt plus 402 ppm Ni from six samples). In 2004 the Iron lake Property was flown by Fugro Airborne Surveys and a number of conductors were identified. The conductors were further defined by a 2006 UTEM ground survey. Targets from both surveys were drill tested in 2005-06 identifying intersections of significant thicknesses of pyrrhotite dominant massive sulphides. The most recent drill program was completed at the Iron Lake property was in 2006. Extensive areas of the complex are covered by glacial drift. In 2007and 2008 Calico (Cobre) funded programs which included expansion of the soil grids on the property which resulted in the discovery of new anomalies some of which were trenched with and excavator in 2009. In 2010 drill sites were prepared preparatory for a planned drill program which was slated to occur in 2011 but which was further delayed.

In 2011 a program of rock sampling and induced polarization surveying was completed with two predominant objectives. 1.) to try and identify the source of the disseminated copper with precious metal mineralization and 2.) to explore the area in and around the western contact of the ultramafic Iron lake Complex with the Takomkame (granodioritic) batholith.

Property Description and Location

The Iron Lake property, covering some 7,515 hectares of mineral tenure, is located in the Clinton Mining Division of British Columbia (Figure 1). The property is 45 kilometres northeast of the city of 100 Mile House, centered at latitude 51° 57'N longitude l20°54'W (UTM NAD 83 645500E 575700N). The Iron Lake property is comprised of 16 mineral tenures, registered 100% Eastfield Resources Ltd. The following table lists the detailed tenure information (tenure number, type, claim name, expiry date and area) and the relative claim locations are shown on the claim map (Figure 2).

Table 1 Tenure Status

Record	Name	Expiry	Area	Owner
506294	Norilsk 8	2012/Dec/30	498	Eastfield
506292	Norilsk 7	2012/Dec/30	498	Eastfield
506286	Norilsk 1	2012/Dec/30	498	Eastfield
506302	Norilsk 10	2012/Dec/30	398	Eastfield
506289	Norilsk 6	2012/Dec/30	398	Eastfield
504252	Iron	2012/Dec/30	418	Eastfield
513527	-	2012/Dec/30	637	Eastfield
513528	-	2012/Dec/30	819	Eastfield
506297	Norilsk 9	2012/Dec/30	498	Eastfield
516280	-	2012/Dec/30	578	Eastfield
374482	Iron Lake 1	2012/Dec/30	500	Eastfield
377521	Norilsk 5	2012/Dec/30	400	Eastfield
517528	Northstrip	2012/Dec/30	239	Eastfield
528293	Susan Lake	2012/Dec/30	498	Eastfield
530477	East Suzan	2012/Dec/30	239	Eastfield
856514	Senicar	2012/Dec/30	399	Eastfield

Total Area: 7,515 hectares

Clinton Mining Division, BC

The author is not aware of any environmental or aboriginal issues, besides those which prevail to British Columbia and Canada in generality, which are specific to the Iron Lake claims. Eastfield was granted an exploration permit by the BC Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources in 2009 and this permit was subsequently extended to 2011 to allow the most recent programs including an excavator trenching program in 2009, to proceed. Prior drill permits have been obtained without significant delay and future difficulties in obtaining permits are not anticipated.

A single private district lot (parcel identification PID 013-512-056) is located within the claim area. (Figure 2) Recent government direction states that private land owners must be notified by at least 8 days prior to commencement of any work on their property.

The Iron Lake property has been owned outright by Eastfield Resources Ltd. since 2000, subject to a $1^1/_2$% NSR royalty which may be reduced to 0.5% with the payment of $1,000,000. On June 1, 2008 Calico Resources Corp. (Cobre Exploration Corp.) entered into an option with Eastfield which will allow it to earn a 60% interest in the Iron Lake project by expending $1,500,000 in exploration, making $175,000 in option payments, issuing 200,000 shares and, at the time of vesting, making a final share issuance valued at $100,000. The terms of the option agreement are to be completed on June 1, 2013.

Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography

The Iron Lake property is located 45 Kilometres northeast of the city, 100 Mile House B.C. The property is accessible by paved roads to the settlement of Eagle Creek, from where all weather logging roads after an additional 15 kilometres provide access to the centre of the property. Recent logging and previously permitted exploration trails generally provide good access to much of the property area. The climatic statistics for the property show annual temperatures ranging from -40°C to +30°C with 100 to 150 centimetres of precipitation as both snow and rain. It is probable that in a normal year that the area will have between 60 and 100 frost free days.

The infrastructure of 100 Mile house and its surrounding communities would welcome and provide plentiful support for development on the Iron Lake property. Hydroelectric lines are within a close proximity (10 km) to the project, and there is an adequate supply of water from the surrounding lakes for process water.



COBRE EXPLORATION CORP. **EASTFIELD RESOURCES LTD.**	
IRON LAKE PROJECT **CLINTON M.D., B.C.**	
Location Map	
Date February, 2010	UTM NAD 83, Zone 10
Scale as shown	NTS 092P096
Fig 1	

Iron lake Claims, Nov, 2011



Legend

- Indian Reserves
- National Parks
- Conservancy Areas
- Parks
- Federal Transfer Lands

Mineral Tenure (current)

- Mineral Claim
- Mineral Lease

Mineral Reserves (current)

- Placer Claim Designation
- Placer Lease Designation
- No Staking Reserve
- Conditional Reserve
- Release Required Reserve
- Surface Restriction
- Recreation Area
- Others
- First Nations Treaty Related Lands
- First Nations Treaty Lands
- Survey Parcels
- BCGS Grid

Contours (1:250K)

- Contour - Index
- Contour - Intermediate
- Areaof Exclusion
- Areaof Indefinite Contours

Annotation (1:250K)

Transportation - Points (1:250K)

- Airfield
- Anchorage - Seaplane

Scale: 1:90,459

This map is a user generated static output from an Internet mapping site and is for general reference only. Data layers that appear on this map may or may not be accurate, current, or otherwise reliable. THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION.

The property lies in the Quesnel Highland physiographic region of the central B.C. interior. This region consists of generally broad valleys and gently rolling hills. The elevations in this area range from 3000 feet (915 meters) to 4500 feet (1370 meters) above sea level.

The Iron Lake property occurs in moist vegetative zones dominated by various coniferous (pine-spruce-fir) and deciduous (birch-poplar) trees combined with variable undergrowth of brush. A significant portion of the Iron Lake property and adjacent lands have been subject to recent clearcut logging. This logging has been beneficial to the project in terms of improved access and occasionally bedrock exposure.

History

The first documented exploration in the area of the prospect occurred in the early 1970's when Pickands Mather and Company, a US based iron ore company (now Cliffs Natural Resources Inc.), conducted exploration for porphyry copper. The area of the Iron Lake Prospect was targeted because of a then recently published very strong airborne magnetic anomaly. An initial geochemical survey outlined some modest copper anomalies and a six-hole diamond drill program was initiated in 1974. The drill program did not result in significant porphyry copper intercepts being obtained but indicated that the airborne magnetic anomaly (GSC 1968) was due to heavy accumulations of magnetite. The magnetite was found to occur in zoned mafic to ultramafic rocks (gabbro to olivine pyroxenite) in concentrations sufficient that the company conducted several tests (Davis tube) to evaluate the potential of the property hosting a (magnetite) iron ore deposit. The magnetite content was ultimately determined to be too low and the claims were dropped in 1974. In 1975 the area was again staked (the Sheri Claims), by geologist/prospector Herb Wahl who had previously managed the Pickands Mather office. Wahl completed additional soil geochemical surveying and minor hand trenching before abandoning the claims. In the late 1980's Canevex Resources Ltd. (Morton and Garratt) staked the area of the current Iron Lake claims. The property was first optioned to a private group and later to a dormant public company (Cepeda Minerals Inc.) that completed a program on the claims as part of a restructuring plan. The emphasis of exploration was on gold there was potential to discover porphyry copper with gold (particularly around the periphery of the intrusion). Platinum group metals were for the first time assayed in deference to the extreme mafic character of the rocks. This work indicated a number of significant palladium and platinum soil anomalies and returned analysis to 933 ppb platinum from select roadside rubble samples.

Shortly after completing this program Cepeda returned the claims. Canevex along with a private individual continued exploration and completed an induced polarization survey over part of the intrusion in 1989. Despite the detection of significant induced polarization responses in the survey the claims were allowed to expire in 1992.

Eastfield Resources Ltd. acquired the data base for the Iron Lake property and staked the area of the Iron Lake occurrence in February 2000. In October 2000 Eastfield, while investigating soil palladium

anomalies from the 1989 soil survey, discovered mineralized olivine-pyroxenite rubble containing significant disseminated bornite and chalcopyrite (six specimens of this rubble graded, on average, 0.72% copper, 0.68 g/t gold and 0.32 g/t Pd+Pt, see Table 2).

In 2001 Eastield optioned a 60% interest in the property to Lysander Minerals Corp who conducted modest surface prospecting programs prior to terminating the option in 2002.

In 2003 Eastfield granted an option to Argent Mining Corp to earn a 65% interest in the project. Argent subsequently completed expansions to the 1989 soil grid in 2003 and in 2004 completed 603 line kilometers of helicopter borne geophysical survey including total field magnetics and multi-frequency electromagnetics (DigHem). A large and very strong magnetic anomaly was outlined over a large area within which a number of (discrete) bedrock conductors were detected.

In 2005 Argent completed four diamond drill holes with two of the holes targeting electromagnetic conductors. A massive sulphide intercept of 1.2 metres was obtained in the hole targeting the first electromagnetic anomaly and an aggregate intercept of 6.1 metres of massive sulphide was obtained (from within a 20-metre interval) in the hole targeting the second electromagnetic anomaly. The massive sulphide intercepts are largely pyrrhotite with lesser chalcopyrite grading up to 1.10% copper, 0.09% nickel and 0.13% cobalt over individual 1.1 metre sample intervals. The fourth hole of the 2005 program targeted an induced polarization response indicated in the 1989 survey completed by Canevex Resources Ltd. This hole, drilled some distance to the east of the other holes, encountered olivine-pyroxenite which is believed to be the important lithology in hosting the platinum group mineralization discovered in rubble in 2000. This hole intersected an interval of disseminated mineralization anomalous in nickel with values to 956 ppm over 2.5 metre sample intervals and ended in anomalous platinum and palladium mineralization with the last 2.5 metre interval of the hole returning 69 ppb platinum and 68 ppb palladium.

In 2006, Argent completed 17 kilometres of ground based UTEM survey. The UTEM survey was completed over a portion of the property to the north and south of the first three 2005 drill holes but did not extend as far east as the fourth hole. The survey was successful in further detailing and extending the lengths of the 2004 airborne anomalies and detecting weaker and deeper conductors missed by the 2004 survey. In May and June, 2006 five holes totaling 681 metres were completed in the general area of the 2005 drill holes with the first two holes following up the massive sulphide discovery of 2005. The first of the 2006 holes was lost after the drill string became stuck just as the prospective target zone was reached and the second hole was inadvertently (driller error) drilled at 90° to the strike of the conductor. Interestingly the second hole nevertheless intersected a narrow zone of massive sulphide.

In 2007 a program of targeted prospecting was completed. A field crew consisting of two field technicians systematically checked a number of anomalies indicated in the data set (predominantly originating from prior geophysical surveys). 143 rock samples and 180 soil samples were collected. Each

site was GPS surveyed and all of the rock samples were cut with a diamond saw with one half of the sample being analyzed and the other half being examined by the project geologist.

On June 1, 2008 Cobre Exploration Corp. entered into an option agreement with Eastfield Resources Ltd. and the soil grid, which originated in 1989 (with lesser number re-plotted samples from 1974 and 1975), was expanded. A total of 478 soil samples were collected and analysed.

In 2009 a program of excavator trenching, largely drawing from the 2007 program, was completed. The depth of overburden often proved to be deeper than expected and many attempts to reach bedrock failed.

In 2010 a program of drill site preparation and coincident rock sampling was completed.

In 2011 a program of rock sampling and induced polarization surveying was completed.

Geological Setting

Regional and Local Geology

Geologically, the Iron Lake property is located within the accreted Quesnel terrane, a narrow, north northwesterly trending disrupted but nearly continuous belt from the southern to northern provincial boundaries. The belt consists of volcanic, sedimentary and intrusive rocks of Triassic to Jurassic Age, which host alkalic porphyry copper - gold deposits.

The regional geology (Figure 3) is derived after 2006 work by Paul Schiarizza and Amy Boulton of the the BC Geological Survey. This work was focused on Mesozoic arc volcanic and plutonic rocks of the Quesnel Terrane in the vicinity of the Takomkane batholith and included the Iron Lake property. The oldest rocks in the property area occur along the eastern edge of the property and are volcanic breccias and volcaniclastics of the Upper Triassic Age Nicola Group. The Late Triassic Early Jurassic Schoolhouse Lake Unit, monzonite and granodiorite, forms the predominant phase of the Takomkane batholith in this area. The Takomkane Suite has intruded the Nicola Group rocks.

The Iron Lake property is centred on the Iron Lake complex comprised of ultramafic and mafic plutonic rocks. These rocks intrude the Nicola volcaniclastic succession and are juxtaposed against the School house Lake unit of the Takomkane batholith across poorly exposed contacts to the north west and north. Schiarizza divides the Iron Lake complex into an 'ultramafic unit and a mafic unit. The ultramafic unit consists mainly of clinopyroxenite and hornblende clinopyroxenite, but also includes olivine clinopyroxenite, wehrlite, hornblendite, gabbro, diorite and intrusion breccia (Schiarizza and Boulton, 2006). The mafic unit consists mainly of medium to coarse-grained hornblende-pyroxene gabbro to monzogabbro, and medium to fine-grained hornblende diorite and microdiorite. Melanocratic gabbro from the ultramafic unit of the Iron Lake complex yielded Ar/Ar plateau ages of 187.7 ±1.1 Ma and 186.34 ±0.96 Ma on hornblende and biotite separates, respectively (T. Ullrich, pers comm, 2006). Titanite from a diorite sample collected from the mafic unit of the complex has yielded a preliminary U-Pb

concordia age of 188.3 ±0.5 Ma (R. Friedman, pers comm, 2006). These Early Jurassic dates are significantly younger than the dates obtained from the Boss Creek and Schoolhouse Lake units, indicating that the Iron Lake Complex is younger than the Takomkane batholith, and has presumably intruded the batholith as well as the Nicola Group

Property Geology

Hornblendite and pyroxenite are the major lithologies underlying the Iron Lake property. The hornblende particularly is variable in appearance, ranging from 100% hornblende crystals to pegmatitic facies containing up to 90% soda feldspar, grain size is variable from fine to super-pegmatitic, with hornblende crystals to 15cm long by 5 cm in cross section.

Hydrothermal alteration products observed while mapping has included the minerals biotite, chlorite, epidote, talc and serpentine. A 1974 petrographic study completed by George A. Wilson P.Eng. for Pickands Mather and Company notes these secondary minerals and observes "several members of the set appear to have been pyroxene bearing rocks which were uralitized before being subjected to lower grade metamorphism (alteration to a pale green amphibole, commonly referred to as uralite)." Silicification and carbonate alteration are noted often as banded veins and local replacements.

Deposit Models

Iron Lake covers a large mafic to ultramafic intrusive body in a complex that is comparable in several respects to the Turnagain Ultramafic Complex in northern BC, and possibly to the Lac Des Isles Complex in Ontario. At the Turnagain Complex, exploration is being conducted for a large tonnage of low grade nickel by the Hard Creek Nickel Corp.

The Lac des Isles platinum - palladium deposit in Ontario, owned by North American Palladium Ltd., occurs with mixed mafic and ultramafic magmas (possibly zoned). At Lac des Isles mineralization occurs in part with low grade copper mineralization in a pegmatite. Nickel values are usually only anomalous by comparison.

Another possible model for mineralization at Iron Lake is the Aguablanca Ni-Cu-PGE mine located in Spain. At Aquablanca a gabbroic pipe is interpreted to have been emplaced along with its calc-alkaline plutonic complex host along with copper and nickel sulphides. Aquablanca owned by the Lundin Mining Corporation is currently in production.

A new deposit in Finland "Kevitsa" is currently being developed by First Quantum Minerals Ltd. At Kavitsa PGE enriched copper, nickel mineralization is hosted an olivine pyroxenite. Mineralization is

constrained to a small area of the Kevitsa intrusion and reputed to be in the order of 240 million tonnes grading 0.30% nickel and 0.41% copper.

The understanding of the Iron Lake property is preliminary. Work to date has identified the presence of a mixed mafic - ultra mafic intrusion with gold - platinum - palladium - copper – nickel mineralization. As the intrusive and mineral relationships are refined by ongoing exploration a clearer geological model for the Iron Lake property will evolve.

Mineralization

Exploration of the Iron Lake property area in the mid 1970's identified low grade copper mineralization. Ongoing work by Eastfield has also identified gold - platinum and palladium mineralization (plus minor nickel and semi-massive magnetite) associated with the Iron Lake Complex.

The observed opaque minerals in order of abundance are magnetite, pyrite, hematite, pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite and bornite. The magnetite is most abundant in the core of the intrusive complex, whereas the pyrite is more distal.

Two styles of mineralization are currently the focus of exploration; the first being disseminated sulphides containing copper, gold and platinum group metals similar to the mineralization which has been found as float at (645784E, 5757070N NAD 83) and the second as massive sulphide mineralization discovered 250 metres to the southwest by drilling airborne conductors. Diamond Drill holes 05-IL- 02 & 03 and 06-IL-05 & 06 have intersected massive sulphide mineralization. The disseminated style of mineralization occurs as intergrowths of chalcopyrite and bornite with minor pyrrhotite in a silicate assemblage of interlocking clinopyroxene and lesser olivine. The olivine, which varies between 15-20%, has been partially altered to serpentinite along crystal edges. Approximately 3-4% magnetite is scattered throughout and forms rims around sulphide grains in and around olivine. Observations from this analysis indicate that copper, nickel, gold, palladium and platinum are all positively correlated in the disseminated style of mineralization but not so much so in the massive sulphide style. Cobalt, which is more prevalent in the massive sulphide style of mineralization, is not as correlative in the disseminated style perhaps indicating that the disseminated and massive sulphide styles of mineralization are quite separate. The anomalous magnesium values in the disseminated style of mineralization (olivine-pyroxenite) are interpreted to be indicative of serpentinization of olivine. The incidence of olivine-pyroxenite, which may be diagnostic to the disseminated style of mineralization, can perhaps be inferred in areas of till cover where high magnesium content is indicated in the soil. The following table summarizes the results of analysis of six samples of this disseminated mineralization:

Disseminated Mineralized Rubble Showing Geochemistry (Table 2)

Date	Cert. #	Sample #	Cu ppm	Ni ppm	Co ppm	Au ppb	Pd ppb	Pt ppb	Fe %	Mg %
01-Jun-00	A001668	DICM 10	6417	377	65	571	135	76	5.2	6.5
21-Jun-00	A001740	05-2000	5667	395	78	540	220	67	5.7	6.9
07-Nov-00	A004506	03-11-00-08	5908	377	63	535	197	111	4.8	6.0
04-Sep-01	A102939	I-1	7170	409	72	759	189	120	5.4	6.2
18-Jul-02	A202114	02-05-10	11620	565	90	1011	348	127	6.8	8.2
18-Aug-02	A202652	250576	6257	287	45	642	167	113	4.2	3.9
Average			**7173**	**402**	**69**	**676**	**209**	**102**	**5.3**	**6.3**

Significant Drill Intercepts Showing Geochemistry (Table 3)

Hole #	Description	Cu ppm	Ni ppm	Co ppm	Pd+Pt ppb	Fe %	Mg %
05-I-02	1.4 metres of massive sulphide assaying 0.66% Cu, 0.13% Co, 299 ppm Ni, (75.2-76.6 m).	6,635	299	1,349	33	47.5	0.5
05-I-03	17.0 metres of massive sulphide assaying 0.34% Cu, 0.03% Co, 362 ppm Ni, (32.9- 49.9 m; (≈60% MS interspersed with pyroxenite).	3,427	362	270	24	23.7	1.1
Incl.	1.4 metres of massive sulphide assaying 0.95% Cu, 0.13% Co, 927 ppm Ni (0.09% Ni), (47.8-49.2 m).	9,525	927	1,298	5	55.7	0.1
05-I-04	Elevated Ni to 955 ppm (0.10% Ni) per 2.5 m sample (e.g. 23.0-25.5) within the 12.5 metre interval between 12.9 m and 34.6 m).	67	956	86	12	6.7	12.9
06-I-05	2.3 metres of massive sulphide assaying 0.54% Cu, 0.04% Co, 170 ppm Ni, (73.4- 75.7 m).	5,428	170	366	13	31.8	0.8
06-I-06	2.1 metres of massive sulphide assaying 0.13% Cu, 0.02% Co, 125 ppm Ni, (136.2- 138.4 m).	1,363	125	246	34	9.3	0.8

Hole #	Description	Cu ppm	Ni ppm	Co ppm	Pd+Pt ppb	Fe %	Mg %
06-I-09	9.7 metres disseminated sulphide assaying 0.18% Cu (129.6-139.3 m) (Elevated Bi averaging 22.3 ppm)	1,786	54	45	15	8.2	2.6

Exploration

Airborne Geophysical Surveys

In 2005 the Geological Survey of Canada released multisensor (gamma ray spectrometric and magnetic) airborne geophysical information covering the Eagle (Murphy) Lake area (Open File 5292). Magnetic total field response (Residual Magnetics) shows a very good correlation with the ultramafic intrusive boundaries. The magnetic signature seems to indicate a structure cutting the property into a southern and northern domain with an offset of the southern domain of about 3 kms to the southwest.

In 2004 Fugro Airborne Surveys Corp., on contract to Argent Mining Corp., completed 603 line kilometers of DIGHEM multicoil, multifrequency electromagnetic survey supplemented with a high sensitivity magnetometer survey. The electromagnetic survey identified 405 conductors of which 15 were interpreted to be derived from discrete bedrock sources and one from a conductive bedrock unit with the remaining 389 conductors interpreted to be conductive cover. Two of the 15 discrete conductors were drill tested in 2005. The two tested occur on adjacent lines located approximately 500 metres south of the south-eastern tip of Island Lake. Drill holes 05-IL-2 and IL-05-3 drilled to test these conductors intersected 1.4 and 6.1 metres of massive sulphide mineralization (pyrrhotite dominant). In 2006 holes 06-IL-05 and 06-IL-06 followed up and also intercepted narrower zones of mineralization (06-IL-05 was stopped prematurely while 06-IL-06 was drilled at an incorrect azimuth). The magnetic survey detected a large broad and highly magnetic feature covering an area of more than 5 km^2. The magnetic survey had a dynamic range of 9500 nT across the survey area.

Induced Polarization Surveys

Pickands Mather and Company completed some induced polarization surveying in 1973 and a small area in the southern area of the claims was surveyed in 1972 (Aragon Explorations). In more recent times induced polarization surveys were completed on a portion of the northern region of the claims in 1991 (10.2 line kilometers). Much of the area of the 1991 survey (Canevex Resources Ltd.) is highly responsive with chargeability commonly exceeding 20 mV/v and sometimes exceeding 70 mV/v.

Interpretation of these results is complex due to the large surface extent of the response and the possibility that it may be caused by the high magnetite content.

In 2011 reconnaissance induced polarization and magnetometer surveying was completed along several logging roads on the property (12.7 km). This work was done at the same time as a down hole IP survey was attempted in four hole drilled in 2005 and 2006. All of the 2005 and 2006 holes had caved and it was not possible to get the electrodes lowered into them. The reconnaissance work was however successful in indicating several new anomalies particularly one southeast of Island lake (on Line 4N) and one immediately east of Beverly Lake (on Line 2N).

Electromagnetic Surveys

In 2006 Argent Mining Corp. (then an Eastfield option partner) completed 17 kilometers of UTEM surface electromagnetic survey (S.J Geophysics Ltd.) over an the area flanking, and drilled in 2005 . This survey confirmed the features in this area indicated in the 2004 airborne survey and detected additional weaker features not detected by that survey. Hole 05-IL-04, which intersected olivine-pyroxenite containing weak nickel mineralization (to 956 ppm Ni) was not included within extending to the north and south of, the 2004 airborne electromagnetic target tested by first three diamond drill holes the area of the 2006 UTEM survey.

Geochemical Surveys

The initial soil geochemistry completed in by Pickands Mather and Company in 1974 and Wahl in 1975 was superseded with surveys over much of the same area in 1989 which are considered more relevant because of a much larger suite of elements analyzed for including palladium and platinum. The 1989 survey was wide spaced consisting of 706 samples (100 meter spaced lines with 50 meter spaced samples) and was completed by Canevex Resources Ltd. which indicated that a number of platinum group soil anomalies existed. In 2002 an additional 1.6 kilometre of soil grid was established (16 samples) and in 2003 an additional 10 line kilometers of soil grid was added (216 samples). Palladium and platinum are included in all soil surveys conducted after 1989. The geochemical results gold and copper and platinum and palladium indicated that a number of anomalous areas exist. Anomalous soil values reach 392 ppb palladium, 260 ppb platinum and 449 ppb gold. In 2007 180 additional soil samples and 143 rock samples were obtained in a single sampling routine conducted contemporaneously with a targeted prospecting program. In 2008 478 additional samples were collected analysed and incorporated into the geochemical data base.The property lies in glaciated terrain and the glaciated expression of mineralized bedrock can be masked or transposed. In the Iron Lake area published ice direction maps would suggest that the source of anomalies and float would generally be to the east and north with local variations. The northeast to southwest alignment of the anomalous soil samples supports this interpretation. In 2011 two outcrops were noted with apparent glacial stria trending 270° and 250° respectively.


IRON LAKE PROJECT
640000 mE
650000 mE
5760000 mN
5750000 mN
EJgd
TJqmd
TJp
uTNsvb
uTNsv
Takomkan Batholith
Iron Lk.
Boomerang Lk.
TJd
TNsv
Succour Lake
Christmas Lk.
Roger Lake
Eagle Creek
Canim Lake
Ekcg
092P 155
Creek
Road
Fault
EOCENE
Ekcg Kamloops Gp. Clastic Seds.
EARLY JURASSIC
Ejgd Granodiorite, Monzonite
LATE TRIASSIC & EARLY JURASSIC
Tjqmd Qtz. Monzonite, Granodiorite
Tjd Dirite, Monzonite, Gabbro
Tjp Ultramafic Intrusive
MIDDLE & LATE JURASSIC
uTNsv Nicola Volcaniclastics
uTNsvb Nicola Volcanic Breccia
0 1 2 3 Km
COBRE EXPLORATION CORP.
EASTFIELD RESOURCES LTD.
IRON LAKE PROJECT
CLINTON M.D., B.C.
REGIONAL GEOLOGY
Date July, 2008
UTM NAD 83 Zone 10
Figure 3
Scale As Shown
NTS 092P096

Drilling

Diamond Drill holes have been completed by Pickands Mather and Company in 1974 and by Argent Mining Corp. in 2005 and 2006. Seventeen holes totalling 1,878 metres have been completed. The 1974 drilling was BQ in diameter and sampled in generally 10 foot (3.1 metre increments) while the 2005 and 2006 drilling was NQ and sampled on generally 2 metre increments. A summary is as follows:

Drill Hole Location and Orientation (Table 4)

Hole Name	Azmuth º *(dec. 22.5º)*	Dip º Angle	Depth (metres)	UTM ND83 (east)	UTM ND83 (north)	Elevation (metres)
74-S-1	180	-45	91.3	645596	5757177	1025
74-S-2	360	-50	106.5	645588	5757294	1017
74-S-3	180	-45	60.7	645620	5757520	1003
74-S-4	180	-60	60.7	645950	575524	1017
74-S-5	180	-45	91.3	645924	5757200	1000
74-S-6	180	-60	91.3	646234	5757167	999
74-S-7	180	-45	99.2	645028	5757936	1003
74-S-8	360	-40	91.3	646625	5756050	982
IL05-01	-	-89	114.9	645929	5756874	1018
IL05-02	298	-62	131.7	645490	5756749	1025
IL05-03	298	-62	133.2	645500	5756817	1025
IL06-04	300	-62	125.0	646272	5756952	1000
Hole Name	Azmuth º *(dec. 22.5º)*	Dip º Angle	Depth (metres)	UTM ND83 (east)	UTM ND83 (north)	Elevation (metres)

IL06-05	309	-60	90.5	645463	5756642	1010
IL06-06	15	-60	151.5	645478	5756569	1005
IL06-07	129	-60	145.4	645496	5757278	1032
IL06-08	313	-62	147.8	645930	5757555	1018
IL06-09	298	-50	145.4	645895	5757507	1010

Summary of Exploration Completed in 2011

In 2011 rock sampling was completed on three distinct periods. A total of 77 rock samples were collected and analyzed. A program of reconnaissance induced polarization (12.7 km) and magnetometer surveying was initiated in November with traverses completed along five roads in the central region of the property. Coincident with the reconnaissance IP and magnetometer surveying down hole induced polarization was attempted on four holes completed in 2005 and 2006. Despite digging the holes out with an excavator to get past surface blockages none of the holes proved to be sufficiently open to get the electrodes down them. The reconnaissance surveys were nevertheless quite successful with several previously unknown geophysical targets identified.

2011 Cost Statement

Professional Fees	T. Ambrose, Geologist @ $535, 14 days	$7,490.00	June 28, July 1-15, 2011
Professional Fees	J.W. Morton, P. Geo, 6 days @ $680	$4,080.00	June 30, July, 4, 2011, Sept 19 & 21, Oct 2&3, 2011
Field Personnel	Courtney Dean, 11 days @ $310	$3,410.00	July 5-15, 2011
Field	J.P Charbonneau, 10 days	$4,200.00	Nov 12-21, 2011

Personnel	@ $420		
Field Personnel	F. Larocque, 10 days @ $420	$4,200.00	Nov 12-21, 2011
Field Personnel	J. Perreault, 10 days @ $420	$4,200.00	Nov 12-21, 2011

Total Personnel	**$27,580.00**
Truck Rental, Enterprise,	$1,596.84
Truck Rental, Morton, 2days, @ $80 day,	$160.00
Truck Rental Perrault, 2 days @ $80 day,	$160.00
Truck Rental, Val Geo Tech, 10 days @ $80 day,	$800.00
Vehicle Expense	$14.24
ATV Rental, 3 units each for 10 days	$1,800.00
Excavator Charges,	$1,595.00
GPS Rental, Larocque, 7 days @ $5 day,	$35.00
Consumables and Field Equipment,	$695.24
Freight/Storage,	$174.15
Travel Expenses,	$1,000.16
Analytical Costs, 77 samples @ $29.38	$2,262.61
Phone,	$4.16
Food,	$816.13
Accommodation	$1,548.00
Subcontractor, GIS	$318.75
Subcontractor, Geophysical	$26,325.59

Subtotal	**$66,885.87**
HST,	$3,453.60
Grand Total	**$70,339.47**

Interpretation and Conclusions

Of all the activities completed in 20111 the reconnaissance induced polarization and magnetometer surveying appears to be the most successful. A number of new anomalies are apparent in this work. Two of these stand out. On Line 2N a new and significant chargeability anomaly (probably open ended to the east) exists from 4150E to 4850E. On Line 4N a new and significant chargeability and total field magnetic anomaly exists from200E to 400E.

Recommendations

Complete a drill program from the sites that have now been prepared. The following briefly describes the rationale for each hole. Several additional sites are available depending on the results of these five holes.

Site A: this hole is designed to test the conductor indicated on a UTEM survey at this location. Hole IL-06-05 drilled near this site in 2006 was lost prematurely when the drill rods were broken off and IL-06-06 was inadvertently drilled at 90° to the conductor axis owing to driller error, never-the less intersecting a narrow zone of massive sulphide mineralization.

Site B: this hole is designed to test the massive sulphide intersection obtained in hole 05-IL-03 down dip and test for possible zoning into a less pyrrhotite dominant assemblage. This hole is to be collared from 50 to 100 metres behind hole 05-IL-03 which intersected 6.3 metres of massive sulphide mineralization within a 17 metre interval of sulphide mixed with pyroxenite (approximately 60% sulphide to 40% pyroxenite).

Site C: this hole is designed to test a strong magnetic and chargeable anomaly identified in the 2011 geophysical program.

Site D: this hole is designed to test a very strong airborne total magnetic anomaly near to a narrow interval of enhanced nickel intersected in hole 05-IL-04 (0.10% Ni over a 2.5 metre interval), perhaps indicative of nickel mineralization in the feature responsible for the magnetic anomaly.

Site E: this hole is designed to test one of the strongest airborne electromagnetic anomalies detected in the 2004 airborne (DigHem) survey. This anomaly is also coincident with one of the strongest total field airborne magnetic anomalies of the same survey.

Author Qualifications

I, J.W. Morton am a graduate of Carleton University Ottawa with a B.Sc. (1972) in Geology and a graduate of the University of British Columbia with a M. Sc. (1976) in Graduate Studies.

I, J.W Morton have been a member of the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of the Province of BC (P.Geo.) since 1991.

I, J.W. Morton have practiced my profession since graduation throughout Western Canada, the Western USA and Mexico.

I, J.W Morton supervised the work outlined in this report.

Signed this 8th t day of December, 2011

J.W. (Bill) Morton

References

AEROMAGNETIC SERIES. 1968. Canim Lake, British Columbia. Airborne magnetic survey map, scale 1:63,360. Geophysics Paper 5231, Governments of Canada and British Columbia.

BUSKAS, A.J., 1989. Geochemical Sampling Core Logging and Sampling and Geological Mapping of the Canim 1 to 4 and Horse Claims for Cepeda Minerals Inc and Canavex Resources Ltd.

CAMPBELL, R.B. and H.W. TIPPER, 1971. Geology of Bonaparte Lake Map Area, British Columbia. Geological survey of Canada, Memoir 363.

DEWONCK, B, Sept. 2003, Assessment Report on the Iron lake property for Argent Resources Ltd.

DURFELD, R.M. 1985. Report on the Ironhorse Property, Clinton Mining Division, B.C. Private report prepared for Reliant Resources Limited and Mr. Colin Campbell.

GARRLE, D, Sept, 2004, Fugro Airborne Surveys Corp, Dighem Survey for Argent Resources Ltd., Iron Lake Property.

KULLA, GREG et al, Sept 25, 2007, Hard Creek Nickel Corporation, Turnagain Nickel Project, British Columbia, Preliminary Assessment, NI 43-101 , filed on SEDAR by Hard Creek Nickel Corporation.

LEONARD, M.A. 1973. Exploration Report, Sheri Claims (92P/15W). Assessment Report #4734, British Columbia Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources.

LUNDIN MINING CORPORATION, June 5, 2008, web page, www.lundinmining.com.

MORTON, JW. 1984, Report on Electromagnetic Survey, Ironhorse Claim. Assessment Report #11088, British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources.

MORTON, JW. 1986. Report of Lithogeochemical Analyses of Drill Core. Assessment Report, British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources.

MORTON, J.W. 1988. Reconnaissance Soil Geochemical Survey, Horse Claim. Assessment Report, British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources.

MORTON, J.W. 2001. Report on the Iron Lake Property, Clinton Mining Division BC, Assessment Report, British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources.

MORTON, J.W., 2006, Report on Diamond Drilling (2005 Program) on the Iron Lake Property, Clinton Mining Division, BC.

MORTON, J.W., 2007, Report on Diamond Drilling (2006 Program) on the Iron Lake Property, Clinton Mining Division, BC.

MORTON, J.W. May, 2008, Report on Targeted Geochemical Sampling on the Iron Lake Property, Clinton Mining Division.

MORTON, J.W. March, 2009, Soil Sampling on the Iron Lake Property, Clinton Mining Division.

MORTON, J.W. Feb 2010, Excavator Trenching on the Iron Lake property, Clinton Mining Division.

MORTON, J.W. March 2011, 2010 Assessment Report on the Iron Lake Property, Clinton Mining Division, prepared for Calico Resources Corp.

NIELSEN, P.P., and GUTRATH, G.C, December, 1972, Geophysical Report of Induced Polarization and Magnetometer Surveys on the Sun, Bet, Beer Mineral Canim Lake area, Clinton Mining Division for Aragon Exploration Ltd.

NORTH AMERICAN PALLADIUM LTD., April 28, 2008, Annual Report.

PEZZOT, Trent, 2004, SJ Geophysics Ltd, Private memorandum on the Iron Lake Property, Airborne Geophysical Survey.

PRITCHARD, H.M, and FISHER, P.C., 2004, the Aquablanca Ni-Cu-PGE Deposit, Southwestern Iberia: Magmatic Ore-Forming Processes and Retrograde Evolution, The Canadian Mineralogist, Vol. 42, pp. 325-350.

WAHL, H.J. 1974. Exploration Report, Sheri Claims. Private Report for PICKANDS Mather & Co., Vancouver.

WAHL, H.J. 1975. Sheri Claims: Report of Prospecting, Geological, and Geochemical Exploration. Assessment Report #6122, British Columbia Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources.

WILSON, G.A., 1974. Petrographic Report 74-3. Private Report for Pickands Mather & Co., Vancouver.

Appendix

Sample #	Easting utm	Northing utm	Elev m	Occurrence	Lithology	Description	Cu ppm	Au ppb	Pt ppb	Pd ppb
IL11AR-001	645936	5757846	1059	outcrop	ultramafic	greenish-black magnetic ultramafic intrusive, mag grains up to 1cm, coarse kspar veins (with minor qtz) with ultramafic clasts up to 10cm	87	2	4	<2
IL11AR-002	646641	5757985	1161	outcrop	ultramafic	coarse grained greenish black magnetic ultramafic	71	<2	6	<2
IL11AR-003	646641	5757985	1161	outcrop	andesite	plag-hb phyric, weakly magnetic, 1ft vertical dyke, trending 120, 8% plag phenos to a few mm, 4% hb phenos to 1cm	188	3	10	16
IL11AR-004	644571	5760112	1275	outcrop	mafic intrusive	intrusive breccia intrusive and chert clasts up to 10cm, rare rounded dunnite clasts, fg green matrix, non mag, iridescent blue alteration on some surfaces, qtz veins up to few mm thick	200	3	6	13
IL11AR-005	645064	5760745	1281	outcrop	mafic intrusive	fg, 5%olv, 45%plag, 50% black min (pyroxene?), equigranular sub mm xtals, qtz veins up to 5cm thick, black/white foliated veins up to 30 cm thick.	41	<2	4	<2
IL11AR-006	645101	5760827	1289	outcrop	diorite	30% qtz, 20% plag, 50% pyroxene, rare finely diss cp and bn, looks fresh, qtz veins up to a few cm thick, trace smoky qtz	41	2	<3	<2
IL11AR-007	643161	5758657	1052	outcrop	Mafic intrusive	1-5mm xstals, 60% hb, 20% olv, 10%bio, 10% mag, strongly magnetic, qtz veins to a few cm ,	136	4	5	8
IL11AR-008	643147	5758630	1039	outcrop	hornblendite	vein in same litho as 007, interstitial plag, non mag, 10cm thick, xstals to 5cm	48	4	<3	<2
IL11AR-009	643156	5758601	1027	outcrop	diorite	50% plag, 50%hb, trace finely diss sulphides (py, bn, cp?), moderately mag, contains xenos of hb-plag pegmatite, at contact with mafic	96	6	9	9

						intrusive (to east), pegmatite ranges from 90%hb to 40% with other portion plag				
Sample #	**Easting utm**	**Northing utm**	**Elev m**	**Occurrence**	**Lithology**	**Description**	**Cu ppm**	**Au ppb**	**Pt ppb**	**Pd ppb**
IL11AR-010	643828	5758427	1062	outcrop	hornblendite	black fine-med grain (xstals to 5mm) up to a few % plag, trace radiating prismatic vitreous green xstals (arfvedsonite?) up to a few cm, xenos of megacrystic feldspar-ite	99	<2	<3	<2
IL11AR-011	644287	5757945	1009	outcrop	hornblendite	xtals to 3cm, 5% plag, trace py, up to 15% olv xtals up to 2cm, iridescent blue alteration on some weathered surfaces, rare cp/bn, moderately mag, up to 5%qtz	55	<2	3	<2
IL11AR-012	644547	5758236	1053	outcrop	mafic intrusive	75% hb, 25% plag, xstals from 1-4cm depending on location of outcrop but equigranular at each location, moderately magnetic	14	<2	4	<2
IL11AR-013	643644	5757099	1019	outcrop	mafic intrusive	85% hb (+pyrox?), 5%olv, 7% plag, 3%qtz, 5% magnetite, 5mm equigranular, moderately magnetic	73	<2	9	10
IL11AR-014	643252	5757396	1031	outcrop	mafic intrusive	10%olv, 15% plag, 75% hb, few mm equigranular, weakly-moderately magnetic	55	2	4	4
IL11AR-015	643390	5757095	1006	outcrop	granite	40% qtz, 25% plag, 30% hb, 15 % bio	68	<2	6	<2
IL11AR-016	645337	5753786	1026	outcrop	mafic intrusive	fg, epidote veins up to 5mm, rare finely diss sulphides, few % olv, 10% plag, 90% vitreous hb/pyrox?, weakly mag, partially altered green	26	<2	3	<2
IL11AR-017	646910	5756416	1028	float	mafic intrusive	Two 1m angular boulders	69	<2	<3	<2
IL11AR-018	645880	5756861	1034	outcrop	hornblendite	med to coarse grain, 90-100% hb, 0-55 plag, 0-10% olv, ?% magnetite (fg), moderately to strongly magnetic	15	<2	<3	<2

Sample #	Easting utm	Northing utm	Elev m	Occurrence	Lithology	Description	Cu ppm	Au ppb	Pt ppb	Pd ppb
IL11AR-019	646265	5756660	1009	subcrop	hornblendite	med grain, 1-2dm plag veins with rare cp, plag veins have hb up to 2cm, strongly magnetic, partially altered to chlorite	89	<2	10	10
IL11AR-020	649772	5756918	1030	float	andesite	sub angular 1m rubble on spur road, silicified greenstone, trace finely diss py	23	<2	<3	<2
IL11AR-021	646040	5759509	1337	outcrop	mafic intrusive	sericitic, 10% plag, 30% hb (pyrox?), 55% magnetite, 5% qtz, chlorite/epidote, mm xstals, strongly magnetic	71	4	7	10
IL11AR-022	646096	5759407	1341	outcrop	magnetite-ite	few % plag, v strongly magnetic, greenish fresh/weathered, grains 1-5mm, 40-90% magnetite, 40-90% plag	77	<2	10	11
IL11AR-023	646071	5759280	1353	outcrop	mafic intrusive	1-5mm, greenish black, 5% plag, 65% hb, 30% magnetite, trace qtz, olv?	175	5	5	11
IL11AR-024	645828	5759280	1295	outcrop	mafic intrusive	fg (1/2mm), 10-20% plag, 70% hb (pyrox), 5-10% magnetite, finely-moderately diss Py/Po, greasy/metallic blueish silver hard mineral	126	<2	4	10
IL11AR-025	645487	5759188	1246	outcrop	hornblendite	fg-coarse grain, 0-15% plag, black to greenish gray, blueish silver strongly mag massive mineral up to few %	18	<2	<3	<2
IL11AR-026	645044	5759008	1231	outcrop	mafic intrusive	strongly mag, greasy hard silver blue very mag mineral, med grain up to 1cm, magnetite veins w/ up to 10% plag	28	<2	<3	<2
IL11AR-027	644973	5758969	1202	outcrop	mafic intrusive	fine grain, greenish dark gray, hornblendite?, up to 10% greasy silver blue magnetic mineral, partially epidotized	15	<2	<3	<2
S11AR-001	651317	5753810	1048	subcrop	andesite	med gray bluish fg gabbro, rust weathered, 5% py (stringers and disseminated), exposed in small rd cut, non mag	7	<2	4	<2

Sample #	**Easting utm**	**Northing utm**	**Elev m**	**Occurrence**	**Lithology**	**Description**	**Cu ppm**	**Au ppb**	**Pt ppb**	**Pd ppb**
S11AR-002	651339	5753830	1045	subcrop	andesite	hb phyric andesite porphry, 20% hb up to 1cm long, med gray greenish matrix	25	<2	6	<2
S11AR-003	651339	5753827	1042	subcrop	wacke?	gabbro?, finely diss copper metallics, dark gray, med grain	61	2	10	16
S11AR-004	651341	5753827	1040	subcrop	gabbro	dark gray fg matrix, prismatic rectangular and round white minerals	9	<2	6	13
S11AR-005	650011	5753860	1028	outcrop	wacke	dark gray, finely disseminated trace po, fg-mg	35	<2	4	<2
S11AR-006	650136	5754074	1061	outcrop	gabbro?	large outcrop of hornfelsed gabbro (or wacke?), trace diss Po/pentlandite, dark gray fg-mg, trace thin wispy green veinlets	57	4	<3	<2
S11AR-007	650189	5754224	1088	outcrop	gabbro	fg-mg dark gray, milky qtz veins up to a few mm thick, rare finely diss py	2	<2	5	8
S11AR-008	650323	5754496	1097	outcrop	wacke?	wacke/andesite?, dark gray fg matrix, 10% mm scale angular feldspar grains, finely diss trace py and po, limey in sections, light gray to rusty weathered	18	<2	<3	<2
S11AR-009	650321	5754542	1083	outcrop	wacke?	fg wacke/andesite?, dark gray, rusty to med gray weathered, trace sulphides (py, po, asp?), milky qtz veinlets up to a few mm (up to 20% of rock)	175	4	9	9
S11AR-010	650346	5754519	1069	outcrop	wacke?	med gray weathered dark gray wacke/gabbro?, rare euhedral py,	15	<2	<3	<2
S11AR-011	650363	5754481	1088	outcrop	wacke?	dark gray gabbro/wacke?, weathers med gray, vf diss py	100	<2	3	<2
S11AR-012	650657	5754331	1032	subcrop	andesite	silicified med gray, fg, finely diss trace sulphides py and po	16	<2	4	<2

Sample #	Easting utm	Northing utm	Elev m	Occurrence	Lithology	Description	Cu ppm	Au ppb	Pt ppb	Pd ppb
S11AR-013	650682	5754325	1032	outcrop	gabbro	fg med gray, trace finely diss sulfides py and po, mm thick qtz veins up to 5%, 5m upslope have hb porphyry (float?)	14	<2	9	10
S11AR-014	650685	5754298	1049	subcrop	gabbro	fg med gray, trace vf diss py, calcite coated shear surfaces	5	3	4	4
S11AR-015	650431	5754352	1068	outcrop	andesite	med gray, silicified, finely diss sulphides, aphanitic to hb phyric	3	4	6	<2
S11AR-016	650977	5754852	1075	subcrop	andesite	med grain dark gray , mm scale white feldspar phenos, finely diss py up to 1mm	128	<2	3	<2
S11AR-017	651035	5754888	1093	outcrop	andesite	silicified, med gray, rusty weathered, few % vf diss sulphides, up to 10% qtz veins	1	<2	<3	<2
S11AR-018	651081	5754903	1107	outcrop	andesite	silicified, trace f. diss sulphides (py, pentlandite?), rusty weathered, med gray fresh, qtz veins up to a few mm thick, sulphides in dark 1/2 mm veinlets	32	<2	<3	<2
S11AR-019	650598	5754649	1050	outcrop	andesite	med gray, fg, trace vf diss sulphides, med gray, weathers rusty	23	<2	10	10
S11AR-020	650606	5754432	1041	outcrop	?	fg gabbro/wacke?, med gray, trace finely diss sulphides, rust weathered, minor qtz veins	24	3	<3	<2
S11AR-021	650622	5754136	1062	outcrop	gabbro	fg, med gray/greenish, finely diss trace py, weathers gray/rusty,	56	9	7	10
S11AR-022	650628	5754097	1070	outcrop	andesite	silicified med gray, weathers rusty/gray, finely disseminated/stringers of sulphides	11	<2	10	11
S11AR-023	651352	5753868	1047	float	gabbro?	5x 10-30cm angular boulders, dark gray fg matrix, prismatic rectangular and round white minerals, diss and stringers of asp/py, some sulphides tarnished to iridescent purple, total sulphides ~3%, weakly mag brassy sulphides, trace cp, qtz veined surfaces, mylonitic surfaces,	7	<2	5	11

Sample #	Easting utm	Northing utm	Elev m	Occurrence	Lithology	Description	Cu ppm	Au ppb	Pt ppb	Pd ppb
S11AR-024	651278	5753888	1075	outcrop	wacke	med grain wacke, med gray-green, trace vf diss sulphides (py, asp?, po?), rare dark gray chert nodules with finely diss/veinlets of sulphides (asp?)	24	<2	4	10
S11AR-025	651256	5753924	1068	outcrop	wacke?	med grain wacke/gabbro?, med gray-green, finely diss trace silver sulphides (py, po?, asp?),rusty weathered	28	11	<3	<2
S11AR-026	651286	5753935	1063	outcrop	wacke	med grain wacke, med gray-green, rusty weathered, up to ~3% finely diss silver sulphides, chert nodules	10	<2	<3	<2
S11AR-027	651388	5753884	1053	outcrop	wacke?	med gray gabbro/wacke?, finely-moderately diss sulphides (py, po?), weakly magnetic	10	<2	<3	<2
1-13-10	646297	5756946	986.0	outcrop	pyroxenite	may have olivine, glacial striations at 270°	15.4	<2	6	13
2-13-10	646516	5756859	1000.9	regolith	Probably shattered pyroxenite	sand sized grains of green mineral which looks like epidote but which could be olivine, edge of swamp.	4.6	<2	9	3
3-13-10	646420	5756944	1010.5	Large angular boulder	Dark gabbro to diorite to hornblendite	Gossanous, contains cpy and py, Used to block road	163	<2	<3	4
4-13-10	646440	5756976	1011.2	Large angular boulder	Dark mafic	Gossanous, contains cpy and py	56.9	<2	18	2
5-13-10	645850	5756996	1028.5	Large angular boulder	pyroxenite	Pale green, magnetite rich	12.2	<2	26	15
6-13-10	645867	5756986	1017.0	Large angular boulder	pyroxenite	Pale green, magnetite rich, contains some sulphide	594.3	<2	6	6
7-13-10	645822	5756959	1026.6	Large angular boulder	hornblendite/pyroxenite	Gossanous and biotite altered	418.8	4	11	27
8-13-10	645788	5756945	1028.7	outcrop	hornblendite	outcrop	41.3	<2	13	22
9-13-10	645828	5756967	1020.3	rubble	pyroxenite	Green/black slightly vitreous, minor cpy possible trace bornite	11.2	4	7	3
10-13-10	645494	5757275	1029.7	slab (several tonnes)	hornblendite/pyroxenite	Green and magnetite rich	3.8	<2	31	6

Sample #	Easting utm	Northing utm	Elev m	Occurrence	Lithology	Description	Cu ppm	Au ppb	Pt ppb	Pd ppb
1-31-10	643169	5758598	1023.9	outcrop	olivine? pyroxenite?	very magnetic	5	<2	35	<2
2-31-10	643163	5758597	1023.0	outcrop	as above but more felsic		233	7	3	5
3-31-10	643181	5758603	1028.7	outcrop	as above, pegmatitic phase		83	10	13	35
4-31-10	643180	5758615	1031.9	outcrop	ultramafic	cut by cm scale magnetite veins, Fe 29.78%, Ni 192 ppm	6	<2	32	39
5-31-10	643049	5758599	1009.3	outcrop	ultramafic/basalt	Fine grained green coloured, very hard	110	3	11	6
6-31-10	643229	5758830	1055.2	outcrop	ultramafic	Dark coloured	1	162	14	2
7-31-10	643272	5758737	1048.7	outcrop	ultramafic	Dark green coloured	<1	<2	21	<2
8-31-10	643202	5758625	1027.3	outcrop	ultramafic		10	<2	11	8



LEGEND

▲ Rock sample number

0 200 400 600 1000

metres

Eastfield Resources Ltd

Iron Lake Property

Rock Sample Location Map

Scale:	1:10,000	UTM	NAD83 zone 10	Fig
Date:	Dec 6, 2011	TRIM	092P096	1
By:	MJD	NTS	92P/15	



LEGEND

Rock sample

Cu (ppm) Au (ppm)

0 200 400 600 1000

metres

Eastfield Resources Ltd

Iron Lake Property

Rock Sample
Cu and Au Geochemistry

Scale:	1:10,000	UTM	NAD83 zone 10	Fig
Date:	Dec 6, 2011	TRIM	092P096	2
By:	MJD	NTS	92P/15	



LEGEND

Rock sample
Pt (ppb) Pd (ppb)

0 200 400 600 1000
metres

Eastfield Resources Ltd

Iron Lake Property

Rock Sample
Pt and Pd Geochemistry

Scale:	1:10,000	UTM	NAD83 zone 10	Fig
Date:	Dec 6, 2011	TRIM	092P096	3
By:	MJD	NTS	92P/15	

Acme Analytical Laboratories (Vancouver) Ltd.
1020 Cordova St. East Vancouver BC V6A 4A3 Canada

www.acmelab.com

Client: **Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.**
110 - 325 Howe St.
Vancouver BC V6C 1Z7 Canada

Submitted By: Bill Morton
Receiving Lab: Canada-Vancouver
Received: July 15, 2011
Report Date: August 08, 2011

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VAN11003218.1

CLIENT JOB INFORMATION

Project: Iron Lake
Shipment ID:
P.O. Number
Number of Samples: 54

SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Method Code	Number of Samples	Code Description	Test Wgt (g)	Report Status	Lab
R200-250	54	Crush, split and pulverize 250 g rock to 200 mesh			VAN
GEO4	54	FA fusion Au Pt Pd; 1:1:1 AR digestion ICP-ES analysis	30	Completed	VAN

SAMPLE DISPOSAL

STOR-PLP	Store After 90 days Invoice for Storage
DISP-RJT	Dispose of Reject After 90 days

Acme does not accept responsibility for samples left at the laboratory after 90 days without prior written instructions for sample storage or return.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Invoice To: Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.
110 - 325 Howe St.
Vancouver BC V6C 1Z7
Canada

CC:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CERTIFIED ASSAYER
CLARENCE LEONG
GENERAL MANAGER

This report supersedes all previous preliminary and final reports with this file number dated prior to the date on this certificate. Signature indicates final approval; preliminary reports are unsigned and should be used for reference only.
All results are considered the confidential property of the client. Acme assumes the liabilities for actual cost of analysis only. Results apply to samples as submitted.
"*" asterisk indicates that an analytical result could not be provided due to unusually high levels of interference from other elements.

ACME ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES LTD. Final Report
Client: Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.
File Created: 08-Aug-11
Job Number VAN11003218
Number of S 54
Project: Iron Lake
Shipment ID:
P.O. Number:
Received: 15-Jul-11

	Method	WGHT	3B	3B	3B	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D
	Analyte	Wgt	Au	Pt	Pd	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co
	Unit	KG	PPB	PPB	PPB	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM
	MDL	0.01	2	3	2	1	1	3	1	0.3	1	1
Sample	Type											
S11AR-001	Rock	2.94	2	4	<2	2	87	<3	44	<0.3	8	9
S11AR-002	Rock	2.5	<2	6	<2	<1	71	<3	80	<0.3	14	18
S11AR-003	Rock	2.79	3	10	16	1	188	21	66	<0.3	184	31
S11AR-004	Rock	3.45	3	6	13	1	200	<3	55	<0.3	74	20
S11AR-005	Rock	3.02	<2	4	<2	<1	41	<3	45	<0.3	14	14
S11AR-006	Rock	3.24	2	<3	<2	<1	41	<3	48	<0.3	6	10
S11AR-007	Rock	2.8	4	5	8	<1	136	<3	43	<0.3	14	15
S11AR-008	Rock	2.87	4	<3	<2	<1	48	5	60	<0.3	13	13
S11AR-009	Rock	3.76	6	9	9	<1	96	<3	48	<0.3	31	18
S11AR-010	Rock	3.84	<2	<3	<2	<1	99	<3	59	<0.3	16	19
S11AR-011	Rock	4.98	<2	3	<2	<1	55	3	60	<0.3	13	16
S11AR-012	Rock	2.98	<2	4	<2	2	14	<3	75	<0.3	12	7
S11AR-013	Rock	4.54	<2	9	10	<1	73	9	137	<0.3	29	20
S11AR-014	Rock	3.14	2	4	4	<1	55	3	47	<0.3	18	16
S11AR-015	Rock	4.25	<2	6	<2	<1	68	4	72	<0.3	30	17
S11AR-016	Rock	3.95	<2	3	<2	<1	26	<3	23	<0.3	6	9
S11AR-017	Rock	4.06	<2	<3	<2	11	69	<3	30	<0.3	12	10
S11AR-018	Rock	3.2	<2	<3	<2	2	15	<3	36	<0.3	4	4
S11AR-019	Rock	2.42	<2	10	10	<1	89	<3	45	<0.3	25	16
S11AR-020	Rock	3.82	<2	<3	<2	<1	23	<3	13	<0.3	3	2
S11AR-021	Rock	4.28	4	7	10	<1	71	<3	48	<0.3	19	13
S11AR-022	Rock	3.49	<2	10	11	<1	77	<3	51	<0.3	28	21

	Method	WGHT	3B	3B	3B	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D
	Analyte	Wgt	Au	Pt	Pd	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co
	Unit	KG	PPB	PPB	PPB	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM
S11AR-023	Rock	4.51	5	5	11	1	175	<3	43	<0.3	60	19
S11AR-024	Rock	3.34	<2	4	10	1	126	<3	65	<0.3	67	23
S11AR-025	Rock	3.45	<2	<3	<2	<1	18	<3	42	<0.3	7	11
S11AR-026	Rock	3.91	<2	<3	<2	3	28	<3	49	<0.3	8	16
S11AR-027	Rock	3.56	<2	<3	<2	<1	15	<3	60	<0.3	4	7
IL11AR-001	Rock	4.69	<2	11	17	<1	7	<3	41	<0.3	34	28
IL11AR-002	Rock	3.43	<2	4	14	<1	25	<3	28	<0.3	36	29
IL11AR-003	Rock	3.8	2	4	2	<1	61	<3	23	<0.3	6	7
IL11AR-004	Rock	3.15	<2	<3	<2	<1	9	<3	23	<0.3	13	6
IL11AR-005	Rock	4.42	<2	4	9	<1	35	<3	28	<0.3	26	16
IL11AR-006	Rock	3.56	4	<3	2	<1	57	<3	57	<0.3	3	7
IL11AR-007	Rock	5.65	<2	19	3	<1	2	<3	28	<0.3	63	34
IL11AR-008	Rock	6.79	<2	7	14	<1	18	<3	32	<0.3	31	23
IL11AR-009	Rock	4.53	4	<3	<2	<1	175	<3	46	<0.3	23	26
IL11AR-010	Rock	6.09	<2	6	4	<1	15	<3	50	<0.3	42	26
IL11AR-011	Rock	5.16	<2	45	89	<1	100	<3	44	<0.3	26	34
IL11AR-012	Rock	6.24	<2	10	9	<1	16	<3	41	<0.3	18	30
IL11AR-013	Rock	3.96	<2	138	13	<1	14	<3	38	<0.3	19	22
IL11AR-014	Rock	4.57	3	13	4	<1	5	<3	37	<0.3	27	19
IL11AR-015	Rock	3.77	4	<3	<2	<1	3	<3	32	<0.3	4	6
IL11AR-016	Rock	4.94	<2	6	10	<1	128	<3	30	<0.3	27	15
IL11AR-017	Rock	4.96	<2	6	<2	<1	1	<3	33	<0.3	23	15
IL11AR-018	Rock	4.9	<2	25	10	<1	32	<3	44	<0.3	43	32
IL11AR-019	Rock	4.09	<2	63	69	<1	23	<3	49	<0.3	37	36
IL11AR-020	Rock	4.2	3	4	<2	<1	24	<3	37	<0.3	11	34
IL11AR-021	Rock	5.7	9	11	36	<1	56	<3	30	<0.3	30	30
IL11AR-022	Rock	5.72	<2	5	<2	<1	11	<3	17	<0.3	51	34
IL11AR-023	Rock	4.93	<2	3	6	<1	7	<3	18	<0.3	47	34
IL11AR-024	Rock	7.01	<2	3	11	<1	24	<3	26	<0.3	34	39
IL11AR-025	Rock	4.69	11	8	32	<1	28	<3	35	<0.3	26	37
IL11AR-026	Rock	4.47	<2	<3	<2	<1	10	<3	18	<0.3	51	44
IL11AR-027	Rock	5.62	<2	<3	<2	<1	10	5	32	<0.3	40	34

	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D
	Mn	Fe	As	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P
	PPM	%	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	%	%
	2	0.01	2	2	2	1	0.5	3	3	1	0.01	0.001
Sample												
S11AR-001	611	5.36	3	<2	<2	45	<0.5	<3	<3	83	1.16	0.066
S11AR-002	955	4.09	8	<2	<2	297	<0.5	<3	<3	111	1.99	0.14
S11AR-003	624	4.12	3	<2	<2	69	<0.5	<3	<3	112	1.2	0.13
S11AR-004	529	4.35	<2	<2	<2	97	<0.5	<3	<3	170	1.46	0.17
S11AR-005	473	3.73	4	<2	<2	70	<0.5	<3	<3	119	1.74	0.089
S11AR-006	382	3.29	3	<2	<2	59	<0.5	<3	<3	122	1.22	0.113
S11AR-007	474	3.81	<2	<2	<2	93	<0.5	<3	<3	168	1.88	0.213
S11AR-008	708	4.22	16	<2	<2	62	<0.5	<3	<3	163	1.99	0.118
S11AR-009	532	3.32	8	<2	<2	38	<0.5	<3	<3	119	1.7	0.124
S11AR-010	714	3.84	11	<2	<2	68	<0.5	<3	<3	139	1.56	0.077
S11AR-011	580	3.77	3	<2	<2	76	<0.5	<3	<3	152	1.6	0.099
S11AR-012	513	2.45	4	<2	<2	65	<0.5	<3	<3	61	2.63	0.068
S11AR-013	725	3.34	13	<2	<2	71	<0.5	<3	<3	106	2.12	0.153
S11AR-014	877	3.95	15	<2	2	58	<0.5	<3	<3	134	2.75	0.112
S11AR-015	782	4.28	8	<2	<2	87	<0.5	<3	<3	149	2.08	0.117
S11AR-016	325	2.88	5	<2	<2	43	<0.5	<3	<3	76	1.73	0.07
S11AR-017	278	2.45	2	<2	<2	35	<0.5	<3	<3	47	2.76	0.05
S11AR-018	381	1.89	<2	<2	<2	91	<0.5	<3	<3	28	2.56	0.04
S11AR-019	543	3.55	2	<2	<2	29	<0.5	<3	<3	105	1.19	0.062
S11AR-020	217	1.34	2	<2	<2	51	<0.5	<3	<3	43	1.59	0.056
S11AR-021	634	3.49	10	<2	<2	112	<0.5	<3	4	111	1.44	0.128
S11AR-022	824	3.74	15	<2	<2	64	<0.5	<3	<3	137	1.75	0.129

	1D Mn PPM	1D Fe %	1D As PPM	1D Au PPM	1D Th PPM	1D Sr PPM	1D Cd PPM	1D Sb PPM	1D Bi PPM	1D V PPM	1D Ca %	1D P %
S11AR-023	666	4.29	<2	<2	<2	49	<0.5	<3	<3	148	1.3	0.18
S11AR-024	617	3.99	2	<2	<2	99	<0.5	<3	<3	107	1.33	0.089
S11AR-025	650	4.16	2	<2	<2	28	<0.5	<3	3	89	1.26	0.06
S11AR-026	617	4.17	3	<2	<2	54	<0.5	<3	<3	83	1.49	0.052
S11AR-027	472	4.14	<2	<2	<2	59	<0.5	<3	<3	81	0.96	0.059
IL11AR-001	298	8.78	<2	<2	<2	46	<0.5	<3	<3	560	0.94	0.015
IL11AR-002	341	9.32	<2	<2	<2	30	<0.5	<3	<3	379	0.93	0.037
IL11AR-003	289	2.36	<2	<2	<2	62	<0.5	<3	<3	96	1.6	0.119
IL11AR-004	356	1.12	<2	<2	<2	34	<0.5	<3	<3	39	0.92	0.056
IL11AR-005	320	4.86	<2	<2	<2	77	<0.5	<3	<3	222	1.35	0.068
IL11AR-006	508	3.72	<2	<2	<2	40	<0.5	<3	<3	131	1.19	0.203
IL11AR-007	409	8.14	<2	<2	<2	40	<0.5	<3	<3	348	1.04	0.015
IL11AR-008	429	3.25	2	3	<2	132	<0.5	<3	<3	143	1.81	0.149
IL11AR-009	397	4.32	5	<2	<2	243	<0.5	<3	<3	148	1.71	0.27
IL11AR-010	721	5.71	<2	<2	<2	100	<0.5	<3	<3	251	1.85	0.103
IL11AR-011	473	8.68	6	<2	<2	64	<0.5	<3	<3	485	1.32	0.043
IL11AR-012	464	5.47	<2	<2	<2	131	<0.5	<3	<3	276	1.89	0.395
IL11AR-013	505	6.64	<2	<2	<2	68	<0.5	<3	<3	350	2.02	0.2
IL11AR-014	656	4.85	2	<2	<2	89	<0.5	<3	<3	222	2.26	0.218
IL11AR-015	419	2.01	3	<2	3	40	<0.5	<3	<3	59	0.83	0.064
IL11AR-016	373	2.49	<2	3	<2	96	<0.5	<3	<3	81	1.75	0.134
IL11AR-017	334	3.78	3	3	<2	40	<0.5	<3	<3	124	1.55	0.092
IL11AR-018	504	7.61	2	<2	<2	72	<0.5	<3	<3	390	1.62	0.018
IL11AR-019	426	8.64	<2	<2	<2	86	<0.5	<3	<3	431	1.46	0.039
IL11AR-020	670	2.8	88	3	<2	70	<0.5	<3	<3	52	2.64	0.095
IL11AR-021	337	7.02	2	<2	<2	89	<0.5	<3	<3	399	1.53	0.105
IL11AR-022	304	9.07	<2	<2	<2	15	<0.5	<3	<3	535	0.91	0.007
IL11AR-023	266	8.68	4	<2	<2	28	<0.5	<3	<3	527	1.05	0.026
IL11AR-024	253	9.09	<2	<2	<2	71	<0.5	<3	<3	529	1.05	0.013
IL11AR-025	360	8.77	<2	<2	<2	83	<0.5	<3	<3	450	1.63	0.292
IL11AR-026	286	11.13	4	<2	<2	22	<0.5	<3	<3	675	0.92	0.007
IL11AR-027	341	8.33	<2	<2	<2	51	<0.5	<3	<3	404	1.1	0.023

	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D
	La	Cr	Mg	Ba	Ti	B	Al	Na	K	W	S	Sc	Ga
	PPM	PPM	%	PPM	%	PPM	%	%	%	PPM	%	PPM	PPM
	1	1	0.01	1	0.01	20	0.01	0.01	0.01	2	0.05	5	5
Sample													
S11AR-001	2	9	1.67	48	0.16	<20	2.32	0.09	0.13	<2	1.55	8	<5
S11AR-002	4	21	1.64	158	0.14	<20	2.16	0.08	0.06	<2	0.09	7	6
S11AR-003	3	110	3.71	338	0.18	<20	3.04	0.1	0.47	<2	0.13	<5	<5
S11AR-004	5	90	2.11	289	0.21	<20	2.85	0.13	0.49	<2	0.11	<5	<5
S11AR-005	3	17	1.2	144	0.26	<20	2.45	0.2	0.62	<2	0.18	<5	<5
S11AR-006	3	7	0.94	204	0.23	<20	1.81	0.1	0.66	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
S11AR-007	6	22	1.1	50	0.15	<20	1.83	0.11	0.21	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
S11AR-008	2	20	1.63	122	0.17	<20	2.36	0.21	0.21	<2	<0.05	8	<5
S11AR-009	3	47	1.72	70	0.14	<20	2.21	0.2	0.18	<2	0.06	6	<5
S11AR-010	3	14	1.54	39	0.19	<20	2.18	0.18	0.11	<2	0.08	7	<5
S11AR-011	2	11	1.36	182	0.23	<20	2.04	0.15	0.45	<2	0.12	6	<5
S11AR-012	1	26	0.82	41	0.2	<20	1.98	0.18	0.11	<2	0.17	<5	<5
S11AR-013	2	80	1.77	62	0.15	<20	2.01	0.1	0.13	<2	0.09	5	<5
S11AR-014	2	35	1.89	26	0.19	<20	2.39	0.06	0.1	<2	<0.05	6	<5
S11AR-015	3	43	1.64	328	0.25	<20	2.23	0.12	0.53	<2	<0.05	8	<5
S11AR-016	<1	10	0.78	26	0.2	<20	2.07	0.14	0.1	<2	0.3	<5	<5
S11AR-017	2	11	0.39	34	0.14	<20	1.31	0.06	0.06	<2	0.78	<5	<5
S11AR-018	2	5	0.47	30	0.13	<20	1.88	0.17	0.16	<2	0.36	<5	<5
S11AR-019	<1	41	2.01	85	0.2	<20	2.35	0.15	0.18	<2	0.17	5	<5
S11AR-020	<1	6	0.34	33	0.21	<20	1.49	0.19	0.09	<2	0.13	<5	<5
S11AR-021	3	24	1.51	156	0.18	<20	2.32	0.16	0.52	<2	0.14	<5	<5
S11AR-022	2	53	1.71	175	0.21	<20	2.23	0.17	0.34	<2	0.07	6	<5

	1D La PPM	1D Cr PPM	1D Mg %	1D Ba PPM	1D Ti %	1D B PPM	1D Al %	1D Na %	1D K %	1D W PPM	1D S %	1D Sc PPM	1D Ga PPM
S11AR-023	4	105	2.26	61	0.17	22	2.54	0.06	0.11	<2	0.08	<5	<5
S11AR-024	3	52	2.21	28	0.15	<20	2.57	0.14	0.08	<2	0.17	<5	<5
S11AR-025	<1	9	1.56	22	0.21	<20	2.35	0.11	0.09	<2	0.09	<5	<5
S11AR-026	1	5	2.01	12	0.23	<20	2.65	0.15	0.06	<2	0.57	<5	<5
S11AR-027	2	7	1.81	26	0.16	<20	2.41	0.13	0.05	<2	0.94	8	<5
IL11AR-001	<1	16	0.89	32	0.2	<20	0.94	0.07	0.08	<2	<0.05	9	<5
IL11AR-002	<1	20	1.08	27	0.2	<20	0.95	0.07	0.05	<2	0.06	9	<5
IL11AR-003	2	7	0.55	97	0.11	<20	1.44	0.12	0.17	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
IL11AR-004	2	54	0.82	52	0.08	<20	0.62	0.07	0.1	<2	<0.05	7	<5
IL11AR-005	<1	117	1.24	21	0.15	<20	1.08	0.09	0.05	<2	<0.05	10	<5
IL11AR-006	7	<1	0.84	336	0.19	<20	1.39	0.09	0.55	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
IL11AR-007	<1	169	1.51	44	0.19	<20	0.9	0.15	0.1	<2	<0.05	14	<5
IL11AR-008	3	11	2.34	78	0.2	<20	1.94	0.27	0.19	<2	<0.05	18	<5
IL11AR-009	3	6	2.01	42	0.07	<20	2.63	0.04	0.08	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
IL11AR-010	2	123	1.81	81	0.2	<20	1.7	0.29	0.21	<2	<0.05	13	<5
IL11AR-011	1	42	1.58	60	0.24	<20	1.33	0.18	0.14	2	<0.05	20	<5
IL11AR-012	3	31	1.96	65	0.11	<20	2.1	0.13	0.1	<2	<0.05	8	<5
IL11AR-013	2	26	1.42	68	0.19	<20	1.24	0.22	0.16	<2	<0.05	15	<5
IL11AR-014	2	111	1.84	105	0.21	<20	1.6	0.33	0.23	<2	<0.05	18	<5
IL11AR-015	5	10	0.55	157	0.07	<20	0.74	0.07	0.12	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
IL11AR-016	1	90	1.3	134	0.12	<20	1.2	0.12	0.44	<2	<0.05	6	<5
IL11AR-017	2	47	1.19	67	0.16	<20	1.67	0.07	0.25	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
IL11AR-018	1	72	2.1	78	0.32	<20	1.66	0.29	0.19	<2	<0.05	23	<5
IL11AR-019	<1	80	1.65	93	0.22	<20	1.33	0.22	0.15	<2	<0.05	15	<5
IL11AR-020	5	19	1.17	124	0.05	<20	2.03	0.04	0.11	<2	0.16	<5	5
IL11AR-021	1	61	1.27	26	0.14	<20	1.44	0.12	0.06	<2	<0.05	10	<5
IL11AR-022	<1	77	0.79	11	0.22	<20	0.54	0.05	0.02	<2	<0.05	10	<5
IL11AR-023	<1	98	0.81	10	0.15	<20	0.77	0.06	0.05	<2	<0.05	8	<5
IL11AR-024	<1	39	1.14	6	0.2	<20	1.3	0.04	0.02	2	<0.05	6	<5
IL11AR-025	2	37	1.2	12	0.12	<20	1.16	0.06	0.05	<2	<0.05	7	<5
IL11AR-026	<1	10	0.85	19	0.22	<20	0.77	0.05	0.03	<2	<0.05	10	<5
IL11AR-027	<1	9	1.15	24	0.21	<20	1.07	0.06	0.05	<2	<0.05	11	<5

Acme Analytical Laboratories (Vancouver) Ltd.
1020 Cordova St. East Vancouver BC V6A 4A3 Canada

www.acmelab.com

Client: **Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.**
110 - 325 Howe St.
Vancouver BC V6C 1Z7 Canada

Submitted By: Bill Morton
Receiving Lab: Canada-Vancouver
Received: October 19, 2011
Report Date: November 10, 2011
Page: 1 of 2

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VAN11005609.1

CLIENT JOB INFORMATION

Project: Iron Lake
Shipment ID:
P.O. Number
Number of Samples: 10

SAMPLE DISPOSAL

STOR-PLP Store After 90 days Invoice for Storage
DISP-RJT Dispose of Reject After 90 days

Acme does not accept responsibility for samples left at the laboratory after 90 days without prior written instructions for sample storage or return.

SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Method Code	Number of Samples	Code Description	Test Wgt (g)	Report Status	Lab
R200-250	10	Crush, split and pulverize 250 g rock to 200 mesh			VAN
3B02	10	Fire assay fusion Au Pt Pd by ICP-ES	30	Completed	VAN
1DX2	10	1:1:1 Aqua Regia digestion ICP-MS analysis	15	Completed	VAN

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Invoice To: Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.
110 - 325 Howe St.
Vancouver BC V6C 1Z7
Canada

CC:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CERTIFIED ASSAYER
CLARENCE LEONG
GENERAL MANAGER

This report supersedes all previous preliminary and final reports with this file number dated prior to the date on this certificate. Signature indicates final approval; preliminary reports are unsigned and should be used for reference only.
All results are considered the confidential property of the client. Acme assumes the liabilities for actual cost of analysis only. Results apply to samples as submitted.
"*" asterisk indicates that an analytical result could not be provided due to unusually high levels of interference from other elements.

ACME ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES LTD. Final Report
Client: Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.
File Creat 10-Nov-11
Job Numt VAN11005609
Number c 10
Project: Iron Lake
Shipment ID:
P.O. Number:
Received: 19-Oct-11

	Method	WGHT	3B	3B	3B	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15
	Analyte	Wgt	Au	Pt	Pd	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co
	Unit	KG	PPB	PPB	PPB	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM
	MDL	0.01	2	3	2	0.1	0.1	0.1	1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Sample	Type											
01-13-10	Rock	0.77	<2	6	13	<0.1	15.4	0.4	37	<0.1	41.1	20.9
02-13-10	Rock	1.02	<2	9	3	<0.1	4.6	<0.1	5	<0.1	35.4	8.2
03-13-10	Rock	0.94	<2	<3	4	0.2	163	1.8	59	<0.1	2.8	20.5
04-13-10	Rock	1.39	<2	18	2	1.8	56.9	0.8	8	<0.1	48.8	21.1
05-13-10	Rock	0.93	<2	26	15	<0.1	12.2	0.1	26	<0.1	84.2	58.7
06-13-10	Rock	1.03	<2	6	6	0.1	594.3	<0.1	15	0.1	42.2	41.9
07-13-10	Rock	1.07	4	11	27	<0.1	418.8	<0.1	22	0.2	36.7	41.2
08-13-10	Rock	1.09	<2	13	22	<0.1	41.3	0.4	35	<0.1	53.1	31.1
09-13-10	Rock	0.69	4	7	3	<0.1	11.2	<0.1	35	<0.1	45.7	36.9
10-13-10	Rock	0.76	<2	31	6	<0.1	3.8	<0.1	27	<0.1	42.8	28.8

	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15
	Mn	Fe	As	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P
	PPM	%	PPM	PPB	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	%	%
	1	0.01	0.5	0.5	0.1	1	0.1	0.1	0.1	2	0.01	0.001
Sample												
01-13-10	415	4.64	0.9	<0.5	0.7	43	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	199	1.27	0.035
02-13-10	101	0.67	0.5	<0.5	<0.1	9	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	8	0.67	0.008
03-13-10	619	5.28	1.1	0.7	0.1	23	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	160	1.76	0.137
04-13-10	135	1.36	1.5	1	0.2	20	<0.1	0.2	<0.1	16	1.13	0.004
05-13-10	323	10.59	1.5	<0.5	<0.1	9	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	221	0.42	0.011
06-13-10	187	2.38	3.5	3.4	<0.1	67	<0.1	0.7	<0.1	61	1.01	0.024
07-13-10	335	3.67	3.2	5.7	<0.1	88	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	126	1.95	0.164
08-13-10	417	6.59	0.6	1.2	<0.1	83	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	379	1.85	0.044
09-13-10	228	10.96	1.1	<0.5	<0.1	16	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	609	0.75	0.004
10-13-10	282	6.86	0.7	0.5	<0.1	43	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	400	1.37	0.036

	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15
	La	Cr	Mg	Ba	Ti	B	Al	Na	K	W	Hg	Sc
	PPM	PPM	%	PPM	%	PPM	%	%	%	PPM	PPM	PPM
	1	1	0.01	1	0.001	1	0.01	0.001	0.01	0.1	0.01	0.1
Sample												
01-13-10	2	183	1.6	52	0.186	<1	1.16	0.184	0.12	0.1	<0.01	10.7
02-13-10	<1	141	1.13	11	0.03	<1	0.38	0.019	0.01	<0.1	<0.01	4.6
03-13-10	2	2	1.41	196	0.226	4	2.75	0.083	0.36	<0.1	0.09	6.5
04-13-10	<1	83	1.08	34	0.044	<1	0.46	0.028	0.12	0.9	<0.01	4.2
05-13-10	<1	28	2.5	71	0.112	7	0.64	0.018	0.07	<0.1	0.02	5.6
06-13-10	<1	112	1.47	140	0.134	1	1.05	0.104	0.06	<0.1	0.15	7.6
07-13-10	1	72	1.98	201	0.192	<1	1.61	0.27	0.13	<0.1	0.05	12.9
08-13-10	1	75	1.9	151	0.222	<1	1.67	0.293	0.28	<0.1	<0.01	14.5
09-13-10	<1	31	1.03	31	0.186	<1	0.71	0.054	0.04	<0.1	0.01	7.3
10-13-10	<1	106	1.38	76	0.175	<1	0.99	0.161	0.1	<0.1	<0.01	10.6

	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15
	Tl	S	Ga	Se	Te
	PPM	%	PPM	PPM	PPM
	0.1	0.05	1	0.5	0.2
Sample					
01-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	5	<0.5	<0.2
02-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	<1	<0.5	<0.2
03-13-10	<0.1	0.57	9	1.8	<0.2
04-13-10	<0.1	0.53	2	0.7	<0.2
05-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	4	<0.5	<0.2
06-13-10	<0.1	0.55	3	1.3	<0.2
07-13-10	<0.1	0.35	4	1.6	<0.2
08-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	6	<0.5	<0.2
09-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	7	<0.5	<0.2
10-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	5	0.6	<0.2

Acme Analytical Laboratories (Vancouver) Ltd.
1020 Cordova St. East Vancouver BC V6A 4A3 Canada

www.acmelab.com

Client: **Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.**
110 - 325 Howe St.
Vancouver BC V6C 1Z7 Canada

Submitted By: Bill Morton
Receiving Lab: Canada-Vancouver
Received: November 07, 2011
Report Date: November 17, 2011

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VAN11006013.1

CLIENT JOB INFORMATION

Project: Iron Lake
Shipment ID:
P.O. Number
Number of Samples: 8

SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Method Code	Number of Samples	Code Description	Test Wgt (g)	Report Status	Lab
R200-250	8	Crush, split and pulverize 250 g rock to 200 mesh			VAN
GEO4	8	FA fusion Au Pt Pd; 1:1:1 AR digestion ICP-ES analysis	30	Completed	VAN

SAMPLE DISPOSAL

STOR-PLP	Store After 90 days Invoice for Storage
DISP-RJT	Dispose of Reject After 90 days

Acme does not accept responsibility for samples left at the laboratory after 90 days without prior written instructions for sample storage or return.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Invoice To: Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.
110 - 325 Howe St.
Vancouver BC V6C 1Z7
Canada

CC:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CERTIFIED ASSAYER
CLARENCE LEONG
GENERAL MANAGER

This report supersedes all previous preliminary and final reports with this file number dated prior to the date on this certificate. Signature indicates final approval; preliminary reports are unsigned and should be used for reference only.
All results are considered the confidential property of the client. Acme assumes the liabilities for actual cost of analysis only. Results apply to samples as submitted.
"*" asterisk indicates that an analytical result could not be provided due to unusually high levels of interference from other elements.

	Method	WGHT	3B	3B	3B	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D
	Analyte	Wgt	Au	Pt	Pd	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co
	Unit	KG	PPB	PPB	PPB	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM
S11AR-023	Rock	4.51	5	5	11	1	175	<3	43	<0.3	60	19
S11AR-024	Rock	3.34	<2	4	10	1	126	<3	65	<0.3	67	23
S11AR-025	Rock	3.45	<2	<3	<2	<1	18	<3	42	<0.3	7	11
S11AR-026	Rock	3.91	<2	<3	<2	3	28	<3	49	<0.3	8	16
S11AR-027	Rock	3.56	<2	<3	<2	<1	15	<3	60	<0.3	4	7
IL11AR-001	Rock	4.69	<2	11	17	<1	7	<3	41	<0.3	34	28
IL11AR-002	Rock	3.43	<2	4	14	<1	25	<3	28	<0.3	36	29
IL11AR-003	Rock	3.8	2	4	2	<1	61	<3	23	<0.3	6	7
IL11AR-004	Rock	3.15	<2	<3	<2	<1	9	<3	23	<0.3	13	6
IL11AR-005	Rock	4.42	<2	4	9	<1	35	<3	28	<0.3	26	16
IL11AR-006	Rock	3.56	4	<3	2	<1	57	<3	57	<0.3	3	7
IL11AR-007	Rock	5.65	<2	19	3	<1	2	<3	28	<0.3	63	34
IL11AR-008	Rock	6.79	<2	7	14	<1	18	<3	32	<0.3	31	23
IL11AR-009	Rock	4.53	4	<3	<2	<1	175	<3	46	<0.3	23	26
IL11AR-010	Rock	6.09	<2	6	4	<1	15	<3	50	<0.3	42	26
IL11AR-011	Rock	5.16	<2	45	89	<1	100	<3	44	<0.3	26	34
IL11AR-012	Rock	6.24	<2	10	9	<1	16	<3	41	<0.3	18	30
IL11AR-013	Rock	3.96	<2	138	13	<1	14	<3	38	<0.3	19	22
IL11AR-014	Rock	4.57	3	13	4	<1	5	<3	37	<0.3	27	19
IL11AR-015	Rock	3.77	4	<3	<2	<1	3	<3	32	<0.3	4	6
IL11AR-016	Rock	4.94	<2	6	10	<1	128	<3	30	<0.3	27	15
IL11AR-017	Rock	4.96	<2	6	<2	<1	1	<3	33	<0.3	23	15
IL11AR-018	Rock	4.9	<2	25	10	<1	32	<3	44	<0.3	43	32
IL11AR-019	Rock	4.09	<2	63	69	<1	23	<3	49	<0.3	37	36
IL11AR-020	Rock	4.2	3	4	<2	<1	24	<3	37	<0.3	11	34
IL11AR-021	Rock	5.7	9	11	36	<1	56	<3	30	<0.3	30	30
IL11AR-022	Rock	5.72	<2	5	<2	<1	11	<3	17	<0.3	51	34
IL11AR-023	Rock	4.93	<2	3	6	<1	7	<3	18	<0.3	47	34
IL11AR-024	Rock	7.01	<2	3	11	<1	24	<3	26	<0.3	34	39
IL11AR-025	Rock	4.69	11	8	32	<1	28	<3	35	<0.3	26	37
IL11AR-026	Rock	4.47	<2	<3	<2	<1	10	<3	18	<0.3	51	44
IL11AR-027	Rock	5.62	<2	<3	<2	<1	10	5	32	<0.3	40	34

	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D
	Mn	Fe	As	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P
	PPM	%	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	%	%
	2	0.01	2	2	2	1	0.5	3	3	1	0.01	0.001
Sample												
S11AR-001	611	5.36	3	<2	<2	45	<0.5	<3	<3	83	1.16	0.066
S11AR-002	955	4.09	8	<2	<2	297	<0.5	<3	<3	111	1.99	0.14
S11AR-003	624	4.12	3	<2	<2	69	<0.5	<3	<3	112	1.2	0.13
S11AR-004	529	4.35	<2	<2	<2	97	<0.5	<3	<3	170	1.46	0.17
S11AR-005	473	3.73	4	<2	<2	70	<0.5	<3	<3	119	1.74	0.089
S11AR-006	382	3.29	3	<2	<2	59	<0.5	<3	<3	122	1.22	0.113
S11AR-007	474	3.81	<2	<2	<2	93	<0.5	<3	<3	168	1.88	0.213
S11AR-008	708	4.22	16	<2	<2	62	<0.5	<3	<3	163	1.99	0.118
S11AR-009	532	3.32	8	<2	<2	38	<0.5	<3	<3	119	1.7	0.124
S11AR-010	714	3.84	11	<2	<2	68	<0.5	<3	<3	139	1.56	0.077
S11AR-011	580	3.77	3	<2	<2	76	<0.5	<3	<3	152	1.6	0.099
S11AR-012	513	2.45	4	<2	<2	65	<0.5	<3	<3	61	2.63	0.068
S11AR-013	725	3.34	13	<2	<2	71	<0.5	<3	<3	106	2.12	0.153
S11AR-014	877	3.95	15	<2	2	58	<0.5	<3	<3	134	2.75	0.112
S11AR-015	782	4.28	8	<2	<2	87	<0.5	<3	<3	149	2.08	0.117
S11AR-016	325	2.88	5	<2	<2	43	<0.5	<3	<3	76	1.73	0.07
S11AR-017	278	2.45	2	<2	<2	35	<0.5	<3	<3	47	2.76	0.05
S11AR-018	381	1.89	<2	<2	<2	91	<0.5	<3	<3	28	2.56	0.04
S11AR-019	543	3.55	2	<2	<2	29	<0.5	<3	<3	105	1.19	0.062
S11AR-020	217	1.34	2	<2	<2	51	<0.5	<3	<3	43	1.59	0.056
S11AR-021	634	3.49	10	<2	<2	112	<0.5	<3	4	111	1.44	0.128
S11AR-022	824	3.74	15	<2	<2	64	<0.5	<3	<3	137	1.75	0.129

	1D Mn PPM	1D Fe %	1D As PPM	1D Au PPM	1D Th PPM	1D Sr PPM	1D Cd PPM	1D Sb PPM	1D Bi PPM	1D V PPM	1D Ca %	1D P %
S11AR-023	666	4.29	<2	<2	<2	49	<0.5	<3	<3	148	1.3	0.18
S11AR-024	617	3.99	2	<2	<2	99	<0.5	<3	<3	107	1.33	0.089
S11AR-025	650	4.16	2	<2	<2	28	<0.5	<3	3	89	1.26	0.06
S11AR-026	617	4.17	3	<2	<2	54	<0.5	<3	<3	83	1.49	0.052
S11AR-027	472	4.14	<2	<2	<2	59	<0.5	<3	<3	81	0.96	0.059
IL11AR-001	298	8.78	<2	<2	<2	46	<0.5	<3	<3	560	0.94	0.015
IL11AR-002	341	9.32	<2	<2	<2	30	<0.5	<3	<3	379	0.93	0.037
IL11AR-003	289	2.36	<2	<2	<2	62	<0.5	<3	<3	96	1.6	0.119
IL11AR-004	356	1.12	<2	<2	<2	34	<0.5	<3	<3	39	0.92	0.056
IL11AR-005	320	4.86	<2	<2	<2	77	<0.5	<3	<3	222	1.35	0.068
IL11AR-006	508	3.72	<2	<2	<2	40	<0.5	<3	<3	131	1.19	0.203
IL11AR-007	409	8.14	<2	<2	<2	40	<0.5	<3	<3	348	1.04	0.015
IL11AR-008	429	3.25	2	3	<2	132	<0.5	<3	<3	143	1.81	0.149
IL11AR-009	397	4.32	5	<2	<2	243	<0.5	<3	<3	148	1.71	0.27
IL11AR-010	721	5.71	<2	<2	<2	100	<0.5	<3	<3	251	1.85	0.103
IL11AR-011	473	8.68	6	<2	<2	64	<0.5	<3	<3	485	1.32	0.043
IL11AR-012	464	5.47	<2	<2	<2	131	<0.5	<3	<3	276	1.89	0.395
IL11AR-013	505	6.64	<2	<2	<2	68	<0.5	<3	<3	350	2.02	0.2
IL11AR-014	656	4.85	2	<2	<2	89	<0.5	<3	<3	222	2.26	0.218
IL11AR-015	419	2.01	3	<2	3	40	<0.5	<3	<3	59	0.83	0.064
IL11AR-016	373	2.49	<2	3	<2	96	<0.5	<3	<3	81	1.75	0.134
IL11AR-017	334	3.78	3	3	<2	40	<0.5	<3	<3	124	1.55	0.092
IL11AR-018	504	7.61	2	<2	<2	72	<0.5	<3	<3	390	1.62	0.018
IL11AR-019	426	8.64	<2	<2	<2	86	<0.5	<3	<3	431	1.46	0.039
IL11AR-020	670	2.8	88	3	<2	70	<0.5	<3	<3	52	2.64	0.095
IL11AR-021	337	7.02	2	<2	<2	89	<0.5	<3	<3	399	1.53	0.105
IL11AR-022	304	9.07	<2	<2	<2	15	<0.5	<3	<3	535	0.91	0.007
IL11AR-023	266	8.68	4	<2	<2	28	<0.5	<3	<3	527	1.05	0.026
IL11AR-024	253	9.09	<2	<2	<2	71	<0.5	<3	<3	529	1.05	0.013
IL11AR-025	360	8.77	<2	<2	<2	83	<0.5	<3	<3	450	1.63	0.292
IL11AR-026	286	11.13	4	<2	<2	22	<0.5	<3	<3	675	0.92	0.007
IL11AR-027	341	8.33	<2	<2	<2	51	<0.5	<3	<3	404	1.1	0.023

	1D La PPM	1D Cr PPM	1D Mg %	1D Ba PPM	1D Ti %	1D B PPM	1D Al %	1D Na %	1D K %	1D W PPM	1D S %	1D Sc PPM	1D Ga PPM
S11AR-023	4	105	2.26	61	0.17	22	2.54	0.06	0.11	<2	0.08	<5	<5
S11AR-024	3	52	2.21	28	0.15	<20	2.57	0.14	0.08	<2	0.17	<5	<5
S11AR-025	<1	9	1.56	22	0.21	<20	2.35	0.11	0.09	<2	0.09	<5	<5
S11AR-026	1	5	2.01	12	0.23	<20	2.65	0.15	0.06	<2	0.57	<5	<5
S11AR-027	2	7	1.81	26	0.16	<20	2.41	0.13	0.05	<2	0.94	8	<5
IL11AR-001	<1	16	0.89	32	0.2	<20	0.94	0.07	0.08	<2	<0.05	9	<5
IL11AR-002	<1	20	1.08	27	0.2	<20	0.95	0.07	0.05	<2	0.06	9	<5
IL11AR-003	2	7	0.55	97	0.11	<20	1.44	0.12	0.17	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
IL11AR-004	2	54	0.82	52	0.08	<20	0.62	0.07	0.1	<2	<0.05	7	<5
IL11AR-005	<1	117	1.24	21	0.15	<20	1.08	0.09	0.05	<2	<0.05	10	<5
IL11AR-006	7	<1	0.84	336	0.19	<20	1.39	0.09	0.55	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
IL11AR-007	<1	169	1.51	44	0.19	<20	0.9	0.15	0.1	<2	<0.05	14	<5
IL11AR-008	3	11	2.34	78	0.2	<20	1.94	0.27	0.19	<2	<0.05	18	<5
IL11AR-009	3	6	2.01	42	0.07	<20	2.63	0.04	0.08	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
IL11AR-010	2	123	1.81	81	0.2	<20	1.7	0.29	0.21	<2	<0.05	13	<5
IL11AR-011	1	42	1.58	60	0.24	<20	1.33	0.18	0.14	2	<0.05	20	<5
IL11AR-012	3	31	1.96	65	0.11	<20	2.1	0.13	0.1	<2	<0.05	8	<5
IL11AR-013	2	26	1.42	68	0.19	<20	1.24	0.22	0.16	<2	<0.05	15	<5
IL11AR-014	2	111	1.84	105	0.21	<20	1.6	0.33	0.23	<2	<0.05	18	<5
IL11AR-015	5	10	0.55	157	0.07	<20	0.74	0.07	0.12	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
IL11AR-016	1	90	1.3	134	0.12	<20	1.2	0.12	0.44	<2	<0.05	6	<5
IL11AR-017	2	47	1.19	67	0.16	<20	1.67	0.07	0.25	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
IL11AR-018	1	72	2.1	78	0.32	<20	1.66	0.29	0.19	<2	<0.05	23	<5
IL11AR-019	<1	80	1.65	93	0.22	<20	1.33	0.22	0.15	<2	<0.05	15	<5
IL11AR-020	5	19	1.17	124	0.05	<20	2.03	0.04	0.11	<2	0.16	<5	5
IL11AR-021	1	61	1.27	26	0.14	<20	1.44	0.12	0.06	<2	<0.05	10	<5
IL11AR-022	<1	77	0.79	11	0.22	<20	0.54	0.05	0.02	<2	<0.05	10	<5
IL11AR-023	<1	98	0.81	10	0.15	<20	0.77	0.06	0.05	<2	<0.05	8	<5
IL11AR-024	<1	39	1.14	6	0.2	<20	1.3	0.04	0.02	2	<0.05	6	<5
IL11AR-025	2	37	1.2	12	0.12	<20	1.16	0.06	0.05	<2	<0.05	7	<5
IL11AR-026	<1	10	0.85	19	0.22	<20	0.77	0.05	0.03	<2	<0.05	10	<5
IL11AR-027	<1	9	1.15	24	0.21	<20	1.07	0.06	0.05	<2	<0.05	11	<5

Acme Analytical Laboratories (Vancouver) Ltd.
1020 Cordova St. East Vancouver BC V6A 4A3 Canada

www.acmelab.com

Client: **Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.**
110 - 325 Howe St.
Vancouver BC V6C 1Z7 Canada

Submitted By: Bill Morton
Receiving Lab: Canada-Vancouver
Received: October 19, 2011
Report Date: November 10, 2011
Page: 1 of 2

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VAN11005609.1

CLIENT JOB INFORMATION

Project: Iron Lake
Shipment ID:
P.O. Number
Number of Samples: 10

SAMPLE DISPOSAL

STOR-PLP Store After 90 days Invoice for Storage
DISP-RJT Dispose of Reject After 90 days

Acme does not accept responsibility for samples left at the laboratory after 90 days without prior written instructions for sample storage or return.

SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Method Code	Number of Samples	Code Description	Test Wgt (g)	Report Status	Lab
R200-250	10	Crush, split and pulverize 250 g rock to 200 mesh			VAN
3B02	10	Fire assay fusion Au Pt Pd by ICP-ES	30	Completed	VAN
1DX2	10	1:1:1 Aqua Regia digestion ICP-MS analysis	15	Completed	VAN

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Invoice To: Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.
110 - 325 Howe St.
Vancouver BC V6C 1Z7
Canada

CC:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CERTIFIED ASSAYER
CLARENCE LEONG
GENERAL MANAGER

This report supersedes all previous preliminary and final reports with this file number dated prior to the date on this certificate. Signature indicates final approval; preliminary reports are unsigned and should be used for reference only.
All results are considered the confidential property of the client. Acme assumes the liabilities for actual cost of analysis only. Results apply to samples as submitted.
"*" asterisk indicates that an analytical result could not be provided due to unusually high levels of interference from other elements.

ACME ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES LTD. Final Report
Client: Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.
File Creat 10-Nov-11
Job Numt VAN11005609
Number c 10
Project: Iron Lake
Shipment ID:
P.O. Number:
Received: 19-Oct-11

	Method	WGHT	3B	3B	3B	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15
	Analyte	Wgt	Au	Pt	Pd	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co
	Unit	KG	PPB	PPB	PPB	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM
	MDL	0.01	2	3	2	0.1	0.1	0.1	1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Sample	Type											
01-13-10	Rock	0.77	<2	6	13	<0.1	15.4	0.4	37	<0.1	41.1	20.9
02-13-10	Rock	1.02	<2	9	3	<0.1	4.6	<0.1	5	<0.1	35.4	8.2
03-13-10	Rock	0.94	<2	<3	4	0.2	163	1.8	59	<0.1	2.8	20.5
04-13-10	Rock	1.39	<2	18	2	1.8	56.9	0.8	8	<0.1	48.8	21.1
05-13-10	Rock	0.93	<2	26	15	<0.1	12.2	0.1	26	<0.1	84.2	58.7
06-13-10	Rock	1.03	<2	6	6	0.1	594.3	<0.1	15	0.1	42.2	41.9
07-13-10	Rock	1.07	4	11	27	<0.1	418.8	<0.1	22	0.2	36.7	41.2
08-13-10	Rock	1.09	<2	13	22	<0.1	41.3	0.4	35	<0.1	53.1	31.1
09-13-10	Rock	0.69	4	7	3	<0.1	11.2	<0.1	35	<0.1	45.7	36.9
10-13-10	Rock	0.76	<2	31	6	<0.1	3.8	<0.1	27	<0.1	42.8	28.8

	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15
	Mn	Fe	As	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P
	PPM	%	PPM	PPB	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	%	%
	1	0.01	0.5	0.5	0.1	1	0.1	0.1	0.1	2	0.01	0.001
Sample												
01-13-10	415	4.64	0.9	<0.5	0.7	43	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	199	1.27	0.035
02-13-10	101	0.67	0.5	<0.5	<0.1	9	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	8	0.67	0.008
03-13-10	619	5.28	1.1	0.7	0.1	23	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	160	1.76	0.137
04-13-10	135	1.36	1.5	1	0.2	20	<0.1	0.2	<0.1	16	1.13	0.004
05-13-10	323	10.59	1.5	<0.5	<0.1	9	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	221	0.42	0.011
06-13-10	187	2.38	3.5	3.4	<0.1	67	<0.1	0.7	<0.1	61	1.01	0.024
07-13-10	335	3.67	3.2	5.7	<0.1	88	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	126	1.95	0.164
08-13-10	417	6.59	0.6	1.2	<0.1	83	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	379	1.85	0.044
09-13-10	228	10.96	1.1	<0.5	<0.1	16	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	609	0.75	0.004
10-13-10	282	6.86	0.7	0.5	<0.1	43	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	400	1.37	0.036

	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15
	La	Cr	Mg	Ba	Ti	B	Al	Na	K	W	Hg	Sc
	PPM	PPM	%	PPM	%	PPM	%	%	%	PPM	PPM	PPM
	1	1	0.01	1	0.001	1	0.01	0.001	0.01	0.1	0.01	0.1
Sample												
01-13-10	2	183	1.6	52	0.186	<1	1.16	0.184	0.12	0.1	<0.01	10.7
02-13-10	<1	141	1.13	11	0.03	<1	0.38	0.019	0.01	<0.1	<0.01	4.6
03-13-10	2	2	1.41	196	0.226	4	2.75	0.083	0.36	<0.1	0.09	6.5
04-13-10	<1	83	1.08	34	0.044	<1	0.46	0.028	0.12	0.9	<0.01	4.2
05-13-10	<1	28	2.5	71	0.112	7	0.64	0.018	0.07	<0.1	0.02	5.6
06-13-10	<1	112	1.47	140	0.134	1	1.05	0.104	0.06	<0.1	0.15	7.6
07-13-10	1	72	1.98	201	0.192	<1	1.61	0.27	0.13	<0.1	0.05	12.9
08-13-10	1	75	1.9	151	0.222	<1	1.67	0.293	0.28	<0.1	<0.01	14.5
09-13-10	<1	31	1.03	31	0.186	<1	0.71	0.054	0.04	<0.1	0.01	7.3
10-13-10	<1	106	1.38	76	0.175	<1	0.99	0.161	0.1	<0.1	<0.01	10.6

	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15	1DX15
	Tl	S	Ga	Se	Te
	PPM	%	PPM	PPM	PPM
	0.1	0.05	1	0.5	0.2
Sample					
01-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	5	<0.5	<0.2
02-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	<1	<0.5	<0.2
03-13-10	<0.1	0.57	9	1.8	<0.2
04-13-10	<0.1	0.53	2	0.7	<0.2
05-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	4	<0.5	<0.2
06-13-10	<0.1	0.55	3	1.3	<0.2
07-13-10	<0.1	0.35	4	1.6	<0.2
08-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	6	<0.5	<0.2
09-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	7	<0.5	<0.2
10-13-10	<0.1	<0.05	5	0.6	<0.2

Acme Analytical Laboratories (Vancouver) Ltd.
1020 Cordova St. East Vancouver BC V6A 4A3 Canada

www.acmelab.com

Client: **Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.**
110 - 325 Howe St.
Vancouver BC V6C 1Z7 Canada

Submitted By: Bill Morton
Receiving Lab: Canada-Vancouver
Received: November 07, 2011
Report Date: November 17, 2011

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VAN11006013.1

CLIENT JOB INFORMATION

Project: Iron Lake
Shipment ID:
P.O. Number
Number of Samples: 8

SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Method Code	Number of Samples	Code Description	Test Wgt (g)	Report Status	Lab
R200-250	8	Crush, split and pulverize 250 g rock to 200 mesh			VAN
GEO4	8	FA fusion Au Pt Pd; 1:1:1 AR digestion ICP-ES analysis	30	Completed	VAN

SAMPLE DISPOSAL

STOR-PLP	Store After 90 days Invoice for Storage
DISP-RJT	Dispose of Reject After 90 days

Acme does not accept responsibility for samples left at the laboratory after 90 days without prior written instructions for sample storage or return.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Invoice To: Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.
110 - 325 Howe St.
Vancouver BC V6C 1Z7
Canada

CC:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CERTIFIED ASSAYER
CLARENCE LEONG
GENERAL MANAGER

This report supersedes all previous preliminary and final reports with this file number dated prior to the date on this certificate. Signature indicates final approval; preliminary reports are unsigned and should be used for reference only.
All results are considered the confidential property of the client. Acme assumes the liabilities for actual cost of analysis only. Results apply to samples as submitted.
"*" asterisk indicates that an analytical result could not be provided due to unusually high levels of interference from other elements.

ACME ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES LTD. Final Report
Client: Mincord Exploration Consultants Ltd.
File Create 17-Nov-11
Job Numbe VAN11006013
Number of 8
Project: Iron Lake
Shipment ID:
P.O. Number:
Received: 07-Nov-11

	Method	WGHT	3B	3B	3B	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D
	Analyte	Wgt	Au	Pt	Pd	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co
	Unit	KG	PPB	PPB	PPB	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM
	MDL	0.01	2	3	2	1	1	3	1	0.3	1	1
Sample	Type											
1-31-10	Rock	0.81	<2	35	<2	<1	5	<3	31	<0.3	49	32
2-31-10	Rock	1.17	7	3	5	<1	233	<3	55	<0.3	25	30
3-31-10	Rock	1.07	10	13	35	<1	83	3	50	<0.3	27	27
4-31-10	Rock	0.85	<2	32	39	2	6	27	13	<0.3	192	105
5-31-10	Rock	1.04	3	11	6	<1	110	<3	39	<0.3	50	26
6-31-10	Rock	0.52	162	14	2	<1	1	6	38	<0.3	47	35
7-31-10	Rock	0.58	<2	21	<2	<1	<1	6	33	<0.3	92	45
8-31-10	Rock	0.75	<2	11	8	<1	10	4	41	<0.3	39	36

	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D
	Mn	Fe	As	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P
	PPM	%	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	%	%
	2	0.01	2	2	2	1	0.5	3	3	1	0.01	0.001
Sample												
1-31-10	373	7.2	<2	<2	<2	56	<0.5	<3	<3	367	1.55	0.02
2-31-10	427	4.3	6	<2	<2	224	<0.5	<3	<3	144	1.59	0.261
3-31-10	405	4.46	4	<2	<2	188	<0.5	<3	<3	164	1.58	0.3
4-31-10	749	29.78	<2	<2	<2	9	0.9	<3	<3	1311	0.41	0.009
5-31-10	423	3.16	4	<2	<2	72	<0.5	<3	<3	83	2.53	0.158
6-31-10	419	8.76	2	<2	<2	104	<0.5	<3	<3	400	2.51	0.321
7-31-10	356	12.25	<2	3	<2	28	<0.5	<3	<3	611	1.04	0.011
8-31-10	485	8.68	<2	<2	<2	92	0.5	<3	<3	399	2.23	0.285

	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D	1D
	La	Cr	Mg	Ba	Ti	B	Al	Na	K	W	S	Sc	Ga
	PPM	PPM	%	PPM	%	PPM	%	%	%	PPM	%	PPM	PPM
	1	1	0.01	1	0.01	20	0.01	0.01	0.01	2	0.05	5	5
Sample													
1-31-10	<1	116	1.64	59	0.24	<20	1.22	0.22	0.15	<2	<0.05	19	<5
2-31-10	3	6	2.23	81	0.07	<20	2.77	0.02	0.11	<2	<0.05	<5	<5
3-31-10	4	13	2.28	74	0.08	<20	2.57	0.06	0.11	<2	<0.05	6	<5
4-31-10	<1	356	0.85	59	0.43	<20	0.4	0.01	0.03	<2	<0.05	10	<5
5-31-10	3	115	1.7	172	0.23	54	1.73	0.07	1.25	<2	0.14	5	7
6-31-10	2	122	1.95	86	0.22	<20	1.6	0.34	0.21	<2	<0.05	22	<5
7-31-10	<1	180	1.26	32	0.24	<20	0.86	0.12	0.08	<2	<0.05	13	5
8-31-10	2	74	1.95	72	0.21	<20	1.51	0.29	0.18	<2	<0.05	21	8

Geophysical Survey Parameters

Contractor: Scott Geophysics Ltd., Vancouver, BC.

Instrumentation: GDD Grx8 receiver and GDD transmitter

Array: Pole Dipole, 25 m spacing, 5N spacings

Pulse Rate: 2 seconds

MX Chargeability Window: 690-1050 msec after shutoff

MX Chargeability Reading: Midpoint

Eastfield Resources Ltd.

Iron Lake Project, Canim Lake area, BC

Line: 2N

Induced Polarization Survey
South Hoodoo LLC
Cariboo Mining District

Pole-Dipole array
Pulse Width: 2.0 sec
Cycle: 0.5 Hz
Pulse Current: 2.5 amps
Mx chargability window: 600-1000 msec after shutoff



Line: 2N

Eastfield Resources Ltd.

Iron Lake Project, Canim Lake area, BC

Line: 1N

Induced Polarization Survey
Scott Geophysics Ltd.
December 2011

Pole-Dipole array
GDD GRx6
Pulse rate: 2 sec
Current electrode east of potentials
Mx chargeability window: 690-1050 msec after shutoff



Line: 1N

Eastfield Resources Ltd.

Iron Lake Project, Canim Lake area, BC

Line: 3N

Induced Polarization Survey
Scott Geophysics Ltd.
December 2011

Pole-Dipole array
GDD GRx8
Pulse rate: 2 sec

Current electrode east of potentials
Mx chargeability window: 690-1050 msec after shuttoff



Line: 3N

Eastfield Resources Ltd.

Iron Lake Project, Canim Lake area, BC

Line: 3N

Induced Polarization Survey
Scott Geophysics Ltd.
December 2011

Pole-Dipole array
GDD GRx8
Pulse rate: 2 sec

Current electrode east of potentials
Mx chargeability window: 690-1050 msec after shuttoff



Line: 3N

Eastfield Resources Ltd.

Iron Lake Project, Canim Lake area, BC

Line: 3N

Induced Polarization Survey
Scott Geophysics Ltd.
December 2011

Pole-Dipole array
GDD GRx8
Pulse rate: 2 sec

Current electrode east of potentials
Mx chargeability window: 690-1050 msec after shuttoff



Line: 3N

Eastfield Resources Ltd.

Iron Lake Project, Canim Lake area, BC

Line: 4N

Induced Polarization Survey
Scott Geophysics Ltd.
December 2011

Pole-Dipole array
GDD GRx8
Pulse rate: 2 sec
Current electrode west of potentials
Mx chargeability window: 690-1050 msec after shutoff



Line: 4N

Eastfield Resources Ltd.

Iron Lake Project, Canim Lake area, BC

Line: 5N

Induced Polarization Survey
Scott Geophysics Ltd.
December 2011

Pole-Dipole array
GDD GRx8
Pulse rate: 2 sec

Current electrode east of potentials
Mx chargeability window: 890-1050 msec after shutoff



Line: 5N


2011 Induced Polarization and Magnetometer Survey
Line 5N
Mag High
Chargeability High
Moderate Chargeability
0 m 1000
N
5,761,000 N
5,760,000 N
5,759,000 N
5,758,000 N
5,757,000 N
5,756,000 N
5,755,000 N
5,754,000 N
642,000 E
643,000 E
644,000 E
645,000 E
646,000 E
647,000 E
648,000 E
2150W
50W
Line 5N
2150W
50W
644541E, 5758490N
Line 1N
50E
644975E, 5758110N
Line 2N
Iron Lake
Island Lake
74-S-7
74-S-3
74-S-4
74-S-5
74-S-2
74-S-1
74-S-8
IL-06-08
IL-06-09
IL-06-07
50W
646060E, 5757042N
1750E
Line 3Nb
Line 3Na
Ridge Clearcut
1800W
05-IL-1
05-IL-3
05-IL-2
05-IL-4
Line 4N
646407E, 5756897N
IL-06-05
IL-06-06
50E
Line 3Nc
Susan Lake
Beverly Lake
4850E


5,760,000 N
5,759,000 N
5,758,000 N
5,757,000 N
5,756,000 N
5,755,000 N
5,754,000 N
643,000 E
644,000 E
645,000 E
N
E
Iron Lake
Island Lake
74-S-7
IL-06-08
IL-06-09
74-S-3
74-S-4
IL-06-07
74-S-2
74-S-5
74-S-6
74-S-1
C
05-IL-4
05-IL-1
05-IL-3
05-IL-2
IL-06-05
B
D
IL-06-06
A
74-S-8
0
500
1000
metres
COBRE EXPLORATION CORP.
Iron Lake Project
Clinton Mining District, B.C., Canada
PROPOSED 2012 DRILLING
PROPOSED HOLES IN RED
Scale 1:25,000
N.T.S. 93P/15W
Figure
Date Dec 2011
U.T.M. Zone 10
Mincord Exploration consultants Ltd.