

Ministry of Energy, Mines & Petroleum Resources
Mining & Minerals Division
BC Geological Survey


Assessment Report
Title Page and Summary

TYPE OF REPORT [type of survey(s)]: Geochemistry of the Mila and Chuck Mineral Claims

TOTAL COST: \$36,963.78

AUTHOR(S): Christopher O. Naas

SIGNATURE(S):



NOTICE OF WORK PERMIT NUMBER(S)/DATE(S): N/A

YEAR OF WORK: 2015

STATEMENT OF WORK - CASH PAYMENTS EVENT NUMBER(S)/DATE(S): 5584417

PROPERTY NAME: Mila

CLAIM NAME(S) (on which the work was done): 605836, 605837

COMMODITIES SOUGHT: Cu, Zn, Au

MINERAL INVENTORY MINFILE NUMBER(S), IF KNOWN: 082M-151, 082M-152

MINING DIVISION: Kamloops

NTS/BCGS: 082M12

LATITUDE: 51 ° 35 ' 34 " LONGITUDE: 119 ° 37 ' 13 " (at centre of work)

OWNER(S):

1) Christopher O. Naas

2) _____

MAILING ADDRESS:

PO Box 38099 Morgan Heights

Surrey, BC V3Z 6R3

OPERATOR(S) [who paid for the work]:

1) Christopher O. Naas

2) _____

MAILING ADDRESS:

PO Box 38099 Morgan Heights

Surrey, BC V3Z 6R3

PROPERTY GEOLOGY KEYWORDS (lithology, age, stratigraphy, structure, alteration, mineralization, size and attitude):

Property is underlain by the Paleozoic Eagle Bay Assemblage. The most common rock types on the Property are foliated andesitic tuffs and limestones. Mineralization consists of stratiform zones of disseminated to massive sulphides.

REFERENCES TO PREVIOUS ASSESSMENT WORK AND ASSESSMENT REPORT NUMBERS: 09959, 12465, 13325, 13557, 14045, 27609, 28045, 28811, 29214, 29836, 30328, 32413, 33665, 34534

TYPE OF WORK IN THIS REPORT	EXTENT OF WORK (IN METRIC UNITS)	ON WHICH CLAIMS	PROJECT COSTS APPORTIONED (incl. support)
GEOLOGICAL (scale, area)			
Ground, mapping	_____	_____	_____
Photo interpretation	_____	_____	_____
GEOPHYSICAL (line-kilometres)			
Ground			
Magnetic	_____	_____	_____
Electromagnetic	_____	_____	_____
Induced Polarization	_____	_____	_____
Radiometric	_____	_____	_____
Seismic	_____	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____	_____
Airborne			
_____	_____	_____	_____
GEOCHEMICAL (number of samples analysed for...)			
Soil 372	_____	605836, 605837	\$36,963,78
Silt	_____	_____	_____
Rock	_____	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____	_____
DRILLING (total metres; number of holes, size)			
Core			
_____	_____	_____	_____
Non-core			
_____	_____	_____	_____
RELATED TECHNICAL			
Sampling/assaying	_____	_____	_____
Petrographic	_____	_____	_____
Mineralographic	_____	_____	_____
Metallurgic	_____	_____	_____
PROSPECTING (scale, area)			
_____	_____	_____	_____
PREPARATORY / PHYSICAL			
Line/grid (kilometres)	_____	_____	_____
Topographic/Photogrammetric (scale, area)	_____	_____	_____
Legal surveys (scale, area)	_____	_____	_____
Road, local access (kilometres)/trail	_____	_____	_____
Trench (metres)	_____	_____	_____
Underground dev. (metres)	_____	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____	_____
		TOTAL COST:	\$36,963.78

ASSESSMENT REPORT

GEOCHEMISTRY

on the

MILA and CHUCK MINERAL CLAIMS

(605836, 605837)

Kamloops Mining Division, British Columbia, Canada

NTS 82M/12

Latitude: 51°35'N

Longitude: 119°37'W

Owner: Christopher O. Naas

Operator: Christopher O. Naas

by

Christopher O. Naas, *P. Geo.*

March 30, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>page</i>
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 LOCATION AND ACCESS	1
1.2 TITLE	1
2.0 GEOLOGICAL SETTING	4
2.1 REGIONAL GEOLOGY	4
2.2 LOCAL GEOLOGY	4
2.2.1 Lithology	4
2.2.2 Structure	6
3.0 WORK HISTORY	7
4.0 CURRENT WORK	10
4.2 SOIL SAMPLING	10
5.0 CONCLUSIONS	11
6.0 REFERENCES	12
7.0 CERTIFICATE	15
8.0 STATEMENT OF COSTS	16
9.0 LIST OF SOFTWARE USED	17

LIST OF TABLES

	<i>page</i>
Table 1: List of Mineral Tenures	4

LIST OF FIGURES

	<i>page</i>
Figure 1: Location Map (1:1,000,000)	2
Figure 2: Claim Location Map (1:75,000)	3
Figure 3: Property Geology Map, Vavenby Area (1:75,000)	5
Figure 4: Geochemistry Plan Map, Copper, Chuck Creek Area (1:5,000)	<i>map pocket</i>

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix I: Sample Details

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report details the results of the work program conducted on the mineral claims with tenure numbers 605836 and 605837. Field work was carried out over a period of 12 days during three different sessions between June 29 to July 3, July 9 to July 11 and July 20 to July 23, 2015. The work program consisted of geochemical sampling and included a total of 372 soil samples collected along various road sides.

1.1 LOCATION AND ACCESS

The Mila and Chuck mineral claims (collectively the “Property”) are located on NTS mapsheets 82M/12 and geographically centred at 51°33'N and 119°38'W.


Road access is gained to claims via the Yellowhead Highway (Highway 5) to the village of Vavenby. The claims are located on the south side of the North Thompson River. Forest service roads offer excellent access to the claims (Figure 1 and 2).

The Canadian National Railway mainline also passes through this area.

Topography is moderate to steep with elevations ranging from 1,300 metres to 1,800 metres. The area is the site of active logging and consists of a thick coniferous forest cover with heavy underbrush to wide open clear cuts. At higher elevations, small marshy alpine meadows occur (Belik, 1973).

1.2 TITLE

The 4,721 hectare Property consists of 12 MTO cell claims. All mineral tenures are 100% owned by Christopher O. Naas. A plan map of the tenures is presented in Figure 2. Mineral tenure details are listed in Table 1.



LEGEND


 Mila-Chuck Property



LOCATION MAP

Mila-Chuck Project
Kamloops M.D., British Columbia, Canada

Project No:	C99-Mila	By:	CN
Scale:	1:850,000	Drawn:	CN
Figure:	1	Date:	March, 2016




Topographic data © Department of Natural Resources.
All rights reserved.

LEGEND

- Mila-Chuck Property
- Work claims
- 564331 Other tenure boundaries (as of March 10, 2016)

Topography

- Paved road
- Gravel road
- Railway
- Contour
- Watercourse
- Waterbody
- Vegetated area



MINERAL TENURE MAP Mila-Chuck Property

Mila-Chuck Project
Kamloops M.D., British Columbia, Canada

Project No:	C99-Mila	By:	CN
Scale:	1:75,000	Drawn:	CN
Figure:	2	Date:	March, 2016

Table 1: List of Mineral Tenures

Tenure Number	Area (ha)	Owner	Tenure Type	Good To Date	Worked On
605833	502.38	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	
605834	502.38	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	
605835	482.50	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	
605836	482.45	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	
605837	502.56	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	yes
605838	502.04	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	yes
605839	482.04	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	
605840	502.19	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	
605841	501.91	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	
605842	100.38	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	
837495	20.08	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	
1022171	140.5652	C.O. Naas	MTO Cell	2016/jul/29	

2.0 GEOLOGICAL SETTING

2.1 REGIONAL GEOLOGY


The Vavenby area is underlain by Paleozoic Eagle Bay Assemblage and Fennell Formation rocks, located within the Kootenay Terrane. The Eagle Bay Assemblage has been intruded by Devonian and Cretaceous granitic rocks, and is overlain by Miocene basalts (Naas and Neale, 1991, Figure 3).

2.2 LOCAL GEOLOGY

2.2.1 Lithology

Eagle Bay Assemblage

The Eagle Bay Assemblage comprises four northwest-dipping thrust sheets (Schiarizza and Preto, 1987). Schiarizza (1985) divides the Eagle Bay Assemblage in the Vavenby area into eight units. At the base of the formation is a quartz-dominated succession (Unit 1) of unknown age. This is overlain by a succession of felsic to intermediate metavolcanic rocks (Units 2 and 3), and fine to coarse clastic metasedimentary rocks (Units 4 and 5) of Devonian and Mississippian age. Structurally above these rocks is a mafic metavolcanic-limestone division (Unit 6) of Cambrian age, overlain by intermediate metavolcanics (Unit 7). The carbonate member of Unit 6 is referred to as the Tshinakin limestone. The structurally highest division of the Eagle Bay Formation comprises clastic metasedimentary rocks of Unit 8. These rocks are overturned, however, and Unit 8 may be the oldest unit within the Eagle Bay succession.



Geology modified after Paradis et al, 2005

LEGEND

Intrusives

- Baldy Batholith: quartz monzonite
- Raft Batholith: granodiorite

Eagle Bay Assemblage

- Orthogneiss (granite to diorite sill and dyke)
- EBAF: feldspar-phyric and quartz-feldspar-phyric felsic tuff
- EBA-EBF: felsic to intermediate volcanic flow and tuff, minor mafic tuff, phyllite, siltstone, grit
- EBS: clastic sedimentary rocks, limestone, mafic tuffs and flows
- EBG: mafic volcanic rocks (alkali basalt) and Tshinakim limestone
- EBQ/EBH: clastic sedimentary rocks

Structure

- Normal fault
- Thrust fault, assumed

- Property boundary
- Mineral tenure and ID

0 2 km
 NAD83 UTM Zone 11N
 NTS 82M12/M5

**PROPERTY GEOLOGY MAP
 Mila-Chuck Property**

Mila-Chuck Project
 Kamloops M.D., British Columbia, Canada

Project No:	C99-Mila	By:	CN
Scale:	1:75,000	Drawn:	CN
Figure:	3	Date:	March, 2016

Orthogneiss

The Devonian orthogneiss consists of quartzo-feldspathic orthogneiss. It is typically a weakly to moderately foliated rock, consisting of lenses and augen of quartzo-feldspathic material enclosed in "seams" of chlorite-sericite schist. Locally it grades to virtually massive granitic rock or conversely to strongly foliated chlorite-sericite schist containing large quartz augen. Biotite is an important component of the gneiss within the thermal aureole of the Baldy batholith.

Fennell Formation

The Upper Permian-Lower Mississippian Fennell Formation in the Adams Plateau-Clearwater area, has been divided into two units by Schiarizza and Preto (1984). The lower unit is a heterogeneous assemblage of bedded chert, gabbro, diabase, and pillow basalt, which also includes units of sandstone and phyllite, Devonian aged quartz-feldspar porphyry rhyolite, and intraformational conglomerate. The upper unit is a succession of pillow and massive basalt with minor amounts of bedded chert, gabbro, basaltic breccia and tuff.

Schiarizza (1985) does not divide the Fennell Formation into two units in the Vavenby area, rather uses one unit containing rocks as previously described by Schiarizza and Preto (1984).

Granitic Rocks

Cretaceous granite and granodiorite of the Raft and Baldy batholiths intrude Eagle Bay Formation rocks. In contrast to the abrupt northern contact of the Baldy batholith, a broad zone of intermixed metasedimentary and granitic rocks marks the southern margin of the Raft batholith.

Basalt

The flat-lying, undeformed Miocene basalt flows are the easternmost representatives of an extensive mass of Late Miocene to Pliocene plateau lavas which cover much of the area to the west and northwest of Vavenby (Campbell and Tipper, 1971).

2.2.2 Structure

Schiarizza (1985) describes the four types of structures that exist in the Vavenby area:

1. an early metamorphic foliation, axial planar to very rare small isoclinal folds, which is locally observed to be discordant to and/or folded about the dominant second generation schistosity.
2. variably oriented, but most commonly north to east-plunging isoclinal folds - the dominant syn-metamorphic schistosity is axial planar. Throughout most of the area this schistosity is parallel to bedding.
3. northwest-trending folds and crenulation with axial planar crenulation cleavage. Axial surfaces generally dip steeply to the northeast or southwest.
4. east-west trending upright folds, kinks, and crenulations of probable Tertiary age. The folds are often most prominently developed adjacent to northerly trending faults.

3.0 WORK HISTORY

This area was first staked in 1969 by Nicanex Mines as a result of discovery of copper mineralization during a regional prospecting program. Subsequent geological, geochemical and geophysical surveys during 1970 outlined the copper mineralized zone (Nicanex zone).

In 1975, the ground was restaked by Greenwood Exploration. Greenwood conducted surface geological mapping, but allowed the claims to lapse the following year.

Barrier Reef Resources staked the area again in 1977 and carried out geological mapping and geochemical and geophysical surveys during 1978. As a result, a second zone, the AFR (Nicanex Road Showing) was located, which lies parallel to the Nicanex zone. Drilling was carried out in 1979. Drilling results include 944 ppm Cu over 19.8 metres. Again the claims were allowed to lapse.

Cima Resources restaked the showings and conducted a small prospecting and soil sampling program. A rock sample returned 230 ppm Cu, 360 ppm Pb and 112 ppm Zn (Corvalan, 1984)

In 1980 the After You property was staked by A.T. Syndicate following the discovery of anomalous concentrations of gold found in heavy mineral samples on Chuck Creek, during a regional geochemical program. In 1981, an assessment program entailing geological mapping, VLF-EM surveying, soil sampling, follow-up heavy mineral sampling and rock chip sampling was carried out on behalf of Kangeld Resources Ltd. A major VLF-EM conductor outlined upstream from an anomalous gold-in-heavy-mineral sample was considered to be the potential gold source (Burgess and Troup, 1981).

In 1984 Kangeld Resources Ltd. conducted a one-hole diamond drilling program to test the VLF-EM conductor considered to be the potential gold source on the After You claims. The drill hole AY-84-1, intersected interbedded sandstones, siltstones, mudstones, limestones, andesites and an extensive sheared zone from 113.9 to 144.5 metres. Pyrite and pyrrhotite mineralization was encountered as disseminations and in quartz veins and veinlets. Gold values were found to range from 0.006 to 0.028 oz/ton, and silver values averaged 0.08 oz/ton with a high of 0.18 oz/ton. Although gold values obtained during this program were not deemed economic, they indicated that the hydrothermal fluids which passed through the shear zone were gold bearing. It was recommended that the conductor be tested for gold mineralization where it is strongest as the conductor was not tested at its strongest location in this program (Freeze, 1984).

Exploration for copper in the area continued with Newmont Exploration staking around the Cima Resources copper showings in 1984 and carrying out geological mapping, prospecting, and geophysical surveying during 1985 (Nebocat, 1985 and Limion, 1985).

In 1988, Goldbank Ventures Ltd. staked the JAR and MILA claims over the known showings. During 1989, an airborne geophysical survey was carried out over 492 line-km (Lund, 1989).

In 1990 and 1991, Goldbank conducted a two phase program consisting of 32 line-km of ground magnetics, 28 line-km of MaxMin, 16 line-km of IP, 24 line-km of soil sampling and 1,794 metres of diamond drilling. The most significant drill result was 11.28 metres of 0.34% Cu (Naas and Neale, 1991).

In 2004, in the Mila area, soil samples were collected along two main soil lines, both following the existing road network. Samples were collected at 50 metre intervals along both lines. No significant results were returned from this soil sampling program (Naas, 2005a). To the south, four moss mat samples were collected from Chuck Creek and a tributary of Chuck Creek Road side soils samples were also collected to the south of Chuck Creek (Naas, 2005a).

Exploration in the Mila area in 2005 consisted of a total of three uncut grid lines, at a spacing of 200 metres, for a total of 3 line-km. A total of 122 soil samples were collected from the B horizon, approximately 20-30 centimetres from surface. A weak east-west trend to the copper values was recognized though the values were relatively low (<100 ppm) and the significance of this anomaly is not known (Naas, 2005b). In the Chuck area further silt and soil sampling was undertaken. A total of 2 silt samples were collected from Chuck Creek and one sample was collected from a north flowing tributary of Chuck Creek. The silt sampling program was successful in extending the 2004 gold-in-silt anomaly 3.4 kilometres downstream within Chuck Creek. A total of four lines totaling 4.5 kilometres of uncut grid was established from which 184 soil samples were collected. Other than a single sample anomaly of 120 ppb Au, located on the eastern bank of Chuck Creek, no significant results were returned (Naas, 2005b).

During 2006, an airborne geophysical survey was carried out over the claims area (Naas, 2007a) as part of a larger survey. It was recommended to incorporate the data from this survey into the 1988 airborne geophysical dataset.

In April 2007 at the Mila area, four soil grid lines were established, at a spacing of 200 metres, for a total of 8 line-km. A total of 317 soil samples were collected. Copper analyses revealed a strong east-west anomaly across all 4 gridlines. The anomaly is approximately 100 metres wide on the two eastern lines, but narrower on the two western lines. The highest copper value encountered (752 ppm) occurs on the westernmost line. This anomaly likely corresponds with the historically known massive sulphide lens (Nicanex showing). There are several subtle geochemical anomalies to the south of the main zone which may represent other mineralized horizons, although the geochemical values are substantially lower than those of the main zone. Zinc results generally mimic the copper response (Naas, 2007a).

In August and October, 2007, four additional soil lines were established east of the previously placed grid lines, also at a spacing of 200 metres, for a total of 8 line-km. A total of 324 soil samples were collected. Copper in soil geochemistry extended the previously identified geochemical anomalies to the east. The anomalies appear to follow the topography suggesting nearly flat mineralized horizons. A secondary anomaly to the south of the main anomaly is still poorly defined, but assuming a flat horizon, may be identified on the eastern

most line with a soil sample result of 495 ppm Cu. Several subtly parallel geochemical anomalies are also present to the south better defined by the zinc values (Naas, 2008a).

In 2008 further soil sampling was carried out in the western area of the claims. Five soil grid lines were placed at a spacing of 200 metres, for a total of 5 line-km with 194 soil samples collected. Results of this work program revealed subtly anomalous arsenic with higher-than-background gold values in the southeastern portion of the current exploration area (Naas, 2008b)

During 2010, a work program tested areas close to and just north of Chuck Creek. A total of 10.65 kilometres of uncut grid was established from which 246 samples were collected. Four lines were oriented north-south, two lines oriented east-west and one line was established parallel to the river, approximately 50 metres from the high water mark. Three samples returned anomalous gold values: two samples of 110 ppb Au, and one at 55 ppb Au. No anomalous silver or base metal results were returned (Naas, 2010).

During 2011, work consisted of further soil sampling in the both the Mila and Chuck Creek areas (Naas, 2011). Sampling in the Mila area consisted of establishing 5 uncut soil lines to test the western and eastern extensions of the previous work, as well as two in-fill lines near the main soil anomaly with a total of 237 sample collected. Results were uniformly low and failed to extend existing anomalies. Sampling in the Chuck area consisted of two uncut soil lines roughly parallel to, and on the south side of, Chuck Creek. These lines were designed to bracket a gold-in-soil sample of 110 ppb Au identified in the 2010 exploration program. A total of 41 samples were collected with only low gold values (≤ 10 ppb Au) returned.

Work in 2012 consisted of geological mapping of outcrop and the collection and description of 98 representative rock samples (Naas, 2012). Outcrop mapping in the Mila area identified several geological units that can be correlated to rock units identified on Yellowhead Mining Inc.'s nearby Harper Creek deposit. Chalcopyrite mineralization at the Harper Creek deposit is most commonly found in felsic to intermediate volcanics of package Fa, mafic units of package Fb, and graphitic horizons of package E. These packages correlate with the Mila rock units as follows:

- Package Fa correlates with Mila rock unit 4;
- Package Fb correlates with Mila rock units 7 and 8; and,
- Package E correlates with Mila rock unit 9.

As a large part of the Property is covered by the rock units noted, it can be surmised that there is potential for significant copper mineralization Property.

Work in 2013 (Naas, 2014) consisted silt sampling (11 samples from 11 sites), gridded soil sampling (2 grids, 15 line-km, 598 samples) and rock sampling (1 sample).

In March 2015, infill soil sampling was undertaken at the Nicanex grid. As of the date of the report, sample results were pending (Naas, 2016).

4.0 CURRENT WORK

Field work was carried out over 12 days in three sessions, between June 29 to July 3, July 9 to 11 and July 20 to 23, 2015. Work consisted soil sampling along the sides of various roads that crossed the southern part of the Property. A total of 372 soil samples were collected

4.2 SOIL SAMPLING

Soil sampling was undertaken south of Chuck Creek in the southern portion of the Property. Five roads were selected. Samples were collected at 25 metre intervals. Stations were located approximately 15 to 20 metres away from the road, to minimize contamination. Sample stations were located using Garmin GPS, while key sites were picked up using a Trimble differential survey instrument.

Soil samples were collected from the B horizon at approximately 20 to 30 centimetre depth. Samples were placed in labeled kraft sample bags. No samples were collected at unsuitable sites such as swamps, creeks, roads, or areas of surface disturbance.

Once the soil samples were dried, the material was placed into ziplock sandwich bag for analysis.

Soil samples were analyzed utilizing a hand held Delta Premium XRF instrument.

Prior to analysis, each ziplock sandwich bag was lightly shaken to homogenize the material. Analysis was undertaken through the ziplock sandwich bag of the -80 material. At each startup, a calibration coin was analyzed and subsequent analysis is only performed when a pass was obtained, which was calculated internally by the XRF instrument. The operator inserted quality control samples during the course of analysis.

The XRF unit was set to soil mode and used beam 2 for ten seconds. While the instrument detects many elements, only copper and zinc are utilized. A correction factor was applied to all raw copper and zinc results.

Results are reported in parts per million (ppm) for copper and zinc. Lower detection limits are variable and depend on the element.

Results for both copper and zinc were low. Copper ranged from 18 to 120 ppm Cu while zinc ranged from 34 ppm to 401 ppm.

For copper, five point anomalies were returned (samples 103, 120, 191, 250 and 633). One of these point anomalies was contained in a group of 4 consecutive anomalous copper samples in

the northern part of the survey area (samples 102-105). Zinc values correlated with copper values.

A complete list of all samples with UTM coordinates and results are presented in Appendix I. Sample locations with copper results area presented in Figure 4.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Soil sampling returned low copper and zinc values. However a small anomalous group of samples in the northern part of the survey area was identified (samples 102 to 105) and should be followed up with more detailed grid sampling.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'C. Naas', written over a horizontal line.

Christopher O. Naas

6.0 REFERENCES

Belik, G.

1973. Geology of the Harper Creek Copper Deposit, unpublished B.Sc. thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada.

Burgess, S. and Troup, A.G.

- 1981 Assessment Report 9959: Geology, Geophysics and Geochemistry, After You Property, unpublished report for Kangeld Resources Ltd., October, 1981.

Campbell and Tipper,

1971. Geology of the Bonaparte Lake Map-area, British Columbia, Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 363.

Christopher, P.

1988. Report on the JAR and MILA Claims, unpublished report for Goldbank Ventures Ltd.

Corvalan, I.R.

1984. Assessment Report 12465: Report on Geochemical Survey, unpublished report for Cima Resources Ltd., January 27, 1984

Freeze, J.C., 1984

1984. Assessment Report 13225: Diamond Drilling Report on the After You Property, unpublished report for Kangeld Resources Ltd., November, 1984.

Limion, H.

1985. Assessment Report 14505: Report on Geophysical Ground Surveys on REG 2 and 3 Mineral Claims, Magnetic and Electromagnetic Pulse Surveys, unpublished report for Newmont Exploration of Canada Limited, November 8, 1985.

Lund, K.C.

1989. Assessment Report 19134: Airborne Geophysical Survey, Mila Property, unpublished report for Goldbank Ventures, September 8, 1989.

Naas, C.O.

- 2005a. Assessment Report 27610: Soil and Silt Sampling on the Chuck 1 and 2 Claims, January 27, 2005.
- 2005b. Assessment Report 28045: Soil and Silt Sampling on the REG-MILA Claims, November 29, 2005.
- 2007a. Assessment Report 28811: Airborne Geophysics on the Mila Mineral Claims, January 31, 2007.
- 2007b. Assessment Report 29214: Soil Sampling on the Mila Mineral Claims, July 10, 2007.
- 2008a. Assessment Report 29836 Soil Sampling on the Mila Mineral Claims, January 31, 2008.
- 2008b. Assessment Report 30328 Soil Sampling on the Mila Mineral Claims, October 21, 2008.
- 2010. Assessment Report 31652: Soil Sampling on the Chuck Mineral Claims, September 9, 2010.
- 2011. Assessment Report 32413: Soil Sampling on the Chuck Mineral Claims, September 9, 2011.
- 2012. Assessment Report 33665: Geological Mapping on the Mila and Chuck Mineral Claims, September 7, 2012
- 2013. Technical Report on the Phase XI Exploration Program of the Harper Creek Property; unpublished report by CME Consultants Inc. for Yellowhead Mining Inc., May 31, 2013.
- 2014. Assessment Report: Geochemistry on the Mila and Chuck Mineral Claims, January 17, 2014
- 2016 Assessment Report: Geochemistry on the Mila and Chuck Mineral Claims, February 3, 2016

Naas, C.O. and Neale, T.

- 1991. Report on the 1990/1991 Phase I and II Geological, Geochemical, Geophysical and Diamond Drilling Exploration of the Mila Project, unpublished report for Goldbank Ventures Ltd. (3 volumes).

Nebocat, J.

- 1985. Assessment Report 13557: Geological and Geochemical Report on the REG 1-8 Claims, unpublished report for Newmont Exploration of Canada Limited, March 15, 1985.

Schiarizza, P.

- 1985. Geology of the Eagle Bay Formation between the Raft and Baldy Batholiths (82M5, 11, 12); *in*: Geological Fieldwork 1985; Ministry of Energy Mines and Petroleum Resources Paper 1986-1, p. 89-94.

Schiarizza P., and Preto V.A.

- 1987. Geology of the Adams Plateau-Clearwater-Vavenby Area, British Columbia Ministry of Energy Mines and Petroleum Resources Paper 1987-2.

1984. Geology of the Adams Plateau-Clearwater Area, British Columbia Ministry of Energy Mines and Petroleum Resources Prelim. Map 56.

7.0 CERTIFICATE

I, Christopher O. Naas, *P.Geo.*, do hereby certify that:

1. I am a graduate in geology of Dalhousie University (*B.Sc.*, 1984); and have practiced in my profession continuously since 1987;
2. Since 1987, I have been involved in mineral exploration for precious and/or base metals in Canada, United States of America, Chile, Venezuela, Ghana, Mali, Nigeria, and Democratic Republic of the Congo (Zaire); for diamonds in Venezuela; and for rare metals in Nigeria. I have also been involved in the determination of base metal and gold resources for properties in Canada and Ghana, respectively, and the valuation of properties in Canada and Equatorial Guinea.
3. I am a member in good standing of the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of British Columbia (Registration Number 20082);
4. I am presently a Consulting Geologist and have been so since November 1987;
5. The opinions and conclusions contained herein are based on a review of previous records and the results of the current exploration program;
6. I have visited the Mila-Chuck Property during the course of the exploration program;

Dated at Surrey, British Columbia, this 30th day of March, 2016.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'C. Naas', with a horizontal line underneath it.

Christopher O. Naas

8.0 STATEMENT OF COSTS

Field

<u>Personnel</u>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Rate</i>		
Chris Naas	12.00	1,000.00	12,000.00	
I. Naas	12.00	400.00	4,800.00	
			<u>16,800.00</u>	16,800.00

Equipment

Chainsaw	1.00	40.00	40.00	
DGPS	1.00	75.00	75.00	
Truck	1.00	125.00	1,500.00	
			<u>1,615.00</u>	1,615.00

<u>Room & Board</u>	24	125.00	3,000.00	
			<u>3,000.00</u>	3,000.00

Disbursements

Field Supplies			100.00	
Truck (including fuel)			428.78	
			<u>528.78</u>	528.78

<u>Analysis</u>	372	35	13,020.00	
			<u>13,020.00</u>	13,020.00

Office (Report Preparation and Map Drafting)

<u>Personnel</u>				
Chris Naas	2.00	1,000.00	2,000.00	
			<u>2,000.00</u>	2,000.00

Total 36,963.78

9.0 LIST OF SOFTWARE USED

In the preparation of this report the following software was used:

Microsoft	Word 2010
	Excel 2010
Corel	CorelDraw x6
Adobe	Acrobat version 10
Micromine:	Micromine v13.0

APPENDIX I
(Sample Details)

CORRECTED DATA

SAMPLE_ID	EASTING	NORTHING	Cu_XRF_calc	Zn_XRF_calc
101	318253	5714087	58	121
102	318273	5714082	59	129
103	318293	5714077	91	110
104	318312	5714072	81	121
105	318337	5714075	61	160
106	318361	5714078	42	145
107	318385	5714082	49	130
108	318404	5714082	61	165
109	318422	5714082	56	129
110	318441	5714082	53	141
111	318465	5714080	48	129
112	318489	5714078	59	117
113	318514	5714077	<i>No Sample</i>	
114	318535	5714075	47	192
115	318557	5714072	39	173
116	318578	5714070	26	85
117	318602	5714070	42	108
118	318626	5714070	53	136
119	318651	5714063	46	247
120	318675	5714055	37	176
121	318694	5714046	40	166
122	318712	5714036	41	216
123	318731	5714027	55	110
124	318750	5714017	56	129
125	318773	5714012	48	150
126	318797	5714006	54	94
127	318822	5713999	42	172
128	318847	5713993	52	101
129	318872	5713986	60	81
130	318891	5713979	54	94
131	318910	5713973	60	94
132	318929	5713966	48	104
133	318947	5713957	57	175
134	318964	5713948	<i>No Sample</i>	
135	318971	5713935	47	97
136	318979	5713922	53	82
137	318974	5713904	37	113
138	318969	5713887	34	176
139	318957	5713873	<i>No Sample</i>	
140	318946	5713859	44	103
141	318935	5713851	41	105
142	318924	5713843	49	135
143	318900	5713834	57	72
144	318877	5713826	54	88

SAMPLE_ID	EASTING	NORTHING	Cu_XRF_calc	Zn_XRF_calc
145	318857	5713824	48	154
146	318837	5713822	45	171
147	318817	5713820	42	182
148	318796	5713810	54	151
149	318774	5713801	64	188
150	318754	5713799	54	147
151	318733	5713796	42	234
152	318710	5713794	47	174
153	318687	5713791	<i>No Sample</i>	
154	318662	5713787	53	201
155	318637	5713782	41	210
156	318613	5713772	36	226
157	318590	5713762	41	290
158	318566	5713755	49	164
159	318542	5713747	30	268
160	318519	5713740	42	105
161	318503	5713727	120	146
162	318487	5713714	49	94
163	318472	5713694	54	205
164	318458	5713674	45	150
165	318439	5713659	41	198
166	318421	5713643	42	135
167	318416	5713629	47	126
168	318411	5713615	35	116
169	318398	5713596	37	103
170	318385	5713577	47	120
171	318369	5713562	53	126
172	318354	5713547	42	120
173	318342	5713535	36	149
174	318330	5713522	47	285
175	318315	5713510	36	100
176	318301	5713497	54	115
177	318291	5713476	49	86
178	318282	5713455	47	141
179	318284	5713438	47	126
180	318286	5713422	55	138
181	318286	5713406	47	138
182	318287	5713390	47	158
183	318279	5713373	<i>No Sample</i>	
184	318271	5713356	36	401
185	318251	5713339	32	227
186	318235	5713321	35	182
187	318224	5713306	48	183
188	318213	5713290	53	92
189	318202	5713275	45	84

SAMPLE_ID	EASTING	NORTHING	Cu_XRF_calc	Zn_XRF_calc
190	318183	5713260	<i>No Sample</i>	
191	318165	5713245	96	109
192	318150	5713235	47	104
193	318134	5713225	41	119
194	318127	5713211	53	238
195	318119	5713197	38	146
196	318105	5713187	42	180
197	318091	5713178	52	167
198	318076	5713168	<i>No Sample</i>	
199	318061	5713156	36	178
200	318045	5713144	52	174
201	318029	5713132	55	127
202	318011	5713122	61	144
203	317993	5713112	48	182
204	317975	5713101	41	115
205	317959	5713089	30	145
206	317943	5713077	36	111
207	317926	5713065	35	149
208	317913	5713051	42	137
209	317900	5713036	30	170
210	317888	5713021	36	165
211	317866	5713009	36	170
212	317844	5712996	58	156
213	317825	5712981	47	205
214	317806	5712966	36	303
215	317788	5712951	18	343
216	317769	5712936	47	211
217	317752	5712925	53	149
218	317734	5712914	32	175
219	317716	5712902	<i>No Sample</i>	
220	317699	5712891	44	134
221	317681	5712880	36	169
222	317667	5712859	47	193
223	317652	5712839	30	145
224	317638	5712818	47	214
225	317624	5712797	32	158
226	317610	5712777	49	192
227	317596	5712756	42	171
228	317585	5712738	35	213
229	317573	5712720	43	133
230	317562	5712702	37	151
231	317550	5712684	70	197
232	317547	5712661	69	178
233	317544	5712639	<i>No Sample</i>	
234	317541	5712617	57	185

SAMPLE_ID	EASTING	NORTHING	Cu_XRF_calc	Zn_XRF_calc
235	317540	5712592	53	230
236	317538	5712568	41	161
237	317602	5712631	35	198
238	317624	5712641	42	139
239	317646	5712651	32	134
240	317662	5712656	53	188
241	317677	5712661	53	164
242	317693	5712665	54	154
243	317708	5712670	47	113
244	317724	5712673	38	82
245	317741	5712676	55	111
246	317757	5712679	35	118
247	317773	5712682	40	108
248	317798	5712685	65	107
249	317823	5712689	47	232
250	317841	5712690	109	114
251	317859	5712691	23	58
252	317877	5712691	48	91
253	317894	5712692	<i>No Sample</i>	
254	317912	5712693	53	117
255	317929	5712693	37	134
256	317946	5712694	42	52
257	317964	5712694	44	54
258	317981	5712694	54	76
259	317998	5712695	59	70
260	318015	5712695	58	117
261	318032	5712695	60	67
262	318049	5712695	69	86
263	318066	5712694	36	114
264	318084	5712693	63	70
265	318101	5712692	<i>No Sample</i>	
266	318119	5712691	38	104
267	318136	5712690	48	112
268	318154	5712688	66	90
269	318171	5712687	47	90
270	318189	5712685	57	69
271	318206	5712684	47	121
272	318223	5712682	38	55
273	318241	5712681	52	66
274	318257	5712679	57	63
275	318273	5712677	70	88
276	318289	5712676	48	73
277	318305	5712674	60	61
278	318330	5712673	64	86
279	318354	5712671	42	149



SAMPLE_ID	EASTING	NORTHING	Cu_XRF_calc	Zn_XRF_calc
280	318379	5712669	28	34
381	318329	5713390	66	283
382	318162	5713184	53	205
383	318181	5713185	36	196
384	318201	5713186	41	119
385	318220	5713185	48	88
386	318240	5713184	48	98
387	318256	5713183	44	140
388	318272	5713182	<i>No Sample</i>	
389	318293	5713188	53	85
390	318314	5713194	37	377
391	318338	5713186	64	60
392	318363	5713178	30	160
393	318377	5713169	37	114
394	318391	5713160	53	111
395	318406	5713153	41	86
396	318421	5713146	54	97
397	318439	5713136	60	134
398	318456	5713126	47	138
399	318473	5713116	56	222
400	318486	5713107	66	139
401	318499	5713098	60	109
402	318517	5713090	63	103
403	318536	5713081	54	167
404	318560	5713077	54	170
405	318583	5713072	51	146
406	318604	5713067	47	117
407	318624	5713061	48	163
408	318641	5713049	<i>No Sample</i>	
409	318657	5713036	41	106
410	318673	5713027	61	115
411	318689	5713017	54	140
412	318708	5713016	48	203
413	318727	5713014	45	234
414	318742	5713002	45	281
415	318757	5712991	32	127
416	318767	5712974	30	173
417	318776	5712957	48	130
418	318789	5712941	42	116
419	318803	5712924	<i>No Sample</i>	
420	318814	5712910	45	91
421	318826	5712896	52	72
422	318841	5712876	58	69
423	318855	5712855	47	104
424	318863	5712840	40	73

SAMPLE_ID	EASTING	NORTHING	Cu_XRF_calc	Zn_XRF_calc
425	318871	5712826	65	60
426	318879	5712810	47	96
427	318887	5712794	36	96
428	318895	5712779	<i>No Sample</i>	
429	318902	5712765	38	120
430	318906	5712749	44	127
431	318909	5712734	54	128
432	318919	5712716	49	84
433	318928	5712699	58	82
434	318938	5712677	41	83
435	318946	5712666	32	64
436	318953	5712655	51	73
437	318974	5712658	46	88
438	318995	5712660	53	61
439	318996	5712681	41	60
440	318998	5712702	56	89
486	318350	5713400	55	242
487	318370	5713410	52	119
488	318396	5713412	52	186
489	318422	5713414	46	139
490	318441	5713409	<i>No Sample</i>	
491	318459	5713403	47	100
492	318478	5713398	45	50
493	318496	5713393	50	52
494	318515	5713388	53	58
495	318537	5713389	45	69
496	318559	5713390	39	88
497	318581	5713392	42	66
498	318599	5713392	45	80
499	318618	5713392	48	110
500	318636	5713389	<i>No Sample</i>	
501	318654	5713386	51	114
502	318671	5713384	57	82
503	318689	5713376	67	37
504	318706	5713369	46	54
505	318724	5713356	50	61
506	318741	5713342	56	72
507	318757	5713330	48	328
508	318772	5713318	52	232
509	318785	5713306	50	48
510	318799	5713294	49	167
511	318812	5713283	44	240
512	318822	5713260	50	139
513	318832	5713237	38	136
514	318842	5713222	44	184

SAMPLE_ID	EASTING	NORTHING	Cu_XRF_calc	Zn_XRF_calc
515	318851	5713207	41	287
516	318861	5713192	54	73
517	318868	5713173	57	147
518	318875	5713153	44	93
519	318882	5713134	51	68
520	318904	5713129	58	77
521	318927	5713124	53	79
522	318950	5713120	55	80
523	318975	5713120	57	97
524	319001	5713121	<i>No Sample</i>	
525	319026	5713122	51	96
526	319048	5713119	42	77
527	319069	5713116	<i>No Sample</i>	
528	319089	5713109	35	66
529	319109	5713102	45	113
530	319129	5713095	39	56
531	319149	5713083	52	79
532	319169	5713071	49	76
533	319189	5713059	45	83
534	319208	5713048	47	76
535	319228	5713036	48	73
536	319248	5713024	49	138
537	319263	5713010	44	87
538	319279	5712996	51	65
539	319294	5712983	53	81
540	319310	5712971	60	71
541	319325	5712959	41	91
542	319339	5712954	47	84
543	319354	5712949	54	156
544	319373	5712944	48	89
545	319391	5712939	51	116
546	319410	5712934	54	118
547	319431	5712938	45	143
548	319452	5712941	44	103
549	319467	5712929	41	58
550	319483	5712916	35	74
551	319498	5712903	48	91
552	319518	5712887	27	74
553	319537	5712872	32	104
554	319557	5712856	41	63
555	319572	5712847	54	109
556	319586	5712838	36	73
557	319601	5712828	39	49
558	319612	5712813	47	85
559	319623	5712799	<i>No Sample</i>	

SAMPLE_ID	EASTING	NORTHING	Cu_XRF_calc	Zn_XRF_calc
560	319635	5712784	63	104
561	319651	5712776	54	58
562	319667	5712767	45	72
563	319684	5712758	48	213
564	319702	5712744	37	136
565	319720	5712730	34	134
566	319742	5712717	36	66
567	319764	5712704	44	104
568	319787	5712691	35	126
569	319804	5712686	36	57
570	319821	5712681	42	129
571	319838	5712676	<i>No Sample</i>	
572	319858	5712667	30	149
573	319878	5712657	41	147
574	319897	5712648	48	95
575	319919	5712639	50	89
576	319941	5712630	36	92
577	319963	5712621	41	104
591	319985	5712612	51	80
592	320005	5712609	39	79
593	320025	5712606	39	72
594	320044	5712604	46	83
595	320065	5712604	35	70
596	320086	5712604	38	111
597	320106	5712604	41	97
598	320127	5712604	45	100
599	320140	5712591	48	69
600	320153	5712578	<i>No Sample</i>	
601	320165	5712565	46	73
602	320183	5712556	45	47
603	320200	5712546	49	113
604	320218	5712536	27	147
605	320235	5712527	36	139
606	320252	5712512	51	122
607	320270	5712497	27	79
608	320287	5712482	<i>No Sample</i>	
609	320305	5712467	49	97
610	320324	5712455	40	113
611	320344	5712443	38	96
612	320364	5712431	41	157
613	320382	5712421	44	122
614	320400	5712411	33	100
615	320418	5712400	51	132
616	319069	5713155	47	157
617	319085	5713165	54	135

SAMPLE_ID	EASTING	NORTHING	Cu_XRF_calc	Zn_XRF_calc
618	319101	5713176	47	106
619	319115	5713182	52	90
620	319129	5713188	48	97
621	319149	5713198	41	119
622	319168	5713208	54	109
623	319179	5713214	54	113
624	319191	5713221	56	117
625	319209	5713228	60	99
626	319226	5713236	57	109
627	319239	5713246	54	121
628	319252	5713256	54	97
629	319263	5713266	46	73
630	319274	5713277	48	53
631	319287	5713290	66	71
632	319301	5713303	45	55
633	319308	5713315	87	63
634	319315	5713327	54	45
635	319322	5713339	61	71
636	319329	5713352	45	66
CR-1	318226	5714127	41	84
CR-2	318215	5714150	22	60
CR-3	318216	5714174	34	88
CR-4	318227	5714196	35	74
CR-5	318240	5714219	25	79
CR-6	318253	5714238	23	100
CR-7	318262	5714263	36	87
CR-8	318275	5714282	81	191
CR-9	318286	5714304	30	95
CR-10	318301	5714328	43	103
CR-11	318314	5714348	30	71
CR-12	318320	5714370	32	85
CR-13	318342	5714383	31	84
CR-14	318364	5714397	42	98
CR-15	318383	5714412	31	66
CR-16	318403	5714429	29	91
CR-17	318421	5714443	33	91



LEGEND


Topography

- Paved Road
- Gravel Road
- Rough Road - driveable
- Rough Road - condition unknown
- Access Trail - condition unknown
- Contour (20 metres)
- Watercourse
- Waterbody
- Mineral tenure boundary and number

Geochemistry

- Sample Number and Location ● 45 Copper (ppm)
- N/S No sample collected

- Copper (ppm)
- < 47
 - 23 to 59
 - 59 to 71
 - 71 to 83
 - >= 83



Datum: NAD83 UTM Zone 11 North
NTS Mapsheet: 082M12

SOIL GEOCHEMISTRY PLAN MAP	
COPPER	
Chuck Creek Area	
Mila-Chuck Property Kamloops M.D., British Columbia, Canada	
Project: C99 Mila	By: CN
Scale: 1:5000	Drawn: CN
Figure No.: 4	Date: March, 2016